

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1984

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0025|log15

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

COMPLETELY REGULAR MODIFICATION AND PRODUCTS
Petr SIMON

Abstract: If X is a topological space, denote $CR(X)$ the completely regular modification of X . The aim of the present paper is to give an example of two T_3 -spaces X, Y such that $CR(X \times Y) \neq CR(X) \times CR(Y)$.

Key words and phrases: Completely regular modification, Jones machine, Tychonoff plank, almost disjoint family.

Classification: Primary 54G20, 54A10
Secondary 5410, 54D15, 54B10

There is a plenty of papers dealing with the commutativity of products and a suitable functor from the category of topological spaces into itself. To the author's knowledge, the functor of completely regular modification has been investigated from this point of view in [O] and [P]. For a topological space X , denote $CR(X)$, the completely regular modification of X , the space whose underlying set is the same as that of X , equipped with the topology, the base of which consists of all cozero subsets of X . It is easy to show that $CR(X)$ has the largest completely regular topology contained in the topology of X . Let us remind the best results concerning the commutativity of CR and products:

Theorem [O]: Let X be Tychonoff. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is locally compact,
- (ii) for each space Y , $CR(X \times Y) = X \times CR(Y)$.

Theorem [O]: Let X be a topological space and suppose that $CR(X)$ is not locally compact. Then there exists a Hausdorff space Y such that $CR(X \times Y) \neq CR(X) \times CR(Y)$.

According to these two theorems, the picture is pretty clear: local compactness is the crucial property. Unfortunately, the proof of the second theorem mentioned above essentially uses the fact that the space Y is not regular.

We do not know the answer, whether "Hausdorff" can be replaced by "regular" in the second theorem of S. Oka. Nevertheless, we can exhibit the following

Example: There exist regular spaces X and Y such that $CR(X) \times CR(Y) \neq CR(X \times Y)$.

The idea is fairly simple. Let us start with a completely regular, non-normal space T , let $A, B \subseteq T$ be the two closed disjoint sets which cannot be separated. Run the space T through the Jones machine. You will obtain the regular space X which contains a point p and a closed set A_0 isomorphic to A such that p and A_0 cannot be functionally separated. This implies that whenever U is a cozero set in X which contains p , then $U \cap A_0$ is infinite. Consequently, the point (p, p) belongs to the closure of the set $\{(x, x) : x \in A_0\}$ in the space $CR(X) \times CR(X)$. In order to show that $CR(X \times X)$ differs from $CR(X) \times CR(X)$, we need to find a continuous real-valued function on $X \times X$ which vanishes in (p, p) and equals 1 in each (x, x) , $x \in A_0$.

Unfortunately, this does not work in general and we ought to be a bit more careful when choosing the starting non-normal space - in fact, we shall need two such spaces. In spite of

this, the idea has just been fully described and the rest are mere technical complications.

A. The modified Tychonoff plank. Let $\tau \leq 2^\omega$ be a cardinal number, let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \tau\}$ be an arbitrary family of infinite subsets of ω .

The modified Tychonoff plank $T(\mathcal{F})$ is defined as follows: The underlying set is $(\tau + 1) \times (\omega + 1) - \{(\tau, \omega)\}$, every point (α, n) (for $\alpha < \tau, n < \omega$) is isolated, the neighborhood base of a point (τ, n) (for $n < \omega$) is the collection $\{(\tau, n)\} \cup \{(\alpha, n) : \alpha \in \tau - C : C \in [\tau]^{<\omega}\}$, the neighborhood base of a point (α, ω) (for $\alpha < \tau$) is the collection $\{(\alpha, \omega)\} \cup \{(\alpha, n) : n \in F_\alpha - F : F \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}$. Sometimes it will be convenient to emphasize by a subscript $(\alpha, n)_{\mathcal{F}}$ that the pair (α, n) belongs to $T(\mathcal{F})$.

Now, the space $T(\mathcal{F})$ is completely regular Hausdorff 0-dimensional. It is normal if and only if $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \omega$, because the sets $A_{\mathcal{F}} = \{\tau\} \times \omega$ and $B_{\mathcal{F}} = \tau \times \{\omega\}$ cannot be separated iff $\tau > \omega$.

