

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1982

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\\_0023|log26](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0023|log26)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

## PRERADICALS AND GENERALIZATIONS OF QF-3' MODULES II.

Josef JIRÁSKO

Abstract: The concept of  $dQF-3''$  modules is dual to that of  $QF-3''$  which was introduced in [18] and generalizes the concept of pseudoprojective module in the literature (see [1], [4], [14]) also denoted as the  $dQF-3'$  module. In the following  $dQF-3''$  modules are characterized in terms of preradicals. Some results on  $dQF-3''$  modules and preradicals connected with  $dQF-3''$  modules are obtained.

Key words:  $G$ -cohereditary preradicals,  $G$ -hereditary preradicals,  $dQF-3'$  modules.

Classification: 16A63, 16A50

---

All the rings considered below will be associative with unit and  $R\text{-mod}$  will denote the category of all unitary left  $R$ -modules.

A preradical  $r$  for  $R\text{-mod}$  is any subfunctor of the identity functor. For the basic notions from the theory of preradicals we refer to the first part of this article (see [18]).

The class of all  $r$ -torsion ( $r$ -torsionfree) modules will be denoted by  $\mathcal{T}_r$  ( $\mathcal{F}_r$ ).

We say that a preradical  $r$

- is superhereditary if it is hereditary and  $\mathcal{T}_r$  is closed under direct products,
- has FCgSP if  $r(M)$  is a direct summand in  $M$  for every fini-

tely cogenerated module  $M$ .

The identity functor will be denoted by  $\text{id}$ . For a module  $Q$  let us define an idempotent preradical  $p_{\{Q\}}$  by  $p_{\{Q\}}(M) = \sum \text{Im } f$ , where  $f$  runs over all  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(Q, M)$ ,  $M \in R\text{-mod}$ . The idempotent core (radical closure) of a preradical  $r$  will be denoted by  $\bar{r}$ , ( $\bar{r}$ ).  $\bigcap_{i \in I} r_i$  ( $\bigcap_{i \in I} r_i$ ) denotes the intersection (sum) of a family of preradicals  $\{r_i; i \in I\}$ .

For a submodule  $A$  of a module  $B$  and a preradical  $r$  let us define  $C_r(A:B)$  by  $C_r(A:B)/A = r(B/A)$ . If  $r, s$  are preradicals then  $(r \Delta s)$  is a preradical defined by  $(r \Delta s)(M) = C_s(r(M):M)$ ,  $M \in R\text{-mod}$ ;  $r \leq s$  means  $r(M) \subseteq s(M)$  for every  $M \in R\text{-mod}$ .

The socle will be denoted by  $\text{Soc}$ , the injective hull (projective cover) of a module  $Q$  by  $E(Q)$  ( $C(Q)$ ).

A module  $M$  is called

- finitely coembedded if there is a finitely cogenerated module  $N$  and an epimorphism  $f:N \rightarrow M$ ,
- cocyclic if it is an essential extension of a simple module,
- cofaithful if every injective module is  $p_{\{M\}}$ -torsion.

A ring  $R$  is called

- left perfect if every left  $R$ -module has a projective cover,
- left V-ring if every simple left  $R$ -module is injective.

A preradical  $r$  is said to be

- an 1-radical if  $M/r(M) \in \mathcal{F}_r$  for every finitely cogenerated module  $M$ ,
- a 2-radical if  $M/r(M) \in \mathcal{F}_r$  for every finitely coembedded module  $M$ ,

- G-cohereditary if  $r(B/A) = (r(B) + A)/A$ , whenever  $A \subseteq B$ , B finitely cogenerated,
- $G_1$ -cohereditary if for every  $Q \in \mathcal{T}_r$  there is a projective presentation  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  of  $Q$  such that for every  $X \subseteq P$  with  $P/X$  finitely cogenerated  $K + C_r(X:P) = P$ ,
- G-hereditary if  $r(M) = \bigcap C_r(X:M)$ , where  $X$  runs over all submodules  $X$  of  $M$  with  $M/X$  finitely cogenerated,  $M \in R\text{-mod}$ .

