

Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1985

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0026|log54

Kontakt/Contact

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 26,3 (1885)

EXTENSION OF DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS V. AVERSA, M. LACZKOVICH and D. PREISS 1)

Abstract. Let $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed and let $F:H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be differentiable with respect to H. It is shown that

- (i) F' is Baire 2 on H;
- (iii) F' is not necessarily Baire 1;
- (iii) F can be extended to \mathbb{R}^n as an everywhere differentiable function if and only if F is Baire 1 on H.

Key words: Differentiable functions of several variables, extensions.

Classification: 26B05

1. Introduction. Let H be a perfect subset of R and let

f:H → R be differentiable with respect to H. It is easy to see that f' is Baire 1 on H and it is also well-known that f can be extended to R as an everywhere differentiable function (see e.g. [3],[4]). In this paper we are going to investigate the analogous problems in the n dimensional Euclidean space Rⁿ.

Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the linear space of all linear forms on \mathbb{R}^n endowed with the usual norm. Let H be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $F: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. F is said to be differentiable at as H if there is $L(a) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

Part of this work was done while the second and third author visited the University of Naples and was completed while they participated in the Special Year in Real Analysis at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{F(x) - F(a) - (L(s), x - a)}{|x - a|} = 0.$$

The linear form L(a) is called the derivative of F at a; if it is determined uniquely, it is also denoted by F'(a). The function F is said to be differentiable on H, if it is differentiable at every point of H.

Let $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed and let $F: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable on H. Obviously, if its derivative is not determined uniquely, it need not be in the first class. For example, it suffices to consider the segment H = [0,1] as a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 , the function F = 0 and its derivative $(L(x),(u_1,u_2)) = 0$ if $x \in A$ and $(L(x),(u_1,u_2)) = u_2$ if $x \notin A$, where A is, say, a nonmeasurable subset of H.

A natural conjecture seems to be that the derivative of F is in the first class provided that it is determined uniquely. We prove that this is not the case (Theorem 5). However, if F' is determined uniquely, it is of Baire class 2 (Theorem 4(i)). Also, if the tangent space of H is sufficiently rich, then F' is in the first class (Theorem 4(ii)).

Since the derivative of an everywhere differentiable function is Baire 1, a function $F: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, differentiable on the closed set H can be extended to an everywhere differentiable function only if its derivative is Baire 1 on H. We show that this condition is sufficient as well (Theorem 7). For the proof we will need a generalization of the following theorem of L.E. Snyder [5]. If f is Baire 1 on the compact metric space X then there is a function $g: (X \times \mathbb{R}^+) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is the limit of g along the Stolz cones $\{(x,y), y > \text{dist}(x,a)\}$ (a.e.X). We prove that the assertion remains valid if we replace $X \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and

X×i0} by an arbitrary metric space and a nowhere dense closed subset, respectively (Theorem 6).

2. Baire class of derivatives. Let H be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. A vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a tangent vector to H at x if

$$\lim_{r\to 0+}\inf dist(x+ru, H)/r=0.$$

The set of all tangent vectors to H at x is denoted by Tan(H,x).

Lemma 1. Let L(a) be a derivative of the function $f: H \to \mathbb{R}$ at a $\in H$. Then A $\in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a derivative of F at a if and only if

$$Tan(H,a) \subset Ker(A - L(a)).$$

<u>Proof.</u> Let B = A - L(a) and suppose first that A is a derivative of F at a. Then B is a derivative of O at a and hence

(1)
$$\lim_{\substack{x \to a \\ x \in H}} \frac{(B_x x - a)}{|x - a|} = 0.$$

Whenever $u \in Tan(H,a)$, we can find a sequence r_n of positive numbers converging to zero and a sequence $x_n \in H$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |x_n - (a + r_n u)|/r_n = 0.$$

Then

$$|(B,u)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|(B,x_n - a)|}{r_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|(B,x_n - a)|}{|x_n - a|} \cdot \frac{|x_n - a|}{r_n} = 0$$

since $|x_n - a| \le |x_n - (a + r_n u)| + |r_n u| \le r_n (1 + |u|)$ for n large enough. Therefore (B, u) = 0 for every $u \in Tan(H, x)$ and hence $Tan(H, x) \subset Ker B$.