The forthcoming lemma shows one important property of continuous functions on $T(\mathcal{F})$.

For $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$, denote $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) = \{X \in [\omega]^\omega : \{F \in \mathcal{F} : |F \cap X| = \omega\} \leq \omega\}$.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega, \tau = |\mathcal{F}| > \omega$, let $f: T(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, $\epsilon > 0$. Then

(i) if $\{(\alpha \in \tau : |f((\alpha, \omega))| \geq \epsilon\} \leq \omega$, then $\{n \in \omega : |f((\tau, n))| > \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$, and almost conversely

(ii) if $\{n \in \omega : |f((\tau, n))| \geq \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$, then $\{\alpha \in \tau : |f((\alpha, \omega))| > \epsilon\} \leq \omega$.

Proof. Since f is continuous, then for each $n, k \in \omega$ the

set $S_{n,k} = \{\alpha \in \tau : |f((\alpha, n)) - f((\tau, n))| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}$ is countable. Let $S = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n,k}$, $Z = \tau - S$. Then for $\alpha \in Z$ and $n \in \omega$ $f((\alpha, n)) = f((\tau, n))$.

(i) Denote $M = \{n \in \omega : |f((\tau, n))| > \varepsilon\}$. If $\alpha \in Z$ is such that $|M \cap F_{\alpha}| = \omega$, then the continuity of f implies $|f((\alpha, \omega))| \geq \inf \{|f((\alpha, n))| : n \in F_{\alpha} \cap M\} = \inf \{|f((\tau, n))| : n \in F_{\alpha} \cap M\} \geq \varepsilon$. Therefore $\{\alpha \in \tau : |F_{\alpha} \cap M| = \omega\} \subseteq \{\alpha \in \tau : |f((\alpha, \omega))| \geq \varepsilon\} \cup S$. Since both sets on the right-hand side are at most countable, $M \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$, which was to be proved.

(ii) Denote $N = \{n \in \omega : |f((\tau, n))| \geq \varepsilon\}$. If $\alpha \in Z$ is such that $|F_{\alpha} \cap N| < \omega$, then $|f((\alpha, \omega))| \leq \sup \{|f((\alpha, n))| : n \in F_{\alpha} - N\} = \sup \{|f((\tau, n))| : n \in F_{\alpha} - N\} \leq \varepsilon$. Thus $\{\alpha \in \tau : |f((\alpha, \omega))| > \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{\alpha \in \tau : |F_{\alpha} \cap N| = \omega\} \cup S$. Since $N \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$, the set $\{\alpha \in \tau : |F_{\alpha} \cap N| = \omega\}$ is at most countable, hence the set $\{\alpha \in \tau : |f((\alpha, \omega))| > \varepsilon\}$ is at most countable, too. \square

B. Jones machine. A well-known construction, the final form of which is due to F.B. Jones, goes as follows [J]: Let T be a non-normal space, denote $A, B \subseteq T$ the closed, disjoint sets which cannot be separated. Let $Z = (T \times \omega) \cup \{p\}$, where $p \notin T \times \omega$. The topology on Z is the usual product topology in all points other than p , the basic neighborhood of p is $\{p\} \cup (T \times (\omega - k))$, where $k \in \omega$. Define an equivalence relation \sim on Z by $(x, n) \sim (y, m)$ iff either $x \in A$, $y = x$ and $n = 2k + 1$, $m = 2k + 2$, or $x \in B$, $y = x$ and $n = 2k$, $m = 2k + 1$. The space $J(T)$ is the quotient space Z modulo \sim .

The basic properties of $J(T)$ are the following: If T is regular (resp. Hausdorff, resp. T_1), then $J(T)$ is, but $J(T)$ is not completely regular, because the point p cannot be functionally

separated from the closed set $A \times \{0\}$.