For a preradical  $r$  let us define preradicals  $(Gch)(r)$  and  $(Gh)(r)$  as follows:

$$(Gch)(r)(Q) = r(Q) \cap \left( \bigcap C_r(X:P) \right), \text{ where } \begin{array}{c} 0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xrightarrow{g} Q \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is a projective presentation of  $Q$ ,  $X$  runs over all submodules of  $P$  with  $P/X$  finitely cogenerated,  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ ,  $(Gh)(r)(Q) = \bigcap C_r(X:Q)$ , where  $X$  runs over all submodules of  $Q$  with  $Q/X$  finitely cogenerated,  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ .

Proposition 1

- (i) Every G-cohereditary preradical is  $G_1$ -cohereditary.
- (ii) Every  $G_1$ -cohereditary idempotent preradical is G-cohereditary.
- (iii)  $(Gch)(r)$  is a preradical and  $(Gch)(r) \leq r$ . Moreover if  $R$  is left perfect then  $(Gch)(r)$  is  $G_1$ -cohereditary.
- (iv) If  $s \leq r$ ,  $s$  G-cohereditary then  $s \leq (Gch)(r)$ .
- (v)  $(Gch)(r)(Q)$  does not depend on particular choice of a projective presentation of  $Q$ .
- (vi)  $\overline{(Gch)(r)}$  is the largest G-cohereditary idempotent preradical contained in  $r$  provided that  $R$  is left perfect.
- (vii)  $(Gh)(r)$  is a G-hereditary preradical and  $r \leq (Gh)(r)$ .

(viii) If  $r \leq s$ ,  $s$   $G$ -hereditary then  $(Gh)(r) \leq s$ .

(ix)  $(Gh)(r)$  is the least  $G$ -hereditary preradical containing  $r$ .

(x)  $(Gh)(r)(Q) = r(Q)$  for every finitely cogenerated module  $Q$ .

(xi)  $(Gch)(r)(Q) = r(Q)$  for every projective module  $Q$ .

(xii) Every cohereditary and every superhereditary preradical is  $G$ -hereditary.

(xiii) If  $\{r_i; i \in I\}$  is a family of  $G$ -cohereditary preradicals then  $\sum_{i \in I} r_i$  is  $G$ -cohereditary.

(xiv) If  $r$  is a preradical then  $\sum \{s; s \leq r, s \text{ } G\text{-cohereditary (idempotent) preradical}\}$  is the largest  $G$ -cohereditary (idempotent) preradical contained in  $r$ .

(xv) If  $\{r_i; i \in I\}$  is a family of  $G$ -hereditary preradicals then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} r_i$  is  $G$ -hereditary.

(xvi) If  $r$  is a preradical then  $\bigcap \{s; r \leq s, s \text{ } G\text{-hereditary (pre)-radical}\}$  is the least  $G$ -hereditary (pre)-radical containing  $r$ .

(xvii) If  $r$  is  $G$ -cohereditary then  $\bar{r}$  is so provided that  $R$  is left perfect.

(xviii) If  $r$  is  $G$ -cohereditary then  $\tilde{r}$  is so.

Proof. (i) Let  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  be a projective presentation of an  $r$ -torsion module  $Q$ . If  $r$  is  $G$ -cohereditary,  $X \leq P$  such that  $P/X$  is finitely cogenerated then  $r((P/X)/((K+X)/X)) = (r(P/X) + ((K+X)/X))/((K+X)/X)$  and hence  $K + C_r(X:P) = P$  since  $Q \in \mathcal{T}_r$ .

