

Werk

Titel: On some general distributions in terms of generalized functions

Autor: Lingappaiah, G. S.

Jahr: 1978

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?320387429_0012|log14

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas
Vol. XII, (1978), págs. 97 - 112

ON SOME GENERAL DISTRIBUTIONS IN TERMS
OF GENERALIZED FUNCTIONS

by

G.S. LINGAPPAIAH

Summary.

In this paper, a general distribution derived from a generalized Bessel function, together with a generalized Beta distribution are discussed. An alternate method for obtaining the distribution of the sum of n independent random variables for the first distribution is obtained. Three of the parameters in this distribution are estimated by different methods under certain conditions. Distribution of maxima and minima are also considered. For the generalized Beta distribution, estimates are put in closed form in terms of the gene

ralized hypergeometric function F_A .

§1 Introduction. Recently, generalized distributions are receiving much attention and they are in many instances effectively used to describe practical situations. There are two aspects of interest as found in the recent literature. First the techniques of deriving these distributions , and second their actual application to practical problems. Regarding the former aspect, one could find examples in [1] and [3]. In [3] for instance, the non-central F distributions, as well as a generalized exponential family of distributions, are obtained by starting with a non-central chi-square distribution and its conjugate form for the prior. General procedures to exploit the conjugate priors as well as quasi-priors are discussed in [8]. In [1], a generalized distribution is used with its conjugate prior to arrive at another general distribution, which occupies the major part of this paper. Regarding the latter aspect, that is, the actual applications of the generalized distributions, reference is made to [2]. Other applications are illustrated in [1] and [5], the corresponding generalizations in [4] . In this paper, the estimation problem is considered with reference to these general distributions.

§2 Distribution of the Sum. In [1], we find that the random output of a device in a radar system is

expressed as a generalized distribution which is derived from a generalized Bessel distribution by considering a conjugate prior. This derived distribution (with $\theta = 1$) is

$$(1) \quad f(x) = e^{-r^2/2\lambda} (2\lambda \alpha t)^Q \alpha^P \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r^2)^i (\alpha t)^{i+j} e^{-\alpha x} x^{P+j-1} \Gamma(Q+i+j)}{i! j! \Gamma(Q+i) \Gamma(P+j)}$$

where $t = 1/(1+2\lambda\alpha)$, $\alpha, \lambda, x > 0$, $r^2 \geq 0$. It may be put in several forms:

$$(1a) \quad f(x) = W g(x; \alpha, P) \Psi_2(Q; Q, P; r^2 \alpha t, \alpha t x)$$

$$(1b) \quad f(x) = W \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g(x; \alpha, P+j) t^j (Q)_j {}_1F_1(Q+j, Q, r^2 \alpha t) / j! ,$$

where $W = e^{-r^2/2} (2\lambda \alpha t)^Q$ and $g(x; \alpha, P)$ is the Gamma density. Form (1b) is more interesting as it represents the sum of the products of Gamma densities with the confluent hypergeometric functions.

Using (1a), we have the joint density of n -independent variables:

$$(2) \quad W^n \alpha^{nP} \Gamma^{-n}(P) \sum_1 \sum_2 \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(Q)_{a_i+b_i} e^{-\alpha x_i}}{(Q)_{a_i} (P)_{b_i}} .$$

$$\frac{x_i^{P-1} (r^2 \alpha t)^{a_i} (\alpha t x_i)^{b_i}}{a_i! b_i!}$$

Where \sum_1 and \sum_2 run over $a_1 \dots a_n$ and $b_1 \dots b_n$, respectively, and the a 's and b 's run from 0 to ∞ . Making the transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} (2a) \quad u_1 &= x_1 \\ u_2 &= x_1 + x_2 \\ &\dots \\ u_n &= x_1 + \dots + x_n = y \end{aligned}$$

and integrating over the region $0 < u_1 < u_2 \dots < u_n$ the function $g(u_1, \dots, u_n)$, the transformation of (2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad &\int_0^y \dots \int_0^{u_n} g(u_1 \dots u_n) du_1 \dots du_n \\ &= e^{-\alpha y} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(P+b_i) \right] y^{nP+b-1} \alpha^b / \Gamma(nP+b), \end{aligned}$$

where $b = \sum b_i$. From (2) and (3), we have :

