

Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1985

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0026|log14

Kontakt/Contact

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

26.1 (1985)

LOCAL AND GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND BEHAVIOUR FOR t \rightarrow ∞ OF SOLUTIONS OF THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS Wolf von WAHL

We will study nonlinear evolution equations u'+Au+M(u)=0, $u(0)=\phi$, in a Banach space B, where -A generates an analytic semigroup. Our main concern is the application of our theory to the Navier-Stokes equations.

Key words: Differential equations in abstract spaces. Special equations and problems.

Classification: 34G20 , 35Q10

§ 1. Local existence

Let -A be the generator of an analytic semigroup e^{-tA} in a Banach space B. Let A be positive; thus the fractional powers A^{α} can be defined in the usual way. Let $\phi \in D(A)$. Let B be reflexive. If the nonlinearity M is a mapping from D(A) into B fulfilling the Lipschitz condition

(I.1)
$$\|M(u)-M(v)\| \le k(C)\|A^{1-\rho}(u-v)\|$$
,
 $u,v \in D(A)$, $\|Au\|+\|Av\|\le C$

for some $\rho\in(0,1)$, then there is a quantity $T(\phi)$, $+\infty\geq T(\phi)>0$ with the following properties: There is a unique $u\in C^{1}([0,T(\phi)),B)$ with $u(t)\in D(A)$, $0\leq t < T(\phi)$, $Au(.)\in C^{0}([0,T(\phi)),B)$,

This paper was presented on the International Spring School on Evolution Equations, Dobřichovice by Prague, May 21-25, 1984 (invited lecture).

(I.2)
$$u' + Au + M(u) = O \text{ on } [O,T(\phi)),$$

 $u(O) = \phi,$
 $\lim_{t+T(\phi)} ||Au(t)|| = +\infty \text{ if } T(\phi) < +\infty.$

This result has been stated by Kato [K]. A proof has been given by the author (not yet published). It rests on the consideration of the weak derivative of M(u). u has the additional property that $u'(t) \in D(A^{1-\rho})$, $0 < t < T(\phi)$. For our purposes it is important to study (I.2) with initial values from B only (instead of D(A)). The possibility of solving (I.2) with initial values from B depends on the growth of M. We want to present two theorems:

 $\frac{\text{Theorem I.1: } \underline{\text{Let }} \phi \in B. \quad \underline{\text{For some }} \rho_1 \in (0,1) \quad \underline{\text{let }} M \ \underline{\text{be a mapping from }} D(A^{1-\rho_1}) \quad \underline{\text{into }} B \quad \underline{\text{fulfilling the Lipschitz condition}}$

$$(\text{I.3)} \ \| \text{M(u)-M(v)} \| \ \leq \ \text{c[} (\| \text{A}^{1-\rho} \textbf{1} \textbf{u} \| \| + \| \text{A}^{1-\rho} \textbf{1} \textbf{v} \| \| \| \textbf{u} - \textbf{v} \| + (\| \textbf{u} \| \| \| \textbf{v} \| \| \| \text{A}^{1-\rho} \textbf{1} (\textbf{u} - \textbf{v}) \| \textbf{1}$$

Then there exists a quantity $T(\phi)$, $+\infty \ge T(\phi) > 0$ with the following properties: There is unique $u \in C^O([0,T(\phi)),B)$ with u(t) $D(A^{1-\rho_1})$, $0 < t < T(\phi)$, $A^{1-\rho_1}u \in C^O((0,T(\phi)),B)$, $t^{1-\rho_1}A^{1-\rho_1}u(t)$ bounded on (0,T), $T < T(\phi)$, $u(0) = \phi$,

(I.4)
$$u(t) = e^{-tA}\phi - \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s)A}M(u(s)) ds$$
,

$$\lim_{t+T(\phi)} \|u(t)\| = +\infty \text{ if } T(\phi) < +\infty.$$

From (I.4) it follows that $u(t) \in D(A)$, $O < t < T(\phi)$, $Au \in C^{O}((O,T(\phi)),B)$, $u \in C^{O}((O,T(\phi)),B)$,

$$\label{eq:u'+Au+M(u) = O on (O,T(\phi)).}$$
 For a proof see [W1, IV].

This theorem already covers some applications to the Navier-Stokes Equations but we still need a version which turns out to be somewhat stronger, at least as it concerns the Navier-Stokes Equations.