(ii) Let  $r$  be a  $G_1$ -cohereditary idempotent preradical,  $B$  be a finitely cogenerated module and  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \xrightarrow{\phi} r(B/A) \rightarrow 0$  be a projective presentation of  $r(B/A)$  with the desired

property. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \hookrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{g} & r(B/A) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & \searrow f & \swarrow \sigma \\
 & & & & & & C_r(A:B),
 \end{array}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the natural epimorphism. Then  $P/\text{Ker } f$  is finitely cogenerated and hence  $K + C_r(\text{Ker } f:P) = P$  since  $r$  is idempotent. Thus  $r(B/A) = g(P) = g(K + C_r(\text{Ker } f:P)) \subseteq \sigma(r(f(P))) \subseteq \sigma(r(P)) = (r(B) + A)/A$ .

The remaining assertions are clear.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $r$  be an idempotent preradical. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $r$  is an 1-radical (2-radical),
- (ii) if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is exact,  $B$  finitely cogenerated (coembedded),  $A, C \in \mathcal{F}_r$  then  $B \in \mathcal{F}_r$ .

**Proof.** (i) implies (ii). It follows from the fact that for an idempotent 1-radical (2-radical) and finitely cogenerated (coembedded) module  $T$   $T \in \mathcal{F}_r$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(T, F) = 0$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}_r$ .

(ii) implies (i). Consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow r(B) \hookrightarrow (r \Delta r)(B) \rightarrow (r \Delta r)(B)/r(B) \rightarrow 0$ , where  $B$  is finitely cogenerated (coembedded). Then  $(r \Delta r)(B) \in \mathcal{F}_r$  and consequently  $B/r(B) \in \mathcal{F}_r$ .

**Proposition 3.** For a preradical  $r$  the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $r$  is  $G$ -cohereditary,
- (ii)  $r(B/A) = (r(B) + A)/A$ , whenever  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $B$  finitely coembedded,

- (iii) if  $B/r(B) \rightarrow A$  is an epimorphism /  $A$  cocyclic /, and  $B$  finitely cogenerated (coembedded) then  $A \in \mathcal{F}_r$ ,
- (iv) a)  $r$  is a 1-radical (2-radical) and  
 b) whenever  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{F}_r$  /  $B/A$  cocyclic /,  $B$  finitely coembedded then  $B/A \in \mathcal{F}_r$ .

Proof. Easy.

Proposition 4. The following are equivalent for a preradical  $r$

- (i)  $r$  is  $G_1$ -cohereditary,  
 (ii) for every  $Q \in \mathcal{T}_r$  there is a projective presentation  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  of  $Q$  such that for every  $X \subseteq P$  with  $P/X$  finitely coembedded  $K + C_r(X:P) = P$ .

Proof. Obvious.

Proposition 5. Let  $r$  be a preradical. Then

- (i)  $r$  is  $G$ -cohereditary if and only if  $(\text{Gh})(r)$  is  $G$ -cohereditary,  
 (ii)  $\overline{r}$  is  $G$ -cohereditary if and only if  $\overline{(\text{Gh})(r)}$  is  $G$ -cohereditary,  
 (iii) if  $(\text{Gh})(r)$  is cohereditary then  $r$  is  $G$ -cohereditary,  
 (iv) if  $r$  is idempotent and  $\overline{(\text{Gh})(r)}$  is cohereditary then  $r$  is  $G$ -cohereditary,  
 (v) if  $R$  is a left perfect ring and  $r$  is  $G$ -cohereditary then  $\overline{(\text{Gh})(r)}$  is cohereditary.

Proof. (i)-(iv) are obvious.

(v) Let  $R$  be a left perfect ring and  $r$  be a  $G$ -cohereditary preradical. If  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{T}_{(\text{Gh})(r)}$ ,  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  is a projective cover of  $Q$  and  $X \subseteq P$  with  $P/X$  fini-

tely cogenerated then  $P = C_{(Gh)(r)}((X+K):P) = C_{(Gh)(r)}(X:P) + K = C_r(X:P) + K$  since  $(Gh)(r)$  is G-cohereditary. Hence  $C_r(X:P) = P$  and consequently  $(Gh)(r)(P) = P$  which yields  $\overline{(Gh)(r)}$  is cohereditary.

