

Werk

Titel: Secondary invariants for links

Autor: Gutiérrez, M. A.

Jahr: 1972

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?320387429_0006|log13

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

SECONDARY INVARIANTS FOR LINKS

by

M. A. GUTIÉRREZ

Dedicated to Professor H. Yerly

1. Let mS^1 be the space consisting of m disjoint (oriented) copies of the circle. An oriented m -link is a (polygonal) embedding $l: mS^1 \rightarrow S^3$. Let $X = S^3 - lm(l)$ and π be its fundamental group.

Associated with a projection of the link l , there is a presentation (cf. [3; ch. I]) of the group π called the Wirtinger presentation :

$$\pi = \langle x_{ij} \mid r_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i \rangle$$

where x_{ij} is represented by a loop going once around an arc of the i^{th} component l_i in the positive direction and $r_{ij} = u_{ij} x_{ij} u_{ij}^{-1} x_{i,j+1}^{-1}$, $u_{ij} = x_{pq}^{\epsilon}$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$.

Now consider a set of elements s_{ij} defined by $s_{ij} = v_{ij} x_{il} v_{ij}^{-1} x_{i,j+1}^{-1}$ where $v_{ij} = u_{ij} u_{i,j-1} \dots u_{il}$. Then the following is a presentation of π :

$$\pi = \langle x_{ij} \mid s_{ij} \rangle$$

Finally write $x'_{ij} = x_{ij} x_{il}^{-1}$ and $x_i = x_{il}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i$). The group π can be presented by

$$(1) \quad \pi = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m, x'_{ij} \mid x'_{i,j+1} = [v_{ij}, x_i], [v_{i\lambda_i}, x_i] = 1 \rangle$$

where $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, \lambda_i - 1$. The statements above are proved in [4; §2].

Let $F(m)$ be the free group in the letters x_1, \dots, x_m and $i: F(m) \rightarrow \pi$ the map given by $x_\mu \mapsto x_\mu$.

Observe that to obtain presentation (1), we have made the following choices:

- a) a projection of the link l ;
- b) a choice of x_{il} for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Once these choices have been made, the group

$$(2) \quad \pi^* = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m, x'_{ij} \mid x'_{i,j+1} = [v_{ij}, x_i] \rangle$$

is determined. There is a canonical epimorphism $\beta: \pi^* \rightarrow \pi$.

2. In [5] some numerical invariants $\bar{\mu}$ are defined.

LEMMA (1). If all the numbers $\bar{\mu}$ are zero, the map i induces isomorphisms $i: F/F_n \cong \pi/\pi_n$ for all finite n .

Here F stands for $F(m)$ and given a group G, G_n is the n^{th} member of the lower central series of G . The purpose of this note is to define and describe certain new (secondary) invariants for links that can be constructed when the (primary) invariants $\bar{\mu}$ vanish. These new invariants will turn out to be the obstructions for l to be a boundary link (cf. [7]).

In preparing this manuscript the author was helped by K. Murasugi ; we would like to take this opportunity to thank him.

COROLLARY (2). *The map i induces an embedding $F \subset \pi / \pi_\omega$, where $\pi_\omega = \bigcap \pi_n$.*

LEMMA (3). *The group π^* verifies*

- i) $H_1(\pi^*) = \mathbb{Z}^m$;
- ii) $H_2(\pi^*) = 0$, in fact π^* has a presentation (namely (2)) with defect m ;
- iii) π^* has weight m , that is, π^* is normally generated by m elements .

Proof : Statement i) is obvious and iii) follows from the fact that if we add the relations $x_i = 1$ to presentation (2), the remaining relations yield $x'_{ij} = 1$.
Assertion ii) follows from [2 ; p. 106] .

COROLLARY (4). *The map i induces*

$$i : F/F_n \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi^*/\pi_n^*$$

$$i : F \subset \pi^*/\pi_\omega^* .$$

The proof follows from Theorem 3.4 of [8] and Lemma (3). Further :

COROLLARY (5). The map β induces isomorphisms

$$\pi^*/\pi_n^* \approx \pi/\pi_n \quad \text{for } 2 \leq n \leq \omega$$

This follows from Lemma (1), Corollary (4) and Theorem 3.4 of [8].

