

Werk

Label: Article Jahr: 1984

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0025|log74

Kontakt/Contact

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 25,4 (1984)

ON BOUNDED SOLUTIONS OF A LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH A NONLINEAR PERTURBATION Bogdon RZEPECKI

Abstract: Let E be a Banach space. Suppose that $f:[0,\infty)\times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Carathéodory conditions and some regularity condition expressed in terms of the measure of noncompactness ∞ . We prove the existence of bounded solutions of the differential equation y'=A(t)y+f(t,y) under the assumption that the linear equation y'=A(t)y+b(t) has at least one bounded solution for each b belonging to a function Banach space B_{∞} .

Key words: Differential equations in Banach spaces, function spaces, admissibility, measure of noncompactness.

Classification: 34G20, 34A34, 34C11.

1. <u>Introduction</u>. Throughout this paper, J denotes the half-line $t \ge 0$, E a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, and $\mathcal{L}(E)$ the algebra of continuous linear operators from E into itself with the induced standard norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Consider the nonlinear differential equation

(+)
$$y^{\dagger}(t) = A(t)y(t) + f(t,y(t)),$$

where $t \in J$, $A(t) \in \mathcal{L}(E)$, and f is an E-valued function defined on $J \times E$.

We are interested in the study of bounded solutions of (+) when f satisfies the Carathéodory conditions and some regularity Ambrosetti-Szufla type condition (cf. [1],[11]) expressed in terms of the measure of noncompactness ∞ .

The method used here is based on the concept of "admissibility" due to Massera and Schäffer [8]. With (+) above we shall associate the nonhomogeneous linear equation

(x) y'(t) = A(t)y(t) + b(t)

under the assumption that has at least one bounded solution for each function b belonging to a function Banach space Bo.

2. Notation and preliminaries. Let ∞ denote the Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness in E. (The measure $\infty(X)$ of a nonempty bounded subset X of E is defined as the infimum of all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there exists a finite covering of X by sets of diameter 4ε .) For properties of the Kuratowski function ∞ , see e.g. [3] - [6],[10].

Further, we will use the standard notations. The closure of a set X, its diameter and its closed convex hull be denoted, respectively, by \overline{X} , diam X and $\overline{\text{conv}}$ X. If X and Y are subsets of E and t, s are real numbers, then tX + sY is the set of all tx + sy such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. For a set V of mappings defined on X we write $V(t) = \{\varphi(t): \varphi \in V\}$; $\varphi[X]$ will denote the image of X under φ . Moreover, we use some of the notation, definitions, and results from the book of Massera-Schäffer [8] and the paper of Boudourides [2].

Let us denote:

by L(J,E) - the vector space of strongly measurable functions from J into E, Bochmer integrable in every finite subinterval I of J, with the topology of the convergence in the mean, on every such I;

by B(J,R) - a Banach space, provided with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{B(R)}, \text{ of real-valued measurable functions on J such that}$

(1) $B(J,\mathbb{R})$ is stronger than $L(J,\mathbb{R})$ (see [8], p. 35), (2) $B(J,\mathbb{R})$ contains all essentially bounded functions with compact support, and (3) if $u \in B(J,\mathbb{R})$ and v is a real-valued measurable function on J with $|v| \leq |u|$, then $v \in B(J,\mathbb{R})$ and $||v||_{B(\mathbb{R})} \leq ||u||_{B(\mathbb{R})}$;

by B_0 - the Banach space of all strongly measurable functions $u:J\longrightarrow E$ such that $\|u\|\in B(J,\mathbb{R})$ provided with the norm $\|u\|_{B(E)}=\|\|\|u\|\|_{B(\mathbb{R})}$;

by $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{O}}$ - the Banach space of bounded continuous functions from J to E, with the usual supremum norm.

