

Werk

Titel: Between Cooperative and Plural: Kirghiz type "Cooperative suffixes" in modern lit...

Autor: Rentzsch, Julian

Ort: Wiesbaden

Jahr: 2005

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?666048797_0009|LOG_0040

Kontakt/Contact

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

Between Cooperative and Plural: Kirghiz type "Cooperative suffixes" in modern literary Uyghur

Julian Rentzsch

Rentzsch, Julian 2005. Between Cooperative and Plural: Kirghiz type "Cooperative suffixes" in modern literary Uyghur. *Turkic Languages* 9, 252-261.

In Kirghiz, the Turkic Cooperative suffix regularly functions as a Plural morpheme in the third person. Similar uses are also found in neighboring languages, one of which is Uyghur. Although these usages are recorded in native publications, they are rarely mentioned in Western ones. This contribution aims at pointing out the range of uses of the Cooperative suffix in Standard Uyghur. It shows that this morpheme displays functions resembling those in Kirghiz, albeit in a less regularized way.

Julian Rentzsch, Seminar für Orientkunde, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, DE-55099 Mainz, Germany. E-mail: rentzsch@mail.uni-mainz.de

1. Introduction

It is a well-known fact among Turcologists that the Cooperative Suffix (V)s regularly functions as a third person plural marker in the standard Kirghiz verb paradigms, though with approximately the same restrictions on obligatoriness as *lEr* in Turkish (e.g. Imart 1981: 803-807, 2196-2198). Western Turcology largely seems to assume tacitly that this phenomenon is restricted to Kirghiz (e.g. Johanson 1998: 43; Kirchner 1998: 349). Nonetheless, it is a recorded fact that the same pattern can be recognized in some variety or other of at least Kazakh (e.g. Begaliyev & Sawranbaev 1944: 102; Qazaq tili enciyklopediyya: 121), Uzbek (e.g. Reshetov & Shoabdurahmonov 1978: 152-157; Muhamadjonov 1983: 116-118) and Uyghur (e.g. Kaydarov et al. 1966: 207-209), but this fact is rarely formulated in the literature, and we cannot always be sure from the data presented about the exact use of the items in question. Still, Omeljan Pritsak in his highly dialect-oriented description of modern Uyghur (1959) observes that "Im Neuuigurischen gebraucht man oft für den Plural des Verbums simplex den reziproken Stamm (vgl. das Kirgisische)" [In modern Uyghur, the reciprocal stem is often used for the plural of the simple verb (cf. Kirghiz)] (1959: 553). In standard Uzbek, plural-like uses of the Cooperative suffix do occur at least in certain types of texts, as the following examples from the Afandi latifalari (1989) show:

I use here the new Uzbek orthography for transcription.

(1) Xotin, tanish mullavachchalar kelishdi,
wife acquainted son of a mulla:P come:COOP.PAST.3
oshni katta qil.
meal:ACC big make:IMP.2s
'Dear wife, some acquainted students have arrived, prepare an ample meal!' (p. 14)

kattami?" Afandidan odamlar: "Siz kattami, akangiz efendi:ABL man:P You big:Q brother: POSS.2S big:Q deb so'rashdi. ask:COOP.PAST.3 QUOT 'The people asked the Efendi: Which one is elder, you or your brother?' (p. 55)

(3) Dengizdagi baliqlar sasib qolmasin
sea:LOC.REL fish:P smell:CV ACTION:NEG.IMP.3
deb tuzlab qo'yishgan.
QUOT salt:CV ACTION:COOP.POST.3

'They salted the sea, so that the fishes in it might not smell.' (p. 69)

This article does not deal with the situation in *dialects* of Central Asian Turkic. It is concerned with the functional distribution of the Cooperative suffix in modern written standard Uyghur and aims at showing that the "Kirghiz type" of plural marking observed by Pritsak for the dialects also diffuses into written standard Uyghur as an optional marker for plurality.

