

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1982

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0023|log23

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

GENERIC DIFFERENTIABILITY OF MAPPINGS AND CONVEX
FUNCTIONS IN BANACH AND LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES
LE VAN HOT

Abstract: Generic Fréchet-differentiability of mappings and convex functions defined on Banach and locally convex spaces is investigated. In particular, the Fréchet and Gâteaux differentiability of Hammerstein operators is also considered.

Key words: Differentiability, mappings, convex functions, Asplund spaces, Banach and locally convex spaces.

Classification: Primary 58C20, 58C25
Secondary 47H99

Introduction. The first important contribution to differentiability of convex functions has been given by Asplund [3]. He has shown that each Banach space X , which admits an equivalent norm such that the corresponding dual norm in X^* is locally uniformly rotund is a strong differentiability space. Further conditions have been obtained also for weak differentiability spaces. The properties of the so called Asplund spaces have been intensively studied in [1], [5], [8], [11], [12], [17], [21], [24]. For the differentiability properties of Hammerstein and nonlinear operators, we refer the readers for instance to [15], [19], [25].

First section deals with the generic Fréchet-differentiability of convex functions defined on a product space $X =$

$= \prod_{\lambda \in \Gamma} X_\lambda$, where $(X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Gamma)$ is a family of Asplund spaces, and of finite convex weakly continuous functions defined on a locally convex space. Section 2 is devoted to generic Fréchet-differentiability of the class of mappings acting from a Banach space into another Banach space. In the last section we discuss generic Gâteaux and Fréchet-differentiability of Hammerstein operators.

1. Generic Fréchet-differentiability of convex functions defined on locally convex spaces

Lemma 1. Let X be a topological space and T be a subset of X such that for each open nonempty subset G of X there exists a nonempty $G_{\mathcal{J}}$ -subset $T_G \subseteq T$ with the following property $T_G \subseteq \text{int } \bar{T}_G \subseteq G$. Then there exists a dense $G_{\mathcal{J}}$ -subset $A \subseteq T$.

Proof: Put $\mathcal{M} = \{S \subseteq T; S \text{ is a } G_{\mathcal{J}}\text{-subset and } S \subseteq \text{int } \bar{S}\}$, $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{M}; \text{int } \bar{S}_1 \cap \text{int } \bar{S}_2 = \emptyset \text{ for all } S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{C}, S_1 \neq S_2\}$. We write $\mathcal{C}_1 \preceq \mathcal{C}_2$ iff $\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$. Then " \preceq " is a partial order on \mathcal{M} . It is easy to see that there exists a maximal element \mathcal{L} of \mathcal{M} . Put $A = \bigcup \{S : S \in \mathcal{L}\}$. Since every such S is a $G_{\mathcal{J}}$ -subset, there exists a sequence of open subsets $G_{S,n}$ such that $S = \bigcap_1^\infty G_{S,n}$ for each $S \in \mathcal{L}$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $G_{S,n} \subseteq \text{int } \bar{S}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Put $G_n = \bigcup \{G_{S,n} : S \in \mathcal{L}\}$. Then G_n is open for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We claim that $A = \bigcap_1^\infty G_n$. It is clear that $A \subseteq \bigcap_1^\infty G_n$. Now if $x \notin A$, then $x \notin S$ for all $S \in \mathcal{L}$. If $x \notin \text{int } \bar{S}$ for all $S \in \mathcal{L}$, then of course $x \notin G_n$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore $x \notin \bigcap_1^\infty G_n$. If $x \in \text{int } \bar{S}_0$ for $S_0 \in \mathcal{L}$, then $x \notin G_{S_0,n} \subseteq \text{int } \bar{S}$ for all $S \in \mathcal{L}, S \neq S_0, n = 1, 2, \dots$. However, $x \notin S_0 = \bigcap G_{S_0,n}$, there exists an integer n_0 such that $x \notin G_{S_0,n_0}$. Hence

$x \notin G_{n_0} = \bigcup \{G_{S, n_0} : S \in \mathcal{L}\}$. This proves that $A = \bigcap G_n$. It follows that $A \in \mathcal{N}$. To finish the proof of the lemma, we must prove that $\bar{A} = X$. Suppose that our claim is false, then $X \setminus \bar{A}$ is a nonempty open subset of X . By the assumption there exists a G_n -subset $M \subseteq T$ such that $M \subseteq \text{int } \bar{M} \subseteq X \setminus \bar{A}$. Then $M \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\text{int } \bar{M} \cap \text{int } \bar{S} = \emptyset$ for all $S \in \mathcal{L}$. This implies that $\mathcal{L} \cup \{M\} \in \mathcal{M}$ which contradicts the assumption that \mathcal{L} is a maximal element of \mathcal{M} . This completes the proof.

Now let X be a topological vector space, S be a family of bounded subsets of X , In this paper we always assume that S possesses the following properties:

- a) If $A, B \in S$ then there exists a $C \in S$ such that $A \cup B \subseteq C$.
- b) $\bigcup \{A : A \in S, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+\} = X$.

Definition 1 ([26]). Let X, Y be topological vector spaces, f be a mapping from an open subset Ω of X into Y . We say f is S -differentiable at $x_0 \in \Omega$ if there exists a linear continuous mapping $T \in L(X, Y)$ such that $t^{-1}(f(x_0 + th) - f(x_0))$ converges uniformly to $T(h)$ on each subset $A \in S$ when $t \rightarrow 0$, i.e. for each 0 -neighborhood V of Y and $A \in S$ there exists a $\sigma > 0$ such that $t^{-1}(f(x_0 + th) - f(x_0)) - T(h) \in V$ for all $h \in A, t: 0 < |t| < \sigma$.

If S is the family of all finite subsets of X , then f is said to be Gâteaux-differentiable at x_0 .

If S is the family of all bounded subsets of X , the f is said to be Fréchet-differentiable at x_0 .

Remark. If X is a normed space then without loss of generality we can suppose that every subset A from S is contained in the unit ball of X .

Definition 2. Let X, Y be topological vector spaces, f be a continuous mapping from an open subset Ω of X into Y . f is called generic S -differentiable if there exists a dense G_δ -subset A of Ω such that f is S -differentiable at every point $x \in A$.

Definition 3. A Banach space X is called S -differentiability space if each continuous convex finite function defined on an open convex subset of X is S -differentiable on a dense G_δ -subset of its domain.

Fréchet- (Gâteaux- resp.) differentiability spaces are known as Asplund (weak Asplund resp.) spaces.

Stegall [27] has proved that a Banach space X is Asplund if and only if its dual X^* has the Radon-Nikodym property. Then it is easy to see that a finite product of Asplund spaces is Asplund.

Theorem 1. Let $(X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Gamma)$ be a family of Asplund spaces. Then each continuous convex function f defined on an open convex subset Ω of $X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Gamma} X_\lambda$ is generic Fréchet-differentiable.

