

## Werk

Label: Article Jahr: 1985

**PURL:** https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\_0026|log25

### **Kontakt/Contact**

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

## COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 26.2 (1985)

# SOME CLASS OF UNIFORMLY NON-SQUARE ORLICZ-BOCHNER SPACES H. HUDZIK

Abstract: It is proved that if X is a uniformly nen-square normed space,  $\Phi$  is a uniformly convex Orlicz function satisfying the respective condition  $\Delta_2$  and  $\omega$  is a non-negative and 6-finite measure, then the Orlicz-Bochner space  $L^{\Phi}(\omega, \mathbf{I})$  is uniformly non-square. It is proved also that the assumptions e-bout X and partially about  $\Phi$  are necessary.

Key words and phrases: Orlicz function, Orlicz-Rockner spaces, uniformly non-square normed spaces, condition  $\Delta_2$ .

Classification: 46B30

0. Introduction. (T,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mu$ ) is a measure space with non-negative and  $\emptyset$ -finite measure, R denotes the real line,  $R_+ = [0,+\infty)$ , (I,  $\mathbb{I} \cdot \mathbb{I}$ ) is a normed space. We assume for simplicity that all atoms are of measure one. A mapping  $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is called an Orlicz function if it is convex, even, and vanishing only at zero. By  $F(\mu, \mathbb{I})$  we denote the space of all equivalence classes of strongly  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions  $f: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be an Orlicz function. We define on  $F(\mu,X)$  the convex modular I (for definition see [9]) by

$$I(t) = \int_{T} \Phi(\Pi t(t)\Pi) d\mu.$$

The Orlicz-Bochner space Lo( u, I) is defined by

 $L^{\underline{0}}(\mu, \mathbb{I}) = \{f \in \mathbb{F}(\mu, \mathbb{I}) : I(kf) < \infty \text{ for some } k > 0\}.$ 

This space is a normed space under the so-called Luxenburg nerm

 $|f|_{\hat{\Phi}} = \inf\{r > 0: I(x/r) \le 1\}$ .

We say an Orlicz function  $\Phi$  is uniformly convex (see [8]) if for every  $a \in (0,1)$  there exists  $p(a) \in (0,1)$  such that

$$\Phi\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{a}\mathbf{u}}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1-\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a})}{2} \left\{\Phi\left(\mathbf{u}\right) + \Phi\left(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{u}\right)\right\}$$

for every  $u \in R$ . If  $\varphi$  is a uniformly convex Orlics function, then the inequality

$$\Phi\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{b}\mathbf{u}}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1-\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a})}{2} \left\{\Phi\left(\mathbf{u}\right) + \Phi\left(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{u}\right)\right\}$$

holds for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \le b \le a$  (see [1]).

A normed space (X, | | | ) is called uniformly non-square if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every x,y  $\varepsilon$  I satisfying max (|x|,|y|)  $\leq 1$  we have min (| $\frac{x+y}{2}$ |,| $\frac{x-y}{2}$ |)  $\leq 1 - \varepsilon$  (see [5]).

### 1. Results

Theorem 1.1. Let  $\tilde{\Phi}$  be a uniformly convex Orlies function satisfying the respective condition  $\Delta_2$ , i.e. there exists a constant K,a>0 such that the inequality  $\tilde{\Phi}(2u) \leq K \tilde{\Phi}(u)$  holds:

- (i) for all  $u \in R$  if  $\mu$  is an infinite measure that is not purely atomic,
- (ii) for  $u \in R$  satisfying  $|u| \ge a$  if  $\mu$  is an atomless and finite measure,
- (iii) for  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $|u| \neq a$  if  $\mu$  is a purely atomic measure.

Let X be a uniformly non-square normed space. Then the Orlics-Bochner space  $L^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mu,X)$  is uniformly non-square.

Proof. It follows from the respective condition  $\Delta_2$  for  $\Phi$  that for every  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  there exists  $\sigma'(\varepsilon) \in (0,1)$  such that for every  $f \in L^{\Phi}(\mu,X)$  the inequality  $I(f) \neq 1 - \varepsilon$  implies  $I(f) \neq 1 - \varepsilon$ 

- d(E) (see [3],[6],[8]).

Pirst, we shall prove the inequality

for all  $x,y \in X$  (with an absolute constant  $\infty \in (0,1)$ ). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be the  $\varepsilon$  in the definition of X being uniformly non-square and let  $x,y \in X$ . We have

$$\min (\lfloor \frac{x+y}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{x-y}{2} \rfloor) \leq (1-\varepsilon) \max (\lfloor x \rfloor, \lfloor y \rfloor).$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\|y\| \le \|x\|$  and  $\|x+y\| \le \|x-y\|$ . Thus, we have  $\|x+y\| \le 2(1-\epsilon) \|x\|$ . We shall consider two cases.

I.  $\|x\| \le \|y\|/\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \Phi(\|\frac{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}{2}\|) & \leq \Phi((1-\varepsilon)\|\mathbf{x}\|) \leq \Phi(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}\frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|+\|\mathbf{y}\|}{2}) \leq \\ & \leq \frac{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}{2} \{\Phi(\|\mathbf{x}\|) + \Phi(\|\mathbf{y}\|) \ . \end{split}$$

II.  $\|y\| \le \sqrt{1-\epsilon} \|x\|$ . Then, by uniform convexity of  $\Phi$ , we have

$$\Phi(\lVert \frac{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}{2} \rVert) \leq \Phi \cdot \{\frac{\lVert \mathbf{x} \rVert + \lVert \mathbf{y} \rVert}{2} \} \succeq \frac{1-p(\sqrt{1-\epsilon})}{2} \{ \Phi(\lVert \mathbf{x} \rVert) + \Phi(\lVert \mathbf{y} \rVert) \ .$$

Denoting  $6 = \max (\sqrt{1-\epsilon}, 1-p(\sqrt{1-\epsilon}))$  and applying the triangle inequality for the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and convexity of  $\Phi$  to the term  $\Phi(\|\frac{x-y}{2}\|)$ , we get the inequality (1) with  $\alpha = (\alpha + 1)/2$ .