Corollary 6. An idempotent G-hereditary preradical in a left perfect ring is G-cohereditary if and only if it is cohereditary.

Proposition 7. Let  $r$  be an idempotent G-cohereditary preradical for a left perfect ring  $R$ . Then there is a projective  $(Gh)(r)$ -torsion module  $P$  such that  $r(N) = p_{\{P\}}(N)$  for every finitely coembedded module  $N$ .

Proof. From Proposition 5 and [3], Theorem 4.7 it follows that there is a projective  $(Gh)(r)$ -torsion module  $P$  such that  $\overline{(Gh)(r)} = p_{\{P\}}$ . Hence  $r(N) = p_{\{P\}}(N)$  for every finitely coembedded module  $N$ .

A left  $R$ -module  $Q$  is called  
 - dQF-3'' if the idempotent preradical  $p_{\{Q\}}$  is G-cohereditary,  
 - r dQF-3'' if the idempotent radical  $\widetilde{p_{\{Q\}}}$  is G-cohereditary.

Proposition 8. Let  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $Q$  is dQF-3'',
- (ii) there is a projective presentation  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  of  $Q$  such that  $K + C_{p_{\{Q\}}}(X:P) = P$  for every  $X \subseteq P$  with  $P/X$  finitely cogenerated (coembedded),
- (iii) a)  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, X/p_{\{Q\}}(X)) = 0$  for every finitely cogenerated (coembedded) module  $X$  and



- b) if  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, B) = 0$  /  $B/A$  cocyclic / and  $B$  finitely coembedded then  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, B/A) = 0$ ,
- (iv) a) if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is exact,  $B$  finitely cogenerated (coembedded),  $A \in \mathcal{T}_{P\{Q\}}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{T}_{P\{Q\}}$  then  $B \in \mathcal{T}_{P\{Q\}}$  and
- b) if  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, B) = 0$  /  $B/A$  cocyclic / and  $B$  finitely coembedded then  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, B/A) = 0$ ,
- (v) for every epimorphism  $h: B \rightarrow A$ , where  $B$  is finitely cogenerated (coembedded), for every non-zero homomorphism  $f: Q \rightarrow A$  there are homomorphisms  $k: Q \rightarrow Q/\text{Ker } f$  and  $g: Q \rightarrow B$  with  $0 \neq h \circ g = \bar{f} \circ k$  /  $\bar{f}$  is induced by  $f$  /,
- (vi) for every epimorphism  $h: B \rightarrow C$ , where  $C$  is cocyclic,  $B$  is finitely cogenerated (coembedded), for every nonzero homomorphism  $f: Q \rightarrow C$  there are homomorphisms  $k: Q \rightarrow Q/\text{Ker } f$  and  $g: Q \rightarrow B$  with  $0 \neq h \circ g = \bar{f} \circ k$  /  $\bar{f}$  is induced by  $f$  /,
- (vii) if  $f: B \rightarrow A$  is an epimorphism /  $A$  is cocyclic /,  $B$  is finitely cogenerated (coembedded) and  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, A) \neq 0$  then there is a homomorphism  $g: Q \rightarrow B$  with  $\text{Im } g \not\subseteq \text{Ker } f$ .
- Moreover, if  $Q$  has a projective cover then the conditions (i)-(vii) are equivalent to
- (viii)  $p_{\{Q\}}(C(Q)/X) = C(Q)/X$  for every  $X \subseteq C(Q)$  with  $C(Q)/X$  finitely cogenerated (coembedded),
- (ix) if  $X \subseteq C(Q)$  such that  $C(Q)/X$  is finitely cogenerated (coembedded) then  $C(Q)/X$  is isomorphic to a factormodule of a direct sum of copies of  $Q$ ,
- (x)  $(\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}}) = P_{\{C(Q)\}}$ ,
- (xi)  $(\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}})$  is cohereditary,
- (xii)  $p_{\{Q\}}(X) = P_{\{C(Q)\}}(X)$  for every finitely cogenerated (coembedded) module  $X$ ,

$$(xiii) (Gh)_{P\{Q\}}(C(Q)) = C(Q),$$

(xiv) for every finitely cogenerated (coembedded) module  $X$   $p_{\{C(Q)\}}(X) = X$  implies  $p_{\{Q\}}(X) = X$ ,