Proof. Put $p_I((x_\lambda)) = \max \{ \|x_\lambda\| : \lambda \in I \}$ for all $(x_\lambda) \in X$ and each finite subset $I \subseteq \Gamma$. Then $\{p_I\}_I$ is a family of continuous seminorms on X which induces the locally convex product topology of X . Let G be any open nonempty subset of Ω , therefore G is open in X , since Ω is open. To prove Theorem 1, by Lemma 1, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a G_δ -subset M such that $M \subseteq \text{int } \overline{M} \subseteq G$ and that f is Fréchet-differentiable at every point $x \in M$. Take $x_0 \in G$. Since f is continuous at x_0 , there exist a $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset

$I \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $U = \{x \in X: p_I(x - x_0) < \sigma\} \subseteq G$ and $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in U$. We claim that $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq \frac{2}{\sigma_1} p_I(x_1 - x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in U$, where $\sigma_1 = \sigma - \max\{p_I(x_1 - x_0), p_I(x_2 - x_0)\}$. Put $h = x_1 - x_2$. We have that

a) If $p_I(h) = 0$, then from the convexity of f we deduce that $f(x_1) - f(x_2) = f(x_2 + h) - f(x_2) \leq s^{-1} [f(x_2 + sh) - f(x_2)]$ for all $s \geq 1$. Now $p_I(h) = 0$ implies that $x_2 + sh \in U$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $f(x_1) - f(x_2) \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{-1} [f(x_2 + sh) - f(x_2)] \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} 2s^{-1} = 0$. Similarly $f(x_2) - f(x_1) \leq 0$. Therefore $|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq 2 \cdot \sigma_1^{-1} p_I(x_2 - x_1)$.

b) Suppose that $p_I(h) = r > 0$. If $r \geq \sigma_1$, then $|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq 2 \leq 2 \sigma_1^{-1} \cdot r = 2 \cdot \sigma_1^{-1} p_I(h)$. If $r < \sigma_1$, put $h_0 = \sigma_1 r^{-1} h$; then $x_1 \pm h_0 \in \bar{U}$, $i = 1, 2$, and $f(x_1) - f(x_2) \leq r \sigma_1^{-1} [f(x_2 + h_0) - f(x_2)] \leq 2r \sigma_1^{-1} = 2 \sigma_1^{-1} = 2 \sigma_1^{-1} p_I(x_1 - x_2)$. Similarly $f(x_2) - f(x_1) \leq 2 \sigma_1^{-1} p_I(x_1 - x_2)$. This proves our claim.

Put $Y_I = \prod_{\lambda \in I} X_\lambda$, $\|(x_\lambda)\|_I = \max\{\|x_\lambda\|: \lambda \in I\}$ for all $(x_\lambda) \in Y_I$ and each finite subset $I \subseteq \Gamma$, $X_I = \{(x_\lambda) \in X: x_\lambda = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \notin I\}$. Let J_I be an embedding mapping of Y_I into X defined by $J_I((x_\lambda)) = (y_\lambda)$, where $y_\lambda = x_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in I$; $y_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda \notin I$. Then J_I is an isomorphism of Y_I onto X_I and $\|(x_\lambda)\|_I = p_I(J_I(x_\lambda))$ for all $(x_\lambda) \in Y_I$. Let P_I be the canonical projection of X onto X_I . Put $Q_I = J_I^{-1} \circ P_I: X \rightarrow Y_I$ and $f_I = f \circ J_I: V = Q_I(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then it is clear that f_I is a continuous convex function on V and $f(x) = f_I(Q_I(x)) = f(P_I(x))$ for all $x \in U$ because $p_I(x - P_I(x)) = 0$ whenever $x \in U$. Since X_λ is an Asplund space for all $\lambda \in \Gamma$, Y_I is

Asplund for each finite subset $I \subseteq \Gamma$. Therefore there exists a $G_{\mathcal{J}}$ -subset M of Y_I which is dense in V such that f_I is Fréchet-differentiable at every point of M . Put $N = Q_I^{-1}(M) = P_I^{-1} \circ J_I(M) \subseteq U$. One can verify that N is a $G_{\mathcal{J}}$ -subset of X and $N \subseteq \text{int } \bar{N} = Q_I^{-1}(\text{int } \bar{M}) = U$. Now we claim that f is Fréchet-differentiable at every point $x \in N$. Let x be any fixed point of N , D a bounded subset of X , ε a given positive number. Then $Q_I(x) \in M$ and there exists a number $K > 0$ such that $p_I(h) \leq K$ for all $h \in D$. Let $T \in \mathbb{F}_I^*$ be the Fréchet-derivative of f_I at $Q_I(x)$. Then there exists a $\sigma_0 > 0$, $\sigma_0 < \sigma - p_I(x)$ such that $|f_I(Q_I(x) + k) - f_I(Q_I(x)) - T(k)| \leq \varepsilon \cdot K^{-1} \|k\|_I$ for $\|k\|_I < \sigma_0$. Let Q_I^* be the adjoint of Q_I . Put $S = Q_I^*(T) \in X^*$. Now take $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < |t| < \sigma_0 K^{-1}$. Then $p_I(th) < \sigma_0$, $x + th \in U$ and

$$|f(x + th) - f(x) - S(th)| = |f_I(Q_I(x + th)) - f_I(Q_I(x)) - T(Q_I(th))| \leq \varepsilon \cdot K^{-1} \|Q_I(th)\|_I = \varepsilon \cdot K^{-1} p_I(P_I(th)) = \varepsilon \cdot K^{-1} p_I(th) < \varepsilon |t|$$

for all $h \in D$. This proves that f is Fréchet-differentiable at $x \in N$, which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let X be a locally convex space and f be a $\sigma(X, X^*)$ -continuous convex function defined on a weakly open convex subset Ω of X , where $\sigma(X, X^*)$ denotes the weak topology on X . Then f is generic Fréchet-differentiable.

Proof. Let G be an open nonempty subset of Ω , therefore G is open since Ω is open, $x_0 \in G$. Since f is $\sigma(X, X^*)$ -continuous at x_0 , there exist $x_1^*, x_2^* \dots x_n^* \in X^*$ and a $\sigma > 0$ such that $U = \{x \in X: |\langle x_i^*, x - x_0 \rangle| < \sigma, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq \Omega$ and $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in U$. Put $p(x) = \max \{|\langle x_i^*, x \rangle| : i =$

$= 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, for all $x \in X$. Then p is a continuous seminorm on $(X, \mathcal{C}(X, X^*))$ and $U = \{x \in X: p(x_0 - x) < \sigma\}$. Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, one can verify that $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq 2\sigma_1^{-1}p(x_1 - x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in U$, where $\sigma_1 = \sigma - \max\{p(x_1 - x_0), p(x_2 - x_0)\}$. Put $V = \bigcap_1^{\infty} \ker x_1^*$. Then V is a closed finite codimensional subspace of X . There exists a continuous projection $Q: X \rightarrow V$. Put $M = \ker Q$, $P = I - Q$, then $X = M \oplus V$ and M is a finite dimensional subspace of X . Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ be a basis of M . Since $G \cap U$ is a neighborhood of x_0 , there exist a convex open neighborhood U_1 of $P(x_0)$ in M and a convex open neighborhood O_1 of $Q(x_0)$ in V such that $G_1 = U_1 + O_1 \subseteq G \cap U$. Let $J: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow X$ be the mapping defined by $J(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \sum_1^k a_i x_i$ for all $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Then J is a topological isomorphism of \mathbb{R}^k onto M . Put $S = J^{-1} \circ P: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, $g(a) = f(J(a) + Q(x_0))$ for all $a \in S(G_1) = J^{-1}(U_1)$. It is easy to see that $P(x) + Q(x_0) \in G_1 \subseteq U$ whenever $x \in G_1$. Then g is a continuous convex function defined on $S(G_1)$ and $|f(x) - f(P(x) + Q(x_0))| \leq 2\sigma_1^{-1}p(x - P(x) - Q(x_0)) = 2\sigma_1^{-1}p(Q(x) - Q(x_0)) = 0$, where $\sigma_1 = \sigma - \max\{p(x - x_0), p(P(x) + Q(x_0) - x_0)\} > 0$. Hence $f(x) = f(P(x) + Q(x_0)) = g(S(x))$ for all $x \in G_1$. There exists a dense $G_{\mathcal{C}}$ -subset A in an open set $J^{-1}(U_1)$ such that g is Fréchet-differentiable at every point $a \in A$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove that f is Fréchet-differentiable at every point $x \in J(A) + O_1$ and $f'(x) = S^*(g'(S(x)))$ for all $x \in J(A) + O_1$. It is clear that $J(A) + O_1$ is a $G_{\mathcal{C}}$ -subset of X and $J(A) + O_1 \subseteq \text{int}(\overline{J(A) + O_1}) = U_1 + O_1 = G_1$. By Lemma 1, this concludes the proof.