Observe that the sequence F/F_n forms, with the obvious mappings, an inverse system. Thus we can form the group $\bar{F} = \text{Inv.lim.}(F/F_n)$ a totally disconnected compact group. Since $F_\omega = I$, F is embedded in \bar{F} . In [1] we find the following description of \bar{F} : let P be the ring of formal integral series in the non-commuting variables $\alpha_i = x_i - I$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Define the norm of a non-trivial series to be $1/n$ where n is the smallest integer for which there is a term of degree n with non-vanishing coefficient; for the trivial series define the norm to be zero. P is then a metric ring; let $\mu: \mathbb{Z}[F] \rightarrow P$ be given by

$$w \rightarrow w^0 + \sum (\partial^n w / \partial x_{c_1} \dots \partial x_{c_n})^0 \alpha_{c_1} \dots \alpha_{c_n}$$

where the coefficients are the partial derivatives of free differential calculus. The map μ is an embedding and the closure (in the given topology) of $\mu(F)$ is isomorphic to \bar{F} . An integral series $I + \sum \lambda_{c_1} \dots c_n \alpha_{c_1} \dots \alpha_{c_n}$ in P belongs to the closure of $\mu(F)$ if and only if, for all pairs (a,b) of sequences of the numbers $1, \dots, m$ (perhaps repeated)

$$\lambda_a \lambda_b = \sum \mu(c) \lambda_c$$

where c ranges over all the results of infiltrating a and b and $\mu(c)$ is the Moebius number. Corollaries (4) and (5) can be rewritten as

COROLLARY (6). *There exist finitely many elements b_j of \bar{F} , such that π/π_ω is the subgroup of \bar{F} generated by F and the b_j .*

If we write presentation (2) as the preabelian presentation (cf. [3; p. 142])

$$(2') \quad \pi^* = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m, b_1, \dots, b_r \mid b_j = B_j(x_\mu, b_\nu), \mu=1, \dots, m; j, \nu=1, \dots, r \rangle$$

where b_j is one of the x'_{ij} and B_j has the form $[v_{ij}, x_i]$, then the elements $b_j \bmod \pi_\omega$ can be described in terms of its integral series in the closure of $\mu(F)$ as described above :

LEMMA (7). *Given π^* presented by (2'), the element $b_j \bmod \pi_\omega^*$ has a series expansion where the first coefficients are given by*

$$(\partial b_j / \partial x_i)^0 = 0$$

$$(\partial^2 b_j / \partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2})^0 = (\partial^2 B_j / \partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2})^0$$

$$(\partial^3 b_j / \partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2} \partial x_{i_3})^0 = (\partial^3 B_j / \partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2} \partial x_{i_3})^0 +$$

$$+ \sum_k [(\partial^2 B_j / \partial b_k \partial x_{i_3})^0 (\partial^2 B_k / \partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2})^0]$$

$$\text{where we use the notation } b_j \bmod \pi_\omega^* = 1 + \sum (\partial^n b_j / \partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_n})^0 \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_n}.$$

The proof of this lemma is a straightforward computation,

3. The coefficients above defined depend of course on choices a) and b)

as defined in 1; a different choice will reflect certain numerical changes in the coefficients. By [6], the presentation (2') can be changed by a different choice of projection in the following way :

- a1) add a generator b and a relation $b = b_j$ (some j);
- a2) add two generators b, b' and relations $b' = b_j$ and $b = (b_j)^{b_k x_a}$;
- a3) change a generator from b_j to b_j^w for some w .

Operations a1) to a3) correspond to operations $\Omega 1$ to $\Omega 3$ of [6; p. 7].

A change of the choice of x_{il} simply conjugates the generators.

In practice, for computational purposes, we can approximate $b_j \bmod \pi_\omega$ by $b_j \bmod \pi_n$ for a large n . This allows us to define $\nu(i_1, \dots, i_s, r)$ as the (i_1, \dots, i_s) coefficient of $b_r \in \overline{F}(r, s = 1, 2, 3 \dots)$. In order to extract invariants from the above coefficients we have to consider the sets $\{\nu(i_1, \dots, i_s)\}$ modulo the indeterminacy introduced by operations a1), a2) and a3).

PROPOSITION (8). The sets

$$S(i, j) = \{\nu(i, j, k), k \in N\} \quad (\nu(i, j, k) = 0 \quad \text{for large } k)$$

$$S(i, j, k) = \{\nu(i, j, k, p) \bmod (\nu(i, j, p) - \nu(j, k, p)) \mid p \in N\}$$

$$S(i, j, k, p) = \{\nu(i, j, k, p, q) \bmod \sum_n [\nu(i, j, n)\nu(k, p, q) - \nu(i, j, q)\nu(k, p, n) + \\ + \nu(i, p, q)\nu(j, k, n)] + \nu(j, k, p, q) - \nu(i, j, k, q)\}$$

are invariants of the link l defined when the Milnor invariants $\bar{\mu}$ are all zero.

4. In preparing this section the author was helped by Mr. R. Peña of Yeshiva University, who kindly wrote a computer program for Example 1.

