

## Werk

**Titel:** Volitional moods in modern Uyghur

**Autor:** Memtimin, Aminem

**Ort:** Wiesbaden

**Jahr:** 2016

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?666048797\\_0020](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?666048797_0020) | LOG\_0031

## Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

# Volitional moods in Modern Uyghur

Aminem Memtimin

Memtimin, Aminem 2016. Volitional moods in Modern Uyghur. *Turkic Languages* 20, 234–245.

The aim of this paper is to explore and analyze different forms based on mood and aspect markers which express volitional modality in Modern Uyghur. In Uyghur, various grammatical moods such as the hypothetic (i.e. conditional), the optative, the imperative and the volutative, as well as the aorist form, can, in combination with different grammatical elements, participate in indicating volition.

Aminem Memtimin, Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, Beijing, P.R. of China. E-mail: [amina@cass.org.cn](mailto:amina@cass.org.cn).

## 0. Introduction

Optative utterances express a wish, regret, hope or desire, with or without an overt lexical item that denotes wish, regret, hope or desire (Grosz 2011: 13). (Hereafter we will call it volitional mood.)<sup>1</sup> They serve as important means of social interaction. They may be used for positive or negative wishes. Wishing or hoping for a good and long life, for a happy new year or birthday, a smooth trip, success in one's profession, family happiness, health and success in almost every domain, hoping and desiring that something should happen, or cursing someone by wishing him to die, to go to hell, or to have something bad happen to him and the like are all expressed through volitional expressions.

There are various ways of expressing volition in Modern Uyghur with subtle semantic and pragmatic differences. Beside wishes, these might carry some other readings such as fear, sorrow or regret. The expressions sometimes possess several readings according to the context.

## 1. The hypothetic mood

The most productive means for expressing volition in Modern Uyghur is the hypothetic form in *-sA*. This is an imaginative mood, expressing a possible reality, presenting a situation as seen by the mind's eye in the sense of "Imagine it is the case!"; "What if it is the case?". As such, it can, secondarily, convey volitional meanings of desire, wish, and hope (Johanson 2014: 46–48).

1 Grosz uses the term "optative" in the sense "volitional". As a number of Turkic languages (including Modern Uyghur) have a mood called "optative", we prefer to adopt the terminology of Johanson (2009, 2012, and 2014), calling such utterances "volitional".

The hypothetical form in *-sA*, inflected for person and number, is mostly accompanied by particles and different copulas (see more about stance particles in Johanson 2012).

There are at least seven types of volitional meanings expressed by hypothetical (conditional) forms in Modern Uyghur.

### 1.1. The hypothetical form *-sA*, inflected with personal suffixes

- (1) *Taq-qa      ċiq-ip      tay-niŋ      sap      hawa-si-din      bir nāpās*  
 mountain-DAT go.up-CNV mountain-GEN pure air-POSS3SG-ABL a breath  
*al-sa-q!*  
 take-HYP-1PL  
 ‘I wish we could go up some mountain and breathe some fresh air there!’

Note that *-sA*-clauses with conditional reading normally accompany main clauses.

- (2) *Ātā      käl-si-ŋiz      billä      tamaq      yä-yli.*  
 tomorrow come-HYP-2SG together food eat-VOL.1PL  
 ‘If you come tomorrow, let’s eat together.’

The hypothetical mood can also be used in main clauses. In (4), the hypothetical expresses a condition.

- (3) Context: A mother trying to convince her son to eat.  
*Nimišqa    yi-mä-y-siz?      Yä-ŋ-ä.*  
 Why eat-NEG-PRES-2SG eat-IMPR2SG-PRT  
 ‘Why don’t you eat? Do eat.’
- (4) The son responds.  
*Āmisä    bayċi-ŋa    apar-si-ŋiz.*  
 then park-DAT take.along-HYP-2SG  
 ‘Only if you take me to a park.’

In most cases, however, hypothetical mood in a main clause expresses volition as in (5).

- (5) *Meni    bayċi-ŋa    apar-si-ŋiz!*  
 I.ACC park-DAT take.along-HYP-2SG  
 ‘I hope you take me to a park!’