2. Generic differentiability of mappings. In this section, X always denotes a Banach space, S denotes a family of subsets contained in the unit ball of the space X with the properties a) and b) introduced in Section 1.

Definition 4. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, Ω be an open subset of X , f be a mapping from Ω to Y . We say that f is Lipschitzian at a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ if there exist a $K > 0$ and $\delta' > 0$ such that $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq K \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in \Omega$, $\|x - x_0\| < \delta'$, $\|y - x_0\| < \delta'$.

f is said to be locally Lipschitzian if f is Lipschitzian at every point $x \in \Omega$.

Definition 5. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a fixed positive number. We say that f is locally (ε, S) -approximated at $x \in \Omega$ if for each $A \in S$ there exist $T_A \in L(X, Y)$ and $\delta' > 0$ such that:

(1) $\|f(x + th) - f(x) - T_A(th)\| < \varepsilon |t|$ for all $t: |t| < \delta'$ and $h \in A$. Denote by $S_\varepsilon(f, x, A)$ the set of all $T \in L(X, Y)$ such that (1) holds for some $\delta' > 0$.

Lemma 2. Let f be Lipschitzian at $x \in \Omega$. Then f is S -differentiable at x if and only if f is (ε, S) -approximated at x for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. 1) If f is S -differentiable at x , then it is clear that f is (ε, S) -approximated for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

2) Now let f be (ε, S) -approximated at x for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Put $S_\varepsilon(f, x, A)(h) = \{T(h) : T \in S_\varepsilon(f, x, A)\}$ for all $h \in A$. It is easy to see that $\text{diam } S_\varepsilon(f, x, A)(h) \leq 2\varepsilon$ for all $h \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore there exists $T(h) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} S_\varepsilon(f, x, A)(h) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1} [f(x + th) - f(x)]$ for all $h \in A$, and $\|T(h) - T_{\varepsilon, A}(h)\| \leq 2\varepsilon$ for all $T_{\varepsilon, A} \in S_\varepsilon(f, x, A)$ and $h \in A$. Hence, by

the property b), $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1} [f(x + th) - f(x)]$ exists for each $h \in X$. The additivity of T follows from the property a) of S and the boundedness of T follows from the assumption that f is Lipschitzian at x . This shows that $T \in L(X, Y)$. Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and A be an arbitrary element of S . Take $T_1 \in S_{\varepsilon/3}(f, x, A)$. Then there exists a $\sigma > 0$ such that $\|f(x + th) - f(x) - T_1(th)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} |t|$ for all $t: |t| < \sigma$ and $h \in A$. Hence $\|f(x + th) - f(x) - T(th)\| \leq \|f(x + th) - f(x) - T_1(th)\| + \|T_1(t) - T(th)\| < \varepsilon |t|$ for all $t, |t| < \sigma$ and $h \in A$. This proves that f is S -differentiable at x , which concludes the proof of Lemma 2.

Proposition 1. Let X be the one of the following spaces: a Hilbert space, $C(S)$ where S is a compact Hausdorff space, $L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, where μ is a positive σ -finite measure defined on a σ -algebra Σ of subsets of a set Ω , $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let X^* be the dual of X . Then X^* possesses the following property:

(*) There exists a net of continuous linear projections $\{P_i\}_I$ of X^* onto finite dimensional subspaces of X^* such that:

- 1) $\|P_i\| \leq K$ for some $K > 0$ and all $i \in I$,
- 2) $\{x^* - P_i x^*\}$ converges weakly-star to 0 uniformly on $\{x^* \in X^*: \|x^*\| \leq 1\}$.

Proof. 1) Let X be a Hilbert space and $(e_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Gamma}$ be an orthonormal basis of X . Let I be the family of all finite subsets i of Γ . We write $i_1 \leq i_2$ iff $i_1 \subseteq i_2$ for $i_1, i_2 \in I$. Let P_i be the orthogonal projection of $X^* = X$ onto $\text{sp}\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in i\}$ for all $i \in I$, where $\text{sp}\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in i\}$ denotes the linear hull of $\{e_\lambda : \lambda \in i\}$. Then it is clear that $\{P_i\}_I$ possesses the properties 1) and 2) with $K = 1$.

2) Let S be a compact Hausdorff topological space. We know that the dual space $C^*(S)$ of $C(S)$ is the space of all Radon measures on S , and denoted by $\mathcal{M}(S)$. Denote by μ_x the atomic measure defined by $\mu_x(A) = 1$ if $x \in A$, $\mu_x(A) = 0$ if $x \notin A$ for all Borel subsets A of S and $x \in S$. I denotes the family of all collections $(x_1, \dots, x_n; S_1, \dots, S_n)$ where S_1, \dots, S_n is a disjoint partition of S into Borel subsets and $x_k \in S_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Let $i_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_n; S_1, \dots, S_n) \in I$; $i_2 = (y_1, \dots, y_m; T_1, \dots, T_m) \in I$. We write $i_1 \leq i_2$ iff for each $j: 1 \leq j \leq m$ there exists a $k(j): 1 \leq k(j) \leq n$ such that $T_j \subseteq S_{k(j)}$ and $x_{k(j)} = y_j$ whenever $x_{k(j)} \in T_j$. Put $Q_{i_1} = \text{sp} \{ \mu_{x_1}, \dots, \mu_{x_n} \}$ and $P_{i_1}(\mu) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(S_k) \mu_{x_k}$ for all $i = (x_1, \dots, x_n; S_1, \dots, S_n) \in I$. Now we prove that $\{P_{i_1}\}_I$ possesses the properties 1) and 2) with $K = 1$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, then

$$\|P_{i_1}(\mu)\| = \sup \sum_j |P_{i_1}(\mu)(A_j)| = \sup \sum_j \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(S_k) \mu_{x_k}(A_j) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |\mu(S_k)| \leq \|\mu\|, \text{ for all } i \in I, \text{ where the supremum is}$$

taken over the set of all finite collections $\{A_j\}$ of pairwise disjoint Borel subsets of S . Now let f be an arbitrary fixed continuous function defined on S , then f is uniformly continuous on S . It is easy to see that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite partition $\alpha = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ of S into Borel subsets such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $x, y \in S_k$ for some $k = 1, \dots, n$. Let x_k be an arbitrary fixed point of S_k for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Put $i_0 = (x_1, \dots, x_n; S_1, \dots, S_n) \in I$. Now we claim that

$$|(\mu - P_{i_1}(\mu))(f)| = \left| \int_S f(x) d\mu(x) - \int_S f(x) d(P_{i_1}(\mu))(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, $\|\mu\| \leq 1$, $i \in I$, $i \geq i_0$ and this completes the proof for $X = C(S)$. Suppose $i = (y_1, \dots, y_m; T_1, \dots, T_m) \in I$, $i \geq i_0$, then it is clear that $|f(x) - f(y_j)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in T_j$, $j =$

= 1, ..., m, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_S f(x) d\mu(x) - \int_S f(x) dP_i(\mu)(x) \right| = \left| \sum_1^m \int_{T_j} f(x) d\mu(x) - \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{T_j} f(x) (\mu(T_j)) d\mu_{T_j}(x) \right| = \left| \sum_1^m \int_{T_j} (f(x) - f(y_j)) d\mu(x) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \sum_1^m \int_{T_j} |f(x) - f(y_j)| d|\mu|(x) \leq \varepsilon \|\mu\| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

3) Let $X = L^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $X^* = L^q$, where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. Let I be the family of all finite partitions $i = (E_1, \dots, E_n)$ of Ω such that $E_k \in \Sigma$, $\mu(E_k) > 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. We write $i_1 \leq i_2$ iff $F_j \subseteq E_k$ whenever $F_j \cap E_k \neq \emptyset$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$; $k = 1, \dots, n$; $i_1 = (E_1, \dots, E_n) \in I$, $i_2 = (F_1, \dots, F_m) \in I$. Put (taking $\frac{g(x)}{\infty} = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$) $(P_i g)(x) = \sum_1^n \left[\int_{E_k} \mu(E_k)^{-1} g(t) d\mu(t) \right] \chi_{E_k}(x)$.

