

# Werk

Titel: Symmetric perturbation of a self-adjoint operator

Autor: Rao, D. K.

**Jahr:** 1973

**PURL:** https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?320387429\_0007|log18

# **Kontakt/Contact**

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

#### SYMMETRIC PERTURBATION OF A SELF-ADJOINT OPERATOR

Ьу

## D. K. RAO

## SUMMARY

We give a proof, which is valid in both real and complex Hilbert spaces, of the following result: If A is self-adjoint, B is symmetric  $D(B) \supset D(A), \ ||Bx|| < a \ ||x|| + ||Ax|| \ \text{for } x \in D(A),$  then A+bB is self-adjoint for  $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Introduction. In a complex Hilbert Space, it is known that the operator A+B is self-adjoint, if A is self-adjoint and B symmetric with  $D(B) \supset D(A)$  and  $||Bx|| \le a \ ||x|| + b \ ||Ax||$  for all  $x \in D(A)$ , where 0 < b < 1. We give a proof which is valid in both real and complex Hilbert Spaces. The proof of the result in a complex Hilbert Space is given in [1]. Notice that A and B are not necessarily bounded,  $A=A^*$  and  $B \subseteq B^*$ .

LEMMA 1. Let A be a closed operator in a Hilbert Space H and B an operator with  $D(B) \supset D(A)$  and  $||Bx|| \le a \cdot ||x|| + ||Ax|| \cdot Let$  b and k be real numbers such that 0 < b < 1 and  $k > \frac{2ab}{1 \cdot b}$ . Then for  $\forall z \in D(A) \exists z' \in D(A) \ni (Az, Ax) + (Az, Ax) = (Az, Ax) + ($ 

 $k^{2}(z, x) = ((A + bB) z^{*}, Ax) + k^{2}(z^{*}, x)$  for all  $x \in D(A)$ .

Proof: Let  $H_1 = D(A)$  with the inner product

$$(x,y)_1 = (Ax, Ay) + k^2(x, y)$$
.

 $H_1$  is a Hilbert Space. Consider the linear functional  $f_1$  on  $H_1$  given by

$$f_1(x) = -(Bz, Ax) b,$$

$$|f_1(x)| \le b \ ||Ax|| \ ||Bz|| \le b \ ||Bz|| \ ||x||_1 < \frac{1+b}{2} \ ||x||_1 \ ||z||_1 \ .$$

By the representation theorem  $\exists z_1 \in H_1$  such that  $(z_1, x)_1 = f_1(x) = -b(Bz, Ax)$  and  $||z_1||_1 = ||f_1|| < \frac{1+b}{2} ||z||_1$ . Define the sequence  $\{z_n\} \subset H_1$  inductively by  $z_0 = z$ .

$$(z_1, x)_1 = -b(Bz, Ax)$$
,  
 $(z_{n+1}, x)_1 = -b(Bz_n, Ax)$ .

Then we have

$$(z_0, x)_1 = \sum_{s=0}^{n} [((A + bB) z_s, Ax) + k^2(z_s, x)] + (z_{n+1}, x)_1.$$

The inequality

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} ||z_{s}||_{1} < (\sum_{1}^{n} (\frac{1+b}{2})^{s}) ||z_{o}||_{1}$$

shows that  $\sum Az_s$  and  $\sum z_s$  are absolutely convergent. Let  $\sum_{o}^{\infty} z_s = z'$ . The convergence of  $\sum ||Az_s||$  implies the convergence of  $\sum ||(A+bB)|z_s||$ . Since A+bB is closed,  $\sum (A+bB)z_s \rightarrow (A+bB)z'$ .

LEMMA 2. Let S be closed and injective,  $D(T) \supset D(S)$  and  $||Tx|| \le b ||Sx||$  for all  $x \in D(S)$  with 0 < b < 1. Then R(S+T) = H if R(S) = H.

Proof. Let  $z_0 \in H$ ,  $Sx_0 = z_0$ . Define the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $Sx_{n+1} = Tx_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ . Then  $\sum_{k=0}^{m} ||Sx_k|| \le ||z_0|| \sum_{k=0}^{m} b^k$  implies that  $\sum_{0}^{m} ||Sx_k||$  converges. Hence  $\sum Sx_k$  converges. Since R(S) = H,  $S^{-1}$  is bounded and  $\sum x_k$  converges to say x.  $\sum ||(S+T)x_k||$  is bounded. Since S+T is closed so have  $\sum (S+T)x_k \to (S+T)x$ . Thus  $(S+T) = z_0$ .

LEMMA 3. Let A be self-adjoint and  $Bx \le a ||x|| + ||Ax||$ . Then  $R[k^2 + A^2 + bBA] = H$  for  $k > \frac{ab}{1-2b}$ ,  $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof.* For  $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$|b||BAx|| \le (2 + \frac{a}{2k})b||A^2x + k^2x||$$
  
 $\le \beta||A^2x + k^2x||$  for some  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ .

Since  $R[k^2 + A^2] = H$ , we have, using Lemma 2,  $R[k^2 + A^2 + bBA] = H$ .

THEOREM. Let A be self-adjoint, B symmetric,  $D(B) \supset D(A)$ ,  $||Bx|| \le a||x|| + ||Ax||$  for  $x \in D(A)$ . Then A + bB is self-adjoint for  $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Since A+bB is closed and symmetric, it suffices to prove that

$$D(A + bB)^* \subset D(A + bB).$$

Let  $y \in D(A+bB)^*$ . Then we have

$$|((A+bB)^* y, Ax) + k^2(y, x)|$$
  
 $\leq (||(A+bB)^* y||+||y||)||x||_1.$ 

Thus the linear functional g on  $H_1$  given by  $g(x) = ((A + bB)^* y, Ax) + k^2(y,x)$  is bounded. Thus  $\exists z \in D(A)$  such that

$$g(x) = ((A + bB) z', Ax) + k^{2}(z', x)$$
 for all  $x \in D(A)$ .

Thus we have, since A+bB is symmetric,

$$(y \cdot z^*, ((A + bB)A + k^2)x) = 0$$
 for all  $x \in D(A^2)$ .

Since  $R[k^2 + (A + bB)A] = H$ , we have  $y = z^*$ . Hence  $D(A + bB)^* = D(A + bB)$ .

COROLLARY. The Theorem is true for all b with 0 < b < 1.

Proof. Let  $A_0 = A$ ,  $A_{n+1} = A_n + \frac{\alpha}{2^{n+1}} B$ , n = 0, 1, 2, ..., m, where

$$b = \alpha \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^m} \right]$$
 and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

Then

$$\frac{\alpha}{2^{n+1}} \, || \, |Bx|| \leq (\, || \, A_n \, x \, || \, + \, a_n \, || \, |x|| \, || \, ) \, \frac{\alpha}{2} \qquad ,$$

for some  $a_n$ . Induct on n.

#### REFERENCE

1. KATO, T. Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators. Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc., New York, 1966.

Departamento de Matemáticas Universidad del Valle Cali, Colombia, S. A.

(Recibido en enero de 1973).