Now let $Tan(H,x) \subset Ker B$; first we prove (1). Suppose indirectly that there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $x_n \in H \setminus \{a\}, x_n \longrightarrow a$

such that

$$\frac{|(B,x_n-a)|}{|x_n-a|} \ge e.$$

There is a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}^2\}$ such that $\frac{x_{n_k}-a}{|x_{n_k}-a|}$ converges to a unit vector u. It is easy to check that ueTan(H,x) and $\langle B,u\rangle \neq 0$, a contradiction. Therefore (1) holds true and hence B is a derivative of 0 and A = L(a) + B is a derivative of F at a.

Corollary 2. Whenever $a \in H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) For every function $F:H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ differentiable at a, the derivative is determined uniquely.
 - (ii) Tan(H,a) spans Rn.

<u>Proposition 3</u>. Let H be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let, for each $x \in \mathbb{H}$, $a_H(x) = \sup \{ \det(u^1, \dots, u^n), u^1, \dots, u^n \text{ are unit vectors from } Tan(\mathbb{H}, x) \}$. Then

- (i) for every a>0 the set $E_a=\{x\in H; a_H(s)\geq a\}$ is a $G_{\sigma'}$ subset of H, and
- (ii) whenever $F: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on H and a > 0, then F as a map from \mathbb{B}_a to $\mathscr{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is of Baire class 1 relative to \mathbb{B}_a .

<u>Proof.</u> Let F be a function differentiable on H and let M be a closed subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We intend to prove that the set $B = \{x \in E_a, F'(x) \in M\}$ is a $G_{\sigma'}$ subset of H. This clearly implies the second statement of the proposition and, since one may choose F = 0 and $M = \{0\}$, also its first statement.

For each $x \in B$ and each k = 1, 2, ... we find numbers $c_k(x) \in (0, 2^{-k})$, $t_k^1(x), ..., t_k^n(x) \in (0, c_k(x))$ and unit vectors

 $\begin{array}{l} u_k^1(x),\ldots,u_k^n(x)\in\mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that } |F(y)-F(x)-\langle F^{'}(x),y-x\rangle|<\\ <2^{-k}\|y-x\| \text{ whenever }y\in H\text{ and }0<\|y-x\|< c_k(x),\\ x+t_k^1(x)u_k^1(x)\in H\text{ for each }i=1,\ldots,n,\text{ and}\\ \det(u_k^1(x),\ldots,u_k^n(x))>a-2^{-k}. \end{array}$

Next we use the continuity of F on H to find $d_k(x)\in (0,2^{-k}\,\min(t_k^1(x),\ldots,t_k^n(x))) \text{ such that } |F(x|+t_k^1(x)u_k^1(x))-F(y)-\langle F'(x),t_k^1(x)u_k^1(x)\rangle| \leq 2^{-k}t_k^1(x) \text{ for each } i=1,\ldots,n \text{ and each } y\in H \text{ with } \|y-x\| < d_k(x).$

Whenever $y \in H \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{x \in B} \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|y-x\| < d_k(x)\}, \text{ we find}$

a sequence $x_k \in B$ such that $\|x_k - y\| < d_k(x_k)$. There is a subsequence $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ such that

From z + vieH and from

 $\lim_{j \to \infty} (t_{j}^{1})^{-1} \| (z_{j} + v_{j}^{1} - y) - t_{j}^{1} u^{1} \| \leq \lim_{j \to \infty} [(t_{j}^{1})^{-1} \| z_{j} - y \| + \| u_{j}^{1} - u^{1} \| \| \leq \lim_{j \to \infty} 2^{-j} = 0$

we infer that $u^1 \in Tan(H,y)$ for each i = 1,...,n. Since clearly $det(u^1,...,u^m) \ge a$, $y \in E_a$.