Let $B^*(J,\mathbb{R})$ be the associate space to $B(J,\mathbb{R})$ i.e., $B^*(J,\mathbb{R})$ is the Banach space of all real-valued measurable functions u on J such that

$$\|u\|_{B^*(\mathbb{R})} = \sup \left\{ \int_J |v(s)u(s)| ds: v \in B(J,\mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

We denote by $B^*(J,E)$ the Banach space of all strongly measurable functions $u:J\longrightarrow E$ such that $\|u\|\in B^*(J,R)$ provided with the norm $\|u\|_{B^*(E)} = \|\|u\|\|_{B^*(R)}$.

We introduce the following definitions:

Definition 1. The pair (B_0,C_0) is called admissible (cf. [8], p. 127), if for every $b \in B_0$ there exists at least one bounded solution of (*) on J.

Definition 2. Given any subinterval I of J, we denote by \mathcal{K}_{I} the characteristic function of I. The space B(J,R) is called lean (cf. [8], p. 48; [12], p. 386), if for any nonnegative function $b \in B(J,R)$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\chi_{[t,\infty)}\|_{B(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

Our result will be proved via the fixed-point theorem given below.

Denote by C(J,E) the family of all continuous functions from J to E. The set C(J,E) will be considered as a vector space endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of J.

We use the following fixed-point theorem (cf. [9], Theorem 2):

Let $\mathfrak X$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of C(J,E). Let Φ be a function which assigns to each nonempty subset X of $\mathfrak X$ a nonnegative real number $\Phi(X)$ with the following properties:

- 1° $\Phi(\mathbf{I}_1) \neq \Phi(\mathbf{I}_2)$ whenever $\mathbf{I}_1 \subset \mathbf{I}_2$;
- 2° $\Phi(X \cup \{y\}) = \Phi(X)$ for $y \in \mathcal{X}$;
- 3° $\Phi(\overline{\operatorname{conv}} X) = \Phi(X);$
- 4° if $\Phi(X) = 0$ then \overline{X} is compact.

Suppose that T is a continuous mapping of $\mathfrak X$ into itself and $\Phi(T[X]) < \Phi(X)$ for an arbitrary nonempty set $X \subset \mathfrak X$ such that $\Phi(X) > 0$. Under these hypotheses, T has a fixed point in $\mathfrak X$.

3. Result. First of all, we assume that $A \in L(J, \mathcal{L}(E))$, the pair (B_0, C_0) is admissible, and $B(J, \mathbb{R})$ is lean.

Let E_0 denote the set of all points of E which are values for t=0 of bounded solutions of the differential equation y'=A(t)y. Suppose that E_0 is closed and has a closed complement, i.e. there exists a closed subspace E_1 of E such that E is the direct sum of E_0 and E_1 .

Let P be the projection of E onto E_o, and let $U:J \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ be the solution of the equation $U^1 = A(t)U$ with the initial condition U(0) = I (the identity mapping). For any $t \in J$ we define

a function $G(t, \cdot) \in L(J, \mathcal{L}(E))$ by

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} U(t)PU^{-1}(s) & \text{for } 0 \le s < t, \\ -U(t)(I-P)U^{-1}(s) & \text{for } s > t. \end{cases}$$

Let $G(t,\cdot) \in B^*(J,\mathcal{L}(E))$ and $\|G(t,\cdot)\|_{B^*(\mathcal{L}(E))} \le K$ for any $t \in J$. Moreover, let us put: (Fu)(t) = f(t,u(t)) for $u \in C(J,E)$.

Theorem. Suppose f is a function which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For each $x \in E$ the mapping $t \longmapsto f(t,x)$ is measurable, and for each $t \in J$ the mapping $x \longmapsto f(t,x)$ is continuous.
 - (2) $\|f(t,x)\| \leq \lambda(t)$ for $(t,x) \in J \times E$, where $\lambda \in B(J,R)$.
- (3) It is continuous as a map of any bounded subset of C(J,E) into the space B_{O} .

