

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1982

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0023|log15

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

ON MAXIMAL MATCHINGS IN Q_6 AND A CONJECTURE
OF R. FORCADE
Ivan HAVEL and Mirko KRIVÁNEK

Abstract: It is proved that every maximal matching in the cube Q_6 contains at least 24 edges. This fact disproves a conjecture by R. Forcade. The same result has been published by J.M. Laborde ([3]), who disproved the conjecture using a computer. Our proof is independent and does not use a computer.

Key words: n-dimensional cube, maximal matching.

AMS: 05C70, 05C75

Ref. Ž.: 8.83

1. Introduction. In [1] a conjecture concerning the number of edges of the smallest maximal matching in the graph of the n-dimensional cube Q_n is formulated. According to the conjecture, there should exist a maximal matching in Q_6 containing 23 edges. In this paper, which is a modified version of [2], we prove that any maximal matching in Q_6 contains at least 24 edges; this fact disproves Forcade's conjecture. The same assertion was among other results published in [3]; the author announced in [3] that he had disproved Forcade's conjecture using a computer. The results contained in [2] were obtained independently of [3] and without help of a computer. We believe therefore that they could be of interest especially from the point of view of further progress in solving the difficult problem of obtain-

ing better estimates or determining the cardinality of the smallest maximal matching in Q_n .

2. Definitions. Statement of results. We deal with finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. If $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is such a graph, then $M \subseteq E(G)$ is called a matching in G , if no two edges of M are adjacent. A matching M is a maximal matching in G , if $M \not\subseteq M'$ holds for no matching M' in G .

For $U \subseteq V(G)$ we put $N_G(U) = \{v \in V(G); \exists u \in U \text{ such that } (u,v) \in E(G)\}$ and write frequently $N(U)$ instead of $N_G(U)$ and $N(u)$ instead of $N(\{u\})$.

An n -dimensional cube Q_n is a graph $Q_n = (V(Q_n), E(Q_n))$, where $V(Q_n) = \{(u_1, \dots, u_n); u_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$, $E(Q_n) = \{(u, v); u, v \in V(Q_n), u \text{ and } v \text{ differ in exactly one coordinate}\}$. Clearly, Q_n is a bipartite graph for any n .

Define further $V^\sigma(Q_n) = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in V(Q_n); \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}$, $V^e(Q_n) = V(Q_n) - V^\sigma(Q_n)$. We say that $u, v \in V(Q_n)$ are of the same parity, if either $\{u, v\} \subseteq V^\sigma(Q_n)$ or $\{u, v\} \subseteq V^e(Q_n)$. Put $\bar{0} = 1$, $\bar{1} = 0$ and for $u \in V(Q_n)$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ put $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n)$.

Let $m(Q_n) = \min \{|M|; M \text{ is a maximal matching in } Q_n\}$. The following assertions are proved in [1]:

Assertion 1. For $n \geq 1$, $m(Q_{n+1}) \leq 2m(Q_n)$.

Assertion 2. For $n \geq 1$, $m(Q_n) \geq 2^n \cdot n / (3n - 1)$.

Assertion 3. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(Q_n) / 2^n = 1/3$.

The following conjecture is also stated in [1]:

Conjecture. For $n \geq 1$, $m(Q_n) = \lceil 2^n \cdot n / (3n - 1) \rceil$.

It follows from the trivial identity $m(Q_3) = 3$ via Assertion 1 that $m(Q_6) \leq 24$, whereas Assertion 2 gives $m(Q_6) \geq 23$. According to the conjecture there should be $m(Q_6) = 23$; our intention

is to prove $m(Q_6) = 24$.

For any matching M in Q_n we define "the set $X(M)$ of odd vertices not belonging to M " as follows:

$$X(M) = \{u \in V^{\sigma}(Q_n); u \text{ is an end-vertex of no edge of } M\}.$$

Theorem 1. If M is a maximal matching in Q_n , then

- (1) $|X(M)| = 2^{n-1} - |M|$,
- (2) $|N(X(M))| \leq |M|$,
- (3) $u \in V^{\sigma}(Q_n) \Rightarrow N(u) \cap (V^{\sigma}(Q_n) - X(M)) \neq \emptyset$,
- (4) $u, v \in V^{\sigma}(Q_n), u \neq v, |N(u) \cap X(M)| = |N(v) \cap X(M)| =$
 $= n - 1 \Rightarrow N(u) - X(M) \neq N(v) - X(M).$

Proof. (1) Obviously $|V^{\sigma}(Q_n)| = |V^{\sigma}(Q_n)| = 2^{n-1}$ holds and further, the end-vertices of any edge in Q_n are not of the same parity. Since no two edges of M are adjacent, (1) follows.