For the modified non-normal Tychonoff plank $T(\mathcal{F})$, denote $A = \{(\tau, n) : n \in \omega\}$, $B = \{(\alpha, \omega) : \alpha \in \tau\}$ and consider the space $J(T(\mathcal{F})) = J(\mathcal{F})$. (If necessary, we shall again denote the points of $J(\mathcal{F})$ as p_x and $((\alpha, n), k)_{\mathcal{F}}$.) Then the following holds.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be uncountable, let $f: J(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, $f(p_x) = 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\{n \in \omega : |f(((\tau, n), 0))| > \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. There is some $k \in \omega$ such that for all $x \in \{p\} \cup \cup (T(\mathcal{F}) \times (\omega - k)) / \sim$, $|f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$. Hence there is some even $j \geq k$ such that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $x \in B \times \{j\}$.

Choose $\sigma > 0$, $\sigma < \varepsilon/2 \cdot j$. Since for each $x \in B \times \{j\}$, $|f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$, by Lemma 1, (I), the set $\{n \in \omega : |f(((\tau, n), j))| > \varepsilon/2\} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$. Since $A \times \{j\}$ was identified with $A \times \{j-1\}$, the set $\{n \in \omega : |f(((\tau, n), j-1))| > \varepsilon/2\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$, too. Thus $\{n \in \omega : |f(((\tau, n), j-1))| \geq \varepsilon/2 + \sigma\} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$, by Lemma 1, (II), the set $\{\alpha \in \tau : |f(((\alpha, \omega), j-1))| > \varepsilon/2 + \sigma\}$ is at most countable. By the identification, $\{\alpha \in \tau : |f(((\alpha, \omega), j-2))| > \varepsilon/2 + \sigma\}$ is countable, too, and the same holds for $\{\alpha \in \tau : |f(((\alpha, \omega), j-2))| \geq \varepsilon/2 + 2\sigma\}$. Proceeding further, we obtain finally that $\{n \in \omega : |f(((\tau, n), 0))| > \varepsilon/2 + j \cdot \sigma\} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$, which was to be proved, as $\varepsilon/2 + j \cdot \sigma < \varepsilon$. \square

C. How to do it. The forthcoming lemma is fully proved in [S].

Lemma 3. There is an infinite maximal almost disjoint family $\mathcal{M} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ which admits a disjoint partition $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{G})$.

Notice that both the collections \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} must be uncountable. Suppose the contrary, let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n \in \omega\}$. Choose a countably infinite subset $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and enumerate it as $\{G_n : n \in \omega\}$ in such a way that for each $G \in \mathcal{G}'$, the set $\{n \in \omega : G = G_n\}$ is infinite. Then pick up inductively $k_n \in G_n - \bigcup_{i=0}^n F_i$, $k_n > k_{n-1}$. Now the set $K = \{k_n : n \in \omega\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$, for $K \cap F$ is finite for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, the set $\{M \cap K : M \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } |M \cap K| = \omega\}$ is an infinite maximal almost disjoint family on K , hence it cannot be countable. Thus $K \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$, $K \notin \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{M})$, which contradicts the lemma.

The spaces we promised to construct, are $X = J(\mathcal{F})$, $Y = J(\mathcal{G})$, where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are as in Lemma 3. Let $\tau = |\mathcal{F}|$, $\mu = |\mathcal{G}|$; using the notation as before, denote

$$\Delta = \{((\tau, n), 0)_{\mathcal{F}}, ((\mu, n), 0)_{\mathcal{G}} : n \in \omega\}.$$

First, we shall prove that the point $(p_{\mathcal{F}}, p_{\mathcal{G}})$ is a cluster point of Δ in $CR(X) \times CR(Y)$.

Indeed, choose arbitrarily a cozero set U with $p_{\mathcal{F}} \in U \subseteq J(\mathcal{F})$, and a cozero set V with $p_{\mathcal{G}} \in V \subseteq J(\mathcal{G})$. By Lemma 2, $K = \{n \in \omega : (\tau, n)_{\mathcal{F}} \notin U\} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$ and $L = \{n \in \omega : (\mu, n)_{\mathcal{G}} \notin V\} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{G})$. By Lemma 3, $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{M})$, and clearly $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{M})$ is a proper ideal on ω , thus $\omega - K \cup L$ is infinite. Clearly, for $n \in \omega - K \cup L$, $((\tau, n), 0)_{\mathcal{F}}, ((\mu, n), 0)_{\mathcal{G}} \in U \times V$. Thus each neighborhood of a point $(p_{\mathcal{F}}, p_{\mathcal{G}})$ in $CR(X) \times CR(Y)$ meets Δ , which was to be proved.

