

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1985

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0026|log58

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

A NOTE ON THE MARTINGALE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM
Petr LACHOUT

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to show that McLeish's Central Limit Theorem (see [1], p. 58) for the martingale differences is valid without assuming their square integrability.

Key words and phrases: a zero-mean martingale array, the central limit theorem, a uniform integrability.

Classification: Primary 60F05

Secondary 60G42

Theorem. Let $(S_{nk}, A_{nk}, k = 1, \dots, k_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a zero-mean martingale array with differences X_{nk} . Suppose that

- 1) $E \max \{|X_{nk}| \mid k = 1, \dots, k_n\} \rightarrow 0$,
- 2) $\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} X_{nk}^2 \xrightarrow{p} \eta^2$, where η^2 is an a.s. finite random variable,
- 3) the σ -fields are nested:

$$A_{nk} \subset A_{n+1,k} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, k_n, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $S_{nk_n} \xrightarrow{d} S$ (stably), where the r.v. S has the characteristic function $E \exp(-\frac{1}{2} t^2 \eta^2)$.

Proof: A detailed examination of the proof in [1] (Theorem 3.2, p. 58-63) shows that we have only to prove that

$\prod_{k=1}^{k_n} (1 + itX_{nk}) \rightarrow 1$ weakly in L^1 for all real t

assuming that $\sum_{k=1}^{j_n-1} X_{nk}^2 \leq C$ and $X_{nj} = 0$ for $j=j_n+1, \dots, k_n$.

Fix real t and put $M_n = \max \{|X_{nk}| \mid k=1, \dots, k_n\}$,

$T_{nk} = \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} (1 + itX_{nj})$ and $T_n = T_{nk_n}$.

$$\text{a) We have } |T_{nk}| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{j_n} \sqrt{1 + t^2 X_{nj}^2} \leq$$

$$\leq (1 + |t| M_n) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} t^2 \sum_{j=1}^{j_n-1} X_{nj}^2\right) \leq (1 + |t| M_n) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} t^2 C\right).$$

Consequently $(T_{nk}, k=1, \dots, k_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ is uniformly integrable by (1).

b) Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and f a bounded function which is \mathcal{A}_{jk_j} -measurable. Then we have

$$E T_n f = E \left\{ T_{nk_j} f E \left[\prod_{k=k_j+1}^{k_n} (1 + itX_{nk}) / \mathcal{A}_{nk_j} \right] \right\} = E T_{nk_j} f$$

for $n \geq j$ as X_{nk} are martingale differences.

It follows from (1) that $T_{nk_j} \xrightarrow{P} 1$, hence

$$E T_n f = E T_{nk_j} f \rightarrow E f \text{ by (a).}$$

c) Let f be an arbitrary measurable bounded function, such that $|f| \leq D$.

Denote $B = \sigma \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} A_{nk} \right)$ and observe that

$B = \sigma \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_{nk_n} \right)$ as the σ -fields are nested. For a fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$

we have

$$|E\{(T_n - 1)E[f/B]\}| \leq E\{|T_n - 1| |E[f/B] - E[f/A_{jk_j}]\}| +$$

$$+ |E\{(T_n - 1)E[f/A_{jk_j}]\}|$$

and by (a)

$$E\{|T_n - 1| |E[f/B] - E[f/A_{jk_j}]\}| \leq 2D \exp(\frac{1}{2} t^2 C) |t| M_n + \\ + (1 + \exp(\frac{1}{2} t^2 C)) E|E[f/B] - E[f/A_{jk_j}]|.$$

Using (b) we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |E(T_n - 1)f| \leq (1 + \exp(\frac{1}{2} t^2 C)) E|E[f/B] - E[f/A_{jk_j}]|$$

for all $j \in N$.

As $E[f/A_{jk_j}] \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow +\infty} E[f/B]$ a.s. it follows that $T_n \rightarrow 1$ weakly in L^1 . \square

As a consequence to our Theorem we shall prove the law of large numbers for a zero-mean martingale with Feller-Lindeberg type condition.

Corollary: Let $(S_n, n \in N)$ be a zero-mean martingale with differences X_n for which the following assumptions hold:

$$E|X_n| \leq D \text{ for all } n \in N \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E\{|X_k| I(|X_k| \geq \epsilon n)\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0.$$

Then $\frac{1}{n} S_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

Proof: Denote $X_{nk} = \frac{1}{n} X_k$, $A_{nk} = \sigma(X_j, j=1, \dots, k)$, $k_n = n$ and $M_n = \max\{|X_k| \mid k=1, \dots, n\}$. Then $(X_{nk}, k=1, \dots, n)$ are martingale differences. It is enough to check the other assumptions of Theorem.

1) For $\epsilon > 0$ we can write

$$E \max\{|X_{nk}| \mid k=1, \dots, n\} \leq \epsilon + \frac{1}{n} E\{M_n I(M_n \geq \epsilon n)\} \leq \\ \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E\{|X_k| I(|X_k| \geq \epsilon n)\} + \epsilon.$$

Hence $E \max\{|X_{nk}| \mid k=1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow 0$.

2) For $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m X_{nk}^2 \geq \epsilon\right) = P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m X_k^2 \geq \epsilon n^2, \sum_{k=1}^m |X_k| \leq Bn\right) + \\
& + P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m X_k^2 \geq \epsilon n^2, \sum_{k=1}^m |X_k| > Bn\right) \leq \\
& \leq P\left(M_n \sum_{k=1}^m |X_k| \geq \epsilon n^2, \sum_{k=1}^m |X_k| \leq Bn\right) + \frac{D}{B} \leq \\
& \leq P\left(M_n \geq \frac{n}{B} \epsilon\right) + \frac{D}{B}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (1) we get $\limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m X_{nk}^2 \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{D}{B}$

and consequently $\sum_{k=1}^m X_{nk}^2 \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

3) It is evident that the σ -fields are nested.

The required result then follows from Theorem. \square

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] HALL, P., HEYDE C.C.: Martingale Limit Theory and Its Application, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [2] McLEISH D.L.: Dependent central limit theorem and Invariance principles, Ann. Probab. 2(1974), 620-628.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta, Karlova universita, Sokolovská 83,
186 00 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 11.3. 1985)