We shall prove that $(P_i)_I$ possesses the properties 1) and 2) with $K = 1$.

If $q = \infty$, then it is clear that $\|P_i g\|_\infty \leq \|g\|$ for all $g \in L^q$. Now let $1 < q < \infty$, $g \in L^q$, $i = (E_1, \dots, E_n) \in I$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_i g\|^q &= \int_\Omega \left| \sum_1^n \left(\int_{E_k} \mu(E_k)^{-1} g(t) d\mu(t) \right) \chi_{E_k}(x) \right|^q d\mu(x) = \\ &= \int_\Omega \sum_1^n \left| \int_{E_k} \mu(E_k)^{-1} g(t) d\mu(t) \right|^q \chi_{E_k}(x) d\mu(x) = \\ &= \sum_1^n \mu(E_k)^{1-q} \left| \int_{E_k} g(t) d\mu(t) \right|^q \leq \sum_1^n \mu(E_k)^{1-q} \cdot \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{E_k} d\mu(t) \right)^{qp} \cdot \int_{E_k} |g(t)|^q d\mu(t) = \int_\Omega |g(t)|^q d\mu(t) = \\ &= \|g\|^q. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\|P_i\| \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$.

Now we suppose that f be a fixed function from L^p . We shall prove that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $i_0 \in I$ such that $\left| \int_\Omega f \cdot g d\mu - \int_\Omega f \cdot (P_i g) d\mu \right| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $i \in I$, $i \geq i_0$ and $g \in L^q$, $\|g\| \leq 1$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a simple measurable function $f_0 = \sum_1^m c_k \chi_{E_k}$ such that $\|f - f_0\| \leq 2^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $\bigcup_1^m E_k = \Omega$. Put $i_0 = (E_1, \dots, E_m) \in I$. Let $g \in L^q$, $\|g\| \leq 1$ and $i \in I$, $i = (F_1, \dots, F_m) \geq i_0$. Then for each $k = 1, \dots, m$ there exists an $\alpha_k \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $E_k = \bigcup_{j \in \alpha_k} F_j$. Whence $r = |\int_{\Omega} f(x) \cdot g(x) d\mu(x) - \int_{\Omega} f(x) \cdot (P_i g)(x) d\mu(x)| = |\int_{\Omega} (f-f_0)(x)g(x) d\mu(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j \in \alpha_k} \int_{F_j} c_k g(x) d\mu(x) - \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j \in \alpha_k} (\int_{F_j} \mu(F_j)^{-1} g(t) d\mu(t)) \cdot (\int_{F_j} f(x) d\mu(x))| \leq \|f-f_0\| \cdot \|g\| + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j \in \alpha_k} (\int_{F_j} \mu(F_j)^{-p} |g(t)| d\mu(t)) \cdot (\int_{F_j} \mu(F_j)^{-q} |c_k - f(x)| d\mu(x)).$

If $q = \infty$ then it is clear that $r \leq 2 \|f-f_0\| \cdot \|g\|$.

Suppose that $1 < q < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r &\leq \|f-f_0\| \|g\| + (\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j \in \alpha_k} (\int_{F_j} \mu(F_j)^{-p} |g(t)| d\mu(t))^q)^{q^{-1}} \\ &\cdot (\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j \in \alpha_k} (\int_{F_j} \mu(F_j)^{-q} |c_k - f(x)| d\mu(x))^p)^{p^{-1}} \leq \\ &\leq \|f-f_0\| \|g\| + (\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j \in \alpha_k} (\int_{F_j} \mu(F_j)^{-1} d\mu(x))^p)^{pq^{-1}} \\ &\cdot (\sum_{j \in \alpha_k} (\int_{F_j} |c_k - f(x)|^p d\mu(x))^p)^{p^{-1}} \cdot (\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j \in \alpha_k} (\int_{F_j} \mu(F_j)^{-1} d\mu(x))^q)^{q^{-1}} \\ &\cdot (\int_{F_j} |g(t)|^q)^{q^{-1}} = 2 \|g\| \|f-f_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

Remark 2. Let X be a Banach space. If its dual X^* has a net $(P_i)_I$ with the properties 1) and 2), then we say that X^* possesses the property $(*)$ with respect to $(P_i)_I$.

We shall use the following notations.

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, Ω be an open subset of X , f be a map-

ping of Ω into Y , $x \in \Omega$, $r > 0$,

$$A_r(f, x) = \{ \|h\|^{-1} \Delta_h f(y) : \|y-x\| \leq r, 0 < \|h\| \leq r \}$$

where $\Delta_h f(y) = f(y+h) - f(y)$,

$$B_r(f, x) = \{ f(x_1) + f(x_2) - 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}\right) : x_i \in X, \|x_i-x\| \leq r, i = 1, 2 \}.$$

For $A \subseteq Y$, $\gamma(A)$ denotes the measure of noncompactness of A defined by $\gamma(A) = \inf \{ t > 0 : \text{there exists a finite subset } C \subseteq A \text{ such that } A \subseteq C + tB_1 \}$ where $B_1 = \{ y \in Y : \|y\| \leq 1 \}$. We use the symbol A^* defined by $A^* = \{ y^* \in Y^* : \langle y^*, y \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in A \}$.

Theorem 3. Let X be an S -differentiability Banach space and Y be a Banach space, whose dual Y^* possesses the property $(*)$ with respect to $(P_i)_{i \in I}$, Ω be an open subset of X . Let f be a mapping from Ω to Y such that:

$$1) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (A_r(f, x)) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \Omega,$$

2) for each open nonempty subset $G \subseteq \Omega$ and each $i \in I$ there exist an $x \in G$ and an $r > 0$ such that $\overline{\text{sp } B_r^*(f, x)} \supseteq P_i(Y^*)$. Then f is generic S -differentiable.

Proof. We denote the canonical embedding mapping of Y into its bidual Y^{**} by \mathfrak{e} . Let K be a positive number such that $\|P_i\| \leq K$ for all $i \in I$ and ε be an arbitrary given positive number. Put $T_\varepsilon = \{ x \in \Omega : f \text{ is } (\varepsilon, S)\text{-approximated at } x \}$. We shall prove that T_ε contains a dense G_δ -subset in Ω for all $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 1, it suffices to prove that for each open nonempty subset $G \subseteq \Omega$ there exists a G_δ -subset $N \subseteq T_\varepsilon$ such that $N \subseteq \text{int } \overline{N} \subseteq G$. Take an $x_0 \in G$. Since $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \gamma(A_r(f, x_0)) = 0$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that $x \in G$ for all $x \in X$, $\|x-x_0\| < r$ and $\gamma(A_r(f, x_0)) < [4(K+1)]^{-1}\varepsilon$. Therefore there exist $y_1, \dots, y_k \in \varepsilon Y$ such that $A_r(f, x) \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_k\} + [4(K+1)]^{-1}\varepsilon B_1$, where