With or without the conjunction or particle *āmisä* ‘then’, it is mainly the context and intonation that determine whether a sentence with a hypothetical form expresses a condition or a hope. The intonation of (4) and (5) differ significantly.

A strong desire, wish or hope can also be expressed with an overt exclamation intensified by *nimidigän yaxşı* ‘So good, how good!’.

- (6) *Ätä yamyur yaγ-sa nimidigän yaxşı!*  
 tomorrow rain rain- HYP3SG so good  
 ‘It would be so wonderful if it rained tomorrow! / How good it would be if it rained tomorrow!’

Kazakh expresses such content in a similar way as (6) (Aibixi 2012: 41).<sup>2</sup>

### 1.2. Hypothetic mood with the particle *(i)kän*

After vowels, this particle has the shape *kän*; see (7).

- (7) *U bir käl-si-kän!*  
 (s)he one come-HYP-PRT  
 ‘I wish (s)he would come.’

Such an expression of a wish can also be used as a polite request.

- (8) *Ätä män bilän bazar-ya billä bar-si-ηiz (kän)!*  
 tomorrow I with market-DAT together go-HYP-2SG (PRT)  
 ‘I wish you would go to the market tomorrow with me! / Could you please go to the market with me tomorrow?’

### 1.3. Hypothetical mood with the copula in the past

In this subtype, the conditional suffix together with the past copula *(i)di<är-di* is attached to the verb. After the vowel of the third person hypothetical form, the stem vowel of the copula is dropped, giving *-si-ti/ -si-di*. This form expresses desires and wishes.

- (9) *Yamyur yaγ-si-ti!*<sup>3</sup>  
 rain rain-HYP-COP.PAST3SG  
 ‘If only it would rain!’

This construction seems to be common with negative wishing; see (10).

- (10) *U imtihan-din öt-mi-si-ti!*  
 (s)he exam-ABL pass-NEG-HYP- COP.PAST3SG  
 ‘I hope that X does not pass the exam.’

<sup>2</sup> See also Abish 2016.

<sup>3</sup> *-ti /-di* here can be traced back to the copula *är-* with the past tense marker *-Di*, and elision of the verb’s stem.

This type of construction refers to the future. It is can be followed up by an expression of the putative result as in (11).

- (11) *Yamyur yay-si-ti! Ziraät-lär-mu yašir-ip*  
 rain rain-HYP-COP crop-PL-PRT become.fresh-CNV  
*qal-at-ti.*<sup>4</sup>  
 AUX.stay-AOR-COP.PAST3SG  
 ‘If only it would rain! The crops would freshen.’

#### 1.4. Hypothetic mood with *bol-* with *bol-* ‘to be’ in converb form with *-t* (< *turur*) and (*i*)*kän*

The next examples, expressing regret and sorrow, are constructed analytically by combining *bol-* ‘to become, be’ with a hypothetic form, either positive (13) or negative (12).

- (12) *Bügün bundaq jiq yi-mi-sä-m bop-ti-kän!*  
 today such much eat-NEG-HYP-1SG be.CNV-COP-PRT  
 ‘If only I had not eaten so much today!’
- (13) *Bügün jiq-raq yi-sä-m bop-ti-kän!*  
 today a.lot-COMP eat-HYP-1SG be.CNV-COP-PRT  
 ‘If only I had eaten more today!’

This type can express both the speaker’s wish or his regret about something which has happened.

- (14) *Uni bir kör-üp baq-sa-m bo-p-tikän.*  
 X.ACC once see-CNV look-HYP-1SG be.CNV-COP-PRT  
 ‘Could I look at X once please? / If only I had looked at it! (I regret that I did not look at it before.)’

Example (14) is ambiguous. The first reading is a polite request to look at something, the second expresses the regret of the speaker that (s)he missed the chance to look at what is being talked about.

#### 1.5. Hypothetic mood with *ču*

The particle *ču* has several functions, among which are asking a question, stressing some point or making an exclamation. Another function of this particle is to express sorrow about a past event.

