

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1987

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0028|log76

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

ON SATURATED ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILIES

A. HAJNAL, I. JUHÁSZ and L. SOUKUP

Abstract: An almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [X]^\omega$ is called saturated if every subset of X not covered by finitely many elements of \mathcal{A} contains some member of \mathcal{A} . We show that in the model obtained by iteratively adding ω_1 dominating reals to V the following statement is true: On every infinite set there is a saturated almost disjoint family. The question whether this statement is true in ZFC, or even in L , remains open.

Key words: Almost disjoint family, saturated family.

Classification: 03E05, 03E35

Given a set X and a collection \mathcal{A} of subsets of X we denote by $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ the ideal on X generated by $\mathcal{A} \cup [X]^1$, i.e. the members of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the sets that can be almost covered by finitely many elements of \mathcal{A} . As is usual, we write

$$I_{\mathcal{A}}^+ = P(X) \setminus I_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Definition. An almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [X]^\omega$ is called saturated if \mathcal{A} refines $I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$, i.e. if for every set $H \in I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ there is some $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset H$. The main result of this note may now be formulated as follows:

Theorem. If P is the partial order that adds iteratively ω_1 dominating reals to V , then the following statement $(*)$ holds in V^P :

$(*)$ For every infinite set X there is a saturated almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [X]^\omega$.

The proof of this result is based on several lemmas to be given below. We shall use D to denote the standard notion of forcing that adds a dominating real, i.e. a function $r: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $r(n) > f(n)$ for all but finitely many $n \in \omega$ whenever $f \in {}^\omega \omega \cap V$, cf. [3].

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset [X]^\omega$ be almost disjoint and $H \in I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$, $\mathcal{A}, H \in V$. Then in V^D , there is a set $S \in [H]^\omega$ such that $|S \cap A| < \omega$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e. $A \cap S \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$.

is almost disjoint.

Proof. If there are only finitely many $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $|A \cap H| = \omega$, say A_0, \dots, A_n , then clearly every set $S \in [H \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i]^\omega$ works, even in V .

Otherwise let $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ be distinct members of \mathcal{A} such that $|A_n \cap H| = \omega$ for all $n \in \omega$. Since the A_n 's are almost disjoint, the sets

$$B_n = A_n \cap H \setminus \bigcup_{i < n} A_i$$

are disjoint and infinite. Let us write

$$B_n = \{a_{n,i} : i \in \omega\}$$

for each $n \in \omega$.

All this was done in V , but now we claim that the set

$$S = \{a_{n,r(n)} : n \in \omega\} \in [H]^\omega$$

defined in V^D is as required. Indeed, for each $m \in \omega$ we clearly have

$$|S \cap A_m| \leq m < \omega$$

since $A_m \cap B_n = \emptyset$ whenever $n > m$. If, on the other hand, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ then let us consider the function $f_A \in {}^\omega \omega \cap V$ defined as follows:

$$f_A(n) = \max \{i \in \omega : a_{n,i} \in A\},$$

that is well-defined because $|A \cap B_n| < \omega$. But r dominates f_A , hence we clearly have $|A \cap S| < \omega$. \dashv

Lemma 2. (Cf. [6] or [7], Lemma 5.) If W is an extension of V that contains a new real then in W there is an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{B} \subset [{}^\omega \omega]^\omega$ which refines $[{}^\omega \omega] \cap V$.

Actually, we only need this result in the case where $W = V^D$. In order to make this note self-contained we give a proof for this special case. First recall that D consists of pairs $\langle p, f \rangle$ where p is a strictly increasing map of a natural number into ω and $f \in {}^\omega \omega$. $\langle p, f \rangle \leq \langle q, h \rangle$ iff $p \supset q$, $f(n) \geq h(n)$ for each natural number, n , and for each $k \in \text{dom}(p) \setminus \text{dom}(q)$ we have $p(k) > h(k)$. The generic dominating function will be denoted by r . Next we fix a partition $\{A_n : n < \omega\}$ of ω into ω -many infinite pieces in V .

