

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1985

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\\_0026|log44](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0026|log44)

## Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

A NOTE ON THE SOLVABILITY OF NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS  
WITH JUMPING NONLINEARITIES  
Flavio DONATI <sup>1)</sup>

**Abstract:** We study semilinear boundary value problems with nonlinearities crossing a simple eigenvalue. Some criteria for existence and non-existence of solutions are presented; some open questions and connections to a number of papers on the subject are also discussed.

**Key words:** Nonlinear boundary value problems, cross of a simple eigenvalue, multiplicity of solutions.

Classification: 35J65

**Introduction.** The aim of this note is to give some contributions to the study of the solvability of semilinear boundary value problems such as

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) + h, & h \in L^2(\Omega) \\ u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

where the nonlinearity  $g$  interacts, in some sense, with the spectrum of the linear part and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , is a bounded domain with smooth boundary.

In the sequel we will not distinguish between the function  $g$  and its associated Nemitskyi operator and we shall assume that  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function such that

$g_{\pm} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{g(x)}{x}$  exist in  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $g_- \neq g_+$  that is, following

(x) Work performed under the auspices of G.N.A.F.A. (C.N.R.) and supported by the project "Metodi asintotici e topologici in problemi differenziali non lineari", Facoltà di Scienze M.F.N., Università dell'Aquila.

[7],  $g$  is a "jumping nonlinearity" (with finite jumps). We shall suppose  $g_- < g_+$  and the interval  $(g_-, g_+)$  containing a simple eigenvalue of the considered linear operator, i.e. the nonlinearity  $g$  crosses an eigenvalue.

This type of problems originated from the pioneering work of Ambrosetti and Prodi [3], dealing with the cross of the first eigenvalue, has been extensively investigated in recent years; for an exhaustive bibliography we refer the reader to the survey paper [6]. The cross of a (simple) higher eigenvalue, however, exhibits some particular features as shown, for instance, in [5], [8], [9], [12], [13]. Actually, in this case, the results of Ambrosetti-Prodi type are established only according to the particular nature of the eigenfunction corresponding to the considered eigenvalue; moreover, a complete description of the solvability problems such as  $(\mathcal{P})$  seems to be known only for the case  $N = 1$ , see [5], [8], [9]. Finally, some "hidden" or nonlinear resonance phenomena can occur, see [9], [13]. For other interesting features on the jumping nonlinearities we refer to recent papers [2], [14].

Here we present, in a simple and unified way, some criteria on  $g_-$ ,  $g_+$  which allow to decide on the solvability of problem  $(\mathcal{P})$  (under an additional assumption on  $g$ ); our results complete and slightly improve analogous results in [5], [12]. The plan is the following: in Section 1 we state the results and briefly discuss some possible refinements and related open questions; in Section 2 we prove some auxiliary lemmas and in Section 3 we give the proofs of the main results.

We wish to thank T. Gallouët and G. Mancini for helpful discussions and comments.

1. Notation and statement of the results. We shall study problem (P) in the following, more general, formulation

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} Au = g(u) + h, & h \in L^2(\Omega) \\ u \in D(A) \end{cases}$$

where

$$(H_1) \quad \begin{cases} A: D(A) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega) \text{ is a densely defined self-} \\ \text{adjoint linear operator with compact resolvent;} \end{cases}$$

then  $A$  is a closed operator and its domain  $D(A)$ , equipped with the graph norm  $\|u\|' = (\|u\|^2 + \|Au\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $u \in D(A)$ , is compactly embedded in  $L^2(\Omega)$  (with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ ). Moreover, the spectrum of  $A$  consists of a countable sequence  $(\lambda_k) \subset \mathbb{R}$  of eigenvalues, repeated according to their finite multiplicity, and the corresponding eigenfunctions  $\{\varphi_k\}$  are a complete orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$ . In order to simplify the notation we shall set  $X = D(A)$ ,  $Y = L^2(\Omega)$  and write  $\lambda$  for the simple eigenvalue crossed by  $g$  and  $\varphi$  for the associated normalized eigenfunction; we shall also set  $\underline{\lambda} = \sup\{\lambda_k: \lambda_k < \lambda\}$  and  $\bar{\lambda} = \inf\{\lambda_k: \lambda < \lambda_k\}$ . Then the map  $\hat{A} = A - \lambda I: X \subset Y \rightarrow Y$  is a selfadjoint Fredholm operator (see e.g. [10], p. 239) and the spaces  $X$ ,  $Y$  admit the orthogonal decompositions