Let g and h be functions of J into itself such that $g \in B(J, \mathbb{R})$ with sup $\{\int_J \| G(t,s) \| g(s) ds: t \in J\} \leq 1$, and h is nondecreasing with h(0) = 0 and h(t) < t for t > 0. Assume in addition that for any $\epsilon > 0$, t > 0 and a bounded subset X of E there exists a closed subset Q of [0,t] such that mes $([0,t] \setminus Q) < \epsilon$ and

Then for $x_0 \in E_0$ with a sufficiently small norm there exists a bounded solution y of (+) on J such that $Py(0) = x_0$.

<u>Proof.</u> By Theorem 4.1 of [7], there exists M>0 such that every bounded solution of y' = A(t)y satisfies the estimate $\|y(t)\| \le M \|y(0)\|$ for t \(\in J\). Now, choose a positive number $r > K \| \lambda \|_{B(\mathbb{R})}$ and assume that $x_0 \in \mathbb{E}_0$ with $\|x_0\| \le M^{-1}(r - K \| \lambda \|_{B(\mathbb{R})})$.

Denote by ${\mathfrak X}$ the set of all $u\in C(J,E)$ such that $\|u(t)\|\leq r$ on J and

$$\|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\| \le r \|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|A(s)\| ds\| + \|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{N}(s) ds\|$$

for t_1, t_2 in J. Define a mapping T as follows: for $u \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$(Tu)(t) = U(t)x_0 + \int_{T} G(t,s)(Fu)(s)ds.$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{K}$. For $t \in J$, by the Hölder inequality ([8], Theorem 22.M), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \| (\mathrm{Tu})(t) \| & \leq \| \, \mathrm{U}(t) \mathbf{x}_0 \| + \int_J \| \, \mathrm{G}(t,s) \, \| \, \, \| \, (\mathrm{Fu})(s) \, \| \, \mathrm{d}s \, \leq \\ & \leq M \| \, \mathrm{U}(0) \mathbf{x}_0 \| + \int_J \| \, \mathrm{G}(t,s) \, \| \, \, \lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \leq \\ & \leq M \| \, \mathbf{x}_0 \| \, + K \, \| \, \lambda \, \|_{\mathrm{B}(R)} \leq \, r \, . \end{split}$$

By Theorem 2 of [2] the function Tu is a bounded solution of the differential equation y' = A(t)y + (Fu)(t). Hence

$$\| (Tu)(t_1) - (Tu)(t_2) \| \le$$

$$\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|A(s)(Tu)(s) + (Fu)(s)\| ds \leq 1$$

$$\leq \mathbf{r} \cdot \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{d}\mathbf{s} \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s} \right|$$

on J, and therefore $\mathfrak{T}u\in\mathfrak{X}$.

For u, v & X and t & J,

 $\leq \int_{J} \|G(t,s)\| \| (Fu)(s) - (Fv)(s)\| ds \leq K \|Fu - Fv\|_{B(E)}.$

From this we conclude that T is continuous as a map of ${\mathcal Z}$ into itself.

Put

$$\Phi(V) = \sup \{\infty (V(t)): t \in J\}$$

for a nonempty subset ${\tt V}$ of ${\tt M}$. It is not hard to see that

the function Φ has the properties 1° - 4° listed in Section 2. To apply our fixed-point theorem it remains to be shown that Φ (T[V]) < Φ (V) whenever Φ (V) > 0.

Assume V is a nonempty subset of $\mathcal X$. Fix t>0 and ε > 0. Since B(J,R) is lean, $K \| \chi_{[a,\infty)} \lambda \|_{B(R)} < \varepsilon$ for some alt. Let $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon) > 0$ be a number such that

$$\int_{D} \| \, G(t,s) \, \| \, \, \lambda(s) \, \mathrm{d} s < \, \varepsilon$$

for each measurable D c [0,a] with mes (D) < σ' . By the Luzin theorem there exists a closed subset z_1 of [0,a] with

mes ([0,a]\ z_1) < σ /2 and the function g is continuous on z_1 .

