

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1984

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0025|log8

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

AN EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR THE URYSOHN INTEGRAL
EQUATION IN BANACH SPACES
Stanisław SZUFLA

Abstract: The paper contains an existence theorem for L_φ -solutions of the Urysohn integral equation, where $L_\varphi(D, X)$ is a generalized Orlicz space over a Banach space X . For the case when X is finite dimensional and φ is a usual N -function, our theorem reduces to some results from Ch. IV of [4].

Key words: Urysohn integral equations, Orlicz spaces, measure of non-compactness.

Classification: 45N05

Let X be a separable Banach space and let D be a compact subset of the Euclidean space R^m . In this paper we shall present sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution x of the integral equation

$$(1) \quad x(t) = p(t) + \lambda \int_D f(t, s, x(s)) ds$$

belonging to a certain Orlicz space $L_\varphi(D, X)$.

1. **Preliminaries.** A function $\varphi : R_+ \times D \rightarrow R_+$ is called a (generalized) N -function if

- (i) $\varphi(0, t) = 0$ for almost all $t \in D$;
- (ii) for almost every $t \in D$ the function $u \rightarrow \varphi(u, t)$ is convex and non-decreasing on R_+ ;
- (iii) for any $u \in R_+$ the function $t \rightarrow \varphi(u, t)$ is L -measu-

rable on D;

(iv) for almost every $t \in D$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(u, t)}{u} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(u, t)}{u} = \infty .$$

The function φ^* defined by

$$\varphi^*(u, t) = \sup_{v \geq 0} (uv - \varphi(v, t)) \quad (u \geq 0, t \in D)$$

is called the complementary function to φ .

For a given N-function φ we denote by $L_\varphi(D, R)$ the set of all L-measurable functions $u: D \rightarrow R$ for which the number

$$\|u\|_\varphi = \inf \{r > 0: \int_D \varphi(|u(t)|/r, t) dt \leq 1\}$$

is finite. $L_\varphi(D, R)$ is called the (generalized) Orlicz space. It is well known (cf. [3], [4]) that $\langle L_\varphi(D, R), \|\cdot\|_\varphi \rangle$ is a Banach space and

1.1. The convergence in $L_\varphi(D, R)$ implies the convergence in measure.

1.2. For any $u \in L_\varphi(D, R)$ and $v \in L_{\varphi^*}(D, R)$ the function uv is integrable and

$$\int_D |u(t)v(t)| dt \leq 2 \|u\|_\varphi \|v\|_{\varphi^*} \quad (\text{H\"older's inequality}).$$

If, in addition, the function φ satisfies Condition A:

$$\int_D \varphi(u, t) dt < \infty \quad \text{for all } u > 0,$$

then we may consider the set $E_\varphi(D, R)$ defined to be the closure in $L_\varphi(D, R)$ of the set of simple functions. Clearly $E_\varphi(D, R)$ is a Banach subspace of $L_\varphi(D, R)$. It can be shown (cf. [3], [4]) that

1.3. The following statements are equivalent:

(i) $x \in E_\varphi(D, R)$;

(ii) $x \in L_\varphi(D, R)$ and x has absolutely continuous norm;

$$(iii) \int_D \varphi(\alpha |u(t)|, t) dt < \infty \text{ for all } \alpha > 0.$$

1.4. If a sequence (u_n) in $E_\varphi(D, R)$ has equi-absolutely continuous norms and converges in measure, then (u_n) converges in $E_\varphi(D, R)$.

Further, denote by $L_\varphi(D, X)$ the set of all strongly measurable functions $x: D \rightarrow X$ such that $\|x\| \in L_\varphi(D, R)$. Analogously we define $E_\varphi(D, X)$. Then $L_\varphi(D, X)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\|_\varphi = \|\|x\|\|_\varphi$. Moreover, let $L^1(D, X)$ denote the Lebesgue space of all (Bochner) integrable functions $x: D \rightarrow X$ provided with the norm $\|x\|_1 = \int_D \|x(t)\| dt$. We shall always assume that all functions from $L^1(D, X)$ are extended to R^m by putting $x(t) = 0$ for $t \in R^m \setminus D$.

