

Werk

Titel: Traces of a Turkic copula verb

Autor: Johanson, Lars

Ort: Wiesbaden

Jahr: 2000

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?666048797_0004|LOG_0036

Kontakt/Contact

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

Traces of a Turkic copula verb

Lars Johanson

Johanson, Lars 2000. Traces of a Turkic copula verb. Turkic Languages 4, 235-238.

The article discusses possible traces, mainly in Oghuz Turkic languages, of the old copula verb er- 'to be', namely in third person plural copulas -ler, first person copulas -ler, and -ler (-ler) and -ler) archaic present tense forms with an -ler element (-ler) and denominal suffixes going back to combinations of -ler -ler

Lars Johanson, Seminar für Orientkunde, Universität Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany.

The verb er- 'to be' is an old Turkic copula verb that has vanished in most modern languages, often ceding its place to the verb tur- 'to be' < 'stand'. Several relics of er- are well known, e.g. e(r)di / idi 'was' (past), erse / ise 'if ... is' (conditional), iken 'while' (temporal), e(r)ken / imis 'obviously is / was' (indirective). The copula verb er- has, however, left far more traces. The following brief comments mainly concern some traces in Oghuz Turkic and adjacent varieties.

The third person present tense form $er\ddot{u}r$ 'is' is found in the East Old Turkic Tunyuquq inscription: $Ben^\circ\eta$ $bo\delta^\circ n^\circ m$ and $aer\ddot{u}r$ 'My people is there'. The same form is frequent in subsequent Turkic written languages such as Ancient Uyghur, Karakhanid, Khwarezmian Turkic and Chaghatay. Rather early, however, there is a tendency to use turur, present tense of tur- 'to stand', as the third person copula. Modern Khalaj, spoken in Central Iran, is unique in having maintained er 'is' $< er\ddot{u}r$ (Doerfer 1989).

Certain West Oghuz varieties exhibit traces of er- in third person plural copula forms ending in -ler regardless of the quality of the preceding vowel, e.g. yapar-ler 'they do'. Some forms found in Ottoman and Azerbaijanian transcription texts, Balkan dialects of Ottoman, Khorasan Turkic, etc., may let us suppose earlier forms with a front element preceding the plural suffix, e.g. *yap-ar i-ler, with i- developed from *erür (Johanson 1981). Brendemoen (1997) shows that the third person plural copula has also remained a front suffix in the dialects of Trabzon. A modern form such as gel-miš-ler 'they have come' would thus go back to a pattern similar to Khalaj kelmiš el-ler (Johanson 1981: 16). Note that the plural copula suffix also

Since the intraterminal in -Vr/-yUr is not yet defocalized at this stage, there is no reason to render erür as 'will be'; Tekin 1968: 286. For defocalization of intraterminal viewpoint (aspect) operators, see Johanson 2000: 99-101.

236 Lars Johanson

differs from the normal plural suffix -lEr by being incapable of carrying high pitch. Compare the relationship between the unaccentable $idi \sim -(y)dI$ 'was' and the accentable simple past marker -DI in Turkish.

Forms of er- may also have played a role in copula elements of the first and second persons. The modern Turkish first person non-past copula forms -(y)Im 'I am' and -(y)Iz 'we are' exhibit a postvocalic element -y-, e.g. burada-yim 'I am here'. These forms are traditionally explained as developed from the personal pronouns *ben and *biz.² The -y- element is often claimed to be a so-called 'connective consonant', a highly dubious assumption. Also in this case, it seems more appropriate to suppose earlier forms with a front element preceding the personal element, e.g. *iy-im, * i-sin, with i(y)- developed from *erür. In some of the dialects of Trabzon and Rize, which in general have maintained rather archaic structures, free copula elements exactly of this shape are found: iyim 'I am', isin 'you are', iyik 'we are', etc., e.g. gocuG iyim 'I am a child'. The suffixed forms display -y-, e.g. -ysin, 'you are' (Brendemoen 1997, Günay 1978: 182). Compare standard Turkish idin 'you were' $\sim -(y)dIn$ etc. The older forms isin etc. seem to have developed further in other Turkish varieties, e.g. *-(y)sIn > -sIn.

As Brendemoen (1997) shows, Trabzon dialects exhibit the form idur > -ydur 'is' in the third person, obviously a combination of a form of er- with turur. It may have been common in older stages of Oghuz Turkic. For example, Salar, whose speakers left the main bulk of Oghuz at the end of the 14th century and settled in Western China, still displays i-d-gr 'is'.

Many Turkic languages possess complex intraterminal participles of the type -A + tur- γan , expressing habituality etc. (cf. coalesced forms such as Uzbek -digan, Noghay - $ta\gamma an$). An older construction, which has similar iterative and habitual meanings but employs er- instead of tur-, is still present in some languages, e.g. Kumyk $bara\gamma an$, Khakas $pari\gamma an$ 'going'. Some languages only exhibit lexicalized forms, deverbal intensive adjectives, e.g. Turkish $\check{c}ali\check{s}$ -qan 'diligent' (< * $\check{c}ali\check{s}$ - $a\gamma an$), Uzbek bil- $a\gamma an$ 'experienced'. We may reckon with an old participial distinction bar- γan 'gone' (non-intraterminal) vs. bar-a- γan 'going' (intraterminal), the latter form going back to *bar-a er-gen, i.e. intraterminal converb -A + er-gen (cf. Johanson 1996, 1998b: 117).