$B_1 = \{y \in Y: \|y\| \leq 1\}$. Put $K_1 = \max \{\|y_1\|, \dots, \|y_k\|\} + \epsilon$. Then $\|y\| \leq K_1$ for all $y \in A_r(f, x_0)$ and hence f is Lipschitzian at x_0 . Since Y possesses the property $(*)$ with respect to $(P_1)_I$, there exists an $i_0 \in I$ such that $\langle y^* - P_{i_0} y, y_j \rangle \leq 4^{-1} \cdot \epsilon$ for all $y^* \in Y^*$, $\|y^*\| \leq 1$; $j = 1, \dots, k$; $i \in I$, $i \geq i_0$. One can verify that $\langle y^* - P_{i_0} y^*, y \rangle \leq 2^{-1} \cdot \epsilon$ for all $y^* \in Y^*$, $\|y^*\| \leq 1$; $y \in A_r(f, x_0)$, $i \in I$, $i \geq i_0$. On the other hand, by 2, there exist an $x_1 \in \{x: \|x - x_0\| < r\}$ and $r_1: 0 < r_1 < r - \|x_1 - x_0\|$ such that $Q_{i_0} = P_{i_0}(Y^*) \subseteq \text{sp } B_{r_1}^*(f, x_1)$. Let $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ be a basis of the subspace Q_{i_0} , $\|e_j^*\| = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Put $\|y^*\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|$ for $y^* = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e_j^* \in Q_{i_0}$. Then $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a norm on Q_{i_0} and it is equivalent with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ restricted to Q_{i_0} . Therefore there exist $K_2, K_3 > 0$ such that $K_2 \|y^*\| \leq \|y^*\|_1 \leq K_3 \|y^*\|$ for all $y^* \in Q_{i_0}$. Take $z_j^* \in \text{sp } B_{r_1}^*(f, x_1)$ such that $\|e_j^* - z_j^*\| \leq [4K_1 K_3 K]^{-1} \epsilon$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Since $z_j^* \in \text{sp } B_{r_1}^*(f, x_1)$ there exist $u_{j,1}^*, \dots, u_{j,k_j}^* \in B_{r_1}^*(f, x_1)$ and $t_{j,1}, \dots, t_{j,k_j} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $z_j^* = \sum_{s=1}^{k_j} t_{j,s} u_{j,s}^*$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. It is easy to see that $(u_{j,s}^* f)(v_1) + (u_{j,s}^* f)(v_2) - 2(u_{j,s}^* f)(\frac{v_1 + v_2}{2}) = \langle u_{j,s}^* f, f(v_1) + f(v_2) - 2f(\frac{v_1 + v_2}{2}) \rangle \geq 0$ for all $s = 1, \dots, k_j$; $j = 1, \dots, n$; $v_k \in X$, $\|v_k - x_1\| \leq r_1$, $k = 1, 2$. Hence $u_{j,s}^* \circ f$ is a continuous midconvex (therefore convex) function on the open convex subset $U = \{x: \|x - x_1\| < r_1\} \subseteq G$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$; $s = 1, \dots, k_j$. Since X is an S -differentiability space, there exists a dense G_σ -subset $H_{j,s}$ of U such that $u_{j,s}^* \circ f$ is S -differentiable at every point $x \in H_{j,s}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$; $s = 1, \dots, k_j$. Put $N = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{s=1}^{k_j} H_{j,s} \subseteq G$. Then N is a G_σ -subset which is dense

in U . It is clear that $z_j^* f = \sum_{s=1}^k t_{j,s} u_{j,s}^* \circ f$ is S -differentiable at every point $x \in N$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Now we prove that $\alpha \circ f$ is (\mathcal{E}, S) -approximated at every point $x \in N$. Let w_j be a linear functional on Q_{1_0} defined by $w_j(y^*) = t_j$ for $y^* = \sum t_j e_j^* \in Q_{1_0}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then of course we have $|w_j(y^*)| \leq \frac{\|y^*\|}{1} |w_j(y^*)| = \|y^*\|_1 \leq K_3 \|y^*\|$ for all $y^* \in Q_{1_0}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. One can see

that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{1_0} y^* - \sum_{j=1}^n w_j(P_{1_0} y^*) z_j^*\| &= \|\sum w_j(P_{1_0} y^*) (e_j^* - z_j^*)\| \leq \\ &\leq (4KK_1K_3)^{-1} \mathcal{E} K_3 \|P_{1_0} y^*\| \leq 4K_1^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{E} \|y^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let x be an arbitrary fixed point of N . Denote the S -differential of the function $z_j^* \circ f$ at x by $d(z_j^* \circ f)(x)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let $K_4 = \max \{\|d(z_j^* \circ f)(x)\| : j = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then the functional $B(h, y^*)$ on $X \times Y$ defined by

$$B(h, y^*) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j(P_{1_0} y^*) \cdot d(z_j^* \circ f)(x)(h) \text{ for all } h \in X, y^* \in Y^*,$$

is bilinear. Furthermore, $|B(h, y^*)| \leq \sum |w_j(P_{1_0} y^*)| \cdot \|d(z_j^* \circ f)(x)\| \cdot \|h\| \leq \sum K_4 \|h\| |w_j(P_{1_0} y^*)| \leq KK_3K_4 \|h\| \|y^*\|$.

This shows that $B(h, y^*)$ is continuous and for each fixed $h \in X$, $B(h, \cdot) \in Y^{**}$. Let V be a mapping of X into Y^{**} defined by $V(h) = B(h, \cdot)$, then V is a linear continuous mapping and $\|V\| \leq KK_3K_4$.

Let A be an arbitrary fixed subset from S . Then there exists a $\sigma: 0 < \sigma < r_1$ such that

$$|(z_j^* f)(x + th) - (z_j^* f)(x) - d(z_j^* f)(x)(th)| \leq (4KK_3)^{-1} \mathcal{E} |t|$$

for all t such that $|t| \leq \sigma$ and $h \in A$. Take an arbitrary fixed number $t_0: 0 < |t_0| \leq \sigma$, $h_0 \in A$ and $y^* \in Y^*$, $\|y^*\| \leq 1$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t_0, h_0, y^*) &= |\langle t_0^{-1} [\alpha \circ f(x + t_0 h_0) - \alpha \circ f(x_0)] - \\ &- V(h_0, y^*) \rangle| = |t_0^{-1} y^* \cdot \Delta_{t_0 h_0} f(x) - B(h_0, y^*)| \leq |\langle y^* - P_{1_0} y^*, \\ &\|t_0 h_0\|^{-1} \Delta_{t_0 h_0} f(x) \rangle| + |\langle P_{1_0} y^* - \sum w_j(P_{1_0} y^*) z_j^*, \end{aligned}$$

$$t_0^{-1} \Delta_{t_0 h_0} f(x) > | + | \sum w_j(P_{i_0} y^*) \{ t_0^{-1} [z_j^* \circ f(x + t_0 h_0) - z_j^* \circ f(x)] - d(z_j^* \circ f)(x)(h_0) \} | .$$

Since $\|x - x_0\| \leq \|x - x_1\| + \|x_1 - x_0\| \leq r_1 + \|x_1 - x_0\| < r$ and $\|t_0 h_0\| = |t_0| \|h_0\| \leq |t_0| \leq \sigma < r_1 < r$, it follows that $|\langle y^* - P_{i_0} y^*, \|t_0 h_0\|^{-1} \Delta_{t_0 h_0} f(x) \rangle| \|h_0\| \leq 2^{-1} \cdot \epsilon$ and $|\langle P_{i_0} y^* - \sum w_j(P_{i_0} y^*) z_j^*, t_0^{-1} \Delta_{t_0 h_0} f(x) \rangle| \leq \|P_{i_0} y^* - \sum w_j(P_{i_0} y^*) z_j^*\| \cdot \|h_0\| \cdot \|t_0 h_0\|^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{t_0 h_0} f(x) \leq (4K_1)^{-1} \cdot \epsilon \|y^*\| K_1 \leq 4^{-1} \cdot \epsilon$,

$$| \sum_1^n w_j(P_{i_0} y^*) \{ t_0^{-1} [z_j^* \circ f(x + t_0 h_0) - z_j^* \circ f(x)] - d(z_j^* \circ f)(x)(h_0) \} | \leq (4KK_3)^{-1} \epsilon \sum_1^n |w_j(P_{i_0} y^*)| \leq 4^{-1} \cdot \epsilon .$$

This means that $\alpha(t_0, h_0, y^*) \leq \epsilon$. Since t_0, h_0, y^* are taken arbitrarily, $\alpha(t, h, y^*) \leq \epsilon$ for all $t: 0 < |t| < \sigma$, $h \in A$, $y^* \in Y^*$, $\|y^*\| \leq 1$. Hence