Whenever $\epsilon > 0$ and j is sufficiently large, we have $\begin{aligned} |F(z_j + v_j^i) - F(y)| &- \langle F'(y), (z_j + v_j^i - y) \rangle | \leq \epsilon & \|z_j + v_j^i - y\| \leq \epsilon & \epsilon (t_j^i + d_j) \leq \epsilon & \epsilon_j^i. \end{aligned}$

Hence

$$\begin{split} &|\langle F'(z_{j}), v_{j}^{1} \rangle - \langle F'(y), v_{j}^{1} \rangle| \leq |F(z_{j} + v_{j}^{1}) - F(y) - \langle F'(z_{j}), v_{j}^{1} \rangle| \\ &+ 2 \varepsilon t_{j}^{1} + |\langle F'(y), z_{j} - y \rangle| \leq (2^{-j} + 2\varepsilon) t_{j}^{1} + ||F'(y)|| d_{j} \\ &\leq (2^{-j} + 2\varepsilon + 2^{-j} ||F'(y)||) t_{j}^{1} \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n. \end{split}$$

Consequently, $\lim_{j\to\infty} |\langle F'(z_j), u_j^i \rangle - \langle F'(y), u_j^i \rangle| = 0$ for -601

each i = 1,...,n, which, together with $\lim_{j\to\infty} \det(u_j^1,...,u_j^n) > 0$ imply that $F'(y) = \lim F'(x_1)$. Since $F'(x_1) \in M$ for each j == 1,2,..., F (y) ∈ M and therefore y ∈ B. Thus $\text{BoH} \cap \bigcap_{k=4} \cup_{x \in B} \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n; \|y-x\| < d_k(x) \},$ and, since the converse inclusion is obvious, B is a Gr subset of H.

Theorem 4. Let H be a subset of Rn such that Tan(H,x) spans Rn for every x & H.

- (i) If F:H→R is differentiable on H, then F as a map from H to &(Rn) is of Baire class 2 relative to H.
- (ii) If H can be covered by countably many relatively closed subsets H_k such that inf $\{a_H(x), x \in H_k\} > 0$ for each k, then the derivative of every function differentiable on H is of the first class on H.

Proof. Both statements follow immediately from Proposition 3.

Theorem 5. There exist a compact set H C R2 and a function F:H-> R such that F is differentiable on H. F'(x) is uniquely determined at every point of H and F is not Baire 1.

Proof. Let C denote the Cantor ternary set in [0,1] and let $]a_n,b_n[$ be the components of $]0,1[\C.]$ We denote $T_n=$ = $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n\}$, $T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ and $C' = C \setminus T$.

For every fixed n we construct a set $S_n \subset C$ with $\overline{S}_n \setminus S_n \subset C$ $C T_n \cup \{0,1\}$ and such that for every $t \in C \setminus T_n$ there is an $s \in S_n$ with it - si < dist4(t,Tn).

Let J_{α_j} , β_j [(j = 1,...,n+1) denote the components of $]0,1[\]^{0}$, $[a_{1},b_{1}]$. For every $j=1,\ldots,n+1$ we choose an increasing sequence $\{x_k^{(j)}\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{k\to-\infty}x_k^{(j)}=\omega_j, \lim_{k\to\infty}x_k^{(j)}=\beta_j$ and $0< x_{k+1}^{(j)}=x_k^{(j)}< \min((x_k^{(j)}-\omega_j)^4, (\beta_j-x_{k+1}^{(j)})^4)$ for every k. We select a point $\sec^*\cap [x_k^{(j)},x_{k+1}^{(j)}]$ whenever this intersection is nonempty and we denote by S_n the set of these points. It is easy to check that S_n satisfies our requirements.

We denote

$$S_n^* = \bigcup_{s \in S_m} \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, |x - s| \le y \le \frac{1}{2} \text{ dist}^2(s,T_n) \}$$

and

$$H_n = f(x,y); a_n \in x \leq b_n, 0 \leq y \leq (b_n - a_n) \min(x - a_n, b_n - x);$$

$$(n = 1, 2, ...).$$

Finally, we define

$$H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (H_n \cup S_n^*) \cup (C \times \{0\})$$