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad f(y) &= W^n g(y: \alpha, nP) \sum_1 \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(r^2 t)^{a_i}}{a_i!} \right] \\ &\cdot \Phi_2 \left[Q+a_1, \dots, Q+a_n ; nP ; \alpha t y, \dots, \alpha t y \right], \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ_2 is the generalized hyper-geometric function, [11] p.145. It is trivial to show that $\int_0^\infty f(y)dy = 1$ using [10] p.222. Formula (4) is exactly the distribution of the sum of n-independent variables of the form (1). Again using [10], the characteristic function of (4) is

$$(4a) \quad W^n \alpha^{nP} (\alpha - iz)^{-nP} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha t}{\alpha - iz} \right)^{-nQ} \\ \cdot \exp \left[nr^2 \alpha t / \left(1 - \frac{\alpha t}{\alpha - iz} \right) \right]$$

which is the expression (36) of [1] or the characteristic function of the generalized confluent hyper-geometric distribution (39) of [1]. It is true (39) of [1] is more compact than (4), but the advantage of (4) is that it circumvents a heavy contour integration, discussed at length in [1]. Further, it can be used to express the estimates in terms of general functions. If need be, (4) can be put in a simpler form than (39) of [1]:

$$(5) \quad f(y) = W^n g(y; \alpha, nP) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nr^2 \alpha t)^j}{j!} {}_1F_1(nQ+j, nP; \alpha t y).$$

Of course, (4), (5) and (39) of [1], all three give the same characteristic function:

$$(5a) \quad (2\alpha)^{nQ} \alpha^{n(P+Q)} (\alpha - iz)^{nQ - nP} T^{-nQ} \exp[-nr^2 iz / 2\lambda T]$$

where $T = 2\lambda\alpha^2 - iz(1+2\lambda\alpha)$.

To obtain (5), write (4) as

$$(5b) \quad v \sum_1 \sum_2 \prod_{i=1}^n \left[(r^2/2\alpha)^{a_i} / a_i! \right] \\ \cdot \left[N(b_i; Q+a_i; 1-t) \right] (\alpha y)^b [(nP)_b]^{-1},$$

where $v = e^{-nr^2/2\lambda} g(y; \alpha, nP)$, $b = \sum b_i$, and $N(b; a; 1-t)$ is the negative binomial distribution on b with the parameters a and $(1-t)$. Using the convolution with fixed $Q+a_i$ and $1-t$, we get

$$(5c) \quad W^n \cdot g(y; \alpha; nP) \sum \prod_{i=1}^n \left[(r^2\alpha t)^{a_i} / a_i! \right] \\ \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(Q+a)_s}{(nP)_s} \frac{(\alpha t y)^s}{s!}$$

$$(5d) \quad W^n \cdot e^{nr^2\alpha t} \cdot g(y; \alpha; nP) \sum_1 \prod_{i=1}^n [P(r^2\alpha t, a_i)] \\ \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(Q+a)_s}{(nP)_s} \frac{(\alpha t y)^s}{s!},$$

where $a = \sum a_i$ and $P(\lambda; x)$ is the Poisson density function. Again using convolution, and writing j for a , we have

$$(5e) \quad (2\lambda\alpha t)^{nQ} e^{-nr^2/2\lambda} g(y;\alpha,nP) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nr^2\alpha t)^j}{j!} \frac{(Q+j)_s (\alpha ty)^s}{(nP)_s s!}$$

which is (5).

This alternate method though a bit lengthy has many advantages. In addition to the advantages mentioned under (4a), here, negative Binomial and Poisson densities are intertwined in general functions. Finally, there are two forms (4) and (5) as the need may be.