Now, let  $f,g\in L^{\frac{\Lambda}{2}}(\mu,X)$  and max  $(\|f\|_{\frac{\Lambda}{2}},\|g\|_{\frac{\Lambda}{2}})\leq 1$ . Then max  $(I(f),I(g))\leq 1$ . Applying the inequality (1), we have for any tell

$$\Phi(\|\frac{f(t) + g(t)}{2}\|) + \Phi(\|\frac{f(t) - g(t)}{2}\|) \le \alpha \{\Phi(\|f(t)\|) + \Phi(\|g(t)\|) .$$

Integrating this inequality both-side over T, we get

$$I(\frac{f+g}{2}) + I(\frac{f-g}{2}) \leq \infty (I(f) + I(g)) \leq 2\infty.$$

Thus, we have

min 
$$(I[\frac{I+g}{2}), I(\frac{I-g}{2})) \leq \infty$$
.

Hence, we obtain

min 
$$(\|\frac{f+g}{2}\|_{\tilde{\Phi}}, \|\frac{f-g}{2}\|_{\tilde{\Phi}}) \le 1 - d'(1 - \infty),$$

and the preef is finished.

Theorem 1.2. If the Orlics-Bechner space  $L^{\bar{Q}}(\mu, X)$  is uniformly non-square, then  $\bar{\Phi}$  is an Orlics function satisfying the respective condition  $\Delta_2$  and X is a uniformly non-square normed space.

Proof. If  $\Phi$  does not satisfy the respective condition  $\Delta_2$ , then the space  $L^{\Phi}(\mu, X)$  contains an isometric copy of  $1^{\infty}$  (see e.g. [3],[4],[7] and[11]) and so  $L^{\Phi}(\mu, X)$  is not a uniformly non-square, because  $1^{\infty}$  is not, too (see [2]).

If I is not uniformly non-square, then for every  $\epsilon>0$  there exist x,y  $\in$  I such that max (|x|,|y|)  $\leq$  1 and min (|x+y|,|x-y|) > >2(1 -  $\epsilon$ ). Let  $u_0>0$  and  $A \in \Sigma$  be such that  $\Phi(u_0) \mu(A) + 1$ , and let

$$f = u_o x \eta_A$$
,  $g = u_o y \eta_A$ .

We have max  $(\|f\|_{\hat{\Phi}}, \|g\|_{\hat{\Phi}}) \le 1$  and min  $(\|f+g\|_{\hat{\Phi}}, \|f-g\|_{\hat{\Phi}}) > 2(1 - \epsilon)$ . Thus, the space  $L^{\hat{\Phi}}(\omega, X)$  is not uniformly non-square.

Remarks. Theorem 1.1 and inequality (1) are some generalizations of Theorem 15 [10] and of Lemma 14 [10], respectively, in the case n=2. Note that the method of the proof of the inequality (1) is new.

An example of uniformly convex Orlics function is  $\Phi_0(u) = |u|^p$ , where  $1 . Then <math>p(a) = 1-2^{1-p}$  (1+a<sup>p</sup>). Moreover, if  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are two Orlics functions and if at least one of them

is uniformly convex, then the Orlicz functions  $\Phi \circ \Psi$  and  $\Phi \circ \Psi$  are also uniformly convex (see [3]). The function  $\Phi \circ \Psi$  may be uniformly convex even if no function  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$  is uniformly convex.

Question. Does Theorem 1.1 hold under the weaker assumption  $\Phi(u/2) \le \sigma \Phi(u)/2$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  with an absolute constant  $\sigma \in (0,1)$  instead of the assumption of uniform convexity of  $\Phi$ ?

This weaker condition is necessary in order that  $L^{\Phi}(\mu, \mathbb{I})$  be uniformly non-square.

#### References

- L1] AKIMOVIČ B.A.: On uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Orlies spaces, Teor. Funkcii Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozen. 15(1972), 114-120 (Russian).
- [2] GIESY D.F.: On convexity condition in normed linear spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(1966), 114-146.
- [3] HUDZIK H.: Uniform convexity of Musielak-Orlicz spaces with Luxemburg's norm, Commentationes Math.23(1983),21-32.
- [4] HUDZIK H.: On some equivalent conditions in Musielak-Orlicz spaces, ibidem 23.2(1984), 57-64.
- [5] JAMES R.C.: Uniformly non-square Banach spaces, Annals of Math. 80(1964), 542-550.
- [6] KAMINSKA A.: On uniform convexity of Orlics spaces, Indagationes Math. 44(1)(1982), 27-36.
- [7] KAMINSKA A.: Flat Orlicz-Musielak sequence spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 30, No. 7-8(1982), 347-352.
- [8] LUXEMBURG W.A.J.: Banach function spaces, Thesis, Delft 1955.
- [9] MUSIELAK J.: Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 1034, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo 1983.
- [10] SMITH M.A. and TURETT B.: Rotundity in Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 257(1980), 105-118.

[11] TURETT B.: Rotundity of Orlicz spaces, Proc. Koninkl. Nederl. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam A 79(4)(1976), 462-468.

Institute of Mathematics A. Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

(Oblatum 23.11. 1984)