We choose in V a bijection g between $[{}^\omega \omega]^{< \omega}$ and ω . Then for each $X \in [{}^\omega \omega]^\omega \cap V$ let us consider the set X^* defined as follows:

$$X^* = \{\min(X \cap r'' A_{g(X \cap n)}) : n < \omega\}.$$

We claim that

$\mathfrak{B} = \{X^* : X \in [\omega]^\omega \cap V\}$ is as required.

A standard density argument shows that whenever $X, A \in [\omega]^\omega \cap V$, we have $X \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Thus X^* is an infinite subset of X . To show that \mathfrak{B} is almost disjoint it is sufficient to observe that $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ implies $|X^* \cap Y^*| \leq n$ for each $X, Y \in [\omega]^\omega \cap V$. This completes the proof of the special case.

Let us denote by D_2 the notion of forcing that adds, iteratively, two dominating reals to V . (Formally, $D_2 = \mathbb{D} * \mathbb{D}$, where \mathbb{D} names in V the poset in $V^{\mathbb{D}}$ that adds a dominating real.) Lemmas 1 and 2 then easily imply the next result.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset [X]^\omega$ be almost disjoint, then in V^{D_2} there exists a family $\mathfrak{B} \subset [X]^\omega$ such that

- (i) $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathfrak{B}$ is almost disjoint,
- (ii) \mathfrak{B} refines $V \cap I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$.

Proof. First, by Lemma 1, we choose in $V^{\mathbb{D}}$ for each $H \in V \cap I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ a set $S_H \in [H]^\omega$ for which $\mathcal{A} \cup \{S_H\}$ is almost disjoint and put $\mathcal{S} = \{S_H : H \in V \cap I_{\mathcal{A}}^+\}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a maximal almost disjoint subcollection of \mathcal{S} . Then, for each $S \in \mathcal{C}$ we may apply Lemma 2 (with $V^{\mathbb{D}}$ instead of V , V^{D_2} instead of W and S instead of ω) to obtain in V^{D_2} an almost disjoint collection $\mathfrak{B}(S) \subset [S]^\omega$ refining $V^{\mathbb{D}} \cap [S]^\omega$. We claim that

$$\mathfrak{B} = \bigcup \{ \mathfrak{B}(S) : S \in \mathcal{C} \}$$

is as required. That (i) holds is obvious from the choice of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{C} .

To show (ii), consider any $H \in V \cap I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$. By the maximality of \mathcal{C} there is some $S \in \mathcal{C}$ with $|S \cap S_H| = \omega$, but then we have a set $B \in \mathfrak{B}(S)$ with

$$B \subset S \cap S_H \subset H,$$

which was to be shown.

We are now ready to give the proof of our main result.

Proof of the theorem. We may clearly consider $P = P_{\omega_1}^P$ as given by the finite support iteration

$$\langle P_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1, Q_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle, \text{ where } V^{P_\alpha} \models Q_\alpha = D_2$$

for each $\alpha < \omega_1$.

To prove that (*) holds in V^P it will clearly suffice to show it for

for $X \in V$. Now, given such an X , we define almost disjoint families

$\mathcal{A}_\alpha \subset [X]^\omega$ with $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \in V^{\mathcal{P}_\alpha}$ by induction on $\alpha \in \omega_1$ as follows.

We set $\mathcal{A}_0 = \emptyset$ and for every limit α we put $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}_\beta : \beta \in \alpha \}$. Standard tricks (cf. e.g. [5] p.281) concerning the choices made in the successor steps will insure that $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \in V^{\mathcal{P}_\alpha}$.

Now, if $\alpha = \beta + 1$ and \mathcal{A}_β has already been defined then we can apply Lemma 3 to get a collection $\mathcal{B}_\beta \in V^{\mathcal{P}_\alpha} = V^{\mathcal{P}_\beta * \mathbb{D}_2}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_\beta \cup \mathcal{B}_\beta \subset [X]^\omega$ is almost disjoint and \mathcal{B}_β refines $V^{\mathcal{P}_\beta} \cap I_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}^+$. We then put $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \mathcal{A}_\beta \cup \mathcal{B}_\beta$.