Let $X_0 = \bigcup \{ V(s) : 0 \le s \le a \}$. By our comparison condition, there exists a closed subset Z_2 of [0,a] such that mes $([0,a] \setminus Z_2) < \sigma'/2$ and

 $\infty(f[I \times X_0]) \leq \sup \{g(s): s \in I\} \cdot h(\infty(X_0))$

for each closed subset I of Z2.

Define: $D = D_1 \cup D_2$, $Z = [0,a] \setminus D$, where $D_1 = [0,a] \setminus Z_1$ (i = 1,2). We have

 $\propto (\{\int_{\mathbb{D}} G(t,s)(Fu)(s)ds: u \in V\}) \leq$

 \leq diam ($\{\int_{\mathbb{D}} G(t,s)(Fu)(s) ds: u \in V\}) \leq$

 $\leq 2 \cdot \sup \{ \| \int_{\mathbb{D}} G(t,s)(Fu)(s) ds \| : u \in V \} \leq$

 $\leq 2 \cdot \int_{\mathbb{D}} \| G(t,s) \| \Lambda(s) ds < 2\varepsilon$

and

 $\propto (\left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} G(t,s)(Fu)(s) ds: u \in V\right\}) \leq$

 $\leq 2 \cdot \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \| G(t,s) \| \lambda(s) ds \leq 2K \| \chi_{[a,\infty)} \lambda \|_{B(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2 \varepsilon.$ Let

 $c_1 = \sup \{g(s)\colon s\in Z\}, \ c_2 = \sup \{IG(t,s)I\colon s\in Z\}.$ Since Z is compact, for any given $\epsilon^*>0$ there exists a $\eta>0$

such that $|s_1' - s_1''| < \eta$ with $s_1', s_1'' \in [0,t] \cap Z$, $|s_2' - s_2''| < \eta$ with $s_2', s_2'' \in [t,s] \cap Z$ and $|s' - s''| < \eta$ with s_1' , $s_1'' \in Z$ implies $c_1 \propto (X_0) \| G(t,s_j') - G(t,s_j'') \| < \varepsilon'$ (j = 1,2) and $c_2 \propto (X_0) \| g(s') - g(s''') \| < \varepsilon'$.

Let $I_i = [t_{i-1}, t_i] \setminus D$ (i = 1.2,...,m), where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_1 = t < ... < t_m = a$

with $|t_i - t_{i-1}| < \eta$. We shall prove below that

 $\alpha(\bigcup \{G(t,s)f[I_{i} \times X_{o}]: s \in I_{i}^{?}) \leq$

 $\leq \sup \{ | G(t,s)| : s \in I_1 \} \cdot \propto (f[I_i \times X_0]).$

In fact, for $\epsilon_o > 0$ there exist a number $\eta_o > 0$ and sets W_j , j = 1, 2, ..., n, such that

 $\begin{aligned} & \| \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{G}') - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{G}'') \| \cdot \sup \| \| \mathbf{x} \| : \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{I}_{1} \times \mathbf{X}_{0}] \| < \varepsilon_{0} \end{aligned}$ for \mathbf{G}' , $\mathbf{G}'' \in \mathbf{I}_{1}$ with $\| \mathbf{G}' - \mathbf{G}'' \| < \eta_{0}$. Divide the interval \mathbf{I}_{1} into \mathbf{r} parts $\mathbf{d}_{1} < \mathbf{d}_{2} < \ldots < \mathbf{d}_{r+1}$ in such a way that $\| \mathbf{d}_{k+1} - \mathbf{d}_{k} \| < \eta_{0} \| (\mathbf{k} = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$. Furthermore, let us denote by \mathbf{X}_{jk} $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n; \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$ the set of all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{E}$ such that there exists a point $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{W}_{j}$ with $\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{d}_{k}) \mathbf{w} \| < \varepsilon_{0}. \end{aligned}$