Let β and β_1 be the Hausdorff measures of noncompactness (cf. [6]) in X and $L^1(D, X)$, respectively. For any set V of functions from D into X denote by v the function defined by $v(t) = \beta(V(t))$ for $t \in D$ (under the convention that $\beta(A) = \infty$ if A is unbounded), where $V(t) = \{x(t): x \in V\}$. In what follows we shall use the following

Theorem 1. Let V be a countable subset of $L^1(D, X)$ such that there exists $\mu \in L^1(D, R)$ such that $\|x(t)\| \leq \mu(t)$ for all $x \in V$ and $t \in D$. Then the function v is integrable on D and for any measurable subset T of D

$$(2) \quad \beta\left(\int_T x(t) dt: x \in V\right) \leq \int_T v(t) dt.$$

If, in addition, $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in V} \int_D \|x(t+\tau) - x(t)\| dt = 0$, then

$$\beta_1(V) \leq \int_D v(t) dt.$$

We omit the proof of this theorem, because it is similar to that of Theorem 1 from [5].

2. The main result. Assume now that

1° $M, N: R_+ \times D \rightarrow R_+$ are complementary N-functions and M satisfies Condition A.

2° $\varphi: R_+ \times D \rightarrow R_+$ is an N-function satisfying Condition A and such that

(3) $u \leq c \varphi(u, t) + a(t)$ for all $u \geq 0$ and a.a. $t \in D$,

where c is a positive number and $a \in L^1(D, R)$. Let ψ be the complementary function to φ .

3° $(t, s, x) \rightarrow f(t, s, x)$ is a function from $D^2 \times X$ into X which is continuous in x for a.e. $t, s \in D$ and strongly measurable in (t, s) for every $x \in X$.

4° $\|f(t, s, x)\| \leq K(t, s)g(s, \|x\|)$ for $t, s \in D$ and $x \in X$, where

(i) $(s, u) \rightarrow g(s, u)$ is a function from $D \times R_+$ into R_+ , measurable in s and continuous in u , and there exist $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ and $b \in L^1(D, R)$, $b \geq 0$, such that $N(\alpha g(s, u), s) \leq \gamma \varphi(u, s) + b(s)$ for all $u \geq 0$ and a.a. $s \in D$;

(ii) $(t, s) \rightarrow K(t, s)$ is a function from D^2 into R_+ such that $K(t, \cdot) \in E_M(D, R)$ for a.e. $t \in D$ and the function $t \rightarrow \|K(t, \cdot)\|_M$ belongs to $E_\varphi(D, R)$.

For simplicity put $L^1 = L^1(D, X)$, $L_\varphi = L_\varphi(D, X)$, $E_\varphi = E_\varphi(D, X)$ and $E_\varphi^r = \{x \in E_\varphi: \|x\|_\varphi \leq r\}$. Let F be the mapping defined by

$$F(x)(t) = \int_D f(t, s, x(s)) ds \quad (x \in E_\varphi, t \in D).$$

Theorem 2. Assume in addition that

5° $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in E_\varphi^h} \int_D \|F(x)(t + \tau) - F(x)(t)\| dt = 0$ for all $r > 0$ and

6° $\beta(f(t, s, Z)) \leq H(t, s) \beta(Z)$ for almost every $t, s \in D$ and for every bounded subset Z of X , where $(t, s) \rightarrow H(t, s)$ is a

function from D^c into R_+ such that $H(t, \cdot) \in L_{\psi}(D, R)$ for a.e. $t \in D$ and the function $t \rightarrow \|H(t, \cdot)\|_{\psi}$ belongs to $L_{\varphi}(D, R)$.

Then for any $p \in E_{\varphi}$ there exists a positive number ρ such that for any $\lambda \in R$ with $|\lambda| < \rho$ the equation (1) has a solution $x \in E_{\varphi}$.

Remark 1. For example, the condition 5° holds if

$$f(t, s, x) = K(t, s)q(s, x)$$

and $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_D \|K(t + \tau, \cdot) - K(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{M}} dt = 0$ and $\|q(s, x)\| \leq g(s, \|x\|)$ for $x \in X$ and a.e. $s \in D$.

Remark 2. The condition 6° holds whenever $f = f_1 + f_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are such that

(*) for a.e. $t, s \in D$ the function $x \rightarrow f_1(t, s, x)$ is completely continuous;

(***) $\|f_2(t, s, x) - f_2(t, s, y)\| \leq H(t, s) \|x - y\|$ for $x, y \in X$ and a.e. $t, s \in D$.