and

$$F(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (x,y) \in H \setminus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n \\ y & \text{if } (x,y) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that H is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . We show that $\operatorname{Tan}(H,x)$ spans \mathbb{R}^2 for every $x\in H$. This is obvious for $x\in H\setminus (C\times\{0\})$. If $x=(t,0)\in (C\times\{0\})$ and if $t\in T_n$ then x is a vertex of H_n and the assertion is also clear. If $t\in C$ then, obviously, $(1,0)\in \operatorname{Tan}(H,x)$. We prove that $(0,1)\in \operatorname{Tan}(H,x)$. Let $r_n=\operatorname{dist}^2(t,T_n)$ and choose an $s_n\in S_n$ with $s_n-t< r_n^2$. Since $(s_n,\frac{1}{8}r_n)\in H$ if n is sufficiently large,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{r}_n(0,1), \mathbf{H})/\mathbf{r}_n \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} |(\mathbf{t}, \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{r}_n) - (\mathbf{s}_n, \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{r}_n)|/\mathbf{r}_n$ $= \lim_{n\to\infty} |\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{s}_n|/\mathbf{r}_n \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{r}_n = 0.$

Hence (0,1) belongs to Tan(H,x).

We claim that F'(x,y) = 0 for $(x,y) \in H \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} H_n$ and (F'(x,y), (u,v)) = v for $(x,y) \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} H_n$. This is obvious for all points $(x,y) \in H \setminus (C \times \{0\})$. To prove the remaining case, we first note that, whenever $t \in C$ and $(u,v) \in H_n$, then $0 \le v \le (b_n - a_n) \cdot dist(u,\{a_n,b_n\}) \le (b_n - a_n) \cdot u - t|$. Since $b_n - a_n \to 0$, this shows F'(t,0) = 0 for every $t \in C'$. Now let $t \in \{a_m,b_m\}$, we have to show

$$\lim_{\substack{(u,v)\to(t,0)\\(u,v)\in H}} \frac{F(u,v)-v}{|(u,v)-(t,0)|} = 0.$$

Since F(u,v) = v if $(u,v) \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} H_n$ and F(u,v) = 0 otherwise, it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{\substack{(u,v)\to(t,0)\\(u,v)\in\cup S_n^{\#}}} \frac{v}{u-t} = 0.$$

Since a_m and b_m do not belong to the closure of the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{m-1} S_n^*$, thus $o' = \operatorname{dist}((t,0),\bigcup_{n=1}^{m-1} S_n^*) > 0$. If $(u,v) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n^*$, $\operatorname{dist}((u,v),(t,0)) < o'$, then $(u,v) \in \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} S_n^*$ and hence there is $n \ge m$ and $s \in S_n$ such that $|u-s| \le v \le \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}^2(s,T_n) \le \frac{1}{2}(s-t)^2$. Therefore $|u-t| \ge |s-t| - |u-s| \ge |s-t| - \frac{1}{2}(s-t)^2 \ge \frac{1}{2} |s-t|$ and $v \le \frac{1}{2}(s-t)^2$, which proves our assertion.

Finally, we note that F is not Baire 1 on H, since $C \times \{0\}$ contains no point of continuity of the restriction of F to $C \times \{0\}$.

3. Extension of differentiable functions. Our next result will be used in the proof of the extension theorem, but may have some interest in itself. Let H be a nowhere dense, closed subset of the metric space (X,d). By a Stolz cone with vertex $a \in H$ we

mean a set

 $\{x \in X; dist(x,H) \ge c \cdot d(x,a)\}$,

Theorem 6. Let (X,d) be a metric space, let H be a closed subset of X and let $f: H \to \mathbb{R}$ be of Baire class 1 on H. Then there exists a function $g(X \setminus H) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

(3)
$$\lim_{x\to a} |g(x) - f(a)| \frac{dist(x,H)}{d(x,a)} = 0$$

$$x \in X \setminus H$$

for every a & 0 H.

<u>Proof.</u> First we remark that if $f:Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Baire 1 function defined on the metric space Y then f is the pointwise limit of a sequence of bounded Lipschitz functions. This has been proved by Hausdorff in a more general setting (see [2], § 41, pp. 264-276); or it follows more directly from [1], Proposition 3.9.

Applying this result to Y = H as a subspace of X and to $f: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we get a sequence $f_n: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of bounded Lipschitz functions converging to f on H. Let $1 \le M_1 \le M_2 \le \ldots$ and $0 < K_1 \le K_2 \le \ldots$ be such that $|f_n| \le M_n$ and $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \le K_n d(x,y)$ $(n = 1,2,\ldots; x,y \in H)$.