(xv) a) if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is exact,  $B$  finitely cogenerated (coembedded),  $A \in \mathcal{T}_{P\{Q\}}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{T}_{P\{Q\}}$  then  $B \in \mathcal{T}_{P\{Q\}}$  and  
 b) for every finitely coembedded module  $X$

$\text{Hom}_R(Q, X) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(C(Q), X) = 0$ .

Proof. (ii) implies (i). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of all  $N \in R\text{-mod}$  for which there is a projective presentation  $0 \rightarrow L \hookrightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  with  $L + C_{P\{Q\}}(X:M) = M$  for every  $X \subseteq M$  with  $M/X$  finitely cogenerated (coembedded). Then  $Q \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a cohereditary class closed under direct sums and consequently  $\mathcal{T}_{P\{Q\}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . Now it suffices to use Proposition 1 (ii).

(ii) implies (v). Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & P & & \\
 & & \downarrow q & & \\
 & p & & & \\
 & & Q & & \\
 & & \downarrow f & & \\
 B & \xrightarrow{h} & A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \text{ with exact row,}
 \end{array}$$

where  $B$  is finitely cogenerated,  $f \neq 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \xrightarrow{q} Q \rightarrow 0$  is a projective presentation of  $Q$  such that  $K + C_{P\{Q\}}(X:P) = P$  for every  $X \subseteq P$  with  $P/X$  finitely cogenerated.

Then  $P/\ker p$  is finitely cogenerated and hence

$K + C_{P\{Q\}}(\ker p:P) = P$ . If for every homomorphism  $t:Q \rightarrow P/\ker p$   $q(\pi^{-1}(\text{Im } t)) \subseteq \ker f$ , where  $\pi:P \rightarrow P/\ker p$  is

the natural epimorphism then  $q(C_{P\{Q\}}(\text{Ker } p:P)) = Q \subseteq \text{Ker } f$  -  
a contradiction since  $f \neq 0$ . Hence there is a homomorphism  
 $u: Q \rightarrow P/\text{Ker } p$  with  $q(\sigma^{-1}(\text{Im } u)) \not\subseteq \text{Ker } f$ . Put  $k = \bar{q} \circ u$ , whe-  
re  $\bar{q}$  is induced by  $q$  and  $g = \bar{p} \circ u$ , where  $\bar{p}$  is induced by  $p$ .  
Then  $0 \neq h \circ g = \bar{f} \circ k$ .

(vii) implies (ii). If there is a projective presentati-  
on  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  of  $Q$  and a submodule  $X \subseteq P$  with  $P/X$   
finitely cogenerated such that  $K + C_{P\{Q\}}(X:P) \neq P$  and  $f:P/X \rightarrow$   
 $\rightarrow P/(K + C_{P\{Q\}}(X:P))$  is the natural epimorphism then there  
is a homomorphism  $g: Q \rightarrow P/X$  with  $\text{Im } g \not\subseteq \text{Ker } f$ , a contradicti-  
on. Hence for every projective presentation  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow$   
 $\rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  of  $Q$  and every submodule  $X \subseteq P$  with  $P/X$  finitely co-  
generated  $K + C_{P\{Q\}}(X:P) = P$ .

The rest is either clear or follows from Propositions 1(i), 2,  
3(iv) and 4.