It is based on the consideration of the equation $(A^{-\delta}u)' + AA^{-\delta}u + A^{-\delta}M(A^{\delta}A^{-\delta}u) = 0$ or $w' + Aw + A^{-\delta}M(A^{\delta}w) = 0$ for some $\delta > 0$. Solving the latter equation one can consider the resulting solution w as a weak solution of u' + Au + M(u) = 0 and try to improve on the regularity of u. This device was used by Fujita and Kato [FK] to treat the Navier-Stokes Equations.

Theorem I.2: Let M be a mapping from $D(A^{1-\rho_1})$ into B for some $\rho_1 \in (0,1)$. We set

 $\widetilde{M}(u) = M(A^{\delta}u)$,

 $u\in D(A \)$ and assume that the following Lipschitz inequalities hold:

$$\begin{split} \|A^{-\delta}\widetilde{M}(u)\| & \leq c\|A^{1-\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}}u\|^{2}(\|A^{\delta}u\|+1)\,, \\ \|A^{-\delta}(\widetilde{M}(u)-\widetilde{M}(v))\| & \leq c[\,(\|A^{\delta}u\|+\|A^{\delta}v\|+1)\,\,\,(\|A^{1-\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}}u\|+\|A^{1-\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}}v\|^{2}+1)\,, \\ & \cdot \|A^{1-\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u-v)\| + (\|A^{1-\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}}u\|^{2}+\|A^{1-\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}}v\|^{2}+1)\,. \\ & \cdot \|A^{\delta}(u-v)\| \,] \end{split}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and some $\rho_1 \in (0,1)$ with

$$0 < 1-2\rho_1 \le \delta < 1-\rho_1$$
.

Then for any $\phi \in B$ there exists a quantity $T(\phi)$, $+\infty \ge T(\phi) > 0$ such that there is a unique

$$u \in C^{O}([O,T(\phi)),B)$$

with

$$u(t) \in \bigcap_{0 < \epsilon' < 1} D(A^{1-\epsilon'-\delta}), t^{1-\epsilon-\delta}A^{1-\epsilon-\delta}u(t) \text{ bounded on } (0,T), T < T(\varphi),$$

$$A^{1-\varepsilon\,'-\delta}u\,\in\,C^O(\,(O,T(\phi)\,)\,,B)\,,\,\,O<\varepsilon\,'<1\,,$$

$$A^{-\delta}u(t) = e^{-tA}A^{-\delta}\phi - \int\limits_{O}^{t} e^{-(t-s)A}A^{-\delta}M(A^{\delta}A^{-\delta}u(s)) ds.$$

If $T(\phi) < +\infty$ then

$$\lim_{t+T(\phi)} \|u(t)\| = \lim_{t+T(\phi)} \|A^{\delta}A^{-\delta}u(t)\| = +\infty$$

<u>or</u>

$$\omega(\widetilde{\delta},T) = \sup_{0 \le t \le \widetilde{\delta}, T + t < T(\phi)} ||t^{1-\rho_1' - \delta} A^{1-\rho_1' - \delta} e^{-tA} u(T)||$$

does not converge uniformly in $T \in [0,T(\phi))$ to 0 for $\delta \to 0$.

<u>Proof:</u> We sketch the proof. The details can be found in [W1, Sätze IV.9, IV.11]. First we observe that $t^{\alpha}A^{\alpha}e^{-tA}x \to 0$ for $t \to 0$ and for any $\alpha > 0$. We consider the mapping

$$Tw(t) = e^{-tA}A^{-\delta}\phi - \int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s)A}A^{-\delta}M(A^{\delta}w(s)) ds$$

on the complete metric space

$$\begin{split} & \overleftarrow{\mathfrak{M}}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}} = \left\{ w | w \in C^{O}([0,\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}],D(\mathbf{A}^{\delta})), \ w(\mathbf{O}) = \mathbf{A}^{-\delta}\phi, \\ & w \in C^{O}((0,\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}],D(\mathbf{A}^{1-\epsilon'})), \\ & \cdot ^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}\mathbf{A}^{1-\epsilon'}w(\cdot) \in \mathbf{L}^{\infty}((0,\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}),\mathbf{B}), \\ & \| \mathbf{A}^{\delta}w(\mathbf{t}) \| \leq \| \mathbf{A}^{\delta}e^{-\cdot \mathbf{A}}\mathbf{A}^{-\delta}\phi \|_{\mathbf{L}^{\infty}((0,\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}))}^{\mathbf{M}} + 1, \\ & \| \mathbf{t}^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}\mathbf{A}^{1-\epsilon'}w(\mathbf{t}) \| \leq 2 \| \cdot ^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}\mathbf{A}^{1-\epsilon'}e^{-\cdot \mathbf{A}}\mathbf{A}^{-\delta}\phi \|_{\mathbf{L}^{\infty}((0,\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}))}^{\mathbf{M}}, \\ & 0 < \mathbf{t} \leq \widetilde{\mathbf{T}} \right\}, \ 0 < \epsilon' < 1-\delta, \end{split}$$