Second, we shall separate the point $(p_{\mathcal{F}}, p_{\mathcal{G}})$ from Δ in the space $CR(X \times Y)$.

Define a function $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows: $f((x, y)) = 1$ provided that there are $n \in \omega$, $\alpha \in \tau + 1$ and $\beta \in \mu + 1$ such that $x = ((\alpha, n), 0)_{\mathcal{F}}$, $y = ((\beta, n), 0)_{\mathcal{G}}$, otherwise $f((x, y)) = 0$.

Clearly, $f \upharpoonright \Delta \equiv 1$, $f((p_x, p_y)) = 0$, thus it remains to check that f is continuous.

Pick up $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Then there are only four non-trivial cases:

1. $x = ((\alpha, \omega), 0)_X$ for $\alpha < \tau$,
 $y = ((\beta, \omega), 0)_Y$ for $\beta < \mu$.

Let $U = \{x\} \cup \{((\alpha, n), i)_X : n \in \mathbb{F}_\alpha - G_\beta, i \in \{0, 1\}\}$,
 $V = \{y\} \cup \{((\beta, n), i)_Y : n \in G_\beta - \mathbb{F}_\alpha, i \in \{0, 1\}\}$.

Since \mathcal{M} was assumed to be almost disjoint, $(\mathbb{F}_\alpha - G_\beta) \cap (G_\beta - \mathbb{F}_\alpha) = \emptyset$, thus $f \upharpoonright U \times V \equiv 0$.

2. $x = ((\alpha, \omega), 0)$ for $\alpha < \tau$,
 $y = ((\beta, n), 0)$ for $\beta \leq \mu, n < \omega$.

Let $U = \{x\} \cup \{((\alpha, m), i) : m \in \mathbb{F}_\alpha - \{n\}, i \in \{0, 1\}\}$,
 $V = \{y\} \cup \{((\gamma, n), 0) : \gamma < \mu\}$.

Then $f \upharpoonright U \times V \equiv 0$.

3. $x = ((\alpha, n), 0)$ for $\alpha < \tau, n < \omega$
 $y = ((\beta, \omega), 0)$ for $\beta < \mu$.

This case is symmetrical to the previous one.

4. $x = ((\alpha, n), 0)$ for $\alpha \leq \tau, n < \omega$,
 $y = ((\beta, m), 0)$ for $\beta \leq \mu, m < \omega$.

Let $U = \{x\} \cup \{((\sigma, n), 0) : \sigma < \tau\}$,
 $V = \{y\} \cup \{((\gamma, m), 0) : \gamma < \mu\}$.

Then if $f(x, y) = 0$, which takes place if $n \neq m$, we have $f \upharpoonright U \times V \equiv 0$, and if $n = m$, then $f \upharpoonright U \times V \equiv 1$.

In any case other than these just mentioned, the existence of neighborhoods U, V with $f \upharpoonright U \times V \equiv 0$, is obvious.

Thus f is a continuous function which separates (p_x, p_y) and Δ .

Remark. The spaces we have constructed, are regular. One

can want, moreover, that both X, Y have a base consisting of interiors of zero sets. It suffices to start with $T(\mathcal{F})$ and $T(\mathcal{G})$ as before, but then adopt the construction described in [W] instead of Jones machine.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [E] R. ENGELKING: General Topology, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa 1977.
- [J] F.B. JONES: Hereditarily separable, non-completely regular spaces, Proceedings of the Blacksburg Virginia Topological Conference, March 1973.
- [O] S.OKA: Tychonoff functor and product spaces, Proc. Japan. Acad. 54(1978), 97-100.
- [P] R. PUPIER: La complexion universelle d'un produit d'espaces complètement régulières, Publ. Dept. Math. Lyon 6(1969), 75-84.
- [S] P. SIMON: A compact Fréchet space whose square is not Fréchet, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 21(1980), 749-753.
- [W] M.L. WAGE: Spaces with zero set bases, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 18(1977), 771-775.

Matematický ústav Univerzity Karlovy, Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 30.9. 1983)