**Proposition 9.** Let  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ . Then the following are equi-  
valent:

- (i)  $Q$  is  $r$  dQF-3''',
- (ii) there is a projective presentation  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow$   
 $\rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  of  $Q$  such that  $K + C_{P\{Q\}}(X:P) = P$  for every  $X \subseteq P$   
with  $P/X$  finitely cogenerated (coembedded),
- (iii) whenever  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $(B/A)$  cocyclic)  $B$  finitely coembed-  
ded and  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, B) = 0$  then  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, B/A) = 0$ .

Moreover, if  $Q$  has a projective cover then (i)-(iii) are equi-  
valent to

- (iv)  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, Y) \neq 0$  for every finitely coembedded nonzero  
factormodule  $Y$  of  $C(Q)$ ,
- (v)  $(\text{Gh})(\widetilde{P_{\{Q\}}}) = P_{\{C(Q)\}}$ ,

- (vi)  $(\text{Gh})(\widetilde{p_{\{Q\}}})$  is cohereditary,
- (vii)  $p_{\{Q\}}(X) = p_{\{C(Q)\}}(X)$  for every finitely cogenerated (coembedded) module  $X$ ,
- (viii)  $(\text{Gh})(\widetilde{p_{\{Q\}}})(C(Q)) = C(Q)$ ,
- (ix) for every finitely cogenerated (coembedded) module  $X$   $p_{\{C(Q)\}}(X) = X$  implies  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, Y) \neq 0$  whenever  $Y$  is a nonzero factormodule of  $X$ ,
- (x) for every finitely coembedded module  $X$   $\text{Hom}_R(Q, X) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(C(Q), X) = 0$ .

Proof. It can be led similarly as in Proposition 8.

Proposition 10. Let  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ . If  $p_{\{Q\}}$  has FCGSP then  $Q$  is dQF-3'' if and only if it is r dQF-3''.

Proof. It suffices to prove only the "only if" part. If  $Q$  is r dQF-3'' and there is a projective presentation  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  of  $Q$ , a submodule  $X$  of  $P$  with  $P/X$  finitely cogenerated and  $K + C_{p_{\{Q\}}}(X:P) \neq P$  then  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, P/(K + C_{p_{\{Q\}}}(X:P))) \neq 0$  and hence  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, P/C_{p_{\{Q\}}}(X:P)) \neq 0$  by Proposition 9(iii). Thus there is a nonzero homomorphism  $g: Q \rightarrow P/C_{p_{\{Q\}}}(X:P)$  which can be factorized through a homomorphism  $h: Q \rightarrow P/X$ , a contradiction. Thus  $Q$  is dQF-3'' by Proposition 8.

Proposition 11. Let  $S$  be a simple  $R$ -module possessing a projective cover. Then  $S$  is dQF-3'' if and only if it is projective.

Proof. Let  $0 \neq S$  be a simple  $R$ -module with a projective cover  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ . If  $X \not\subseteq P$  with  $P/X$  finitely cogenerated then  $X \subseteq K$  since  $K$  is a maximal submodule of  $P$  and  $K$  is small in  $P$ . Further  $p_{\{S\}}(P/X) = P/X$  by Proposition 8. Hen-

ce there is a homomorphism  $f: S \rightarrow P/X$  such that  $\text{Im } f \not\subseteq K/X$ . Thus  $\text{Im } f = P/X$  and hence  $f$  is an isomorphism. Therefore  $X = K$ . Hence  $K = 0$  and consequently  $S$  is projective. The converse is clear.

A module  $Q$  is called strongly  $dQF-3''$  (strongly  $r\ dQF-3''$ ) if there is a projective module  $P$  such that  $(\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}}) = p_{\{P\}}$  ( $(\text{Gh})(\widetilde{p_{\{Q\}}}) = p_{\{P\}}$ ).

Proposition 12.

(i) Every strongly  $dQF-3''$  (strongly  $r\ dQF-3''$ ) module is  $dQF-3''$  ( $r\ dQF-3''$ ).