2. The "official" situation in standard Uyghur

As opposed to Uzbek, standard Uyghur lacks a third person plural marking device of the type *keldiler* 'they came'. The form keldi is normally used for both third person singular and plural. As modern Uyghur more regularly than many other Turkic languages indicates the subject of a sentence overtly (cf. Uyg. u keldi vs. Turkish ?o geldi 's/he came'), ambiguities rarely occur. Still, the distinctiveness gap between [±PLUR] for the third person in the verb paradigms leaves a blank for semantic extension of the original Cooperative suffix (uyg. ömlük derije, literally 'communion degree'). The Uyghurs themselves are usually quite conscious of some surplus semantics of this suffix in addition to simple cooperative meaning. For example, the entry ömlük derije in the large six-volume Uyghur dictionary UTIL defines this item as "a unit that indicates that a grammatical subject consisting of two or more human beings performs the main action directed against or rivaling one another, and that the main action is performed by a grammatical subject consisting of two or more human

² Even the grammatical term hints at this fact.

beings. As, e.g., 'they went', 'they worked'" (UTIL, 5: 855).³ The latter part of the definition indeed comes very close to the notion 'plural', although the exact meaning of the examples given cannot be assessed due to the lack of situational context. Strikingly enough, 'classical' cooperative verbs like *riqabetleš*- 'to compete' and *sözleš*- 'to talk' are not even mentioned in the examples. We will now cast a look on how the suffix (V)š is actually employed in modern Uyghur literary texts.

3. Towards the notion of plural: Examples of (V)s in Uyghur

The specter of meanings covered by Uyghur (V)s comprises a semantic continuum from reciprocal in its most restricted form to general plural.⁴ This continuum can be roughly divided into four sub-fields:

- 1. Restricted Cooperative (reciprocal): An action performed mutually.
- 2. Cooperative Proper: A coordinated action performed interdependently, but not necessarily mutually or reciprocally.
- 3. Cooperative Plural (Open Cooperativeness): An action performed jointly, but partly independently, not necessarily coordinated.
- 4. Genuine Plural: An action performed totally independently, no notion of cooperativeness at all.

1 and 2 are considered the common Turkic core meanings of the cooperative suffix $I\check{s}$, whereas 3 and 4 are excentric in that they are not typical for large parts of the Turkic world and represent a diachronic extension of the core meanings. Naturally, the cooperative core meanings are fully covered by the Uyghur item as in all other Turkic languages.

Sub-meaning 1: Restricted Cooperativeness (Reciprocal)

The Restricted Cooperative sub-meaning frequently occurs in verbs like *riqabetleš*'to compete', *sözleš*- 'to talk', *muŋdaš*- 'to chat', *uruš*- 'to beat one another', *öltürüš*'to kill one another', *öpüš*- 'to kiss one another', etc. Quite a few combinations like these can be considered lexicalized; still (V)š is fully productive in this sub-meaning, and in futile contexts verbs can be freely reciprocalized with this suffix.

As the reciprocal meaning of (V) is common place in Turkic, one example for this use will suffice:

- Ikkidin artuq ademdin terkip tapqan gramatik iginiń esliy heriketni bir-birige qaritip yaki musabiqilišip ėlip bėrišini ve esliy heriketniń ikkidin artuq ademdin terkip tapqan gramatik ige teripidin orunlinišini bildüridiyan derije. Mesilen, berišti, išlišip berdi ge oxšaš.
- In certain lexemes, *Iš*—usually in the combined denominal verb suffix *IEš*—seems to reflect other meanings that do not require more than one participant. This combination frequently conveys a meaning of self-centred processual development, e.g. *jiddiyleš* 'to become earnest', *xitaylaš* 'to Sinisize oneself'. A related but slightly different example is *yėqinlaš* 'to approach'. This use, which can be considered common Turkic, will not be dealt with in this essay.
- ⁵ Cf. e.g. Erdal 1991: 578-583.

(4) Avaziniz biz bilen qalidu, siz voice:POSS.2S we with remain:[-PAST].3S you ketsiŋizmu biz siz bilen go:COND.2s.EMP we you with sözlišivėrimiz. talk:COOP.ACTION:[-PAST].1P 'Your voice will remain with us; even if you go we will talk to you.' (Sabir, Qerzdar: 204)

Sub-meaning 2: Cooperative Proper

This shade of meaning, encountered frequently in Turkic, also occurs both in lexicalized combinations and productively, e.g. qatnaš- 'to participate', jidelleš- 'to revolt', üginiš- 'to learn collectively', oquš- 'to read/study collectively'. Although Cooperative Proper is a regular pattern in Turkic, the two examples given here represent a rather atypical use as they exceed the use encountered e.g. in Turkish:

