

## Werk

Label: Article Jahr: 1982

**PURL:** https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\_0023|log18

### **Kontakt/Contact**

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

### COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

23,1 (1982)

# TWO-VALUED MEASURE NEED NOT BE PURELY $\mathcal{L}_{o}$ -COMPACT Bohdan ANISZCZYK

Abstract: The conjecture of Z. Frolík and J. Pachl ([2]) stated in the title is true (purely  $\#_0$ -compact measures were introduced in [2]).

Key words: Purely & o-compact measure.

Classification: 28Al2

\_\_\_\_\_\_

This note is closely related to the paper "Pure measures" by Z. Frolik and J. Pachl ([2]). We answer in the affirmative the conjecture stated there [2, 4,2(c)] and in the title of this note. For the definition of a purely  $\Re_0$ -compact measure see the above mentioned paper. Our measure will be defined on a special 6-algebra, we call it  $\Re(I)$ , and we will describe it now.

Let I be any index set. For  $J\subseteq I$ ,  $p_J$  denotes a canonical projection of  $\{0,1\}^{T}$  onto  $\{0,1\}^{T}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes the 6-algebra generated by the family of sets  $\{p_{+1}^{-1}\}(1):i\in I\}$ . Let  $X(J)\subseteq \subseteq \{0,1\}^{T}$  be the set of points all but finitely many coordinates of which are zero. Put  $\mathcal{B}(I)=\{A\cap X(I):A\in \mathcal{A}\}$ .

The following properties of  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$  are easily established. For any set  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(I)$  there are a countable set  $J(B) \subseteq I$  and a set  $B \subseteq X(J(B))$  such that  $B = p_{J(B)}^{-1}(B) \cap X(I)$ . If two points  $x,y \in X(I)$  are different only on coordinates not in J(B) then

either  $\{x,y\} \subseteq B$ , or  $\{x,y\} \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Two further properties of  $\mathfrak{R}(I)$  are a little less obvious.

- (i) Any 6-algebra generated by a countable subfamily of  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$  has countable many atoms.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$  satisfies the continuum chain condition (i.e. any family  $\mathcal{F}\subseteq \mathfrak{B}(I)$  of nonempty pairwise disjoint sets has cardinality at most continuum the cardinality of the real line).

Proof. (i) Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(I)$  be the smallest 6-algebra containing a family  $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(I)$ . Let  $A_1 = p_{\{1\}}^{-1}(1)$ , and  $\mathcal D$  be a 6-subalgebra of  $\mathcal A$  generated by a family  $\{A_1: i \in J\}$ , where  $J = J(C_1) \cup J(C_2) \cup \ldots$ . J is countable. Any atom of  $\mathcal D$  is of the form

 $\bigcap \{A_{\underline{\mathbf{1}}} : \underline{\mathbf{1}} \in K \} \cap \bigcap \{\{0,1\}^{\underline{\mathbf{I}}} - A_{\underline{\mathbf{1}}} : \underline{\mathbf{1}} \in J - K\},$ 

for some  $K \subseteq J$ . Only countably many of these are not disjoint with X(I) (those with K finite), so the G-algebra  $\Im \cap X(I) = \{D \cap X(I): D \in \Im\}$  on X(I) has only countably many atoms.  $\mathscr C$  is a G-subalgebra of  $\Im \cap X(I)$ , then it has only countably many atoms, too.

(ii) Let  $\mathscr{F}\subseteq\mathfrak{B}$  (I) be a family of nonempty pairwise disjoint sets. For any  $B\in\mathscr{F}$  take the set  $A(B)=p_{J(B)}^{-1}(p_{J(B)}(B))$ . A(B) belongs to  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{F}=\{A(B):B\in\mathscr{F}\}$  is a family of nonempty pairwise disjoint sets (if  $B_1,B_2\in\mathscr{F}$ ,  $B_1\cap B_2=\emptyset$ , then  $p_{J}(B_1)\cap p_{J}(B_2)=\emptyset$ , where  $J=J(B_1)\cap J(B_2)$ , and  $p_{J}^{-1}(p_{J}(B_1))\supseteq A(B_1)$ , i=1,2). But for  $\mathscr{F}$  it is known that it satisfies the continuum chain condition

[1, Theorem 3.13]. This ends the proof.

We say that a measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$  is given by a point if there is  $x \in X(I)$  such that  $\mu(B) = 1$  in case  $x \in B$  and  $\mu(B) = 0$  otherwise.

Let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  denote a point each coordinate of which is zero. The answer to the above mentioned Frolik-Pachl conjecture is given in the following

<u>Proposition</u>. If  $card(I) > 2^{c}$ , where c stands for the continuum, then the measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$  by the point  $x_0$  is not purely  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ -compact.