Proof. By 4° and the Hölder inequality we have

$$\|F(x)(t)\| \leq 2 \|K(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{M}} \|g(\cdot, \|x\|)\|_{\mathbb{N}} \text{ for } t \in D.$$

Since

$$\|g(\cdot, \|x\|)\|_{\mathbb{N}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \|\alpha g(\cdot, \|x\|)\|_{\mathbb{N}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 + \int_D N(\alpha g(s, \|x(s)\|), s) ds) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 + \int_D b(s) ds + \gamma \int_D \psi(\|x(s)\|, s) ds),$$

we get

$$(4) \quad \|F(x)(t)\| \leq k(t) (1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma r_{\varphi}(x)) \text{ for } x \in E_{\varphi} \text{ and } t \in D,$$

where $k(t) = \frac{2}{\alpha} \|K(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{M}}$ and $r_{\varphi}(x) = \int_D \varphi(\|x(s)\|, s) ds$. From 4° (ii) and (3) it is clear that $k \in E_{\varphi}(D, R) \cap L^1(D, R)$. Hence

$$(5) \quad \|F(x) \chi_T\|_{\varphi} \leq \|k \chi_T\|_{\varphi} (1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma r_{\varphi}(x))$$

for $x \in E_{\varphi}$ and any measurable subset T of D .

Similarly it can be shown that

$$(6) \quad \int_T \|f(t, s, x(s))\| ds \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \|K(t, \cdot)\|_{T, M} (1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma r_\varphi(x))$$

for $x \in E_\varphi$, $t \in D$ and any measurable subset T of D .

In virtue of 1.3, from (5) we infer that F is a mapping of E_φ into itself. We shall show that F is continuous. Let $x_n, x_0 \in E_\varphi$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_0\|_\varphi = 0$. Suppose that $\|F(x_n) - F(x_0)\|_\varphi$ does not converge to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence (x_{n_j}) such that

$$(7) \quad \|F(x_{n_j}) - F(x_0)\|_\varphi > \varepsilon \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_j}(t) = x_0(t)$ for a.e. $t \in D$. From 1.3 and the inequality

$$r_\varphi(x_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} r_\varphi(2(x_n - x_0)) + \frac{1}{2} r_\varphi(2x_0)$$

it follows the boundedness of the sequence $(r_\varphi(x_n))$. By (6) this implies that for a.e. $t \in D$ the sequence $(\|f(t, s, x_n(s))\|)$ is equi-integrable on D . As $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(t, s, x_{n_j}(s)) = f(t, s, x_0(s))$ for a.e. $t, s \in D$, the Vitali convergence theorem proves that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(x_{n_j})(t) = F(x_0)(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in D.$$

Moreover, in view of (5), the sequence $(F(x_{n_j}))$ has equi-absolutely continuous norms in L_φ . Thus, by 1.4, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|F(x_{n_j}) - F(x_0)\|_\varphi = 0$ which contradicts (7).

Fix a function $p \in E_\varphi$. Denote by Q the set of all $q > 0$ for which there exists $r > 0$ such that $\int_D \varphi(\|p(t)\| + qk(t)(1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma r), t) dt \leq r$. Let $\varrho = \min(\sup Q, 1/\|h\|_\varphi)$, where $h(t) = \|H(t, \cdot)\|_\varphi$ for $t \in D$.

Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\lambda| < \varrho$. From the definition of ϱ we deduce

that there exists $d > 0$ such that

$$(8) \quad \int_D \varphi (\|p(t)\| + |\lambda| k(t)(1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma d), t) dt \leq d.$$

Set $U = \{x \in E_\varphi : r_\varphi(x) \leq d\}$ and $G(x) = p + \lambda F(x)$ for $x \in E_\varphi$. Then G is a continuous mapping $E_\varphi \rightarrow E_\varphi$ and, by (4) and (8), $G(U \subset U)$.