(5)	Qalyan gep-sözlerni		kėyin	dėyišermiz.	
	remain:VA	speech-word:P.ACC	later	say:COOP.MOD.1P	
	'We will discu				

(6)	Eger	u	yerge	bėrišn	i xalimisaŋ,
	if	DET	place:DAT	go:VN:	ACC want: NEG. COND. 2s
	hazirqi	ornuŋda		išlevėrisen,	
	now:REL	place:POSS.2S.LOC		work:ACTIC	ON.[-PAST].2s
	sėniŋ	telipiŋni		kėyin	oylišimiz.
	you:GEN	claim:PC	SS.2S.ACC	later	think:COOP.[-PAST].1P
'If you do not want to go there, simply work at your present place;				at your present place; we will	
	consider your claim later.' (Asim, Yiylima insan: 365)				

Attention has to be paid with respect to the verb *oylaš*-, which can carry two meanings:

1. Cooperative of *oyla*- 'to think' and 2. lexicalized verb *oylaš*- 'to think'. Example 6 is thus a case of ambiguity.

Sub-meaning 3: Cooperative Plural (Open Cooperativeness)

The following examples represent cases where an action is performed together but at least partly independently and not clearly coordinated:

(7)	[Harvikešler]niŋ	beziliri	nahayiti	muŋluq	avazda	
	cart driver:P.GEN	some:P.POSS.3	extremely	mournful	voice:LOC	
	naxša	ėytsa	beziliri		čüje	
	song	sing:COND.3	son	ne:P.POSS.3	chick	

xorazniŋavazidekinčikeavazdacock:GENvoice:Poss.3.EQUshrillvoice:Loc

naxša ėytišatti.

song sing:COOP.INTRALF.PAST.3

'While some of the cart drivers were singing in a very sad voice, others were singing in a shrill, chicken-like voice.' (Asim, Yiylima insan: 32)

(8) Köpčilik siznin mu'ellim bolyanliqinizni
majority you:GEN teacher be:VN.POSS.2S.ACC
hörmet qilišti.
credit:COOP.3

'The majority credited you with the fact that you are a teacher.' (Sabir, Qerzdar: 79)

(9) Bašqilarmu hezretke egišip bašlirini other:P.too excellency:DAT according to head:POSS.3P.ACC sel-pel ėgip hörmet bildürüšti. slightly bow:cv esteem display:COOP.PAST.3 'Also the others showed their respect by slightly bowing their heads according to his excellency.' (Ilyas, Eyir tiniqlar: 51)

Note that in this example the participants do not pay respect reciprocally, rather a group of people bows to one person of high esteem.

(10) Zakirnin ayiniliri uni Zakir gilem dep
Zakir:GEN friend:P.POSS.3 PPR:ACC Zakir carpet QUOT
atišatti.
name:COOP.INTRA^{LF}.PAST.3

'Zakir's friends called him Zakir Carpet.' (Asim, Yiylima insan: 1)

(11) Kečte iščilar bazarya yiyilip tamaq night:LOC worker:P market:DAT assemble:CV food yėyišti.
eat:COOP.PAST

iščilar özlirinin Tamaqtin kėvin meal:ABL after worker:P RFL:P.POSS.3.GEN aram alidiyan orniya kėtišti. place:POSS.3.DAT rest take:VA go:COOP.PAST

'At night, the workers assembled in the market and had their meal. After the meal, they went to their resting places.' (Asim, Yiylima insan: 61)

While the first action (*vėyišti*) could well be interpreted as a cooperative action of type 2 (not as type 1 Restricted Cooperativeness though, as the workers do not eat one another), action 2 (*kėtišti*) clearly is an example of Open Cooperativeness, as everybody goes to his individual resting place. This action is thus performed individually, though not totally independently.

(12) Ular qaqaqlišip külüšti.
PPR:P make ha ha:COOP.CV laugh:COOP.PAST.3
'They laughed heartily.' (Sabir, Qerzdar: 176)

The persons do not laugh at one another, but they laugh together.