4 *-at-ti* can go back to *-A tur-ur är-Di* [-CNV stand-AOR COP-PST], where the aorist marker and the stem of the copula were omitted.

- (15) *Män-mu billä bar-sa-m-ču!*  
 I-also together go-HYP-1SG-PRT  
 ‘If only I had also come along!’

Kazakh spoken in China uses similar wording as in (15) but has *šI* where Uyghur uses *ču* (Aibixi 2012: 41).

- (16) *U-mu käl-si-ču!*  
 (s)he-also come-HYP-PRT  
 ‘If only (s)he had also come!’

- (17) *U-mu käl-si-ču?*  
 (s)he-also come-HYP-PRT  
 ‘How about if (s)he also comes?’

The intonation in (17) differs from that of (16). Unlike (16), (17) has interrogative intonation and interrogative content, whereas (16) expresses sorrow that the speaker’s wish has not been realized

#### 1.6. Past participle of the lexical verb with *bol*-HYP and *ču*

V-*GAn bolsa* + *ču* expresses sorrow for something which did not happen.

- (18) *U-mu käl-gän bol-si-ču!*  
 (s)he-also come-PAST.PART be-HYP-PRT  
 ‘If only (s)he had also come!’

This construction differs from the one in (15) in that it refers to a desired event which was not realized. The wish is clearly expressed as against what actually happened and asserts that the chance has already passed. In (15), one might still have a chance to make it up.

#### 1.7. Hypothetic in complement clauses of *dä*- ‘to say’

- (19) *Uni bir kör-sä-m dä-y-män!*  
 (s)he.ACC once see-HYP-1SG say-PRES-1SG  
 ‘I wish I could see X once!’

The reading in this type of construction can differ slightly from (19a) and (19b).

- (19a) *Uni bir kör-sä-m kă(n).*  
 (s)he.ACC once see-HYP-1SG PRT  
 ‘I wish I could see him/her once!’

- (19b) *Uni bir kör-sä-m.*  
 (s)he.ACC once see-HYP-1SG  
 ‘I wish I could see him/her once!’

It seems that in (19a), the wish to see the target person is very strong, but it is considered unlikely that this will happen. In (19b), on the other hand, fulfilling the wish might not be as difficult as in (19a). Uttering (19), the speaker’s hope and wish seems to him/her more likely to be realized than if (s)he uttered (19a) or (19b). Furthermore, (s)he might already have planned or prepared something towards the realization of her/his wish. In (19), the motivation or the intention to see the target is positive; i.e. it will mainly be used when referring to positive things and entities. (19b), on the other hand, could be directed to both positively and negatively evaluated entities.

## 2. The optative mood

The optative in *-Gay* express the future in Old Uyghur (Erdal 2004: 242–244; section 3.234).<sup>5</sup> The optative in *-Gay* is either used by itself or together with the past form of the copula *i-*.

Using the construction with *-Gay*, the speaker can express a wish that he or she hopes will be fulfilled with the help of praying to supernatural powers. The optative is used for expressing both blessings and curses.

### 2.1. Blessing

- (20) *Yat-qan yer-i jännät-tä bol-γay.*  
 lie.down-PAST.PART place-POSS3SG paradise-LOC be-OPT3SG  
 ‘May his place in the next world be in paradise!’

5 See Erdal 2004: 242–243. Erdal 1991: 301 quotes a passage from a South Siberian runiform inscription where the crasis *närgäy < nä ärgäy* expresses a worry, ‘What will become of ...?’. Erdal (personal communication) points out that a sentence such as *käntü baryay tamuqa, udu eltgäy bergüçig* (M III 29,5), said about the *tärs azay nomluγ-lar* ‘those who have heretical faiths’, can be translated either as a prophesy, ‘They will themselves go to hell and take the donators along’, or as expressing a wish ‘May they themselves go to hell and take the donators along’. He further adds that the *-gay* form may be absent from the Orkhon inscriptions, as this form may have had modal content also in Orkhon Turkic. However, the modal content expressed by *-Gay* in modern Turkic languages happens not to have been necessary in what the texts of those inscriptions have to say; one therefore cannot know whether and how their authors would have used this suffix.