(ii) If a module  $Q$  has a projective cover then  $Q$  is strongly  $dQF-3''$  (strongly  $r\ dQF-3''$ ) if and only if it is  $dQF-3''$  ( $r\ dQF-3''$ ).

(iii) A module  $Q$  is strongly  $dQF-3''$  (strongly  $r\ dQF-3''$ ) if and only if there is a projective representation  $0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$  of  $Q$  such that  $(\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}}) = p_{\{P\}}$  ( $(\text{Gh})(\widetilde{p_{\{Q\}}}) = p_{\{P\}}$ ).

Proof. Obvious.

A module  $Q$  is said to be a  $G$ -generator if  $p_{\{Q\}}(N) = N$  for every finitely cogenerated (coembedded) module  $N$ .

Remark 13. Let  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ . Then  $Q$  is a  $G$ -generator if and only if  $(\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}}) = \text{id}$ .

Proposition 14. Let  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $Q$  is a  $G$ -generator,
- (ii)  $Q$  is strongly  $dQF-3''$  and every simple  $R$ -module is isomorphic to a factormodule of  $Q$ ,

(iii)  $Q$  is dQF-3'' and every simple  $R$ -module is isomorphic to a factormodule of  $Q$ .

Moreover, if  $Q$  has a projective cover  $(C(Q), \mathcal{F}_Q)$  then (i)-(iii) are equivalent to

(iv)  $Q$  is dQF-3'' and  $C(Q)$  is a generator.

Proof. (iii) implies (i). Suppose there is a finitely co-generated module  $X$  with  $p_{\{Q\}}(X) \neq X$ . Then there is a cocyclic module  $C$  such that  $0 \neq C \in \mathcal{F}_{p_{\{Q\}}}$  since  $p_{\{Q\}}$  is  $G$ -cohereditary, a contradiction.

The rest is clear.

Remark 15. A projective module  $Q$  is a  $G$ -generator if and only if it is a generator.

Proposition 16. Let  $Q = \sum_{\mathcal{S}}^{\oplus} S$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the representative set of simple left  $R$ -modules. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $Q$  is dQF-3'',
- (ii) Soc is  $G$ -cohereditary.
- (iii)  $Q$  is a  $G$ -generator,
- (iv)  $R$  is a left  $V$ -ring.

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 14 and the fact that  $\text{Soc} = p_{\{Q\}}$ .

Let us  $Y$  denote a preradical defined by  $Y(M) = \bigcap N$ , where  $N$  runs through all submodules of  $M$  with  $M/N$  cocyclic and small in  $E(M/N)$ .

Proposition 17.  $Y$  is a  $G$ -hereditary radical.

Proof. Obvious.

Proposition 18. Let  $Q$  be a cofaithful dQF-3'' with  $Y(Q) =$   
 $= Q$ . Then  $(\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}}) = Y$ .

Proof.  $Y(Q) = Q$  implies  $p_{\{Q\}} \leq Y$  and hence  $(\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}}) \leq Y$  by Proposition 17.

On the other hand if  $r(N) = 0$ , where  $r = p_{\{Q\}}$ ,  $N$  finitely coembedded and  $Y(N) \neq 0$  then there is a cocyclic factormodule  $C$  of  $N$  with  $Y(C) \neq 0$ . Thus  $C$  is not small in  $E(C)$  and hence there is a proper submodule  $K$  of  $E(C)$  with  $C + K = E(C)$ . Now  $r$  is  $G$ -cohereditary,  $r(N) = 0$ ,  $N$  finitely coembedded. Hence  $r(E(C)/K) = 0$  by Proposition 3(iv) since  $E(C)/K$  is isomorphic to a factormodule of  $N$ . Further  $Q$  is cofaithful and hence  $E(C) \in \mathcal{F}_r$  and consequently  $r(E(C)/K) = E(C)/K$ , a contradiction. Thus  $Y(N) = 0$ . Therefore  $Y(N) \subseteq r(N)$  for every finitely coembedded module  $N$  and hence  $Y \leq (\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}})$ .

