

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1982

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\\_0023|log33](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0023|log33)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

A NOTE ON THE JOINT SPECTRUM IN COMMUTATIVE  
BANACH ALGEBRAS  
Vladimir MULLER

**Abstract:** We characterize the part of the joint spectrum in a commutative Banach algebra which is always contained in the joint approximative spectrum.

**Key words:** Banach algebras, joint spectrum, joint approximative spectrum.

**Classification:** 46J20

---

Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra with unit,  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  a finite family of elements of  $A$ . As usual, the joint spectrum of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is defined by

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{[\hat{x}_1(M), \dots, \hat{x}_n(M)] \in \mathbb{C}^n, M \in \mathcal{M}(A)\}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  is the maximal ideal space of  $A$  and  $\hat{x}$  is the Gelfand transform of  $x \in A$ . It is easy to see that  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  if and only if there exists a proper ideal in  $A$  containing  $x_i - \lambda_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ). As in [1] we define the joint approximative spectrum of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  by  $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \text{ there exists a sequence } \{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset A \text{ such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n |b_k(x_i - \lambda_i)| = 0\}$ . Obviously  $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

For  $n=1$ , it is well known that the topological boundary of the spectrum is always contained in the approximative spectrum,  $\partial \sigma(x_1) \subset \tau(x_1)$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , this is no longer true. The

simplest example is the algebra  $B$  of all functions holomorphic in the open bidisc  $D_2 = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |\lambda_1| < 1, |\lambda_2| < 1\}$  and continuous on the boundary. If we take  $x_1, x_2 \in B$ ,  $x_1(t_1, t_2) = t_1$ ,  $x_2(t_1, t_2) = t_2$  then it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |\lambda_1| \leq 1, |\lambda_2| \leq 1\}$ ,  $\partial \mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, \text{either } |\lambda_1| = 1 \text{ or } |\lambda_2| = 1\}$  but  $\tau(x_1, x_2) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |\lambda_1| = 1 \text{ and } |\lambda_2| = 1\}$ .

In this paper we give an answer to a natural question which part of the joint spectrum is always contained in the joint approximative spectrum. This question was investigated already in [3]. The present result, however, differs from that of [3] in two points: 1) the proof is different, 2) in [3] it is explicitly stated only that the joint approximative spectrum is always non-empty (it is possible, however, to obtain in the same way the result which we present here).

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the result of [2] (in an equivalent formulation): Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ ,  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \tau_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then there exists a commutative superalgebra  $B \supset A$  such that  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \mathcal{G}_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  i.e.  $\tau_A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigcap_{B \supset A} \mathcal{G}_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

Let  $K$  be a non-empty compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Denote  $\tilde{A}_K$  the norm closure of the algebra of all functions holomorphic in some neighbourhood of the set  $K$  with the norm  $\|f\| = \sup_{\mu \in K} |f(\mu)|$  (we identify two functions whenever they coincide on  $K$ ). Then the Shilov boundary  $\Gamma(\tilde{A}_K)$  of the function algebra  $\tilde{A}_K$  may be identified with a subset of  $K$ ,  $\Gamma(\tilde{A}_K) \subset K \subset \mathcal{M}(\tilde{A}_K)$  (see e.g. [4]) and for any  $n$ -tuple  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Gamma(\tilde{A}_K) \subset K$  and any

neighbourhood  $U$  of  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  in  $K$  there exists a function  $f \in \tilde{A}_K$  satisfying  $\sup_{\mu \in U} |f(\mu)| > \sup_{\mu \in K-U} |f(\mu)|$ .

**Theorem 1:** Let  $B$  be a commutative Banach algebra with unit,  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$ ,  $\sigma_B(x_1, \dots, x_n) = K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n) \supset \Gamma(\tilde{A}_K)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose on the contrary  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Gamma(\tilde{A}_K) \subset K$  and  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \tau_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . By [2] there exists a commutative superalgebra  $C \supset B$  such that  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \sigma_C(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . As the joint spectrum is a compact set there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  such that  $U \cap \sigma_C(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \emptyset$ . Since  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Gamma(\tilde{A}_K)$  there exists a function  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{A}_K$  satisfying  $\sup_{\mu \in U} |\tilde{f}(\mu)| > \sup_{\mu \in K-U} |\tilde{f}(\mu)|$ . So we can find also a function  $f$  holomorphic in some neighbourhood of  $K$  such that  $\sup_{\mu \in U} |f(\mu)| > \sup_{\mu \in K-U} |f(\mu)|$ .

Consider the element  $y = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B \subset C$ . By the spectral mapping theorem (see e.g. [4]) we have for the spectral radii of  $y$  in the Banach algebras  $B$  and  $C$

$$\begin{aligned} r_B(y) &= \sup_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \sigma_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)} |f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)| = \sup_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in K} |f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)| > \\ &> \sup_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in K-U} |f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)| \geq \sup_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \sigma_C(x_1, \dots, x_n)} |f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)| = \\ &= r_C(y). \end{aligned}$$

So we have  $r_B(y) > r_C(y)$ , a contradiction with the fact that the spectral radius does not depend on the considered algebra,

$$r_B(y) = r_C(y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |y^k|^{1/k}.$$

**Corollary:** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be elements of a subalgebra  $A$  of a commutative Banach algebra  $B$ . Then

$\hat{\sigma}_A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \hat{\sigma}_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  where  $\hat{\sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  denotes the polynomially convex hull of the joint spectrum.

Proof: We have  $\tau_A(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset \tau_B(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset \sigma_B(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset \sigma_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and the polynomially convex hulls of  $\tau_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\sigma_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  coincide by Theorem 1.

Remark: For  $n=1$ ,  $\Gamma(\tilde{A}_K) = \partial K$ . So the well-known inclusion  $\partial \sigma(x_1) \subset \tau(x_1)$  follows from Theorem 1.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] R. HARTE: Spectral mapping theorems, Proc. Roy. Irish Ac. ser. A vol. 72(1972), 89-107.
- [2] V. MÜLLER: Non-removable ideals in commutative Banach algebras, Studia Math. 74 (in print).
- [3] Z. SZODKOWSKI, W. ŻELAZKO: On joint spectra of commuting families of operators, Studia Math. 50(1974), 127-148.
- [4] W. ŻELAZKO: Banach algebras, Elsevier/PWN 1973.

Matematický ústav ČSAV, Žitná 25, Praha 1, Czechoslovakia

(Oblastum 21.1. 1982)