(13)	Ular []	hemrahi		bilen	muŋdašqač	čaykilarγa
	PPR:P	companion:POSS.3		with	chat:CV	gull:P.DAT
	bolka,	mėve	čėčip,	čaykilarniŋ	ozuq	üčün
	roll	fruit	share:CV	gull:P.GEN	fodder	for
	qilyan	'küreš'lirini			tamaša qilišidike	en.
	make:VA	fight:P.POSS.3.A		CC	watch: COOP. [-PA	ST].3.IND
'They chat with their companions, feed the gulls on rolls and fruit, ar					d fruit, and watch	
	the gulls' fighting for the feed.' ((Sabir, Qerz	dar: 183)	

- (14) Ular mėnių tonušturušumni aylap tolimu xošal
 PPR:P I:GEN introduction:POSS.1S.ACC hear:CV very glad
 bolušti.
 become:COOP.PAST.3
- 'When I introduced myself, they became very happy.' (Sabir, Qerzdar: 205)
- (15) Uqilip bugeplerni qaqaqlap make:CV make ha ha:CV PPR DET speech:P.ACC küldi. bizmu külüštuq. laugh:PAST.3 we:too laugh:COOP.PAST.1P 'Speaking like that he laughed heartily, and we laughed, too.' (Sabir, Qerzdar: 216)

Sub-meaning 4: Genuine Plural

In these examples the action is performed by more than one person, and clearly totally independently, possibly even not synchronically:

(16)	Pakar	ėdirliq	qaptalliriγa	jaylašqa	n	bu
	low	hill:DNN	slope:P.POSS.3.DAT	be situate	ed:VA	DET
	šeher	yolliri	qišliq	pelto,	ötük,	
	city	road:P.POSS.3	winter:DNN	coat	boot	
	qulaqča	kiyišken	ademliri	bilen	bizge	
	fur hat <i>vėni</i>	wear:COOP.V.	A man:P.POSS.3	with	we:DA	Γ
	new	feel:PASS.PAS	т.3			

'These city roads on the slopes of low hills with its people who had put on winter coats, boots and fur hats felt new for us.' (Sabir, Qerzdar: 131)

The action of putting on clothes is performed totally independently and without any temporal coincidence.

(17) Yillar šeherdin kömürge čiqqan ötti, year:P pass:PAST.3 coal:DAT come out:VA town:ABL harvikešler qurulyanliqi, hökümet yėŋi cart driver:P government establish:PASS.VN.POSS.3 new kompartive heqqide xeverlerni sözlep yėŋi communist party about new news:P.ACC tell:cv yürüšti. march: COOP.PAST.3

'Years passed, and the cart drivers coming from the city for coal brought fresh news about the formation of a new government and the communist party.' (Asim, Yiylima insan: 62)

- (18) Qišliq kiyim kiygen ademler aldirišip
 winter:DNN clothes put on:VA man:P hurry:COOP.CV
 yürüšmekte.
 march:COOP.INTRAHF.3

 'People wearing winter clothes were running in haste.' (Sabir, Qerzdar: 132)
- (19)čėtidiki bendinlerde olturušgan way outside:POSS.3.LOC.REL bench:P.LOC sit:COOP.VA ademlerni tamša qilip manmaqtimen. go:INTRAHF.1s man:P.ACC watch:CV 'I am traveling ahead, watching people sitting on benches on the roadside.' (Sabir, Qerzdar: 138)
- Gitler (20) Napolė'on armiyisi ve mušu Napoleon Hitler army:poss.3 DET and yerlerde özliriniŋ miŋliγan place:P.LOC RFL:P.POSS.3.GEN thousand:DNV.VA *jesetlirini* qaldurup, haryin, alagzade, corpse:P.POSS.3.ACC frightened bury:CV fatigued vehime ičide öz xojayinlirini fear inside:POSS.3.LOC RFL leader: P.POSS. 3.ACC yerbke qaryišip qarap curse:COOP.CV west:DAT look:cv qėčišqan. flee:COOP.POST.3 'Napoleon's and Hitler's armies buried their thousands of corpses right here and fled fatigued, in fright and fear to the west, cursing their leaders.'

Here, two totally independent actions are referred to.

(Sabir, Qerzdar: 144)

(21) Ular mėni öylirige teklip qilišti.