$$(1.1) \quad X = \mathbb{R}\varphi \oplus \hat{X}, \quad Y = \mathbb{R}\varphi \oplus \hat{Y}$$

where  $\hat{X} = X \cap (\mathbb{R}\varphi)^\perp$  (which is a Hilbert space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|'$ ) and  $\hat{Y} = (\mathbb{R}\varphi)^\perp$ ,  $(\ )^\perp$  being the orthogonal space in  $Y$ ; it is also known that the restriction of  $\hat{A}$  to  $\hat{X}$  has an inverse, denoted by  $\hat{A}^{-1}: \hat{Y} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ , which is bounded.

For the nonlinear part  $g$ , besides the above mentioned general assumptions, we shall require the following Lipschitz condition

$$(H_2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists a constant } 0 < L \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{A}^{-1}\|^{-1} \text{ such that} \\ \underline{\lambda} < \lambda - L \leq \frac{g(r_1) - g(r_2)}{r_1 - r_2} \leq \lambda + L < \bar{\lambda} \text{ for } r_1 \neq r_2, \\ \text{and } \lambda - L \leq g_- < \lambda < g_+ \leq \lambda + L; \end{array} \right.$$

finally we shall set  $c_+ = g_+ - \lambda$  and  $c_- = \lambda - g_-$  while, for a function  $u \in Y$ ,  $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$  and  $u^- = -\min\{u, 0\}$ .

We are now able to state our main results.

Theorem 1. Let  $\int_{\Omega} |\varphi| \varphi > 0$ , i.e.  $\|\varphi^+\| > \|\varphi^-\|$ ; if  $A$  and  $g$  verify  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and

$$(1.2) \quad \max\{c_+^2, c_-^2\} < \frac{1}{2 \|\hat{A}^{-1}\|} \min\{|c_+ \|\varphi^+\|^2 - c_- \|\varphi^-\|^2|, |c_- \|\varphi^+\|^2 - c_+ \|\varphi^-\|^2|\}$$

then

- (i) when  $\frac{\|\varphi^-\|^2}{\|\varphi^+\|^2} < \frac{c_+}{c_-} < \frac{\|\varphi^+\|^2}{\|\varphi^-\|^2}$ , for all  $q \in \hat{Y}$  there exists a  
real number  $T = T(q)$  such that for  $h = t\varphi + q$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the  
problem (P) has at least two solutions if  $t < T$ , at least  
one solution if  $t = T$  and no solutions if  $t > T$ ;
- (ii) when  $\frac{c_+}{c_-} < \frac{\|\varphi^-\|^2}{\|\varphi^+\|^2}$  or  $\frac{\|\varphi^+\|^2}{\|\varphi^-\|^2} < \frac{c_+}{c_-}$ , problem (P) is  
solvable for all  $h \in Y$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $\int_{\Omega} |\varphi| \varphi = 0$ ; if  $A$  and  $g$  verify  $(H_1)$ ,  
 $(H_2)$  and  $c_+ \neq c_-$  with

$$(1.3) \quad \max\{c_+^2, c_-^2\} < \frac{1}{2 \|\hat{A}^{-1}\|} \frac{|c_+ - c_-|}{2},$$

then problem (P) is solvable for all  $h \in Y$ .

Of course a result analogous to Theorem 1 is true when  $\int_{\Omega} |\varphi| \varphi < 0$  and both theorems hold, with obvious modifica-

tions, for the case  $g_- > g_+$  too; on the other hand, one can replace the constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  in  $(H_2)$  by an arbitrary  $K \in (0,1)$  provided  $\frac{1}{2}$  in (1.2), (1.3) is replaced by  $1 - K$ . A result similar to Theorem 1 (i) was proved in [12] by requiring a condition of the type (1.2) for the Lipschitz constant  $L$ ; our formulation, thanks to  $(H_2)$  and (1.2), allows separate controls on  $L$  and the behaviour at infinity of  $g$ . Moreover, results similar to Theorem 1 (i) and Theorem 2 were proved in [5] by a different method while Theorem 1 (ii) seems to be new. Despite of the involved form of (1.2), when  $c_+$  and  $c_-$  have a common value  $c$  (i.e.  $\frac{g_+ + g_-}{2} = \lambda$ ) we simply have

$$c < \frac{1}{2 \|\hat{A}^{-1}\|} \left| \int_{\Omega} |\varphi| \varphi \right|.$$