- (21) *Heyt-iñiz-γa mubarek bol-γay.*  
 festival-POSS2SG-DAT blessing be-OPT3SG  
 ‘May there be blessing on your festival! / Happy Eid!’
- (22) *Tümän miñ yıl yaşı-γay.*  
 10000 1000 year1 ive-OPT3SG  
 ‘May (s)he live many years!’

## 2.2. Cursing

- (23) *Dozaq-qa kir-gäy-sän, ilah-im.*  
 hell-DAT enter-OPT-2SG God-POSS1SG  
 ‘May you go to hell, oh my God!’

## 2.3. In omen (dream teller) books

Optatives also occur in omen books used by dream tellers.

- (24) *Tay-da yür-üp tay-ni kör-üp çüş kör-sä,*  
 mountain-LOC walk-CNV mountain-ACC see-CNV dream see-HYP3SG  
*izzät wä hörmätlik bol-γay.*  
 honor and respect be-OPT3SG  
 ‘If, in a dream, (s)he sees a mountain and that (s)he is walking on a mountain, may (s)he be honored and respected!’

A pure prediction would not be expressed by using the optative.

- (25) *Tay-da yür-üp tay-ni kör-üp çüş kör-sä,*  
 mountain-LOC walk-CNV mountain-ACC see-CNV dream see-HYP3SG  
*izzät wä hörmätlik bol-ur.*  
 honor and respect be-AOR3SG  
 ‘If, in a dream, (s)he sees a mountain, and (s)he is walking on a mountain, (s)he can expect to be honored and respected.’

In traditional local medical texts one can find expressions such as the following one.

- (26) *Kona yötäl-gä uda yättä kün našti-da samsaq*  
 old cough-DAT consistently seven day breakfast-LOC garlic  
*pişur-up ye-sä şipa bol-γay.*  
 cook-CNV eat-HYP3SG cure be-OPT3SG  
 ‘Concerning a persistent cough, it may be healed if one cooks garlic and eats it at breakfast on seven consecutive days.’



Nowadays, prescriptions use the form in *-idu*, which expresses certainty, instead of the humility of the optative.

#### 2.4. In naming

Uyghurs also use the *-Gay* form when naming seven-day-old babies.

- (27) *Asman-din* *čüş-kän* *et-iñiz* *Nadirä* *bol-γay!*  
 sky-ABL come.down-PAST.PART name-POSS2SG Nadirä be-OPT3SG  
 ‘May your name, which came from the sky, be Nadirä!’

#### 2.5. The negated optative and the copula *idi*

The negated optative and the copula *idi*, contracted in the third person singular to *-miGit(t)i/ -miGidi/ -miGiyDi*, expresses the hope that an undesired event will not come true and conveys the speaker’s worry about the matter.

- (28) *Kečik-ip* *qal-mi-yi-du-q.*<sup>6</sup>  
 be.late-CNV AUX.stay-NEG-OPT-COP.PAST-1PL  
 ‘I do hope we won’t be late!/ I wish that we were not late!’
- (29) *U bu gäp-ni dä-p sal-mi-yi-tti.*  
 (s)he this word-ACC say-CNV AUX.put-NEG-OPT- COP.PAST3SG  
 ‘I wish (s)he would not say this word accidentally.’
- (30) *Seni kör-gü-m käl-di.*  
 you.ACC see-VN- POSS1SG come-PAST3SG  
 ‘I would like to see you.’ lit. ‘My intent of seeing you has come.’

A similar form as example (30) was described by Aibixi (2012: 41) for Kazakh spoken in China.

#### 3. The volutative mood

The volutative of the third person can render compliance with other person’s wishes.

- (31) *Bo-p-tu, bar-sun.*  
 be-CNV-EVD go-VOL3SG  
 ‘It turns out to be O.K. Let him go.’