endowed with the metric

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}}(\mathbf{v}_{1},\mathbf{v}_{2}) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}} \|\mathbf{A}^{\delta}(\mathbf{v}_{1}(t) - \mathbf{v}_{2}(t))\| + \\ &+ \sup_{0 < t < \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}} \|\mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon'} \mathbf{A}^{1 - \epsilon'}(\mathbf{v}_{1}(t) - \mathbf{v}_{2}(t))\| \,. \end{split}$$

We want to show that by T the space \widetilde{T} is mapped into itself if \widetilde{T} is sufficiently small and $\epsilon' = \rho_1$. We have

$$\|t^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}A^{1-\epsilon'}T_{w}(t)\|$$

$$\leq \|t^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}A^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}e^{-tA}\phi\| +$$

$$+ ct^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}\int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{-c(t-s)}}{|t-s|^{1-\epsilon'}} ||A^{1-\rho'}W(s)||^{2} (\|A^{\delta}w(s)\|+1) ds,$$

$$\leq \|t^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}A^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}e^{-tA}\phi\| +$$

$$+ ct^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{|t-s|^{1-\epsilon'}} \cdot \frac{1}{2(1-\rho'_{1}-\delta)} ds.$$

$$\cdot 4 \sup_{0 < s \le t} \|s^{1-\delta-\epsilon'}A^{1-\epsilon'}e^{-sA}A^{-\delta}\phi\|^{2} \cdot (\|A^{\delta}w(s)\|+1) ds.$$
Since
$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{|t-s|^{1-\epsilon'}} \cdot \frac{1}{s^{2(1-\rho'_{1}-\delta)}} ds = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{|t-s|^{1-\rho'_{1}-\delta}} \cdot \frac{1}{s^{2(1-\rho'_{1}-\delta)}} ds =$$

$$= \frac{c}{c} \leq \frac{c}{c} \quad \text{for small } t \text{ if } s \le c' = 1 \text{ a.s. } t \text{ i.s. } t \text{$$

 $=\frac{c}{2^{-3\rho}1^{-2\delta}} \leq \frac{c}{1^{-\delta-\rho}1} \quad \text{for small t if } \epsilon' = \rho_1', \ 1^{-2\rho_1'} \leq \delta \text{ we have proved} \\ t \quad t \quad 1^{-\delta-\rho}1 \quad 1^{-\rho_1'} \quad t \\ \text{that } \|t \quad 1^{-\delta-\rho_1'}1^{-\rho_1'} \quad t \\ \|$

There are results corresponding to the just described ones for equations u'(t) + A(t)u(t) + M(u(t)) = f(t) if the domain of definition D(A(t)) of the closed operators A(t) is time independent (for details see [W1, IV.]). One can also improve on the regularity of u

in Theorems I.1, I.2 if M is an analytic mapping between suitable Banach spaces as it is the case for the Navier-Stokes Equations (for details see [W1, II., IV. and VI.]).

§ 2. Local strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations

Velocity u and pressure π of a viscous incompressible fluid under the influence of an external force f are supposed to be determinated by the Navier-Stokes Equations

(II. 1)
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{t}} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \pi = \mathbf{f},$$

This equation is considered over a cylindrical domain $(0,T) \times \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ where Ω is a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary. In the physically relevant case n=3, Ω is the space domain being filled out by the fluid. ν is the viscosity. The n-vector $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}$ is defined to have the components $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{u}_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}, \ 1 \le \lambda \le n; \text{ we prescribe boundary values: } \mathbf{u}(t,\mathbf{x}) \mid \partial \Omega = 0, \ t > 0, \ \mathbf{u}(0,\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}). \text{ This problem is subsumed under the theory of nonlinear evolution equations in the following way: First <math>(\mathbf{L}^p(\Omega))^n$, p>1 (in what follows we omit the exponent n) is decomposed into the direct sum

$$\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{p}}(\Omega) \ = \ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{p}}(\Omega) \ + \{ \nabla \mathbf{g} \, | \, \nabla \mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{p}}(\Omega) \} \,,$$

where $H_p(\Omega)$ is the completion of the divergence free $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ -vector fields with respect to the $L^p(\Omega)$ -norm (see [FM]); $H_p(\Omega)$ is then reflexive and the "projection" of $L^p(\Omega)$ on $H_p(\Omega)$ is a bounded operator, which we denote by P_p or simply P. Applying (formally) P_p to (II.1) and assuming that because of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ the equality $\mathbf{u} = P_p \mathbf{u}$ holds, we get