(2) Let $u \in X(M), (u, v) \in E(Q_n)$. Suppose v to be an end-vertex of no edge of M ; then $M \cup \{(u, v)\}$ is again a matching which contradicts the maximality of M . Hence $u \in X(M), v \in N(u) \Rightarrow v$ is an end-vertex of an edge of M , and (2) follows immediately.

(3) can be proved similarly - it follows from $N(u) \subseteq X(M)$ for some $u \in V^{\sigma}(Q_n)$ that M cannot be maximal - if we choose an arbitrary $v \in N(u)$, then $M \cup \{(u, v)\}$ is again a matching.

(4) Let $N(u) - X(M) = \{u'\}, N(v) - X(M) = \{v'\}$; the edges $(u, u'), (v, v')$ belong to M and therefore $u' \neq v'$, q.e.d.

The following theorem disproves the conjecture from [1].

Theorem 2. For any maximal matching M in Q_6 , $|M| \geq 24$.

Proof. Let M be a maximal matching in Q_6 ; according to Assertion 2, $|M| \geq 23$. Assume $|M| = 23$. Then we obtain for $X(M)$ according to Theorem 1 that $|X(M)| = 9$ and (2) - (4) of Theorem 1 hold as well. However, we shall show in Theorem 3 that this is impossible.

Theorem 3. Let $X \subseteq V^{\sigma}(Q_6)$, $|X| = 9$.

Then either

- (1) $|N(X)| > 23$, or
- (2) there is $u \in V^6(Q_6)$ such that $N(u) \subseteq X$, or
- (3) there are $u, v \in V^6(Q_6)$ such that $u \neq v$, $|N(u) \cap X| = |N(v) \cap X| = 5$ and $N(u) - X = N(v) - X$.

Proof of Theorem 3 is given in Part 3 of this paper.

3. The proof of Theorem 3. The proof essentially utilizes a well-known fact that Q_6 is a Cartesian product of Q_4 and Q_2 .

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, 0, 0); (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in V(Q_4)\}, \\ B &= \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, 1, 0); (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in V(Q_4)\}, \\ C &= \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, 0, 1); (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in V(Q_4)\}, \\ D &= \{(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, 1, 1); (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in V(Q_4)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then obviously $V(Q_6) = A \cup B \cup C \cup D$ and $|A| = |B| = |C| = |D| = 16$; the subgraphs of Q_6 induced by any one of the sets A, B, C, D are isomorphic to Q_4 and there are exactly 16 vertex-disjoint circuits of the length 4 in Q_6 , such that each of them contains exactly one vertex of each of the sets A, B, C and D . Let us denote this set of 16 circuits by \mathcal{C} . The sets of vertices A, B, C, D are joined in Q_6 only by edges belonging to circuits of \mathcal{C} (e.g. there are 16 edges joining A with B , no edge between A and D , etc.).

For $u \in V(Q_6)$, $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6)$ put $\pi(u) = u_1 \cdot 2^3 + u_2 \cdot 2^2 + u_3 \cdot 2 + u_4$; obviously π maps $V(Q_6)$ onto $[0, 15]$. For $U \subseteq V(Q_6)$ put $\pi(U) = \{\pi(u); u \in U\}$. If $i \in [0, 15]$, denote by $a_1(b_1, c_1, d_1)$ the vertex of A (B, C, D , respectively) with $\pi(a_1) = i$ and put $\tilde{a}_1 = a_{15-1}$. (The first four coordinates of

\tilde{a}_i are complements of those of a_i ; the fifth and sixth coordinates of \tilde{a}_i and a_i coincide). Define similarly $\tilde{b}_i, \tilde{c}_i, \tilde{d}_i$ for $i \in [0, 15]$.