$\| t^{-1} \mathfrak{z} \circ f(x + th) - \mathfrak{z} \circ f(x) - V(h) \| = \sup_{\|y^*\| \leq 1} \alpha(t, h, y^*) \leq \epsilon$ for all $t: 0 < |t| < \sigma$, $h \in A$. This shows that $\mathfrak{z} \circ f$ is (ϵ, S) -approximated at x . Therefore for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a dense G_δ -subset M_ϵ of Ω such that $\mathfrak{z} \circ f$ is (ϵ, S) -approximated at every point $x \in M_\epsilon$. Put $T = \bigcap_1^\infty M_{1/n}$. Then T is a dense G_δ -subset of Ω and $\mathfrak{z} \circ f$ is (ϵ, S) -approximated at every point $x \in T$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 2, $\mathfrak{z} \circ f$ is S -differentiable at every point $x \in T$. Therefore f is S -differentiable at every point $x \in T$, as $\mathfrak{z}(Y)$ is a closed subspace of Y^{**} and \mathfrak{z} is an isometric isomorphism of Y onto $\mathfrak{z}(Y)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Remark 3. From the proof of Theorem 3, it follows that the condition 1) in Theorem 3 can be replaced by the following one:

1') f is locally Lipschitzian and for each $x \in \Omega$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an $r > 0$ and $i_0 \in I$ such that $|\langle y^* - P_{i_0} y^*, y \rangle| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $y \in A_r(f, x)$, $y^* \in Y^*$: $\|y^*\| \leq 1$ and $i \in I$, $i \geq i_0$.

Corollary 1. Let X be an Asplund space and Y, Ω, f be as in Theorem 3. Then f is generic Fréchet-differentiable.

Recall that under a convex cone in a linear space X we understand every convex subset C of X such that $C + C \subseteq C$, $\lambda C \subseteq C$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$. Now let X be a Banach space. We shall say that a subset $A \subseteq X$ has the property $(**)$ if there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that $\sup\{|\langle x^*, x \rangle| : x^* \in A^*, \|x^*\| \leq 1\} \geq \beta \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$. It is easy to see that if C_A denotes the closed convex cone in X generated by A then A has the property $(**)$ if and only if $C_A^* = A^*$.

Lemma 3. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, Ω be an open subset of X , f be a continuous mapping from Ω to Y such that for each $x \in \Omega$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that $B_r(f, x)$ has the property $(**)$. Then f is locally Lipschitzian on Ω .

Proof. Let x be a fixed point of Ω . By the assumption there exist an $r > 0$ and a $\beta > 0$ such that $\sup\{|\langle y^*, y \rangle| : y^* \in B_r^*(f, x), \|y^*\| \leq 1\} \geq \beta \|y\|$ for all $y \in Y$; note that $\beta \leq 1$. Let C be the closed convex cone in Y generated by $B_r(f, x)$. We claim that $(1-t)f(x_1) + tf(x_2) - f((1-t)x_1 + tx_2) \in C$ whenever $x_1 \in X, \|x_1 - x\| < r, 0 \leq t \leq 1$. Suppose that this claim is false. Then there exist $x_1 \in X, \|x_1 - x\| < r, i = 1, 2, x_1 \neq x_2$ and $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $y_0 = (1-t_0)f(x_1) + t_0f(x_2) - f((1-t_0)x_1 + t_0x_2) \notin C$. Then by the separation theorem, there exists a $y_0^* \in Y^*$ such that $\langle y_0^*, y_0 \rangle < 0 \leq \langle y_0^*, y \rangle$ for all $y \in C$. Hence

$y_0^* \in C^*$. Put $g(t) = \langle f(x_1 + t(x_2 - x_1)) - f(x_1) - t[f(x_2) - f(x_1)], y_0^* \rangle$. Then g is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$ and $g(0) = g(1) = 0$. Let t_1 be a point from $(0, 1)$ such that $g(t_1) = \max \{g(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Put $\sigma = \min \{1 - t_1, t_1\} > 0$. One can verify that $g(t_1 + \sigma) + g(t_1 - \sigma) - 2g(t_1) = \langle f(x_1 + (t_1 + \sigma)(x_2 - x_1)) + f(x_1 + (t_1 - \sigma)(x_2 - x_1)) - 2f(x_1 + t_1(x_2 - x_1)), y_0^* \rangle < 0$. Put $u = x_1 + (t_1 - \sigma)(x_2 - x_1)$, $v = x_1 + (t_1 + \sigma)(x_2 - x_1)$, $w = x_1 + t_1(x_2 - x_1)$. Then $w = 2^{-1}(u + v)$ and $\langle f(u) + f(v) - 2f(w), y_0^* \rangle < 0$. This contradicts the fact $y_0^* \in C^*$ and $f(u) + f(v) - 2f(w) \in C$. This proves our claim. Since f is continuous at x , there exists a $\sigma > 0$, $\sigma < r$ such that $\|f(u) - f(x)\| < 4^{-1}$ for all $u \in X$, $\|u - x\| < \sigma$. Put $s = 2^{-1}\sigma$ and let $v, w \in X$, $\|v - x\| < s$, $\|w - x\| < s$. If $\|v - w\| \geq s$ then $\|f(u) - f(v)\| \leq 2^{-1} \leq (s\beta)^{-1} \|v - w\|$. Now suppose that $0 < \|v - w\| < s$. Put $h = w - v$, $h_0 = s \|h\|^{-1} h$. One can conclude that $(1 - s^{-1} \|h\|)f(v) + s^{-1} \|h\| f(v + h_0) - f(w) \in C$. Therefore $f(v) - f(w) - s^{-1} \|h\| [f(v) - f(v + h_0)] \in C$. Similarly $f(w) - f(v) - s^{-1} \|h\| [f(w) - f(w - h_0)] \in C$. Hence $|\langle f(v) - f(w), y^* \rangle| \leq s^{-1} \|h\| [|\langle f(v) - f(v + h_0), y^* \rangle| + |\langle f(w) - f(w - h_0), y^* \rangle|]$ for all $y^* \in C^*$. Therefore $\beta \|f(v) - f(w)\| \leq \sup \{|\langle f(v) - f(w), y^* \rangle| : y^* \in C^*, \|y^*\| \leq 1\} \leq s^{-1} \|h\| (\|f(v) - f(v + h_0)\| + \|f(w) - f(w - h_0)\|) \leq s^{-1} \|h\|$. Whence $\|f(v) - f(w)\| \leq (s\beta)^{-1} \|v - w\|$ for all $v, w \in X$, $\|v - x\| < s$, $\|w - x\| < s$. This proves that f is locally Lipschitzian and the proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

Corollary 2. Let X be an S -differentiable Banach space, Y, Z Banach spaces, Ω an open subset of X , f a mapping from Ω to Y and K a linear compact mapping from Y to Z . Suppose that f is continuous and for each open nonempty subset $G \subseteq \Omega$

there exist an $x \in G$ and an $r > 0$ such that $B_r(f, x)$, $B_r(K \circ f, x)$ have the property (**). Then $g = K \circ f$ is generic S-differentiable.