(II.2)
$$u' + Au + P_p(u \cdot \nabla u) = P_p f,$$

 $u(0) = 0$

with $A = A_p = -\nu P_p \Delta$. We also set $M(u) = P_p(u \cdot \nabla u)$.

Because of its mathematical interest we will consider (II.1), (II.2) in any number of space dimensions. The domain of definition of A is $\operatorname{H}^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \operatorname{H}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap \operatorname{H}_{p}(\Omega)$. As it has been proved in [W1, Gi1] A is a positive operator in the Banach space B=H_p(Ω) which generates an analytic semigroup e^{-tA} with exponential decay. As for the fractional powers $\operatorname{A}^{\alpha}$ it was proved by Giga [Gi2] that

(II.3)
$$D(A^{\alpha})=D((-\Delta)^{\alpha})\cap H_{D}(\Omega)$$
, $0 \le \alpha \le 1$,

with equivalent graph norms; $-\Delta$ is the usual Laplacian with domain of definition $H^{2,p}(\Omega)\cap H^{0,p}(\Omega)$. In particular this means that

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{D}(\mathbf{A}^\alpha) \; = \; \overset{\mathsf{O}}{\mathsf{H}}^{2\alpha}, \overset{\mathsf{P}}{\mathsf{P}}(\Omega) \; \cap \; \mathsf{H}_{\overset{\mathsf{P}}{\mathsf{P}}}(\Omega) \; , \; \; \mathsf{O} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, \; \; \alpha * \frac{1}{2p}, \\ & \mathsf{D}(\mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2p}}) \; \overset{\mathsf{O}}{\mathsf{H}^{p}}, \overset{\mathsf{P}}{\mathsf{P}}(\Omega) \; \; \text{with a continuous imbedding}. \end{split}$$

Here $\operatorname{H}^{S,p}(\Omega)$ are the complex interpolation spaces between the Sobolev spaces $\operatorname{H}^{k,p}(\Omega)=\operatorname{W}^{k,p}(\Omega)$ of integer order k. $\operatorname{H}^{S,p}(\Omega)$ is the completion of $\operatorname{C}_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in the norm of $\operatorname{H}^{S,p}(\Omega)$. (II.2) is then considered as a nonlinear evolution equation in $\operatorname{B}=\operatorname{H}_p(\Omega)$ to which we want to apply our results of § 1. Once having solved (II.2) the pressure is determined by

$$\nabla \pi \ = \ (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{p}}) \, \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} \, - \, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{p}}) \, \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \, + \, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{p}}) \, \mathbf{f} \, .$$

Our results depend on p and we start with

a) $p>\frac{n}{3}$. Then the Lipschitz condition (I.1) is fulfilled as can be easily proved by an application of Sobolev's imbedding Theorems. Thus (II.2) can be solved locally in t if $\phi\in D(A)$. It will turn out that for n=3 the exponent $p=\frac{5}{4}$ is important.

b) p > n, say $p = n + \epsilon$. We have the trivial estimate

$$\begin{split} \|\, \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{u}) \| & \leq & \, \mathbf{c} \|\, \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \, | \, \big|_{\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{D}}(\Omega)}, \\ \\ & \leq & \, \mathbf{c} \|\, \nabla \mathbf{u} \, | \, \big|_{\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{O}}(\overline{\Omega})} \|\, \mathbf{u} \, | \, \big|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{D}}(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

From (II.3) and our assumption p > n it can be derived that

$$D(A^{1-\rho}) \subset C^{1+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$$

for some $\rho, \alpha \in (0,1)$ (see [W1, VI.]). Thus we have $\|M(\mathbf{u})\| \le c\|\mathbf{A}^{1-\rho}\mathbf{u}\|\|\mathbf{u}\|.$

Since there is also a corresponding Lipschitz estimate, we can apply Theorem I.1 (see [W1, VI.], [W2]).