On the other hand, since  $\|\hat{A}^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq \min \{\lambda - \underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda} - \lambda\}$ , it would be interesting to know if the above theorems hold with  $\|\hat{A}^{-1}\|^{-1}$  replaced by  $\min \{\lambda - \underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda} - \lambda\}$  in (1.2), (1.3). Another open question is whether a result of Ambrosetti-Prodi type can occur when  $\int_{\Omega} |\varphi| \varphi = 0$ ; a negative answer is given in [9], under the stronger assumption that the functions  $\varphi^+, \varphi^-$  can be obtained one from the other by a translation, and in [5], [8] for the one-dimensional case.

**2. Auxiliary lemmas.** By the orthogonal decompositions given in (1.1) we can write every  $u \in X$  as

$$u = s\varphi + v \quad \text{with } s \in \mathbb{R}, v \in \hat{X}$$

and every  $h \in Y$  as

$$h = t\varphi + q \quad \text{with } t \in \mathbb{R}, q \in \hat{Y};$$

hence the problem (P) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & \begin{cases} Av = Pg(s\varphi + v) + q \\ (2.2) \quad s\lambda = (g(s\varphi + v), \varphi) + t \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $P: Y \rightarrow \hat{Y}$  is the orthogonal projection on  $\hat{Y}$ . As it is known, the equation (2.1) is always solvable, more precisely we have

Lemma 1. If A and g satisfy  $(H_1), (H_2)$  then, for every fixed  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $q \in \hat{Y}$ , there exists a unique  $v = v(s, q) \in \hat{X}$  solution of (2.1).

Though the proof of this lemma is the same of that given in [12], we present it for the reader's convenience.

Proof. Fixed  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we shall prove that the map defined as  $\Psi(v) = Av - Pg(s\varphi + v)$ , for  $v \in \hat{X}$ , is a homeomorphism of  $\hat{X}$  onto  $\hat{Y}$ . Since

$$(2.3) \quad \hat{A}^{-1} \Psi(v) = v - \hat{A}^{-1} P [g(s\varphi + v) - \lambda(s\varphi + v)]$$

it suffices to prove that  $\hat{A}^{-1} \Psi$  is a homeomorphism on  $\hat{X}$ ; by calling  $\Phi(v)$  the second addendum of (2.3), from  $(H_2)$  we get

$$\|\Phi(v) - \Phi(\bar{v})\|' \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v - \bar{v}\|' \text{ for } v, \bar{v} \in \hat{X},$$

i.e.  $\Phi$  is a contraction on  $\hat{X}$  and then, being  $\hat{A}^{-1} \Psi = I + \Phi$ , we can conclude by applying the Banach contraction mapping principle.

By this way the solvability of the problem (P) follows from that of equation (2.2) or better, by setting  $G(s, q) = s\lambda - (g(s\varphi + v(s, q)), \varphi)$ , from the study of the real-valued function  $G(s, q)$  for every fixed  $q \in \hat{Y}$ . The following lemma will enable us to investigate the behaviour at infinity of such a function.

Lemma 2. Let A and g be as in Lemma 1; then for all  $q \in \hat{Y}$  there exist

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{G(s, q)}{s} = - (c_+(\varphi + \bar{v})^+ + c_-(\varphi + \bar{v})^-, \varphi)$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{G(s, q)}{s} = (c_-(\varphi + \underline{v})^+ + c_+(\varphi + \underline{v})^-, \varphi),$$

with uniquely determined  $\bar{v}, \underline{v} \in \hat{X}$  (i.e. which are independent on  $q$ ) such that

$$\max \{ \|\bar{v}\|', \|\underline{v}\|' \} \leq 2 \|\hat{A}^{-1}\| \max \{ c_+, c_- \}.$$