Proof. Let G be any open nonempty subset of Ω . By the assumption there exist an $x_0 \in G$ and an $r > 0$ such that $B_r(f, x_0)$, $B_r(K \circ f, x_0) = K(B_r(f, x_0))$ have the property (**). Put $U = \{x \in X: \|x - x_0\| < r\}$. To prove Corollary 2, it suffices to prove that g is generic S-differentiable on U . Put $W = \{z^* \in Z^*: \|z^*\| \leq 1\} \cap (K(B_r(f, x_0)))^* = \{z^* \in B_r^*(K \circ f, x_0), \|z^*\| \leq 1\}$. Then W endowed with the weakly-star topology $\sigma(Z^*, Z)$, restricted to W is a compact Hausdorff topological space. Let $C(W)$ denote the Banach space of all real continuous functions defined on a compact space W and \mathfrak{z} the embedding mapping from Z to $C(W)$ defined by $\mathfrak{z}(z)(z^*) = \langle z, z^* \rangle$ for all $z \in Z$, $z^* \in W$. We claim that \mathfrak{z} is a topological isomorphism from Z onto a closed subspace of $C(W)$ and $\mathfrak{z}(z)(z^*) \geq 0$ for all $z \in K(B_r(f, x_0))$, $z^* \in W$. It is clear that \mathfrak{z} is a linear mapping from Z into $C(W)$. Since $B_r(K \circ f, x_0)$ possesses the property (**) there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that $\beta \|z\| \leq \sup \{|\langle z^*, z \rangle| : z^* \in W\} = \|\mathfrak{z}(z)\| \leq \|z\|$. This proves that \mathfrak{z} is a topological isomorphism of Z onto $\mathfrak{z}(Z)$ and since Z is complete, $\mathfrak{z}(Z)$ is a closed subspace of $C(W)$. Furthermore, if $z \in B_r(g, x_0)$ then $\mathfrak{z}(z) \geq 0$, since $W \subseteq B_r^*(g, x_0)$. Thus our claim is proved. One can see that the mapping $h = \mathfrak{z} \circ g|_U: U \rightarrow C(W)$ is S-differentiable at x if and only if g is S-differentiable at x . We know that (Proposition 1) $C(W)$ is a Banach space whose dual $C^*(W)$ possesses the property (*). To finish the proof, it suffices to prove that h satisfies the conditions 1) and 2) in Theorem 3. Let u be an arbit-

rary fixed point of U . Take an $s > 0$ such that $\{x \in X: \|x-u\| < s\} \subseteq U$. Put $U_1 = \{x \in X: \|x\| < 2^{-1}s\}$ and

$$\Delta f(x,k) = \begin{cases} \|k\|^{-1}(f(x+k) - f(x)) & \text{for } x \in (u+U_1), k \in U_1, k \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in u+U_1, k = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the assumption and Lemma 3, f is locally Lipschitzian on U , there exist $\sigma: 0 < \sigma < s$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f(v) - f(w)\| \leq M \|v-w\| \text{ for } v, w \in X, \|v-u\| < \sigma, \|w-u\| < \sigma.$$

Put $r_1 = 2^{-1}\sigma$, $U_2 = \{x \in X: \|x\| < r_1\}$. Then $\|\Delta f(x,k)\| \leq M$ for all $(x,k) \in (u+U_2) \times U_2$. From the compactness of the linear mapping $\mathcal{A} \circ K$, it follows that $A_{r_1}(h,u) = \mathcal{A} \circ K \circ \Delta f((u+U_2) \times U_2)$ is a precompact subset of $C(W)$. This means that

$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \gamma(A_{r_1}(h,u)) = 0$, and the condition 1) in Theorem 3 is satisfied. On the other hand, we have $B_{r_1}^*(g,u) \supseteq B_r^*(g,x_0)$ as

$$B_{r_1}^*(g,u) \subseteq B_r^*(g,x_0). \text{ Hence } C^*(W) = \text{sp} \{\mu \in C^*(W): \mu \geq 0\} \subseteq$$

$\subseteq \text{sp } B_{r_1}^*(h,u)$. This proves that the condition 2) in Theorem 3 is satisfied, too, and the proof of Corollary 2 is complete.

Now we give some applications of Theorem 3 to the problem of generic differentiability of convex mappings. All notions concerning Banach lattices used here are standard, we refer the readers for instance to [23].

Definition 6. Let X be a Banach space, Y a Banach lattice, Ω an open convex subset of X . A mapping f from Ω to Y is said to be convex if $f((1-t)u + tv) \leq (1-t)f(u) + tf(v)$ for all $u, v \in \Omega$, $t \in [0,1]$.

Corollary 3. Let X be an S -differentiability Banach space, Y, Z Banach lattices, Ω an open convex subset of X , f a continuous convex mapping from Ω to Y , K a linear positive compact mapping of Y into Z . Then $g = K \circ f: \Omega \rightarrow Z$ is generic

S-differentiable.

Proof. It follows immediately from Corollary 2, if we note that the positive cone in a Banach lattice always has the property (**). In fact, let Y be a Banach lattice and C_+ the positive cone in Y . Then Y^* is also a Banach lattice and C_+^* is the positive cone in Y^* . If $(y^*)^+$, $(y^*)^-$ denote the positive and negative parts of y^* respectively, then $\langle y^*, y \rangle = \langle (y^*)^+, y \rangle - \langle (y^*)^-, y \rangle$ for all $y^* \in Y^*$, $y \in Y$. Therefore $\sup \{ |\langle y^*, y \rangle| : y^* \in C_+^*, \|y^*\| \leq 1 \} \geq 2^{-1} \sup \{ |\langle y^*, y \rangle| : \|y^*\| \leq 1 \} = 2^{-1} \|y\|$. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.

Definition 7. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, Ω an open subset of X . A mapping f from Ω to Y is said to be locally compact if for each $u \in \Omega$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that the set $\{f(x) : \|x - u\| < r\}$ is relative compact.

Corollary 4. Let X be an S-differentiability Banach space, Y a Banach lattice whose dual Y^* has the property (*) with respect to a net $\{P_i\}_I$ of band projections. Then each continuous convex locally compact mapping f from an open convex subset Ω of X into Y is generic S-differentiable.

Proof. It is clear that to prove Corollary 4, it suffices to prove that f satisfies the condition 1') in Remark 3. By Lemma 3 f is locally Lipschitzian. Let x_0 be any point of Ω . Since f is locally compact, there exists a $\sigma > 0$ such that f maps $\{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| < \sigma\}$ into a relative compact subset of Y . Put $r = 2^{-1}\sigma$. Then $D_r = \{\|h\|^{-1}(f(x+h) - f(x)) : \|x - x_0\| < r, \|h\| = r\} \subseteq r^{-1}(\{f(x) : \|x - x_0\| \leq 2r\} - \{f(x) : \|x - x_0\| < r\})$ is relative compact. Now let ε be any given positive number. Then there exists a finite subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$

such that $D_r \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} + 4^{-1} \varepsilon \cdot B_1$ ($B_1 = \{y: \|y\| \leq 1\}$). By the assumption there exists an $i_0 \in I$ such that $|\langle y^* - P_{i_0} y^*, y_j \rangle| \leq 4^{-1} \varepsilon$ for all $y^* \in Y, \|y^*\| \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, n, i \in I, i \geq i_0$. It is easy to verify that $|\langle y^* - P_i y^*, y \rangle| \leq 2^{-1} \varepsilon$ for all $y \in D_r, y^* \in Y^*, \|y^*\| \leq 1$ and $i \in I, i \geq i_0$. Let $y \in A_r(f, x_0), y \neq 0$. Then there exists an $x \in X, \|x - x_0\| < r, h \in X, 0 < \|h\| \leq r$ such that $y = \|h\|^{-1}(f(x+h) - f(x))$. Put $k = \|h\|^{-1}rh$. From the convexity of f it follows that $\bar{y}_1 = r^{-1}(f(x) - f(x-k)) \in y \subseteq \leq r^{-1}(f(x+k) - f(x)) = \bar{y}_2, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2 \in D_r$. Hence: $-2^{-1} \varepsilon \leq \langle (y^*)^+ - P_{i_0} (y^*)^+, \bar{y}_1 \rangle \leq \langle (y^*)^+ - P_{i_0} (y^*)^+, y \rangle \leq \langle (y^*)^+ - P_{i_0} (y^*)^+, \bar{y}_2 \rangle \leq \leq 2^{-1} \varepsilon$; $-2^{-1} \varepsilon \leq \langle (y^*)^- - P_{i_0} (y^*)^-, \bar{y}_1 \rangle \leq \langle (y^*)^- - P_{i_0} (y^*)^-, y \rangle \leq \langle (y^*)^- - P_{i_0} (y^*)^-, \bar{y}_2 \rangle \leq 2^{-1} \varepsilon$ for all $y^* \in Y^*, \|y^*\| \leq 1, i \in I, i \geq i_0$. Therefore $|\langle y^* - P_i y^*, y \rangle| = |\langle (y^*)^+ - P_{i_0} (y^*)^+, y \rangle - \langle (y^*)^- - P_{i_0} (y^*)^-, y \rangle| \leq \varepsilon$ for $y^* \in Y^*, \|y^*\| \leq 1, i \in I, i \geq i_0$. This proves that f satisfies the condition 1') in Remark 3 and the proof of Corollary 4 is complete.