Thus we get a local strong solution for any $\varphi \in B = H_p(\Omega)$ if p > n; since this solution has a bounded $C^{1+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ -norm it is not surprising that it is classical for $0 < t < T(\varphi)$ provided f is sufficiently regular.

c) p = n. We want to apply Theorem I.2. We choose $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$. Partial integration shows that

$$\|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{-1,n}(\Omega)} \leq c \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^{2n}(\Omega)}^{2}.$$

Using Giga's result on the fractional powers of ${\tt A}={\tt A}_n$ and Sobolev theorem we arrive at

$$\|A_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}M(u)\|_{H_n(\Omega)} \le c\|A_n^{\frac{1}{4}}u\|_{H_n(\Omega)}^2.$$

It is easily shown that also a corresponding Lipschitz condition holds. Thus we see that with $\rho_1' = \frac{1}{4}$ we have

$$0 < 1 - 2\rho_1' = \frac{1}{2} = \delta;$$

therefore Theorem I.2 is applicable and gives a solution of the integral equation

on $[0,T(\phi))$ for every $\phi\in B=H_n(\Omega)$. This solution can be identified with the solution constructed in b) on $(0,T(\phi))$. Thus u is regular, its degree of regularity depending on f.

It may be noted that the solutions constructed in a),b),c) are $\frac{1+\frac{1}{2p}-\epsilon}{\text{in D}(\mathbb{A}_p^-) \text{ on } (0,T(\phi)),\ 0<\epsilon, \text{ since } u\cdot \forall u \text{ depends analytically on the components of } u \text{ and } \forall u \text{ (for details see [W1, VI]).}$

§ 3. Global questions: The connection between weak solutions and local strong solutions

It turns out that in § 2, c) the quantity $T(\phi)$ is finite if u is not uniformly continuous on $[0,T(\phi))$ as a mapping from $[0,T(\phi))$ into $H_n(\Omega)$. Thus in what follows the $H_n(\Omega)$ -norm of u(t) plays a major role.

As it is well known there is also an access to the Navier-Stokes equations via the notion of a weak solution.

Definition III.1.: Let $f \in L^2((0,T),H^{-1,2}(\Omega))$, $\phi \in H_2(\Omega)$. An element $u \in L^{\infty}((0,T),L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2((0,T),H^{1,2}(\Omega))$, which is weakly continuous from [0,T] into $L^2(\Omega)$ and which fulfills $\nabla \cdot u(t) = 0$, a.e., is called a weak solution of (II.1) over $(0,T) \times \Omega$ if

(III.1) -
$$\int_{0}^{T} (u, \psi') dt + v \int_{0}^{T} (\nabla u, \nabla \psi) dt + \int_{0}^{T} (u \cdot \nabla u, \psi) dt$$

$$= (u(0), \psi(0)) + \int_{0}^{T} (f, \psi) dt$$

for all testing functions $\psi \in C^1([0,T], H^{1,n}(\Omega))$ with $\nabla \cdot \psi(t) = 0$ on $[0,T], \psi(T) = 0$.

For a discussion of this definition and the determination of the pressure π see [L, 1.6]. It goes back to E. Hopf that such a weak solution exists for all T>O, i.e. on $(O,\infty)\times\Omega$; it can be constructed via Galerkin's approximation procedure, and a weak solution constructed in this way has an important additional property, namely:

(III.2)
$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^{2} + 2v \int_{\mathbf{r}}^{t} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\sigma)\|^{2} d\sigma \le \|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})\|^{2} + 2 \int_{\mathbf{r}}^{t} (\mathbf{f}(\sigma), \mathbf{u}(\sigma)) d\sigma,$$

for almost all $r \ge 0$ and all $t \ge r$ ($\|.\|$ is the $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm, (.,.) the $L^2(\Omega)$ -scalar product). (III.2) is called "energy inequality". It does not follow from (III.1) since it is not allowed to insert u as a testing function. For details see [L, 1.6].