Proof. We study only the case  $s \rightarrow +\infty$  since the proof for the other case is identical. Let  $\{s_n\}$  be a positively divergent sequence and, for a fixed  $q \in \hat{Y}$ , let  $v_n = v(s_n, q)$  be the unique solution of the equation (2.1); then  $v_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is such that

$$(2.4) \quad v_n = \hat{A}^{-1} P[q(s_n \varphi + v_n) - \lambda(s_n \varphi + v_n)] + \hat{A}^{-1} q.$$

By adding and subtracting the quantity  $g(s_n \varphi) - \lambda s_n \varphi$  in the square bracket and using  $(H_2)$ , after some easy computations, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| \frac{v_n}{s_n} \right\|' \leq \frac{\|\hat{A}^{-1}\|}{1 - \|\hat{A}^{-1}\|_L} \left( \left\| \frac{g(s_n \varphi)}{s_n} - \lambda \varphi \right\| + \left\| \frac{q}{s_n} \right\| \right);$$

next, since  $\left\{ \frac{g(s_n \varphi)}{s_n} \right\}$  converges strongly to  $g_+ \varphi^+ - g_- \varphi^-$  in  $Y$  (see for instance Lemma 2.5 of [9]), we have that

$$\left\| \frac{g(s_n \varphi)}{s_n} - \lambda \varphi \right\| \rightarrow \|c_+ \varphi^+ + c_- \varphi^-\|$$

and hence the sequence  $\left\| \frac{v_n}{s_n} \right\|'$  is bounded.

Then there exist  $\bar{v} \in \hat{X}$  and a subsequence of  $\left\{ \frac{v_n}{s_n} \right\}$ , still denoted by  $\left\{ \frac{v_n}{s_n} \right\}$ , which is weakly convergent to  $\bar{v}$  in  $\hat{X}$  and from  $(H_2)$ , (2.5) we get



$$\|\bar{v}\|' \leq 2 \|\hat{A}^{-1}\| \cdot \|c_+ \varphi^+ + c_- \varphi^-\| \leq 2 \|\hat{A}^{-1}\| \max\{c_+, c_-\}.$$

We have now to show that such a  $\bar{v}$  is uniquely determined and independent on the fixed  $q$ . For this purpose it suffices to prove that  $\bar{v}$  is the unique solution of the equation

$$w \in \hat{X}, Aw = P[g_+(\varphi + w)^+ - g_-(\varphi + w)^-]$$

or equivalently

$$(2.6) \quad w \in \hat{X}, w = \hat{A}^{-1}P[c_+(\varphi + w)^+ + c_-(\varphi + w)^-].$$

Since  $\left\|\frac{v_n}{s_n}\right\|'$  is bounded and  $X$  is compactly embedded in  $Y$ , there exists a subsequence of  $\left\{\frac{v_n}{s_n}\right\}$  which is strongly convergent to  $\bar{v}$  in  $Y$ ; hence, after dividing (2.4) by  $s_n$ , we can pass to the limit in (2.4) (again thanks to the quoted lemma in [9]) and conclude that  $\bar{v}$  is a solution of (2.6). In order to prove uniqueness let us suppose that there exist two solutions  $w_1, w_2$  of (2.6). By writing (2.6) for  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , subtracting term by term, and using the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} - (w_1 - w_2)^- &\leq (\varphi + w_1)^+ - (\varphi + w_2)^+ \leq (w_1 - w_2)^+ \\ - (w_1 - w_2)^+ &\leq (\varphi + w_1)^- - (\varphi + w_2)^- \leq (w_1 - w_2)^-, \end{aligned}$$

we have, from  $(H_2)$ ,

$$\|w_1 - w_2\|' \leq \|\hat{A}^{-1}\| \max\{c_+, c_-\} \|w_1 - w_2\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_1 - w_2\|'$$

giving rise to a contradiction.

Finally, the value of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{G(s_n, q)}{s_n}$  is immediately obtained,

since the whole sequence  $\left\{\frac{v_n}{s_n}\right\}$  converges to  $\bar{v}$ , by arguing as above for  $\{g(s_n(\varphi + \frac{v_n}{s_n}))/s_n\}$ .