PPR:P I:ACC house:P.POSS.3.DAT invite:COOP.PAST.3

'They invited me to their homes.' (Sabir, Qerzdar: 153)

4. Conclusion and prospects

Standard Uyghur, like many Central Asian Turkic varieties, lacks a genuine third person plural marker in the verb conjugation paradigm. To fill the morphological gap, the semantics of the original cooperative suffix has been considerably extended, such that (V) \check{s} synchronically covers the whole semantic field from the most restricted cooperativeness as represented by the Reciprocal to the most general, individual plural.

The Cooperative shows a high affinity towards plurality by nature, as cooperative actions are rarely performed by a single actor. In search of a way to mark verbs for plurality in a certain language, broadening the semantic specter of the Cooperative suffix is quite a logical choice. As the Cooperative is actually just a special case of the notion "plural", we need not be astonished at this type of semantic extension. It should be noted, though, that the function of the Turkic Plural is not just to designate plurality but also to individualize (cf. Johanson 1991). Cooperativeness being a rather homogeneous notion—and thus quite contrary to individuality—, the development from Cooperative to Plural is therefore a remarkably large step. (V) is items of the Kirghiz type therefore cover a semantic field larger than superficial consideration might suggest.

As example 15 shows, this use of (V)s is not restricted to the third person, so that Pritsak's observation for the dialects that the use of (V)s as a plural marker partly extends to the first and second person plural can also be applied to the written standard language. Combinations with the first and second person are much less frequent, though. This may be due to the economic imperative to avoid redundancy.

As examples 9, 16, 18, 19 show, (V) s can also pluralize non-final verbs, which is in many cases impossible with the other verbal plural marker *IEr.* (V) s therefore offers a highly flexible applicability. The distribution of the Turkish Plural, for example, appears to be more restricted.

From examples 7, 13, 14, 20, on the other hand, it becomes obvious that the scope of (V)š-plurals may extend to non-final predicates, as is the case with *IEr*-plurals, too.

As shown so far, the meaning of (V) in Uyghur represents a continuum between Restricted Cooperativeness (reciprocal) and Genuine Plural. It is a continuum of decreasing strictness with respect to cooperativeness and comprises both more homogeneous and more individual notions. The unit does not have several meanings: All shades of meaning are derivable from one basic meaning (Grundbedeutung). The Open Cooperative and Plural sub-meanings represent a semantic and functional extension derived from the Cooperative core meaning.

Clearly, this article raises more questions than it answers. I am not able to present a frequency analysis here about the presence or absence of (V)s in third person plu-

rals, nor am I able to comment on whether the distribution of (V) \check{s} -plurals varies according to the specific dialectal background of the writers. From my reading I get the impression that the use for third person plural is very common, whereas it is quite exceptional in the other persons. But what exactly is the distribution of $(V)\check{s}$ -plurals? What characterizes the combinability with different TAM-markers? In how far does the functional extension of $(V)\check{s}$ provoke disambiguation strategies for the Cooperative Proper domain? I am also not totally sure yet whether the $(V)\check{s}$ -plural is restricted to human beings. Most desirable would be research on the areal distribution of $(V)\check{s}$ -plurals in the Central Asian Turkic dialects in order to establish isoglosses. It is obvious that useful results can only be achieved through extensive fieldwork with rather substantial text corpuses.

What I hope to have shown is that Kirghiz-style plurals are well represented in Uyghur, too, although not necessarily recognized as such by Uyghur and non-Uyghur grammarians. Taking into consideration that in Kazakh and Uzbek dialects—at least partly even in standard Uzbek—similar tendencies can be found, we conclude that it is a widely spread plural marking type in Central Asian Turkic, which accidentally has only become fully standardized in Kirghiz.