The weak solutions with energy inequality play a distinct rôle because under some additional assumptions a uniqueness theorem for them holds, namely:

Theorem III.1: Let φ , f be as in Definition III.1. Let u_1, u_2 be weak solutions of (II.1) in the sense of Definition III.1. Let (III.2) be valid for r = 0 and all t, $0 \le t \le T$. Let one of the $u^{\frac{1}{t}}$, i = 1, 2, say $u^{\frac{1}{t}}$, fulfil the condition

(III.3)
$$u^1 \in L^{r'}((0,T),L^{r}(\Omega))$$

with $n < r < +\infty$, $2 < r' < +\infty$, $\frac{2}{r'} + \frac{n}{r} = 1$, or

(III.4) $u^1 \in C^0([0,T],L^n(\Omega))$.

Then $u^1(t) = u^2(t)$, $0 \le t \le T$.

The proof of the uniqueness under the condition (III.3) is due to Serrin [S], under the condition (III.4) to Sohr and von Wahl [SW].

In particular (III.4) means that $\varphi \in H_n(\Omega)$.

As for (III.3) the condition n < r could be weakened somewhat:

A proof can be found in [SW]. As it was proved in [SW] too any weak solution $u \in L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{n}(\Omega))$ with ϕ , f as in Theorem III.2. fulfills (III.2) for all r, t, $0 \le r \le t \le T$. Moreover, if u_1, u_2 are weak solutions being in $L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{n}(\Omega))$ with data ϕ , f as in Theorem III.2, then $u_1(t)=u_2(t)$. This is an easy consequence of Theorem III.2 and was proved in [SW]. Thus $L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{n}(\Omega))$ is a uniqueness class for weak solutions which was previously not known (cf. e.g. [L, 1.6]). It is clear now that any weak solution u with $u(t) \in L^{n}(\Omega)$ a.e. and with (III.2) for almost all $r \in (0,T)$ and all t, $r \le t \le T$, may be reconstructed locally in t with the aid of Theorem I.2 and § 2, c). The result is a generalization of Leray's famous structure theorem.

Theorem III.3: We assume that $f \in L^2((0,\infty),L^n(\Omega))$ and that $f \in C^{\alpha}([0,T],L^{n+\delta}(\Omega))$ for all T > 0 with α, δ as in Theorem III.2. Let u be a weak solution of (II.1) for all T with (III.2) for almost all $r \ge 0$ and all $t \ge r$. Let u ϵ L²((0,+ ϵ)., Lⁿ(Ω)). Then it follows:

- 1) On $[T_0, +\infty)$ u is regular, where T_0 is sufficiently large.
- 2) $[0,T_0] = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} J_{\nu} US$, where J_{ν} are pairwise disjoint open intervalls on which u is regular and where S has measure 0. S is called the singular set of u since $S \cap J_{\nu} = \emptyset$, $\nu = 1,2,...$

Let us make some remarks on the <u>proof</u>: III.3, 1) follows from the fact that in § 2, c) the quantity $T(\phi)$ is $+\infty$ if $\|\phi\|_{H_n(\Omega)}$ is sufficiently small and if $f \in L^2((0,\infty),L^n(\Omega))$. This is caused by the exponential decay of the semigroup $e^{-\lambda_n t}$. As for III.3, 2) this

assertion follows from reconstructing u(t) on an interval $[r,r+\epsilon(r)]$ with $\epsilon(r) > 0$ according to Theorem III.1, (III.4) and § 2, c). Here r is a point such that $u(r) \in L^{n}(\Omega)$ and (III.2) holds for all $t \ge r$.

Since Galerkin's approximation procedure gives us a weak solution with the desired properties if n=3,4 we have proved the existence of weak solutions with III.3.,1), III.3.,2) in these cases.

(III.5)
$$u(t) \in H^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^{6}(\Omega)$$
 a.e., $n = 3$,
 $u(t) \in H^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L^{4}(\Omega)$ a.e., $n = 4$.

(III.5) shows that in the case n=3 also § 2, b) may be sufficient to prove the structure theorem. In fact, for n=3 we do not need at all the construction of local strong solutions with "bad initial values". It can be proved that

(III.6)
$$u \cdot \nabla u \in L^{5/4}((0,T) \times \Omega)$$

for any weak solution. According to Solonnikov's potential theoretical estimates for the linear equations $u' - \nu \Delta u + \nabla \pi = f$, $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ [Sel] it follows that $u \in L^{5/4}((0,T),H^{2,5/4}(\Omega))$, provided $f \in L^{5/4}((0,T),L^{5/4}(\Omega))$, $\varphi \in H_2(\Omega)$ + some modest degree of differentiability. Thus $u(t) \in H^{2,5/4}(\Omega)$ a.e. and since $5/4 > \frac{n}{3} = 1$ we can simply apply II.a) to reconstruct the weak solution locally in t if u fulfils the energy inequality (III.2). (III.6) was proved in [La], its generalization to arbitrary n and its consequences were considered in [W3]. The conditions on f in Theorems III.2, III.3 are partially caused by our interpretation of "regular", but they are not weakest possible: We mean by "regular" that $u(t) \subset H^{2,n+\delta}(\Omega) \subset C^{1+\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in (0,1)$. The weak solutions constructed in Theorem III.3 frequently are called <u>turbulent solutions</u>.