Let us notice that the following holds: for $i, j \in [0, 15]$,
 $(a_i, a_j) \in E(Q_6) \iff (b_i, b_j) \in E(Q_6) \iff (c_i, c_j) \in E(Q_6) \iff (d_i, d_j) \in E(Q_6)$.
 From this we have e.g. $\pi(N_{Q_6}(a_i) \cap A) = \pi(N_{Q_6}(b_i) \cap B) =$
 $= \dots = \pi(N_{Q_6}(d_i) \cap D)$. Similar relations, which easily follow
 from the structure of Q_6 and its decomposition into four Q_4
 joined together by 16 circuits, will be used in the sequel without special references.

The next lemma (with an obvious proof) describes some structural properties of \mathcal{Q}_4 .

Lemma 1. (1) For any $u \in V^\sigma(Q_4) \setminus V^e(Q_4)$ there is just one $v \in V^\sigma(Q_4) \cap V^e(Q_4)$, respectively) such that $N_{Q_4}(u) \cap N_{Q_4}(v) = \emptyset$.

(2) For $0 \leq t \leq 8$ define $\varphi(t)$ by the following table:

t	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\varphi(t)$	0	4	6	7	7	8	8	8	8

Then for any $U \subseteq V^\sigma(Q_4) \setminus V^e(Q_4)$, respectively), $|N_{Q_4}(U)| \geq \varphi(|U|)$.

If $0 \leq t \leq 8$, then there is $U_t \subseteq V^\sigma(Q_4) \setminus V^e(Q_4)$, respectively) such that $|U_t| = t$ and $|N_{Q_4}(U_t)| = \varphi(|U_t|)$.

Notation. In the sequel we shall denote by X always a subset of $V^\sigma(Q_6)$ consisting of 9 elements, i.e. $X \subseteq V^\sigma(Q_6)$, $|X| = 9$. For $U \subseteq V(Q_6)$, $N(U)$ denotes $N_{Q_6}(U)$.

Let $X \subseteq V^\sigma(Q_6)$, $|X| = 9$. A characteristic vector $\chi(X)$ of X is a vector of 9 components, $\chi(X) = (r_1, \dots, r_9)$, where $r_1 = |X \cap A|$, $r_2 = |X \cap B|$, $r_3 = |X \cap C|$, $r_4 = |X \cap D|$, $r_5 = |N(X) \cap A|$,

$r_6 = |N(X) \cap B|$, $r_7 = |N(X) \cap C|$, $r_8 = |N(X) \cap D|$ and $r_9 = |N(X)|$.

The set of all characteristic vectors is denoted by R , hence

$$R = \{ \chi(X); X \in V^{\sigma}(Q_6), |X| = 9 \}.$$

For $r \in R$, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_9)$ the following relations obviously hold:

- (a) $r_1 \leq 8$, $i = 1, \dots, 8$,
- (b) $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4 = 9$,
- (c) $r_5 + r_6 + r_7 + r_8 = r_9$.

Taking into account the obvious automorphisms of Q_6 and (1) of Theorem 3, we conclude that in order to prove Theorem 3 it suffices to show that (2) or (3) of Theorem 3 holds for any X such that $r = \chi(X) \in R$, where r meets all the following conditions (d) - (j):

- (d) $r_1 \geq \max(r_2, r_3, r_4)$,
- (e) if $r_1 = r_4$, then $r_5 \geq r_8$,
- (f) if $r_1 = r_2$, then $r_3 \geq r_4$,
- (g) $r_2 \geq r_3$,
- (h) if $r_2 = r_3$, then $r_6 \geq r_7$,
- (i) $r_9 \leq 23$.

Let R_0 be the set of vectors from R fulfilling conditions (d) - (i); it is easy to see that for $r \in R_0$ the following condition holds as well:

- (j) $r_5 \geq \psi(r_1)$, $r_6 \geq \max(\varphi(r_2), r_1)$, $r_7 \geq \max(\varphi(r_3), r_1)$,
 $r_8 \geq \max(\varphi(r_4), \max(r_2, r_3))$,

φ being defined in Lemma 1. The validity of (j) follows from an obvious identity $N(X) \cap A = N(X \cap A) \cap A \cup N(X \cap B) \cap A \cup N(X \cap C) \cap A$

and the similar ones for $N(X) \cap B$, $N(X) \cap C$ and $N(X) \cap D$.