Since Lemma 3 involves choices (e.g. of the family $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}$), the tricks we referred to above consist in making these choices "uniform" by fixing a large enough cardinal κ and a well-ordering \prec of $V(\kappa)$ before we start our induction so that all the relevant sets we have to choose from, or rather names for them, already occur in $V(\kappa)$, and then every choice we have to make will be the \prec -least one.

If someone is not convinced by this argument, there is another way to get around this difficulty that makes use of the fact that each \mathcal{P}_α is CCC.

This makes sure that when $\langle \mathcal{A}_\beta : \beta \in \alpha \rangle$ has been defined for a limit $\alpha \in \omega_1$ with $\mathcal{A}_\beta \in V^{\mathcal{P}_\beta(\beta)}$ and $\mathcal{I}(\beta) < \omega_1$ for each $\beta \in \alpha$ then there is a $\mathcal{I}(\alpha) \in \omega_1$ such that $\langle \mathcal{A}_\beta : \beta \in \alpha \rangle \in V^{\mathcal{P}_\beta(\alpha)}$, and in this case we may define

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}_\beta : \beta \in \alpha \text{ in } V^{\mathcal{P}_\beta(\alpha)} \}.$$

Having completed the induction, we set $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \}$ and claim that \mathcal{A} is as required, i.e. it refines $I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$.

Thus let $H \in I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ and note first that there is a $K \in [H]_{\mathcal{A}}^\omega$ with $K \in I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ as well. Indeed, if

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ A \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap H| = \omega \}$$

is finite then K may be chosen as any element of $[H \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}]^\omega$. Otherwise, let $\{ A_n : n \in \omega \}$ be distinct members of \mathcal{H} , clearly then

$$K = \bigcup \{ A_n \cap H : n \in \omega \}$$

is as required.

Next, since \mathcal{P} is CCC, there is some $\alpha \in \omega_1$ with $K \in V^{\mathcal{P}_\alpha}$. Obviously, we have then $K \in V^{\mathcal{P}_\alpha} \cap I_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}^+$ as well. But then, by our construction, there is some $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha+1} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset K \subset H$, and our proof is complete.

In [2] the following problem was raised: For what cardinals κ is there an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^\omega$ that refines $[\kappa]^{\omega_1}$?

Since, trivially, $[\kappa]^{\omega_1} \subset I_{\mathcal{A}}^+$, we immediately get that every saturated family has this property, and in our V^P a saturated family exists for each κ . In [4] it was shown that an almost disjoint $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^\omega$ refining $[\kappa]^{\omega_1}$ exists for $\kappa = 2^\omega$ in ZFC and for every $\kappa < \omega_{\omega_1}$ in L. In [1] it was shown that an almost disjoint $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^\omega$ refining $\{X \subset \kappa : \text{tip}(X) \in \omega^2\}$ exists for $\kappa = (2^\omega)^{+\eta}$, $\eta \in \omega$, in ZFC. Several similar problems are also discussed in [1]. On the other hand it is still unknown whether a saturated $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^\omega$ exists in ZFC.

To conclude, we note that our notion of forcing P is CCC with $|P| = 2^\omega$, hence V^P has the same cardinal arithmetic as V , moreover P is "mild" and thus will not effect large cardinals. Thus the problem of producing a model in which there is no saturated almost disjoint family on some set X looks very hard.

References

- [1] B. BALCAR, J. DOČKÁLKOVÁ, P. SIMON: Almost disjoint families of countable sets, Proc. Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 37. Finite and infinite sets, Eger, 1981.
- [2] A. HAJNAL: Some results and problems in set theory, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 11(1960), 277-298.
- [3] T. JECH: Set theory, Academic Press, 1978.
- [4] P. KOMJÁTH: Dense systems of almost-disjoint sets, Proc. Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 37. Finite and infinite sets, Eger, 1981.
- [5] K. KUNEN: Set theory, North Holland, 1980.
- [6] P. NYIKOS, J. PELANT, P. SIMON: private communication.
- [7] S.H. HECHLER: Generalizations of almost disjointness, c -sets, and the Baire number of \mathfrak{N} - \mathfrak{N} , Gen. Top. and its Appl. 8(1978), 93-110.

Mathematical Institute of Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Reáltanoda u.13-15, Budapest V, Hungary

(Oblatum 12.8. 1987)