Proof. Assume, a contrario, that  $_{(}\omega$  is purely  $\kappa_{0}$ -compact. There is an  $\kappa_{0}$ -compact algebra  $\kappa \in \Re$  (I) satisfying

(1) 
$$\mu(B) = \inf \{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(R_i) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i \supseteq B, R_i \in \mathcal{R} \} \text{ for } B \in \mathcal{B} (I)$$

Put

 $\mathcal{R}_0 = \{ \mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{R} - \{ \emptyset \} : (\mathbf{R}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{R}, \ \mathbf{R}_1 \in \mathcal{R} \ \text{imply } \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_1 \ \text{or} \ \mathbf{R}_1 = \emptyset ).$   $\mathcal{R}_0 \text{ contains pairwise disjoint nonempty sets, hence by (ii)}$  is of cardinality at most c.

Claim. For any  $R \in \mathcal{R} - \{\emptyset\}$  there is  $R_0 \in \mathcal{R}_0$ ,  $R_0 \subseteq R_0$ . Suppose not. There is a set  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  such that R and all its nonempty subsets belonging to  $\mathcal{R}$  can be divided into two nonempty sets contained in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let R(O),  $R(1) \in \mathcal{R} - \{\emptyset\}$  be two disjoint sets such that  $R = R(O) \cup R(1)$ . If we have a family  $\{R(e_1, \dots, e_i) : e_1, \dots, e_i \in \{0, 1\}, \ i=1, \dots, N\} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  satisfying

$${R(e_1, \dots, e_i, 0) \cap R(e_1, \dots, e_i, 1) = \emptyset}$$

$${R(e_1, \dots, e_i, 0) \cup E(e_1, \dots, e_i, 1) = R(e_1, \dots, e_i)}$$

for i< N, then in each set  $R(e_1,\ldots,e_N)$  we can find two its subsets  $R(e_1,\ldots,e_N,0)$ ,  $R(e_1,\ldots,e_N,1)\in\Re$  -{ $\emptyset$ } disjoint and with sum equal to  $R(e_1,\ldots,e_N)$ .

Let  $\mathscr C$  be the  $\mathscr E$ -algebra generated by a family  $\{R(e_1,\ldots e_i):e_1,\ldots e_i\in\{0,1\},\ i=1,2,\ldots \mathfrak F\mathscr R-\{\emptyset\}\ \text{satisfying (2).}\ \mathscr C$  is obviously countably generated. Any sequence  $e_1,e_2,\ldots$  where  $e_i\in\{0,1\}$ , defines an atom of  $\mathscr C$ -namely  $\mathscr C$ - $\mathbb R^{(e_1,\ldots,e_i)}$ -nonempty because of compactness of  $\mathscr R$ . So  $\mathscr C$  has uncountably many atoms which contradicts (i). This contradiction proves the claim.

With each set  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  we can associate a family  $\{R_i \in \mathcal{R}_o : R_o \in R\}$ . By the claim different sets have different families, then there are at most  $2^c$  many sets in  $\mathcal{R}$ . While for any set  $\mathcal{R}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  is countable, the set  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}$  has cardinality at most  $2^c$ . For any  $i \in I$  is equal to 1. By (1) there is a countable family  $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{R}$  which covers  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and does not cover the point  $\mathbf{x}_o$ . There is a set  $\mathbf{R}_i \in \mathcal{R}_i$  containing a point  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , the point which differs from  $\mathbf{x}_o$  only on the i-th coordinate. Hence i must belong to  $\mathcal{L}(R_i)$ , and then I = J. This implies  $\mathrm{card}(I) \leq 2^c$ . This contradiction with assumption of proposition ends the proof.

Remarks. A little modification is needed to show that the proposition is true for any measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$  defined by a point. It may be shown that any 0-1 measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$  is defined by a point. Property (i) implies that any measure on  $\mathfrak{B}(I)$  is at most countable sum of two-valued measures, so everyone is pure ([2, Lemma 2.2]) and hence  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ -compact

([3, Corollary 4]) but none is purely  $\kappa_0$ -compact.

#### References

- [1] W.W. COMFORT, S. NEGREPONTIS: The theory of ultrafilters, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer 1974.
- [2] Z. FROLÍK, J. PACHL: Pure measures, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 14(1973), 279-293.
- [3] J. PACHL: Every weakly compact probability is compact,
  Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Math. Astronom.
  Phys. 23(1975), 401-405.

Instytut Matematyki Politechniki Wrocząwskiej, 50-370 Wroczaw, Polska

(Oblatum 14.8. 1981)