

Werk

Titel: Quasi-covariant representations of nuclear ...-algebras

Autor: Moore, Steven M.

Jahr: 1977

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?320387429_0011 | log9

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas
Vol. XI (1977), págs 51 - 58.

QUASI-COARIANT REPRESENTATIONS
OF NUCLEAR \ast -ALGEBRAS

por

Steven M. MOORE

ABSTRACT

We consider the extension of the concept of a quasi-covariant representation of C^* -algebras to nuclear \ast -algebras. Necessary conditions for a representation to be quasi-covariant are obtained.

RESUMEN

Consideramos la extensión del concepto de una

representación cuasi-covariante de C^* -álgebras a $*$ -álgebras nucleares. Condiciones necesarias para que una representación sea cuasi-covariante son obtenidas.

§ Introducción.

In [1] we introduced locally convex $*$ -algebras. Although this is a new type of topological algebraic structure that is ripe for more work, it has become clear that stronger properties are needed in order to get substantial results. Since a C^* -algebra is a nuclear $*$ -algebra if and only if it is finite dimensional [2], one might expect that the additional hypothesis of nuclearity would be interesting. Thus here we consider nuclear $*$ -algebras, i.e. a locally convex $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} that is also a nuclear space. This still includes the physically interesting case of the field algebra [3].

For nuclear $*$ -algebras it is not possible to define quasi-equivalent representations in the same way as in the C^* -algebra theory [4] (e.g. in the field algebra [3], all projections are trivial). But Kadison [5] has given an equivalent definition using the following concepts: Let Π be a representation of \mathcal{A} in the sense of [1]. ω_ϕ is a vector state of Π if $\omega_\phi(x) = (\phi, \Pi(x)\phi)$ where $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi)$, $\|\phi\| = 1$. The set of all vector-states of Π is denoted by $E(\Pi)$ and the closure of the convex hull of

$E(\Pi)$ by $F(\Pi)$ (closure in the weak topology). A representation Π_1 is quasi-equivalent to a representation Π_2 if $F(\Pi_1) = F(\Pi_2)$.

§ 2. Quasi-covariant representations.

In [1] we also introduced the concept of covariant representation. We say that a representation Π is quasi-covariant if it is quasi-equivalent to Π' , where (Π', V') is some covariant representation of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{Q})$.

We remember that our working hypothesis is that $g \rightarrow gx$ is continuous for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The question of the continuity of $g \rightarrow g\omega$ is more delicate, partly because of possible ambiguities in the topology of \mathcal{A}' . There is a large class of topologies for \mathcal{A}' for which $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ is a dual pair. Among these are the weak topology and the strong topology [2]. In analogy with the C^* -algebra case [6,7], one might be tempted to elect the strong topology. However, for the field algebra [3], the fact that the ω are products of tempered distributions and that we are in general treating a nuclear $*$ -algebra which possesses very different properties than those of a C^* -algebra suggests that we should consider instead the weak topology. Thus we let E^c be the set of all states such that $g \rightarrow g\omega$ is continuous with respect to the weak topology on \mathcal{A}' .

2.1 Theorem. Let (Π, V) be a covariant representation of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G})$. Then $E(\Pi) \subset E^c$

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi)$, $\|\phi\| = 1$. Then $g\omega_\phi(x) = (\phi, \Pi(gx)\phi)$. Thus $|g\omega_\phi(x) - \omega_\phi(x)| = |(\phi, \Pi(gx-x)\phi)|$. Since $g \rightarrow gx$ is continuous, $gx \rightarrow x$ when $g \rightarrow e$. Thus $(\phi, \Pi(gx-x)\phi) \rightarrow 0$ when $g \rightarrow e$. QED.

2.2 Theorem. E^c has the following properties:

- a. E^c is convex.
- b. E^c is weakly closed.
- c. E^c is invariant with respect to \mathcal{G} , i.e. $gE^c = E^c$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. a. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in E^c$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, Then

$$|g(\lambda\omega_1 + (1-\lambda)\omega_2)(x) - (\lambda\omega_1 + (1-\lambda)\omega_2)(x)|$$

$$= |\lambda(g\omega_1 - \omega_1)(x) + (1-\lambda)(g\omega_2 - \omega_2)(x)|$$

$$\leq \lambda |(g\omega_1 - \omega_1)(x)| + (1-\lambda) |(g\omega_2 - \omega_2)(x)|.$$

b. Suppose $\omega_\beta \xrightarrow{w} \omega$ and $g_\alpha \rightarrow e$. We have

$$|(g_\alpha \omega - \omega)(x)| \leq |g_\alpha(\omega - \omega_\beta)(x)| + |(g_\alpha \omega_\beta - \omega_\beta)(x)| + |(\omega_\beta - \omega)(x)|.$$

Fix x for the moment. Since $g \rightarrow g\omega(x)$ is continuous, we can find β_0 such that $\beta \geq \beta_0$ implies $|(\omega_\beta - \omega)(x)| \leq \epsilon/6$.