Let R_x be the set of vectors (r_1, \dots, r_9) whose components are nonnegative integers such that (a) - (j) hold. R_x is easy to construct by an elementary combinatorial argument;

$R_x = \{p_1, \dots, p_{31}\}$, where p_1, \dots, p_{31} are listed below.

p_1	7 1 1 0 8 7 7 1 23
p_2	6 2 1 0 8 6 6 2 22
p_3	6 2 1 0 8 7 6 2 23
p_4	6 2 1 0 8 6 7 2 23
p_5	6 2 1 0 8 6 6 3 23
p_6	5 3 1 0 8 7 5 3 23
p_7	5 2 2 0 8 6 6 2 22
p_8	5 2 2 0 8 7 6 2 23
p_9	5 2 2 0 8 6 6 3 23
p_{10}	5 2 1 1 8 6 5 4 23
p_{11}	4 4 1 0 7 7 4 4 22
p_{12}	4 4 1 0 8 7 4 4 23
p_{13}	4 4 1 0 7 8 4 4 23
p_{14}	4 4 1 0 7 7 5 4 23
p_{15}	4 4 1 0 7 7 4 5 23
p_{16}	4 3 2 0 7 7 6 3 23
p_{17}	4 3 1 1 7 7 4 4 22
p_{18}	4 3 1 1 8 7 4 4 23
p_{19}	4 3 1 1 7 8 4 4 23
p_{20}	4 3 1 1 7 7 5 4 23
p_{21}	4 3 1 1 7 7 4 5 23
p_{22}	4 2 2 1 7 6 6 4 23
p_{23}	4 2 1 2 7 6 4 6 23
p_{24}	4 1 1 3 7 4 4 7 22

ρ_{24} 4 1 1 3 8 4 4 7 23
 ρ_{26} 4 1 1 3 7 5 4 7 23
 ρ_{27} 4 1 1 3 7 4 4 8 23
 ρ_{28} 4 1 0 4 7 4 4 7 22
 ρ_{29} 4 1 0 4 8 4 4 7 23
 ρ_{30} 4 1 0 4 7 5 4 7 23
 ρ_{31} 4 1 0 4 7 4 5 7 23

Obviously $R_0 \subseteq R_x$; as the next step in the proof, the elements of R_0 will be found. But first we prove some auxiliary statements.

Lemma 2. Let $\chi(X) = r \in R_x$, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_9)$.

(a) If $r_1 = 4$ and $r_5 = 7$, then $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$.

(b) If $r_2 \geq 1$ and $r_6 = r_1$ or $r_3 \geq 1$ and $r_7 = r_1$, then $N(a_1) \cap A \subseteq X \cap A$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$.

Proof. (a) From $r_1 = 4$ and $r_5 = 7$ we have $|N(X \cap A) \cap A| = 7$. As $N(X \cap A) \cap A \subseteq V^e(Q_6) \cap A$ and $|V^e(Q_6) \cap A| = 8$, $a_j \in (V^e(Q_6) \cap A) - (N(X \cap A) \cap A)$ for some $j \in [0, 15]$. Further, $N(a_j) \cap (X \cap A) = \emptyset$ and if we put $a_1 = \tilde{a}_j$, then $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$.

(b) Assume $b_j \in X \cap B$ for some $j \in [0, 15]$ and at the same time let $N(b_j) \cap B \subseteq N(X \cap A) \cap B$ not hold. Then we should have $|N(X) \cap B| > |X \cap A|$, hence $r_6 > r_1$. Therefore, $r_2 \geq 1$ and $r_6 = r_1$ imply that $b_i \in X \cap B$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$ and $N(b_i) \cap B \subseteq N(X \cap A) \cap B$. Hence easily $N(a_1) \cap A \subseteq X \cap A$. Similarly, such an i is to be found also in the case $r_3 \geq 1$, $r_7 = r_1$.