Glosses

ABL	ablative	LOC	locative
ACC	accusative	MOD	modal unit
ACTION	actionality operator	NEG	negation
COOP	cooperative	NP	noun proper
CV	converb	P	plural
DAT	dative	PASS	passive
DEM	demonstrative pronoun	PAST	past
DET	determinator	POSS	possessive
DNN	denominal noun	POST	postterminal
DNV	denominal verb	PPR	third person personal pronoun
DVN	deverbal noun	Q	question
DVV	deverbal verb	QUOT	quotation particle
EMP	emphasis	REL	relational particle
EQU	equative	RFL	reflexive pronoun
GEN	genitive	S	singular
HF	high focal	VA	verbal adjective, participle
IMP	imperative	VN	verbal noun
IND	indirective	1	first person
INTRA	intraterminal	2	second person
LF	low focal	3	third person

References

Afandi latifalari 1989. Toshkent: Ghafur Ghulom nomidagi adabiyot v san'at nashriyyoti. Asim, Γeyret 2000. Yiγlima insan. Ürümči: Šinjan xelq nešriyati. Begaliyev, Γ. & Sawranbaev, N. 1944. *Qazaq tiliniŋ grammatiykasï*. Almatï: Qazaqtïŋ memlekettik birikken baspasï.

Deny, Jean & Grønbech, Kaare & Scheel, Helmuth & Togan, Zeki Velidi (eds.) 1959. *Philologiae turcicae fundamenta* 1. Aquis Mattiacis: Steiner.

Erdal, Marcel 1991. Old Turkic word formation. A functional approach to the lexicon. Turcologica 7. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Friederich, Michael 2002. *Uyghurisch Lehrbuch*. In Zusammenarbeit mit Abdurishid Yakup. Wiesbaden: Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag.

Hahn, Reinhard F. 1991. Spoken Uyghur. Seattle, London: University of Washington Press.

Hahn, Reinhard F. 1998. Uyghur. In: Johanson & Csató (eds.) 1998, 379-396.

Ilyas, Yüsüp 1998². *Eyir tiniqlar*. Ürümči: Šinjan xelq nešriyati.

Imart, Guy 1981. Le Kirghiz (Turk d'Asie Centrale Soviétique). Description d'une langue de littérisation récente. Avec une étude sur: Le dialecte kirghiz du Pamir afghan par Rémy Dor. Aix-en-Provence: Publications de l'Université de Provence.

Johanson, Lars 1991². Bestimmtheit und Mitteilungsperspektive im türkischen Satz. In: Johanson, Lars 1991. Linguistische Beiträge zur Gesamtturkologie. Bibliotheca Orientalis Hungarica 37, Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó, S. 225-242. First published Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Suppl. III: 2, 1977, 1186-1203.

Johanson, Lars 1998. The structure of Turkic. In: Johanson & Csató (eds.) 1998, 30-66.

Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) 1998. The Turkic languages. London & New York: Routledge.

Kaydarov, A. T. & Talipov, T. T. & Cunvazov, Yü. & Isma'ilov, I. 1966. Hazirqi zaman uyγur tili 2. Almuta: Qazaq SSR Nauka nešriyati.

Kirchner, Mark 1998. Kirghiz. In: Johanson & Csató (eds.) 1998, 344-356.

Muhamadjonov, Qasim 1983. Janubiy Qozoghistondagi O'zbek shevalari morfologiyasi. Toshkent: O'zbkiston SSR Fan nashriyoti.

Pritsak, Omeljan 1959. Das Neuuigurische. In: Deny, Jean & Grønbech, Kaare & Scheel, Helmuth & Togan, Zeki Velidi (eds.) 1959, 525-563.

Qazaq tili enciyklopediyya. Qazaqstan damuw iynstiytuwtï. Almatï: IDK-TIPO redakciyyalïq-baspa ortalïyï.

Reshetov, V.V. & Shoabdurahmonov, Sh. 1978. O'zbek dialektologiyasi. Toshkent: O'qituvchi nashriyoti.

Sabir, Zordun 1999. Qerzdar. Ürümči: Šinjan yašlar – ösmürler nešriyati.

Tömür, Xemit 1987. Hazirqi zaman uyyur tili gramatikisi (Morfologiye). Beijing: Milletler nešriyati.

Tömür, Hämit 2003. *Modern Uyghur Grammar (Morphology)*. Translated by Anne Lee. Dil ve Edebiyat Dizisi 3. İstanbul: Yıldız. (Übersetzung von Tömür, Xemit 1987.)

UTIL: *Uyyur tilinin izahliq lugyiti* 1990-1999. Šinjan uyyur aptonom rayonluq milletler til-yeziq xizmiti komiteti luyet bölümi tüzgen. (6 vols.). Beijing: Milletler nešriyati.