If $r^2 = 0$, (4) and (5) reduce, respectively, to

$$(6) \quad f(y) = g(y;\alpha,nP)(2\lambda\alpha t)^{nQ} \phi_2 [Q, \dots Q; nP; \alpha ty, \dots \alpha ty]$$

and

$$(6a) \quad f(y) = g(y;\alpha,nP)(2\lambda\alpha t)^{nQ} {}_1F_1 [nQ; nP; \alpha ty].$$

Naturally, (6), (6a), and (39) of [1] with $r^2 = 0$ give the same characteristic function (36) of [1] with $r^2 = 0$.

§3 Distribution of the Maximum and the Minimum.

The distribution function corresponding to (1b) is

$$(7) \quad F(x) = W \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G(x; \alpha; P+j) {}_1F_1(Q+j; Q; r^2 \alpha t) \cdot (Q)_j t^j / j! ,$$

where $G(x; \alpha; P+j)$ is the distribution function of the Gamma variable. Since $G(0) = 0$ then $F(0) = 0$. Also

$$(7a) \quad F(\infty) = W \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j (Q)_j}{j!} {}_1F_1(Q+j; Q; r^2 \alpha t) \\ = \frac{W}{(1-t)^Q} \exp(r^2 \alpha t / (1-t)) = 1,$$

by using page 283 of [9],

So, the probability integral can easily be evaluated in terms of the Gamma probability integral and the confluent hypergeometric functions, the tables of which are available in [7]. $F(x)$, if necessary, can be expressed in terms of the function ${}_1F_1(\)$ of (7a), since

$$(7b) \quad F(u) = W \sum_j \left(\sum_{k=P+j}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^k / k! \right) {}_1F_1(\) \cdot t^j (Q)_j / j!$$

$$(7c) \quad = W g(u; P+1) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} u^r s_r / (P+1)_r ,$$

where $u = \alpha x$, $s_r = \sum_{j=0}^r C_j$, and

and $C_j = (Q)_j t^j {}_1F_1(\quad)/j!$.

If v denotes $u_{(n)}$, the maximum among n -observations, then

$$(8) \quad H(v) = F^n(v) = W^n [g(v; P+1)]^n \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} a(t, n) v^t,$$

where $a(t, n)$ is the coefficient of v^t in the expansion of

$$\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} v^r s_r / (P+1)_r \right]^n$$

and satisfies the recurrence relation:

$$(8a) \quad a(t, n) = s_0 a(t, n-1) + s_1 a(t-1, n-1) / (P+1) \\ + s_2 a(t-2, n-1) / (P+1)_2 + \dots + s_t a(0, n-1) / (P+1)_t.$$

The minimum can be handled similarly. Incidentally, if $Q = P = 1$ and $r^2 = 0$ in (1), we have

$$(8b) \quad f(x) = e^{-\alpha x} (2\lambda\alpha t) \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\alpha t x)^j / j! \\ = 2\lambda\alpha t e^{-\alpha x(1-t)},$$

which is an exponential distribution with the parameter $(1-t)$.

§4 (i) Estimation of r for known α, λ from one observation y. From (5), we have

$$(9) \quad f(y) = A \sum_j e^{-nr^2/2\lambda} (nr^2\alpha t)^j {}_1F_1(nQ+j; nP; \alpha ty) / j!$$

where $A = (2\lambda\alpha t)^{nQ} g(y; \alpha, nP)$. If the prior of r is $f(r) = e^{-r^2/2} r, r > 0$, then

$$(10) \quad f(r|y) = \frac{\sum_j \left(e^{-r^2(1+\xi)/2} \cdot r \right) (n\alpha t)^j (r^2)^j {}_1F_1(\quad) / j!}{\sum_j (2n\alpha t)^j (1+\xi)^{-(j+1)} {}_1F_1(\quad)}$$

where ${}_1F_1(\quad)$ is as in (9), from which we get

$$(11) \quad E(r|y) = \left(\frac{1}{1+\xi} \right) \frac{\sum_j (j+1) a^j {}_1F_1(nQ+j; nP; \alpha ty)}{\sum_j a^j {}_1F_1(nQ+j; nP; \alpha ty)}$$

where $a = (2n\alpha t)/(1+\xi)$ and $\xi = n/\lambda$. For example, if $n = 2, \alpha = 2, \lambda = 1, Q = 1, P = 2$ and $x_1 = .5, x_2 = 1.5$ so that $y = 2$, then $\hat{r} = E(r|y) = .7375$ (up to 4 terms in ${}_1F_1$).