Proposition 19. Let  $R$  be a left perfect ring and  $Q$  be a cofaithful module. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $(\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}}) = Y$ ,
- (ii)  $Q$  is dQF-3'' and  $Y(Q) = Q$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{F}(\text{Gh})(p_{\{Q\}}) = \mathcal{F}_Y$ .

Proof. (iii) implies (ii).  $Y(Q) = Q$  by (iii). If  $X \subseteq C(Q)$  such that  $C(Q)/X$  is finitely cogenerated then  $Y(C(Q)/X) = C(Q)/X$  since  $Y$  is cohereditary for a left perfect ring and hence  $p_{\{Q\}}(C(Q)/X) = C(Q)/X$ .

(ii) implies (i). By Proposition 18.

The rest is clear.

Proposition 20. Every direct sum of (strongly) dQF-3'' modules is (strongly) dQF-3''.

Proof. Obvious.

Proposition 21. Let  $A, B \in R\text{-mod}$ . If  $p_{\{A\}}(B) = B$  then the

following are equivalent:

- (i)  $A \oplus B$  is dQF-3''',
- (ii)  $A$  is dQF-3'''.

Proof. Obvious.

Proposition 22. Let  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ . If every cocyclic factor-module of  $Q$  is dQF-3''' then  $Q$  is dQF-3'''.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] L. BICAN: Corational extensions and pseudo-projective modules, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 28(1976), 5-11.
- [2] L. BICAN: QF-3' modules and rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 14(1973), 295-303.
- [3] L. BICAN, P. JAMBOR, T. KEPKA, P. NĚMEC: Hereditary and cohereditary preradicals, Czech. Math. J. 26(1976), 192-206.
- [4] L. BICAN, P. JAMBOR, T. KEPKA, P. NĚMEC: Pseudoprojective modules, Math. Slovaca 29(1979), 106-115.
- [5] R.R. COLBY, E.A. RUTTER Jr.: Semiprimary QF-3 rings, Nagoya Math. J. 32(1968), 253-258.
- [6] G.M. CUKERMAN: O psevdoinjektivnykh moduljach i samopsevdoinjektivnykh kolcach, Mat. Zametki 7(1970), 369-380.
- [7] J.P. JANS: Torsion associated with duality, Tohoku Math. J. 24(1972), 449-452.
- [8] J.P. JANS, H.Y. MOCHIZUKI, L.E.T. WU: A characterization of QF-3 rings, Nagoya Math. J. 27(1966), 7-13.
- [9] J. JIRÁSKO: Pseudohereditary and pseudocohereditary preradicals, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20(1979), 317-327.
- [10] A.I. KAŠU: Kogda radikal asocirovannyj modulju javljaetsja kručenijem, Mat. Zametki 16(1974), 41-48.



- [11] A.I. KAŠU: Radikaly i koobrazujuščije v moduljach, Mat. Issled. 11(1974), 53-68.
- [12] Y. KURATA, H. KATAYAMA: On a generalization of QF-3' rings, Osaka J. Math. 13(1976), 407-418.
- [13] K. MASAIKE: On quotient rings and torsionless modules, Sci. Rept. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A 11 (1971), 26-30.
- [14] F.F. MBUNTUM, K. VARADARAJAN: Half-exact preradicals, Comm. Algebra 5(1977), 555-590.
- [15] K. OHTAKE: Commutative rings of which all radicals are left exact, Comm. Algebra 8(1980), 1505-1512.
- [16] T. SUMIOKA: On QF-3 and 1-Gorenstein rings, Osaka J. Math. 16(1979), 395-403.
- [17] C. VINSONHALER: A note on two generalizations of QF-3, Pacif. J. Math. 40(1972), 229-233.
- [18] J. JIRÁSKO: Preradicals and generalizations of QF-3' modules I, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 23 (1982), 25-40.

Bělohorská 137, 169 00 Praha 6, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 5.6. 1981)