Using Theorem 2 and slight modifications of the proof of Theorem 3 we get

Theorem 4. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, Y^* have the property (*) with respect to $\{P_i\}_I$. Let f be a $\mathcal{C}(X, X^*) - \mathcal{C}(Y, Y^*)$ -continuous mapping from X to Y such that:

- 1) $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \gamma(A_r(f, x)) = 0$ for all $x \in X$,
- 2) $P_i(Y^*) \subseteq \overline{\text{sp}} \{f(u) + f(v) - 2f(\frac{u+v}{2}) : u, v \in X\}^*$ for all $i \in I$.

Then f is generic Fréchet-differentiable.

Corollary 5. Let X be a Banach space, Y, Z Banach lattices, let f be a continuous convex mapping from X into Y , which is $\mathcal{C}(X, X^*) - \mathcal{C}(Y, Y^*)$ -continuous; K a linear positive compact

mapping from Y to Z . Then $g = K \circ f$ is generic Fréchet-differentiable.

3. Generic differentiability of Hammerstein operators. In this section we shall consider the differentiability of Hammerstein operators.

Theorem 5. Let $K(t,s) \in L^p([0,1] \times [0,1])$ ($K(t,s) \in C([0,1] \times [0,1])$ resp.), $1 < k < \infty$, $g(t,s)$ be a function defined on $R \times [0,1]$ satisfying the Carathéodory condition and such that

- 1) $g(\cdot, s)$ is convex continuous for a.e. $s \in [0,1]$,
 - 2) $|g(t,s)| \leq a|t|^{kq^{-1}} + b(s)$ for all $t \in R$, and a.e. $s \in [0,1]$, where $1 < q \leq \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $a \geq 0$, $b(s) \in L^q([0,1])$.
- Then the Hammerstein operator $H(u)(t) = \int_0^1 K(t,s)g(u(s),s)ds$ is generic Fréchet-differentiable on $L^k([0,1])$.

Proof. Let $K^+(t,s)$, $K^-(t,s)$ be the positive and negative part of $K(t,s)$ respectively. Then $K^+, K^- \in L^p([0,1] \times [0,1])$ ($\in C([0,1] \times [0,1])$ resp.). Put $K_1(u)(t) = \int_0^1 K^+(t,s)u(s)ds$, $K_2(u)(t) = \int_0^1 K^-(t,s)u(s)ds$ for all $u \in L^q$. Then K_1, K_2 are linear positive compact operators from L^q to L^p (to $C([0,1])$ resp.). We know that the Nemycki operator $N(u)(s) = g(u(s),s)$ is a continuous operator from L^k to L^q when g satisfies the condition 2) (see [24]) and it is convex when g satisfies the condition 1). Hence the operators $H_1 = K_1 \circ N$, $H_2 = K_2 \circ N$ are generic Fréchet-differentiable on L^k by Corollary 3. Therefore the Hammerstein operator $H = H_1 - H_2$ is generic Fréchet-differentiable on L^k , which concludes the proof.

We know that $C([0,1])$ is a separable Banach space and therefore $C([0,1])$ is a weak Asplund space. Then we get

Theorem 6. Let $K(t,s) \in L^p([0,1] \times [0,1])$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $g(t,s)$ be a continuous function on $R \times [0,1]$ and let $g(\cdot, s)$ be a convex function on R for all $s \in [0,1]$. Then the Hammerstein operator $H(u)(t) = \int_0^1 K(t,s)g(u(s),s)ds$ acting from $C([0,1])$ to $L^p([0,1])$ is generic Gâteaux differentiable on $C([0,1])$.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] R. ANANTHARAMAN, T. LEVIS, J.H.M. WHITFIELD: Smoothability, strong smoothability and dentability in Banach spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 24(1981), 59-68.
- [2] N. ARONSZAJN: Differentiability of Lipschitzian mappings between Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* 57(1976), 147-190.
- [3] E. ASPLUND: Fréchet differentiability of convex functions, *Acta Math.* 121(1968), 31-48.
- [4] E. ASPLUND and R.J. ROCKAFELLAR: Gradients of convex functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 139(1969), 443-467.
- [5] J.M. BORWEIN: Weak local supportability and application to approximation, *Pacific J. Math.* 82(1979), 323-338.
- [6] J.R. CHRISTENSEN: Topology and Borel structure, *Math. Studia* No. 10 North-Holland, Amsterdam 1974.
- [7] F.H. CLARK: Generalized gradients and applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 205(1975), 247-262.
- [8] J.B. COLLIER: A class of strong differentiability spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 53(1975), 420-422.
- [9] J. DIESTEL: Geometry of Banach spaces, *Lecture Notes in Math.* No. 485, Springer-Verlag 1975.
- [10] G. EDGAR: Measurability in Banach spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 26(1977), 663-677.
- [11] I. EKELAND and G. LEBOURG: Generic differentiability and perturbed optimization problems in Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 224(1976), 193-216.

- [12] R.E. HUFF and P.D. MORRIS: Dual spaces with the Krein-Milman property have the Radon-Nikodym property, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49(1975), 104-108.
- [13] KA SING LAU, C.E. WEIL: Differentiability via directional derivatives, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 70(1978), 11-1
- [14] J. KOLOMÝ: On the differentiability of operators and convex functions, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 9 (1968), 441-454.
- [15] M.K. KRASNOSELSKIJ, P.P. ZABREJKO, E.I. PUSTYLNİK, P.E. SOBOLEVSKIJ: Integralnyje operatory v prostranstvach summirujemych funkcij, Moskva 1966.
- [16] KUTATELADZE: Vypuklyje operatory, Uspechy Mat. nauk 34 (1979), 167-196.
- [17] D.G. LARMAN - R.R. PHELPS: Gâteaux differentiability of convex functions on Banach spaces, London Math. Soc. 20(1979), 115-127.
- [18] G. LEBOURG: Generic differentiability of Lipschitzian functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 256(1979), 125-144.
- [19] P. MANKIEWICZ: On Lipschitz mapping between Fréchet spaces, Studia Math. 41(1972), 225-241.
- [20] F. MIGNOT: Contrôle dans les variationsnelles elliptiques, J. Functional Analysis 22(2)(1976).
- [21] I. NAMICKA and R.R. PHELPS: Banach spaces which are Asplund spaces, Duke Math. J. 42(1975), 735-750.
- [22] K. RITTER: Optimization theory in linear spaces: part III, Mathematical programming in partial ordered Banach spaces, Math. Ann. 184(1970), 133-154.
- [23] H.H. SCHAEFER: Banach lattices and positive operators, Springer-Verlag, New York 1974.
- [24] M. TALAGRAND: Deux exemples de fonctions convexes, C.R. Acad. Sci. AB 288, No 8(1979), A461-A464.
- [25] M.M. VAJNBERG: Variacionnyje metody issledovanija nelinejnyh operatorov, Nauka, Moskva 1956.

- [26] S. YAMAMURO: Differential calculus in topological linear spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No 374, Springer-Verlag, New York 1974.
- [27] Ch. STEGALL: The duality between Asplund spaces and spaces with Radon-Nikodym property, Israel J. Math. 59 (1978), 408-412.

Matematický ústav, Universita Karlova, Sokolovská 83, 18600
Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 25.6. 1981)