Let $x \in X \setminus H$ be fixed. If dist $(x, H) \ge (K_1(M_1 + 2))^{-1}$, we - 605 -

define g(x) = 0. If, for a natural number n,

(4) $[(n+1)K_{n+1}((n+1)M_{n+1}+2)]^{-1} \le \operatorname{dist}(x,H) < [nK_n(nM_n+2)]^{-1}$ then we select a point $u(x) \in H$ with d(x,u(x)) < 2 dist(x,H) and define $g(x) = f_n(u(x))$. We prove that if a $\in H$, $x \in X \setminus H$ and (4) holds then

(5)
$$ig(x) - f(a)i \frac{dist(x,H)}{d(x,a)} \le \frac{1}{n} + \frac{|f(a)|}{n} + |f_n(a)| - f(a)i.$$

Since $f_n(a) \rightarrow f(a)$, this will prove (3). We distinguish between two cases.

If
$$\frac{dist(x,H)}{d(x,a)} \le \frac{1}{nM_n}$$
 then we have $|g(x) - f(a)| = \frac{dist(x,H)}{d(x,a)} \le |f_n(u(x)) - f(a)|$

•
$$\frac{1}{nM_n} \le \frac{M_n}{nM_n} + \frac{if(a)i}{nM_n}$$
 end thus (5) holds true.

If
$$\frac{\mathrm{dist}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{H})}{\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{a})} > \frac{1}{\mathrm{nM}_{\mathbf{n}}}$$
 then $\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{a}) \neq \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{x}) + \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{a}) < < 2$ dist(x,H) + nM_n dist(x,H) = (nM_n + 2) dist(x,H) < 1/nK_n,

$$\begin{split} |g(x) - f(a)| &= |f_n(u(x)) - f(a)| \le |f_n(u(x)) - f_n(a)| + \\ &+ |f_n(a) - f(a)| \le K_n d(u(x), a) + |f_n(a) - f(a)| < \frac{1}{n} + \\ &+ |f_n(a) - f(a)|. \end{split}$$

Since dist(x,H) \leq d(x,a), this implies (5), which completes the proof.

Theorem 7. Suppose that H is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n , F is a real valued function defined on H and L:H $\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a derivative of F on H. Then F can be extended to a function \hat{F} everywhere differentiable on \mathbb{R}^n with

$$\hat{F}'(x) = L(x)$$
 (x \(H)

if and only if L is a map of the first class.

<u>Proof.</u> The necessity of the condition is obvious, since, by Theorem 4(ii), the derivative of every function differentiable on \mathbb{R}^n is Baire 1. In order to prove the sufficiency, suppose that $f: \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and L satisfy the conditions of the theorem.

Then there is a map A: $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

(6)
$$\lim_{\substack{u \to a \\ u \neq H}} \|A(u) - L(a)\| \frac{\operatorname{dist}(u,H)}{|u - a|} = 0$$

for every a & 3 H. Indeed, let

$$(L(x),u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}(x)u_{i}$$
 $(x \in H, u = (u_{1},...,u_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}),$

then the functions $L_i: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are of Baire class 1. By Theorem. 6, there are functions $g_i: (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that (3) holds with $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f = L_i$. We define $(A(u), v) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(u)v_i$ $(u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus H, v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n)$, then the map $A: (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (6).

Let T: $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{H}$ be a map with $\{u = T(u)\} = \operatorname{dist}(u,\mathbb{H}) (u \in \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let Φ_j be a locally finite C^1 partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{H}$ subordinated to the system of open balls with center $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{H}$ and radius $\frac{1}{6}$ dist (u,\mathbb{H}) . For every j, let $u_j \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{H}$ be chosen such that $\Phi_j(u_j) \neq 0$. We define \hat{F} by