(ii) Estimate of α with $\alpha\lambda = k$ (a known constant and $r^2 = 0$). In this case, (1) can be written as

$$(12) \quad f(x) = x^{P-1} \left(\frac{1}{1+k} \right)^Q e^{-\alpha x} \Gamma^{-1}(P) \alpha^P \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}$$

$$\frac{(Q)_j}{j! (P)_j} \left(\frac{\alpha x}{1+k} \right)^j$$

$$(12a) \quad L(x|\alpha) = \left(\frac{k}{1+k} \right)^{nQ} e^{-\alpha n\bar{x}} \Gamma^{-n}(P) \sum_{i=1}^n \prod$$

$$\frac{(Q)_{a_i} x_i^{P-1} \alpha^{a+nP}}{(P)_{a_i} a_i!} \left(\frac{x_i}{1+k} \right)^{a_i}$$

where \sum runs over $a_1 \dots a_n$, $a = \sum_i a_i$ and $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$. If the prior of α is $e = f(\alpha)$, $\alpha > 0$, then we get using (12a):

$$(13) \quad E(\alpha|x) = \left(\frac{nP+1}{1+n\bar{x}} \right) \frac{F_A[nP+2; Q, \dots, Q; P, \dots, P; t_1, \dots, t_n]}{F_A[nP+1; Q, \dots, Q; P, \dots, P; t_1, \dots, t_n]}$$

where $t_i = x_i / ((k+1)(1+n\bar{x}))$ and F_A is the generalized hyper-geometric function, [11] p.445. If $P = Q = 1$, then (13) is

$$(13a) \quad E(\alpha|x) = \frac{n+1}{1+n\bar{x}} \frac{F_A[n+2; 1, \dots, 1; 1, \dots, 1; \theta x_1, \dots, \theta x_n]}{F_A[n+1; 1, \dots, 1; 1, \dots, 1; \theta x_1, \dots, \theta x_n]}$$

where $\theta = 1 / ((k+1)(1+n\bar{x}))$. But

$$F_A(n+2; 1, \dots, 1; 1, \dots, 1, \theta x, \dots, \theta x_n) = \frac{1}{[1 - \theta(n\bar{x})]^{n+2}}$$

So, (13a) reduces to $(n+1)/(1+a\bar{x})$ where $a = k/(k+1)$. This is exactly the value of $E(\alpha|x)$ obtained starting from (8b). This is a good check for (13).

(iii) Estimate of λ for known α ($r^2 = 0$): From (1), we have

$$(14) \quad L(x_1 \dots x_n, \lambda) = (2\lambda\alpha t)^{nQ} e^{-\alpha n\bar{x}} \Gamma^{-n}(P) \alpha^{nP} \\ \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{P-1} {}_1F_1(Q; P; \alpha t x_i) ;$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log L = 0, \text{ gives}$$

$$(15) \quad \frac{n}{\lambda} + 2n\alpha = \frac{2\alpha^2}{P} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i {}_1F_1(Q+1; P+1; \alpha t x_i)}{{}_1F_1(Q; P; \alpha t x_i)} ;$$

(15) can be solved for λ by trial and error knowing α and small values of n , using the tables of ${}_1F_1$ in [7]. For the example of section 4(i), this method gives $\hat{\lambda} = 0.5$.