Now $\psi: g \rightarrow g(\omega - \omega_\beta)(x) = (\omega - \omega_\beta)(gx)$ is a conti-

nous function. Consider

$$I(\beta) =]c(\beta) - \epsilon/6, c(\beta) + \epsilon/6[$$

where $c(\beta) = (\omega - \omega_\beta)(x) = \psi(e) \cdot \psi^{-1} I(\beta) = V(\beta)$ is then a neighborhood of e in Q . There exists $\alpha(\beta)$ such that $\alpha \geq \alpha(\beta)$ implies $g_\alpha \in V(\beta)$ since $g_\alpha \rightarrow e$. If $\beta \geq \beta_0$, then $|c(\beta)| \leq \epsilon/6$, so for $\alpha \geq \alpha(\beta_0)$, $|\psi(g_\alpha) - \psi(e)| \leq \epsilon/6$, i.e.

$$|g_\alpha(\omega - \omega_\beta)(x)| \leq \epsilon/6 + |(\omega - \omega_\beta)g(x)| \leq \epsilon/3.$$

Fix $\beta \geq \beta_0$. There exists α_1 such that $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$ implies $|(g_\alpha \omega_\beta - \omega_\beta)(x)| \leq \epsilon/3$. Thus for $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$ and $\alpha \geq \alpha(\beta)$ we have $|(g_\alpha \omega - \omega)(x)| \leq \epsilon$.

c. To show $h\omega \in E^c$, if $\omega \in E^c$, let $g_\alpha \rightarrow e$. Then $h^{-1}g_\alpha h \rightarrow e$. Hence $h^{-1}g_\alpha h\omega \rightarrow \omega$. $h \rightarrow h\omega(x)$ continuous implies $h(h^{-1}g_\alpha h)\omega(x) = g_\alpha h\omega(x) \rightarrow h\omega(x)$.
QED.

§ 3. Necessary conditions for a quasi-covariant representation.

We have obtained the following necessary conditions for a quasi-covariant representation:

3.1 Theorem. Let Π be a quasi-covariant representation. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- a. $F(\Pi)$ is invariant.
- b. $F(\Pi) \subset E^c$.

Proof: Let Π be a quasi-covariant representation. Then there exists a covariant representation (Π_1, V_1) of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{Q})$ to which Π is quasi-equivalent. For $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi_1)$, $\|\phi\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} g\omega_\phi(x) &= \omega_\phi(gx) = (\phi, \Pi_1(gx)\phi) \\ &= (V^*(g)\phi, \Pi_1(x)V^*(g)\phi) \\ &= \omega_{V^*(g)\phi}(x) \end{aligned}$$

This means that $E(\Pi_1)$ is invariant. Thus the convex hull of $E(\Pi_1)$ is invariant. Since $E(\Pi_1) \subseteq E^c$, it follows that the closure of the convex hull is invariant. Thus $gF(\Pi_1) = F(\Pi_1)$. But $F(\Pi) = F(\Pi_1)$, so part a follows.

Now let $\omega \in E(\Pi_1)$. Then there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Pi_1)$, $\|\phi\| = 1$ with $\omega = \omega_\phi$. Hence

$$|g\omega(x) - \omega(x)| = |\omega(gx - x)| = |(\phi, \Pi_1(gx - x)\phi)|$$

Since $gx \rightarrow x$ is continuous, $gx \rightarrow x$ when $g \rightarrow e$. Thus $(\phi, \Pi_1(gx - x)\phi) \rightarrow 0$ when $g \rightarrow e$. Hence $\omega \in E^c$. Thus $E(\Pi_1) \subseteq E^c$. E^c convex and closed implies that $F(\Pi) = F(\Pi_1) \subseteq E^c$. QED.

It is not known whether these conditions are also sufficient as they are in the C^* -algebra case [7].

REFERENCES.

- [1] S.M. Moore, Rev. Col. Mat. X, 99-120 (1967).
- [2] A. Pietsch, Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [3] H.J. Borchers, Algebraic aspects of Wightman field theory, in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory, R.N. Sen, C. Weil, eds., Israel Universities Press, 1972.
- [4] S. Sakai, C*-algebras and W*-algebras, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [5] R.V. Kadison, Trans. A.M.S. 103, 34-52 (1962).
- [6] H.J. Borchers, Commun. math. phys. 14, 305-314 (1969).
- [7] H.J. Borchers, Uber C*-Algebren mit lokalkompakten Symmetriegruppen, Gottingen preprint (1973).

*Departamento de Física
Universidad de los Andes
Apartado Aéreo 4976, Bogotá 1, DE, Colombia, S.A.*

Recibido en agosto de 1977).