Example 1. In [7] it is proven that *homology* boundary links have zero Milnor invariants; for the example found in [7; p. 71] the first secondary invariants are :

$$S(1,1) = \{1, -1, -4, -7, -8\}$$

$$S(2,2) = \{1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, 16, 17\}$$

$$S(1,2) = \{1, -1, -3, -6, -10, -13, -15, -16\}$$

$$S(2,1) = \{1, 3, 6, 9, 12\}.$$

Example 2. Let l be a boundary link. In that case there is a map $\pi/\pi_\omega \rightarrow F$ sending meridians to generators and the remaining generators in presentation (2') to elements of the form 1 or $[x_j^\epsilon, x_i] (\epsilon = \pm 1)$. This assertion follows from considering a special projection of the Seifert surfaces viewed as disks with twisted bands attached. The secondary invariants will then be :

$$S(i,j) \subset \{0, 1, -1\}$$

$$S(i, j, k) = \{0, 1 \bmod 2\}$$

$S(i_1, \dots, i_4) = \{1 \bmod 2\}$ for one arrangement i_1, \dots, i_4 and $\{0\}$ for all other. $S(i_1, \dots, i_r) = \{0 \bmod 1\}$ for $r \geq 5$. This assertion follows from studying the series expansion of the elements of the form $[x_j^\epsilon, x_i]$.

For links of multiplicity 2 (and probably for all multiplicities), it is useful to study the composite of the map $\mu: \mathbb{Z}[F] \rightarrow P$ and the map $P \rightarrow P/C$ where

C is the ideal generated by the α_i^2 . In fact, C is a closed ideal and the composite is a monomorphism (cf. [3; p. 315]). In that case the inverse of $1 + \alpha_i$ is $1 - \alpha_i$ and the image of F is made out of polynomials.

For multiplicity 2 if l satisfies

$S(1,2) = S(2,1) = \{0, \pm 1\}$, $S(2,1,2) = S(1,2,1) \subset \{0, 1 \bmod 2\}$ $S(i_1, \dots, i_4) \subset \{0, 1 \bmod 2\}$ and all other $S(i_1, \dots, i_r) = \{0\}$, then it is a straightforward computation to verify that in P/C and for arbitrary p , it is possible to arrange the projection of the link to have $\nu(1,2,p) = 1, \nu(2,1,p) = -1$. Therefore,
 (3) $-1 = \nu(1,2,p)\nu(2,1,p) = \nu(1,2,p) + \nu(2,1,2,p) + \nu(2,1,2,1,p) + \nu(2,1,1,2,p) + \nu(1,2,2,1,p) + \nu(1,2,1,2,p)$.

If $\nu(1,2,1,p) = -1$ and $\nu(2,1,2,1,p) \neq 0$ then, in order to verify (3), it is necessary that $\nu(2,1,2,p) = -1, \nu(1,2,1,2,p) = 0$, since in P/C , $\nu(2,1,1,2,p)$ and $\nu(1,2,2,1,p)$ are zero.

Since the higher invariants are zero, we conclude $b_p = [x_2^{-1}, x_1]$. Similarly for all other possible combinations.

THEOREM (9). *A 2-link is boundary if and only if its Milnor invariants vanish and its secondary invariants are given by the formulas of Example 2.*

5. Naturally, it is valid to conjecture that Theorem (9) is valid for m -links in general. The proof probably involves a more delicate analysis of the Shuffle relations of [1].

It is known [4], that the $\bar{\mu}$ are invariants of cobordism; the secondary

invariants are not. We wonder if it is possible by cobordism to reduce the secondary invariants of a link with zero Milnor invariants to one with the invariants given in Example 2. This would lead the following :

CONJECTURE. If a link has zero Milnor invariants then it is cobordant to a boundary link .

In higher dimensions (cf. [2]), links *always* have zero Milnor invariants . Further every higher dimensional boundary link is split-cobordant (this is probably false in the classical case). Is it possible to cobord a given link to a boundary link ? This leads to our second

CONJECTURE. Every higher dimensional link splits up to cobordism .

REFERENCES

1. K. Chen, R. Fox and R. Lyndon, "Free differential calculus : IV" *Ann. Math.* 68 (1958), 81-95.
2. M. Kervaire, " On higher dimensional knots", in *Combinatorial and Differential Topology*, (S.S. Cairns, ed.), Princeton University Press , Princeton, N.J. (1965), 105-120.
3. W. Magnus , A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Interscience, New York, N.Y. (1966).
4. J. Milnor, " Isotopy of Links ", in *Algebraic Geometry ana Topology*, (R. Fox, ed.), Princeton University Press , Princeton, N. J. (1957), 280-306.

- 5 . K. Murasugi, "On Milnor's invariants for links : I", *Trans. A. M. S.* 124 (1966), 94-110.
- 6 . K. Reidmeister, *Knotentheorie*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1932).
- 7 . N. Smythe, "Boundary links", in *Topology Seminar, Wisconsin, 1965*, (R. Bing, ed.), Ann. Math. Studies, No. 60, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. (1966), 69-72.
- 8 . J. Stallings, "Homology and central series of groups", *J. Algebra* 2(1965), 170-181.

*The Institute for Advanced Study
 School of Mathematics
 Princeton, New Jersey, 08540
 (Recibido en Junio de 1972).*