Remark: A weak solution u with $u \in L^{r'}((0,T),L^{r}(\Omega))$, $\frac{2}{r'}+\frac{n}{r}=1$, $n \le r < +\infty$, $2 < r' \le +\infty$, satisfies (III.2) for all r,t, $0 \le r \le t \le T$ ([S], [SW]). This will be freely used in the sequel.

We now study the regularity of a weak solution u in the sense of Definition III.1. As it is natural, this question is connected with the uniqueness of u. Serrin [S] has proved that any weak solution $u \in L^{r'}((0,T),L^r(\Omega))$ with

(III. 7)
$$\frac{2}{r^{1}} + \frac{n}{r} < 1$$
,
 $n < r \le +\infty$, $2 < r' \le +\infty$,

is C² in x provided f is sufficiently regular. In fact u is a classical solution ([W2]). Sohr [So] has weakened Serrin's condition to

(III. 8)
$$\frac{2}{r^{1}} + \frac{n}{r} = 1$$
,
 $n < r < +\infty$, $2 < r^{1} < +\infty$, $n = 3, 4$.

In the last time some attention has been given to the case r=n, $r'=+\infty$. From the remark at the beginning of this paragraph and Theorem III.1 it follows that $u\in C^O([0,T],L^\Pi(\Omega))$ is also sufficient for regularity: The weak solution then can be reconstructed as a strong one according to § 2, c) which is regular and for which $T(\phi)=T$ since the uniform continuity of the strong solution on $[0,T(\phi))$ follows from the coincidence of this solution with the weak solution in question. A different proof was given in [W4]. Sohr [Sa] has proved that certain subclasses of $L^\infty((0,T),L^\Pi(\Omega))$ also imply regularity, and in [W3] it was proved that the stability of a weak solution in $L^\infty((0,T),L^\Pi(\Omega))$ implies its regularity.

If we simply assume that $u \in L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{n}(\Omega))$ the question whether if u is regular or not is still open, but in [SW] the following weaker theorem was proved:

Theorem III.4: Let u be a weak solution in the sense of Definition III.1. Let

 $u \in L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{n}(\Omega)) \cap L^{p}((0,+\infty),L^{n}(\Omega))$ for some $p \ge 2$ and all T.T.>0.

Let φ,f be as in Theorem III.3. Then (according to our Remark above) the structure theorem holds, and the singular set S can be characterised as follows:

- 1. S is at most countable,
- 2. $t \in S$ if and only if u is continuous in t from the right with respect to the $L^{n}(\Omega)$ -norm but discontinuous from the left.

u is continuous in t from the right with respect to the $L^n(\Omega)$ -norm for any t ≥ 0 .

The proof rests of course on the reconstruction of u as a strong solution with the aid of § 2, c), the remark at the beginning of § 3 and Theorem IV.1.

In a recent preprint Giga [Gi3] has stated results similar to Theorem III.3 but as far as it could be seen his methods are different from [SW].

We have not dealt with the case n=2 since it is well known then that any weak solution is regular and therefore unique.

§ 4. Global questions: The behaviour of weak solutions for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We will be brief at this point and concentrate on the case n=3. The energy inequality (III.2) suggests very strongly that there may be some sort of decay for u if the assumptions on \underline{f} are appropriate. It is well known (see [M]) that under a suitable integrability condition on $\|f(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, $\|f'(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ over $(0,\infty)$ any weak solution over $(0,+\infty)\times\Omega$ in the sense of Definition III.1 with (III.2) fulfills the estimate

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{c}{t^{1/4}}$$

for large t.

Now let g be a C^1 -function with $|g'(t)/g(t)| \rightarrow 0$, $t \leftrightarrow g > 0$, let u be a weak solution as above, then

(IV.1)
$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \le \frac{c}{g(t)}$$
, t large.