6 *-yi-duq* diachronically developed from *-Gay är-du-q* [*-Gay* COP-PST-1PL]

One can also use the voluntative for expressing personal wishes towards the addressee, though the subject is different, as in (32) where the subject is God.

- (32) *Xuda-yim murat-mäqsit-iñ-gä yät-küz-sun!*  
 God-POSS1SG dreams-POSS2SG-DAT reach-CAUS-VOL3SG  
 ‘May God let you fulfill your dreams!’

There is an interesting alternation between *-sun* and *-Gay* forms in wishes. The previously given example (21) is repeated here as (34).

- (33) *Heyt-iñiz-ya mubaräk bol-sun.*  
 festival-POSS2SG-DAT blessing be-VOL3SG  
 ‘May there be blessing on your festival! / Happy Eid!’

- (34) *Heyt-iñiz-ya mubarek bol-γay.*  
 festival-POSS2SG-DAT blessing be-OPT3SG  
 ‘May there be blessing on your festival! / Happy Eid!’

- (35) *Yat-qan yer-i jännät-tä bol-sun.*  
 lie.down-PAST.PART place-POSS3SG paradise-LOC be-VOL3SG  
 ‘May his/her place in the next world be in paradise!’

- (36) *Yat-qan yer-i jännät-tä bol-γay.*  
 lie.down-PAST.PART place-POSS3SG paradise-LOC be-OPT3SG  
 ‘May his/her place in the next world be in paradise!’

In (34) the statement may be indirect, the relation between the hearer and speaker could be remote, or the wish hoped to be realized may rely upon some third, supernatural, holy power; when uttering (33) there is less of a “mystical atmosphere”. In (35) the speaker’s relation might be closer to the person who passed away or to the hearer than in (36); (36) is “holier”. As Wierzbicka writes: “The essential difference between blessing and cursing on the one hand, and wishing on the other hand seems to consist in the assumption of the power of one’s words in the first case, and their powerlessness in the second” (quoted by Dobrushina 1972: 143). In this sense, (34) and (36) belong to the “blessing / cursing” category while utterances such as (33) and (35) serve to express pure powerless wishes.

In non-metaphysical, matter-of-fact utterances, there is another pragmatic difference between using the voluntative and the optative.

- (37) *U ätä käl-sun.*  
 (s)he tomorrow come-VOL3SG  
 ‘Let her/him come tomorrow.’

- (38) *U ätä käl-gäy.*  
 (s)he tomorrow come-OPT3SG  
 ‘Let her/him come tomorrow.’

The volutative form expresses a strong wish to be communicated to the subject, the optative a more polite, flexible wish. Strong volition, as it is called by Dobrushina (2011), implies an attempt to change the world by an appeal to superficial power. Using the volutative, the speaker expresses that (s)he is convinced that her/his speech has power, while the optative form is more a case of wishing than ordering, implying reliance on some third party power.

#### 4. The imperative mood

The imperative mood can express polite requests and not just commands.

- (39) *Qop-uŋ!*  
 stand.up-IMP2SG<sup>7</sup>  
 ‘Please stand up!’

It is also used in wishing and cursing.

- (40) *Yaxşı çüŋ kör-üŋ!*  
 good dream see-IMP2SG  
 ‘I wish you have good dreams!’

- (41) *Har-ma-ŋlar!*  
 be.tired-NEG-IMP2PL  
 ‘May you (pl.) not grow tired! / Hello!’

When does the imperative indicate volitional reading? It primarily and strongly depends on the context. Semantically, it seems to be the case that the choice of verb through which the speaker expresses her/his intention, wish or order is the essential point. If the action cannot be controlled by the interlocutors and whether it takes place is exclusively decided by natural or other outside factors, an imperative reading is less likely. If it can, an optative reading is possible. One can order someone to

<sup>7</sup> This form of the imperative is the one generally used in Uyghur nowadays for single addressees, but it was originally the form used for addressing a number of people (as well as serving as polite address for a singular); the original single addressee form, identical to the verb stem, is still used in Uyghur today, but only under highly familiar circumstances.

get up by uttering (39), making him get up, as the matter can be within the scope of one's power; however, uttering (40) or (41), does not make one able to manipulate the addressee's dream or tiredness. Hence (40) and (41) are volitional, whereas (39) is an imperative.