Consequently

$$(9) \quad G(\bar{U}) \subset \overline{G(\bar{U})} \subset \bar{U}.$$

Obviously, \bar{U} is a bounded, closed and convex subset of E_φ , and

$$(10) \quad \bar{U} \subset B_\varphi^{d+1}.$$

Now we shall show that for any countable subset V of \bar{U}

$$(11) \quad V \subset \overline{\text{conv}} (G(V) \cup \{0\}) \implies V \text{ is relatively compact in } E_\varphi.$$

Assume that V is a countable set of functions belonging to \bar{U} and

$$(12) \quad V \subset \overline{\text{conv}} (G(V) \cup \{0\}).$$

Owing to 1.1 it is clear that

$$V(t) \subset \overline{\text{conv}} (G(V)(t) \cup \{0\}) \text{ for a.e. } t \in D,$$

so that

$$(13) \quad \beta(V(t)) \leq \beta(G(V)(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in D.$$

From (4) it follows that for any $y \in \overline{G(\bar{U})}$

$$\|y(t)\| \leq \mu(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in D,$$

where $\mu(t) = \|p(t)\| + |\lambda| k(t)(1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma d)$. As V is countable, in view of (9) and (12), this implies that there exists a set D_0 of Lebesgue measure zero such that

$$(14) \quad \|x(t)\| \leq \mu(t) \text{ for all } x \in V \text{ and } t \in D \setminus D_0.$$

Let us remark that $\mu \in E_\varphi(D, R) \cap L^1(D, R)$.

On the other hand, by 5^o, (10) and (12), we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in V} \int_D \|x(t + \varepsilon) - x(t)\| dt = 0.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, the function $t \rightarrow v(t) = \beta(V(t))$ is integrable on D and

$$(15) \quad \beta_1(V) \leq \int_D v(t) dt.$$

Furthermore, from 4^0 and (14) it follows that for any $t \in D$ such that $K(t, \cdot) \in E_{\mathbb{N}}(D, R)$, we have

$$\|f(t, s, x(s))\| \leq \eta(s) \quad \text{for } x \in V \text{ and a.e. } s \in D,$$

where $\eta(s) = K(t, s)g(s, \mu(s))$. As $\mu \in E_{\mathcal{Q}}(D, R)$, $4^0(1)$ implies that $g(\cdot, \mu) \in L_{\mathbb{N}}(D, R)$, and consequently, by the Hölder inequality, $\eta \in L^1(D, R)$. Hence, owing to 6^0 and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(G(V)(t)) &= \beta(\lambda \int_D f(t, s, x(s)) ds : x \in V) \leq \\ &|\lambda| \int_D \beta(\{f(t, s, x(s)) : x \in V\}) ds \leq |\lambda| \int_D H(t, s) \beta(V(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

In view of (13), this shows that

$$v(t) \leq |\lambda| \int_D H(t, s) v(s) ds \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in D.$$

Moreover, by (14), we have $v(t) \leq \mu(t)$ for a.e. $t \in D$, and therefore $v \in E_{\mathcal{Q}}(D, R)$. Thus, by the Hölder inequality,

$$v(t) \leq |\lambda| \|H(t, \cdot)\|_{\Psi} \|v\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in D,$$

so that

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \leq |\lambda| \|h\|_{\mathcal{Q}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

Since $|\lambda| \|h\|_{\mathcal{Q}} < 1$, this implies that $\|v\|_{\mathcal{Q}} = 0$, i.e. $v(t) = 0$ for a.e. $t \in D$. Hence, by (15), $\beta_1(V) = 0$, i.e. V is relatively compact in L^1 . On the other hand, as $\mu \in E_{\mathcal{Q}}(D, R)$, (14) implies that V has equi-absolutely continuous norms in $L_{\mathcal{Q}}$. From this we deduce that V is relatively compact in $E_{\mathcal{Q}}$, which proves (11).

Applying now Daher's generalization of the Schauder fixed point theorem (cf. [1]), we conclude that there exists $x \in \bar{U}$ such that $x = G(x)$. It is clear that x is a solution of (1).

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] J. DAHER: On a fixed point principle of Sadovskii, *Nonlinear Analysis* 2(1978), 643-645.
- [2] J. DANEŠ: On densifying and related mappings and their applications in nonlinear functional analysis, *Theory of nonlinear operators*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1974, 15-56.
- [3] A. KOZEK: Orlicz spaces of functions with values in Banach spaces, *Comm. Math.* 19(1977), 259-286.
- [4] M.A. KRASNOSELSKII, J.B. RUTICKII: *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Moskva 1958.
- [5] W. ORLICZ, S. SZUFLA: On some classes of nonlinear Volterra integral equations in Banach spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math.* 30(1982), 239-250.
- [6] B.N. SADOVSKII: Limit-compact and condensing operators, *Russian Math. Surveys* 27(1972), 85-155

Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University, Poznań,
Poland

(Oblatum 29.11. 1983)