In the sequel we shall also need the following

Lemma 3. Let  $A$  and  $g$  be as in Lemma 1; then, for every fixed  $q \in \hat{Y}$ ,  $G(s, q)$  is a continuous function of  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. By the definition of the function  $G(s, q)$  and the Lipschitz continuity of  $g$ , it suffices to prove the continuity of  $v(s, q)$  with respect to  $s$ , for every fixed  $q \in \hat{Y}$ . Then, let  $\{s_n\}$  be such that  $s_n \rightarrow s$  and, for every fixed  $q \in \hat{Y}$ , let  $v_n = v(s_n, q)$  be the unique solution of (2.1); by arguing as before in order to obtain (2.5), we get

$$(2.7) \quad \|v_n\|' \leq \text{const.} (\|g(s_n \varphi) - \lambda_{s_n} \varphi\| + \|q\|)$$

where the term on the right is bounded.

Hence, after extracting a subsequence, we may assume that  $v_n \rightarrow \tilde{v}$  strongly in  $Y$  and by the continuity of the map  $g$  in  $Y$  we have that  $Pg(s_n \varphi + v_n) \rightarrow Pg(s \varphi + \tilde{v})$  strongly in  $Y$ . From (2.1) it follows that  $Av_n \rightarrow Pg(s \varphi + \tilde{v}) + q$  strongly in  $Y$  and, since  $A$  is a closed operator, we obtain  $\tilde{v} \in X$  with  $A\tilde{v} = Pg(s \varphi + \tilde{v}) + q$  that is, by Lemma 1,  $\tilde{v} = v(s, q)$ . Thus the whole sequence  $\{v_n\}$  converges to  $v(s, q)$  (even w.r.t. the norm  $\|\cdot\|'$ ) and we can conclude.

Remark 1. The result stated in Lemma 2 can be improved when  $\lambda = \lambda_1$ , the first eigenvalue of  $A$ ; in fact, in this case it is possible to show that  $\bar{v} = \underline{v} = 0$  and, since  $\varphi_1$  does not change sign on  $\Omega$ , we have  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{G(s, q)}{s} = \lambda_1 - g_{\pm}$ . To our knowledge this was firstly observed in [9]; on the other hand, a more direct proof of this result is given in [4].

Remark 2. The proof of Lemma 3 follows essentially by the Lipschitz continuity of  $g$ ; actually, under this assumption, it is possible to say that  $G(s, q)$  has the same regularity of  $g$ , see e.g. [4], [11].

3. Proofs of the results. As we already said, the solvability of equation (2.2), and hence that of the problem (P), is an immediate consequence of the behaviour at infinity of  $G(s, q)$ ; more precisely, since by Lemma 3 we know that, for every fixed  $q \in \hat{Y}$ ,  $G(s, q)$  is a continuous function, the solvability of equation (2.2) is determined by the sign of the quantities  $G_{\pm} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{G(s, q)}{s}$  studied in Lemma 2. Thus, Theorem 1 (i) is readily obtained if we are able to prove that  $G_+ < 0$  and  $G_- > 0$  since, for a fixed  $q \in \hat{Y}$ , it suffices to take  $T = T(q) \equiv \max_R G(s, q)$ ; similarly Theorem 1 (ii) and Theorem 2 will follow if  $G_+$  and  $G_-$  have the same sign.

In order to prove Theorems 1 and 2 we remark that the following estimates hold:

$$(3.1) \quad |G_+ + (c_+ \varphi^+ + c_- \varphi^-, \varphi)| \leq \max \{c_+, c_-\} \|\bar{v}\|'$$

$$(3.2) \quad |G_- - (c_- \varphi^+ + c_+ \varphi^-, \varphi)| \leq \max \{c_+, c_-\} \|\underline{v}\|'$$

where, besides some simple computations, we used inequalities of the type

$$-w^- \leq (\varphi + w)^+ - \varphi^+ \leq w^+ \quad (\text{with } w = \bar{v} \text{ or } w = \underline{v});$$

from (3.1), (3.2) and the estimate of Lemma 2 on  $\|\bar{v}\|'$ ,  $\|\underline{v}\|'$  we get

$$|G_+ + [c_+ \|\varphi^+\|^2 - c_- \|\varphi^-\|^2]| \leq 2 \|\hat{\lambda}^{-1}\| \max \{c_+^2, c_-^2\}$$

$$|G_- - [c_- \|\varphi^+\|^2 - c_+ \|\varphi^-\|^2]| \leq 2 \|\hat{\lambda}^{-1}\| \max \{c_+^2, c_-^2\}.$$