There is also an additional condition concerning the integrability of $\|g(t)f(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ over $(0,\infty)$ which we have omitted here. (IV.1) was proved by Sohr [So]. He first shows that $\int \|u(\sigma)\|_{L^r}^{r'} d\sigma < \infty$, t large, t $L^r(\Omega)$ for some r,r' with $3 = n < r < +\infty$, $2 < r' < +\infty$, $\frac{2}{r'} + \frac{3}{r} = \frac{2}{r'} + \frac{n}{r} = 1$. Then he uses a variant of Solonnikov's estimates [So1], namely:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(IV.2)} \quad \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| \left(g u^{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| \Delta g u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & \leq c \bigg\{ \left\| \nabla g \left(t \right) u \left(t \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g f \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} + \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| g^{t} u \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \\ & + \int\limits_{t}^{T} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\| u \left(\widetilde{t} \right) \right\|_{\dot{L}^{2}(\Omega)}^{r'}} \, d\widetilde{t} \bigg\} e$$

In fact for the exponent 2, (IV.2) is easily derived, but under suitable assumptions (IV.2) also holds for exponents $q \ge 2$ and in higher dimensions (with some modifications for the norm of the initial value); if a term $\int\limits_{t}^{T} \|gu\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} d\tilde{t}$ or $\int\limits_{t}^{T} \|gu\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\beta} d\tilde{t}$ is added on the tight side then (IV.2) remains valid for exterior domains. For details see [So].

References

[FK] Fujita, H., and Kato, T.: On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 16, 269-315(1964).

- [FM] Fujiwara, D., and Morimoto, H.: An L_r -theorem of the Helmholtz decomposition of vector fields. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math. 24, 685-700(1977).
- [Gi1] Giga, Y.: Analyticity of the Semigroup Generated by the Stokes Operator in L_r-Spaces. Math. Z. 178, 297-329(1981).
- [G12] Giga, Y.: Domains in L_T -spaces of fractional powers of the Stokes operator, to appear in Archive Rat. Mech. Anal.
- [Gi3] Giga, Y.: Regularity criteria for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system. Preprint.
- [K] Kato, T.: Nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces. Proc. Symp. Appl. Math. 17, 50-67, New York: American Mathematical Society 1965.
- [La] Ladyzhenskaja, O.A.: The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow. New York, London, Paris: Gordon and Breach 1969
- [L] Lions, J.L.: Quelques méthodes de résolution des problémes aux limites non linéaires. Paris: Dunod 1969.
- [M] Masuda, K.: On the stability of incompressible viscous fluid motions past objects. J. Math. Soc. Japan 27, 294-327(1975).
- [S] Serrin, J.: The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations. Nonlinear Problems (R. Langer ed.), 69-98, Madison: The University of Wisconsin press 1963.
- [So] Sohr, H.: Zur Regularitätstheorie der instationären Gleichungen von Navier-Stokes. Math. Z. 184, 359-376(1983).
- [Sol] Solonnikov, V.A.: Estimates for solutions of nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations. J. Soviet Math. 8, 467-529(1977).
- [SW] Sohr, H., and Wahl, W. von: On the Singular Set and the Uniqueness of Weak Solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations. Sonder-forschungsbereich 72 "Approximation und Optimierung", Universität Bonn. Preprint no. 635(1984).
- [W1] Wahl, W. von: Über das Verhalten für t→0 der Lösungen nichtlinearer parabolischer Gleichungen, insbesondere der Gleichungen von Navier-Stokes. Sonderforschungsbereich 72 "Approximation und Optimierung", Universität Bonn. Preprint no. 602 (1983). Berichtigung hierzu. Sonderforschungsbereich 72 "Approximation und Optimierung", Universität Bonn. Preprint-Reihe (1983).
- [W2] Wahl, W. von: Regularity Questions for the Navier-Stokes Equations. Approximation Methods for Navier-Stokes Problems. Proceedings, Paderborn, Germany 1979. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 771(1980).

- [W3] Wahl, W. von: Regularitätsfragen für die instationären Navier-Stokesschen Gleichungen in höheren Dimensionen. J. Math. Soc. Japan 32, 263-283(1980).
- [W4] Wahl, W. von: Regularity of Weak Solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations. To appear in the Proceedings of the 1983 AMS Summer Institute on Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics. Am. Math. Soc.: Providence, Rhode Island.

Lehrstuhl für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Bayreuth, Postfach 3008, 8580 Bayreuth, BRD

(Oblatum 25.5.1984)

- 167 -