The similar roles of tur- and er- bring us to the formation of present tenses. Most Turkic languages, e.g. the Kipchak ones, use the auxiliary tur- according to the pattern intraterminal converb -A + turur, e.g. yaz-a turur 'is writing' (< 'stands writing'). It is probable that $er\ddot{u}r$ once played a similar role as an auxiliary. At least, the occurrence of present tense forms without turur is very old in some languages. In

According to Korkmaz 1965, -(y)Im and-(y)Iz go back to Old Anatolian Turkish -vAn and -vUz. On analogy in the development of Ottoman personal suffixes, see Hazai 1973: 408-424.

some dialects of the eastern Black Sea coast, Brendemoen (in print) has found present tense forms, $bil\ddot{u}yim$ 'I know', $bil\ddot{u}ysin$ 'you know', $bil\ddot{u}y$ 'knows', $bil\ddot{u}yuk$ 'we know', etc., which may be analyzed as combinations of an intraterminal converb (with a final vowel) and a following -y- element. The latter may go back to the copula verb i- (< er-). This type may represent an old kind of present tense formation that has been replaced by turur and $yor\ddot{i}r$ periphrases in modern languages. Cf. Adamović 1985: 146; for the Azerbaijanian type $-eyr \sim -eyir$, see Johanson 1989 and 1997: 94.

Certain Turkic languages possess deverbal suffixes of the type -DIK, e.g. Turkish bil-dik-ler-i 'that / what they know'. However, some also exhibit denominal suffixes that are seemingly of the same shape, e.g. Turkmen $a\gamma a$ -m- $di\gamma i$ 'his being my brother', gel-en-diy-i 'his having come'. The deverbal and denominal suffixes cannot possibly be historically identical forms. The denominal ones obviously go back to combinations of the copula verb er- 'be' + -DIK, e.g. *i-dig-i etc. (cf. Johanson 1998a: 60). Compare the Ottoman form i-dig-i, which is no longer used in modern Turkish (except in the stereotype ne $id\ddot{u}g\ddot{u}$ 'what it is').

References

Adamović, Milan 1985. Konjugationsgeschichte der türkischen Sprache. Leiden: Brill.

Brendemoen, Bernt 1997. Some remarks on the copula in a "micro-dialect" on the Eastern Black Sea coast. Zeitschrift für Balkanologie 33, 2-8.

Brendemoen, Bernt in print. The Turkish dialects of Trabzon. A study of their phonology and historical development. (To appear in the series Turcologica.)

Doerfer, Gerhard 1989. Die Kopula im Chaladsch. In: Gedanke und Wirkung. Festschrift zum 90. Geburtstag von Nikolaus Poppe. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. 106-112.

Günay, Turgut 1978. Rize ili ağızları. (Kültür Bakanlığı Milli Folklor Araştırma Dairesi Yayınları 27.) Ankara: Kültür Bakanlığı.

Hazai, György 1973. Das Osmanisch-Türkische im XVII. Jahrhundert. Untersuchungen an den Transkriptionstexten von Jakab Nagy de Harsány. (Bibliotheca Orientalis Hungarica 18.) Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Johanson, Lars 1981. *Pluralsuffixformen im Südwesttürkischen*. (Abhandlungen der Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur, Mainz, Geistes- und sozialwissenschaftliche Klasse, 1981: 9.) Wiesbaden: Steiner.

Johanson, Lars 1989. Aorist and present tense in West Oghuz Turkic. *Journal of Turkish Studies* 13, 99-105.

Johanson, Lars 1996. On Bulgarian and Turkic indirectives. In: Boretzky, Norbert & Enninger, Werner & Stolz, Thomas (eds.) Areale, Kontakte, Dialekte. Sprache und ihre Dynamik in mehrsprachigen Situationen. (Bochum-Essener Beiträge zur Sprachwandelforschung 24.) Bochum: Brockmeyer. 84-94.

Johanson, Lars 1997. A grammar of the 'lingua turcica agemica'. In: Kellner-Heinkele, Barbara & Zieme, Peter (eds.) Studia Ottomanica. Festgabe für György Hazai zum 65. Geburtstag. (Veröffentlichungen der Societas Uralo-Altaica 47.) Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. 87-101.

Johanson, Lars 1998a. The structure of Turkic. In: Johanson & Csató 1998, 30-66. Johanson Lars 1998b. The history of Turkic. In: Johanson & Csató 1998, 81-125.

238 Lars Johanson

Johanson, Lars 2000. Viewpoint operators in European languages. In: Dahl, Östen (ed.) *Tense and aspect in the languages of Europe*. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. 27-187.

- Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva (eds.) 1998. The Turkic languages. London & New York: Routledge.
- Korkmaz, Zeynep 1965. Eski Anadolu Türkçesindeki -van / -ven, -vuz / -vüz kişi ve bildirme eklerinin Anadolu ağızlarındaki kalıntıları. Türk Dili Araştırmaları Yıllığı Belleten 1964, 43-65.
- Tekin, Talat 1968. A grammar of Orkhon Turkic. (Indiana University Publications. Uralic and Altaic series 69.) Bloomington: Indiana University & The Hague: Mouton & Co.

.