Let $\xi = G(t,s_0)z_0$, where $s_0 \in [d_q,d_{q+1}]$ and $z_0 \in W_p$. Then $\|\xi - G(t,d_p)z_0\| \leq \|G(t,s_0) - G(t,d_p)\| \|z_0\| \leq \varepsilon_0$

hence $\xi \in X_{pq}$. Consequently,

 $\bigcup \left\{ G(t,s) f[I_1 \times X_0] \colon s \in I_1 \right\} \subset \underset{i=1}{\overset{v}{\bigvee}} \underset{k=1}{\overset{v}{\bigvee}} \underset{$

 $\|x_1 - x_2\| \le \|x_1 - G(t, d_k)w_1\| + \|G(t, d_k)w_1 - G(t, d_k)w_2\| + 642 -$

Now, from the above, we obtain

 $<4\varepsilon$ + h($\infty(X_0)$) \int_Z | G(t,s) | g(s)ds + 2 ε ' • mes (Z).

Since V is almost equicontinuous and bounded, we can apply Lemma 2.2 of Ambrosetti [1] to get

 $\alpha(X_0) = \sup \{\alpha(V(s)): 0 \le s \le a \} \le \Phi(V).$

Therefore

 $\propto (T[V](t)) < 4\varepsilon + h(\Phi(V)) \int_{Z} \|G(t,s)\| g(s)ds + 2\varepsilon' \cdot mes (Z),$ and we obtain $\propto (T[V](t)) \le h(\Phi(V))$. If $\propto (X_0) = 0$, then $\propto (T[V](t)) \le 0 = h(0) \le h(\Phi(V))$. This proves

 $\kappa(T[V](t)) \leq h(\Phi(V))$ for each $t \in J$;

hence $\Phi(T[V]) \leq h(\Phi(V))$.

The set $\mathfrak X$ is a closed and convex subset of C(J,E). Thus all assumptions of our fixed-point theorem are satisfied; T has a fixed point in $\mathfrak X$ which ends the proof.

Remark. Our result may be applied to the important case, when B_0 is any Orlicz space L_{φ} generated by a convex φ -function such that $\lim_{u\to 0} \varphi(u)/u = 0$ and $\lim_{u\to \infty} \varphi(u)/u = \infty$.

References

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI: Un teorema di esistenza per le equazioni differenziali negli spazi di Banach, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 39(1967), 349-360.
- [2] M. BOUDOURIDES: On bounded solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equations, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 22(1981), 15-26.
- [3] J. DANEŠ: On densifying and related mappings and their application in nonlinear functional analysis, Theory of nonlinear operators, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1974, 15-56.

- [4] K. DEIMLING: Ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, Lect. Notes in Math. 596, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1977.
- [5] K. KURATOWSKI: Sur les espaces complets, Fund. Math. 15 (1930), 301-309.
- [6] R. MARTIN: Nonlinear operators and differential equations in Banach spaces, Wiley Publ., New York 1976.
- [7] J.L. MASSERA and J.J. SCHÄFFER: Linear differential equations and functional analysis, Ann. Math. 67(1958), 517-573.
- [8] J.L. MASSERA and J.J. SCHÄFFER: Linear differential equations and functional spaces, Academic Press, New York 1966.
- [9] B. RZEPECKI: Remarks on Schauder's fixed point principle and its applications, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Math, 27(1979), 473-480.
- [10] B.N. SADOVSKII: Limit compact and condensing operators, Russian Math. Surveys 27(1972), 86-144.
- [11] S. SZUFLA: Some remarks on ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 16(1968), 795-800.
- [12] S. SZUFLA: On the boundedness of solutions of non-linear differential equations in Banach spaces, Comment.

 Math. 21(1979), 381-387.

Institute of Mathematics A. Mickiewicz University, Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznan, Poland

(Oblatum 21.5. 1984)