Let a € ∂ H. Then for every u ≰ H

$$|\hat{F}(u) - \hat{F}(a) - (L(a), u - a)|$$

$$= |\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}(u)[F(T(u_{j})) + (A(u_{j}), u - T(u_{j})) - \hat{F}(a) - (L(a), u - a)]|$$

$$= |\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}(u)[F(T(u_{j})) - F(a) - (L(a), T(u_{j}) - a) - (L(a) - A(u_{j}), u - T(u_{j}))]|$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}(u)|F(T(u_{j})) - F(a) - (L(a), T(u_{j}) - a)| + \Phi_{j}(u) + 0$$

$$= 607 -$$

+
$$\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z}_1(u) \neq 0 \\ \Phi_j(u) \neq 0}} \Phi_j(u) | (L(a)-A(u_j), u-T(u_j))| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Sigma_1(u) + \Sigma_2(u).$$

Now $\Phi_j(u) \neq 0$ implies $|u - u_j| < \frac{1}{2}$ dist(u,H), from which

(7) $|u-T(u_j)| \leq |u-u_j| + |u_j-T(u_j)| \leq |u-u_j| + |u_j-T(u)|$
 $\leq 2|u-u_j| + |u-T(u)| \leq 2 \text{ dist}(u,H).$

Thus we have

$$\begin{split} &|T(u_j)-a|\leq |u-T(u_j)| + |u-a| \leq 2 \text{ dist}(u,H) + |u-a| \leq 3|u-a|. \\ &\text{Since } F'(a) = L(a), \text{ there is a function } w:H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that} \end{split}$$

$$\lim_{x\to a} w(z) = 0 \text{ and } x\to a$$

$$|F(z)-F(a)-(L(a),z-a)| = w(z)|z-a| (z \in H).$$

Then

$$|\Sigma_{1}(u)| = \sum_{\Phi_{j}(u) \neq 0} \Phi_{j}(u) w(T(u_{j})) |T(u_{j})-a| \leq 3 |u-a|$$

$$\Phi_{j}(\omega) \neq 0$$

$$\sum_{\Phi_{j}(u) \neq 0} \Phi_{j}(u) \sup_{\Phi(z), z \in H, |z-a| \leq 3 |u-a|} = 3 |u-a| \sup_{\Phi(z), z \in H, |z-a| \leq 3 |u-a|}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\substack{u\to a\\u\neq H}}\frac{|\Sigma_1(u)|}{u-a}=0.$$

On the other hand, by (7), we get

$$\frac{|\langle L(a)-A(u_j),u-T(u_j)\rangle|}{|u-a|} \leq \frac{||L(a)-A(u_j)|| \cdot 2 \operatorname{dist}(u,H)}{|u-a|}$$

$$\leq ||L(a)-A(u_j)|| \frac{4 \cdot \operatorname{dist}(u_j,H)}{\frac{1}{2}|u_j-a|}$$

which, taking (6) into consideration, implies

$$\lim_{\substack{u \to a \\ u \notin H}} \frac{\Sigma_2(u)}{|u-a|} = 0.$$

Since, from the differentiability of F on H.

in
$$u \rightarrow a$$
 $u \in H$ $\frac{\hat{f}(u) - \hat{f}(a) - (L(a), u - a)}{u - a} = 0$,

we obtain $\hat{F}'(a) = L(a)$. This finishes the proof of the theorem, since \hat{F} is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H$.

References

- [1] Á. CSÁSZÁR and M. LACZKOVICH: Some remarks on discrete Baire classes, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 33(1979), 51-70/
- [2] F. HAUSDORFF: Set theory, Chelsea, 1962.
- [3] V. JARNÍK: Sur l'extension du domaine de définition des fonctions d'une variable qui laisse intacte la dérivabilité de la fonction, Bull. International de l'Académie des sciences de Bohême, 1923.
- [4] G. PETRUSKA and M. LACZKOVICH: Baire 1 functions, approximately continuous functions, and derivatives, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 25(1974), 189-212.
- [5] L.E. SNYDER: Stolz angle convergence in metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 22(1967), 515-522.

Istituto di Matematica Dell'Università di Napoli, Via Mezzocannone 8, Napoli, Italy

Department of Analysis, Ectvos Loránd University, Budapest, Múzeum krt. 6-8, Hungary H-1088

Department of Analysis, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8, Czechoslovskia

(Oblatum 4.1. 1985)