§5 Generalised Beta density Estimate of r for known δ . Again from [1], we have a generalised Beta density as

$$(16) \quad f(x) = e^{-r^2/2\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (r^2/4\lambda)^{i+j} \frac{\Gamma(Q+i+j) x^{(Q/2)+i-1} (1-x)^{(Q/2)+j-1}}{\Gamma[(Q/2)+i] \Gamma[(Q/2)+j] i! j!}$$

$$(16a) \quad = e^{-r^2/2\lambda} B(Q/2; Q/2; x)$$

$$= \Psi_2 \left[Q; Q/2; Q/2; \frac{r^2 x}{4\lambda}, \frac{r^2(1-x)}{4\lambda} \right],$$

where $B(P, Q; x)$ is the complete Beta function. From (16a), we have

$$(17) \quad L(x|r) = e^{-n^2/2\lambda} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n B(Q/2; Q/2; x_i) \right] \sum_1 \sum_2$$

$$\frac{{}^{(Q)} a_i + b_i}{(Q/2) a_i (Q/2) b_i} \left(\frac{r^2 x_i}{4\lambda} \right)^{a_i} \left(\frac{r^2 (1-x_i)}{4\lambda} \right)^{b_i} \frac{1}{a_i! b_i!}$$

where $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ and \sum_1, \sum_2 are as in Section 2.

From (14), we have, if the prior of r is $\exp(-r^2/2)$, $r > 0$,

$$(17a) \quad L(r|x) =$$

$$\frac{\sum_1 \sum_2 \frac{e^{r^2 \xi / 2} \cdot r \cdot (Q)_{a_i + b_i} (r^2)^{a+b}}{(Q/2)_{a_i} (Q/2)_{b_i}} \cdot \left(\frac{x_i}{4\lambda}\right)^{a_i} \left[\frac{(1-x_i)}{4\lambda}\right]^{b_i} \frac{1}{a_i! b_i!}}{\sum_1 \Gamma(a+1) F_A(a+1) \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i \right] (1/\xi)}$$

where $\xi = 1+(n/\lambda)$, F_A is the generalized-geometric function mentioned in 4(ii), $F_A(T) = F_A(T ; Q+a_1, \dots, Q+a_n; Q/2, \dots, Q/2; t_1, \dots, t_n)$ with $t_i = (1-x_i)/2\lambda\xi$, and

$$\theta_i = \left[\frac{(Q)_{a_i}}{(Q/2)_{a_i}} \left(\frac{x_i}{2\lambda\xi}\right)^{a_i} \frac{1}{a_i!} \right]$$

From (17a) we have

$$(18) \quad E(r|x) = \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\sum_1 \Gamma(a+2) F_A(a+2) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i \right)}{\sum_1 \Gamma(a+1) F_A(a+1) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \theta_i \right)}$$

The evaluation of $E(\lambda|x)$, the estimate of λ , follows along similar lines.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] McNolty, Frank, Applications of Bessel Function Distributions, Sankhya, Series B, Vol.29,p.235-248, (1967).

- [2] - - Kill probability when the weapon bias is randomly distributed, Operations Research, Vol.10,p.693-701, (1962).

- [3] Holla, M.S.,On a Non-Central Chi-Square Distribution in the analysis of Weapon Systems effectiveness, Metrika, Vol.14,p.9-14, (1968).

- [4] Saxena,R.K., and Sethi, P.L., Certain Properties of Bi-variate distributions associated with generalised hyper-geometric Functions, Canadian Journal of Statistics, Vol.1,p.171-180, (1973).

- [5] McNolty, F. and Tomskey, J., Some properties of Special function bi-variate distributions, Sankhya, Series B,Vol.34,p.251-264, (1972).

- [6] Mathai, A.M. and Saxena, R.K., Extensions of an Euler's integral through statistical techniques, Mathematische Nachrichten, Vol.51,p. 1-10 (1971).

- [7] Handbook of Mathematical functions, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. (1964).
- [8] Bhattacharya, S.K., Bayesian approach to life testing and Reliability estimation, Journal of American Statistical Association, Vol.62,p.48-62,(1967).
- [9] Erdelyi,A. et al, Higher Transcendental Functions, McGraw Hill Co, N.Y.,(1953).
- [10] - - Tables of Integral Transforms,Vol.I, McGraw Hill Co. N.Y., (1954).
- [11] - - Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol.II. McGraw Hill Co. N.Y., (1954).

*Department of Mathematics
Sir George Williams University
Montreal, CANADA.*

*Department of Mathematics
Concordia University
1455 de Maisonneuve Blvd. West
CANADA H3G 1M8.*

(Recibido en julio de 1977)