### 5. Volitional meaning of the aorist

The aorist form in *-Ar* (negation with *-mAs*) is not very productive in Modern Uyghur. It is mainly used attributively in fixed phrases such as *tügi-mäs bayliq* 'inexhaustible riches' and fossilized expressions such as proverbs. It is also used to express volition in blessings and curses.

- (42) *Til-iŋ                      tutul-up                      qal-ar,                      Allah-im!*  
 tongue-POSS2SG   be.caught-CNV   AUX.stay-AOR3SG   god-POSS1SG  
 'May you get tongue-tied, oh my God!'

### 6. Conclusions

Different grammatical moods such as the hypothetic (the conditional), the optative, the imperative and the voluntative, as well as the aorist form, together with combination of different grammatical elements, indicate volition in Modern Uyghur.

It has been illustrated that volition is mostly expressed by hypothetic mood. Previous literature has already pointed out that moods used in conditional clauses have the same underlying logical form as volitionals (Grosz 2012:193). Particles such as *kän* and *ču* are necessary in forming specific volitional constructions, modifying their semantics towards regret or desire.

The most prominent means for expressing volition in Modern Uyghur is the hypothetic form in *-sA*. The optative in *-GAy*, which expresses the future in Old Uyghur, expresses volition in Modern Uyghur combined with the past copula *idi*.

Volitional constructions based on the optative or the voluntative seem to alternate, but this does not mean that they have exactly the same readings. The use of the optative seems to include the speaker's wish, hoping his wish would be realized through a super-power, some religious power. The voluntative mood, on the other hand, rather hints at a direct connection between the speaker's wish and the real world. The voluntative of the third person in *-sun* also expresses volition. The voluntative and the optative, *-sun* and *-GAy*, can alternate. However, they differ in that *-GAy* often seems to express supernatural conditioning, with the realization of the desire depending on the power of holy intervention, whereas the voluntative seems to address processes happening independently of such power.

### List of abbreviations

ABL	ablative
ACC	accusative
AOR	aorist

AUX	auxiliary
COMP	comparative
CNV	converb
DAT	dative
EVD	evidential
GEN	genitive
HYP	hypothetic
IMP	imperative
LOC	locative
NEG	negation
OPT	optative
PAST.PART	past participle
POSS	possessive
PRS	present
PRT	particle
VOL	voluntative

## References

- Abish, Aynur 2016. *Modality in Kazakh as spoken in China*. (Turcologica 107.) Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Aibixi, Ayinu 2012. Modality in Kazakh spoken in China. In: Kincses-Nagy, Éva & Biacsi, Mónika (eds.) *The Szeged Conference: Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Turkish Linguistics held on August 20–22, 2010 in Szeged*. Szeged: University of Szeged. 39–46.
- Dobrushina, Nina 2011. Optative domain in Dagestanian. In: Authier, Gilles & Maisak, Timur (eds.) *Tense, aspect, modality and finiteness in East Caucasian languages*. (Diversitas Linguarum 30.) Bochum: Brockmeyer. 95–30.
- Erdal, Marcel 2004. *A grammar of Old Turkic*. Leiden: Brill.
- Grosz, Patrick Georg 2011. On the grammar of optative constructions. MIT Diss.
- Johanson, Lars 2009. Modals in Turkic. In: Hansen, Bjoern & de Haan, Ferdinand (eds.) *Modals in the languages of Europe. A reference work*. (Empirical Approaches to language Typology 44.) Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. 487–510.
- Johanson, Lars 2012. Notes on Turkic stance particles. In: Erdal, Marcel & Nevskaya, Irina & Menz, Astrid (eds.) *Areal, historical and typological aspects of South Siberian Turkic*. (Turcologica 94.) Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. 51–58.
- Johanson, Lars 2014. A synopsis of Turkic volitional moods. *Turkic Languages* 18, 19–53.