If  $\frac{c_+}{c_-}$  satisfies the condition in (i) of Theorem 1, then

$$G_+ \leq -[c_+ \|\varphi^+\|^2 - c_- \|\varphi^-\|^2] + 2 \|\hat{\lambda}^{-1}\| \max \{c_+^2, c_-^2\} < 0$$

$$G_- \geq [c_- \|\varphi^+\|^2 - c_+ \|\varphi^-\|^2] - 2 \|\hat{\lambda}^{-1}\| \max \{c_+^2, c_-^2\} > 0$$

where the strict inequalities follow from (1.2), since the quantities in square brackets are positive, and we can conclude; by the same arguments it is possible to verify that for

$\frac{c_+}{c_-} < \frac{\|\varphi^-\|^2}{\|\varphi^+\|^2}$  ( $\frac{\|\varphi^+\|^2}{\|\varphi^-\|^2} < \frac{c_+}{c_-}$  resp.) we have  $G_+ > 0$  and  $G_- > 0$  ( $G_+ < 0$  and  $G_- < 0$  resp.), thus proving (ii) of Theorem 1.

Being  $\varphi$  as in Theorem 2 and  $c_+ < c_-$  ( $c_+ > c_-$  resp.), from (1.3) we have  $G_+ > 0$  and  $G_- > 0$  ( $G_+ < 0$  and  $G_- < 0$  resp.) and hence the solvability of (P) for all  $h \in Y$ .

Remark 3. The statement of part (i) of Theorem 1 can be strengthened, when  $A = -\Delta$  and  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , by showing the existence of  $T_0 = T_0(q) < T$  such that for  $h = t\varphi + q$  with  $t < T_0$ , the problem (P) has exactly two solutions; this can be proved by arguing as in [1], where such a result was established for the case  $c_+ = c_- = L$ . On the other hand, by suitably modifying the arguments used in [1], we can also obtain uniqueness of solutions "at infinity" (i.e. for large values of the parameter  $t$ ) for the situations described in Theorems 1 (ii) and 2.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] ADIMURTHI and P.N. SRIKANTH: On exact number of solutions at infinity for Ambrosetti-Prodi class of problems, preprint.
- [2] A. AMBROSETTI: Elliptic equations with jumping nonlinearities, preprint.
- [3] A. AMBROSETTI and G. PRODI: On the inversion of some differentiable mappings with singularities between Banach spaces, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 93(1972), 231-246.
- [4] V. CAPAGNA and F. DONATI: On the sign of the solutions to some semilinear Dirichlet problems, Proc. Roy.

Soc. Edinburgh, to appear.

- [5] E.N. DANCER: On the Dirichlet problem for weakly non-linear elliptic partial differential equations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 76A(1977), 283-300.
- [6] D.G. DE FIGUEIREDO: Lectures on boundary value problems of the Ambrosetti-Prodi type, Atas do 12<sup>o</sup> Seminário Brasileiro de Análise, São Paulo (1980), 230-292.
- [7] S. FUČÍK: Boundary value problems with jumping nonlinearities, Časopis Pěst. Mat. 101(1976), 69-87.
- [8] S. FUČÍK and A. KUFNER: Nonlinear differential equations, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [9] T. GALLOUËT and O. KAVIAN: Résultats d'existence et de non-existence pour certains problèmes demi-linéaires à l'infini, Ann. Fac. Sc. Toulouse 3(1981), 201-246.
- [10] T. KATO: Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [11] L. NIRENBERG: Topics in nonlinear functional analysis, Lecture Notes, Courant Institute, New York, 1974.
- [12] E. PODOLAK: On the range of operator equations with an asymptotically nonlinear terms, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 - 12(1976), 1127-1137.
- [13] B. RUF: On nonlinear elliptic problems with jumping nonlinearities, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 128(1981), 133-151.
- [14] S. SOLIMINI: Some remarks on the number of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems, preprint.

Istituto di Matematica, Università dell'Aquila, Via Roma 33,  
67100 L'Aquila, Italy

(Oblatum 4.1. 1985)