Remark. (a) of Lemma 2 will be used below also for sets B, C, D ; e.g. if $r_2 = 4$, $r_6 = 7$, then $N(b_1) \cap B = X \cap B$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$ etc.

In the sequel we shall always denote by k (X being fixed) the number of circuits from \mathcal{C} , which have a vertex in common with both $X \cap B$ and $X \cap C$.

Lemma 3. Let $\chi(X) = r \in R_X$, $r_4 = 0$. Then $k = r_2 + r_3 - r_8$.

Proof. From $r_4 = 0$ we have $N(X) \cap D = (N(X \cap B) \cap D) \cup (N(X \cap C) \cap D)$, thus $|N(X \cap D)| = |N(X \cap B) \cap D| + |N(X \cap C) \cap D| - |(N(X \cap B) \cap D) \cap (N(X \cap C) \cap D)|$, therefore $r_8 = r_2 + r_3 - k$, q.e.d.

Lemma 4. $R_0 \subseteq \{ \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_6, \varphi_7, \varphi_9, \varphi_{10}, \varphi_{11}, \varphi_{13}, \varphi_{16}, \varphi_{17}, \varphi_{21}, \varphi_{22}, \varphi_{23}, \varphi_{24}, \varphi_{28} \}$.

Proof. The proof will proceed in several steps.

(a) none of the vectors $\varphi_4, \varphi_5, \varphi_8, \varphi_{15}$ belongs to R_0 . Suppose on the contrary $\chi(X) \in \{ \varphi_4, \varphi_5, \varphi_8, \varphi_{15} \}$ for some X .

According to Lemma 3, in these cases the number k of circuits from \mathcal{C} having a vertex in common with both $X \cap B$ and $X \cap C$ is given by $k = r_2 + r_3 - r_8$. Further, the following holds:

(a.1) $k = r_2 \Rightarrow r_6 = r_7$ (since $k = r_2 \Rightarrow k = r_3$, hence $\pi(X \cap B) = \pi(X \cap C)$, $\pi(N(X) \cap B) = \pi(N(X) \cap C)$ and $r_6 = r_7$).

(a.2) $k = r_3 \Rightarrow r_7 \leq r_6$ (since $k = r_3 \Rightarrow \pi(X \cap C) \subseteq \pi(X \cap B)$, hence $\pi(N(X) \cap C) \subseteq \pi(N(X) \cap B)$ and $r_7 \leq r_6$).

(a.3) $k < r_3$, $r_7 = r_1 \Rightarrow \varphi(r_2 + 1) \leq r_6$ (since for some $j \in [0, 15]$ $c_j \in X \cap C$, $b_j \notin X \cap B$; from $r_7 = r_1$ we have $N(c_j) \cap C \subseteq N(X \cap A) \cap C$, hence $N(b_j) \cap B \subseteq N(X \cap A) \cap B$ and

$N(\{b_j\} \cup X \cap B) \subseteq N(X) \cap B$, therefore $\varphi(r_2 + 1) \leq r_6$). To prove

(a) notice that $r = \varphi_4, \varphi_5, \varphi_8$ and φ_{15} contradicts (a.2),

(a.3), (a.1) and (a.3), respectively.

(b) none of the vectors $\varphi_{12}, \varphi_{18}, \varphi_{25}, \varphi_{29}$ belongs to R_0 .

Suppose $\chi(X) \in \{ \varphi_{12}, \varphi_{18}, \varphi_{25}, \varphi_{29} \}$ for some X . In these cases $r_1 = 4$, $r_5 = 8$ and further either $r_2 = 1$, $r_6 = 4$ and

$r_7 < 8$ or $r_3 = 1$, $r_7 = 4$ and $r_6 < 8$. First we discuss the cases $r = \rho_{25}$ and $r = \rho_{29}$, when $r_2 = 1$ and $r_6 = 4$. Let X be such that $\chi(X) = r$. Then $X \cap B = \{b_1\}$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$; $r_1 = r_6 = 4$ yields $N(X \cap A) \cap B = N(b_1) \cap B$ and $X \cap A = N(a_1) \cap A$. Thus neither $\tilde{a}_1 \in N(X \cap A) \cap A$ nor $\tilde{a}_1 \in N(X \cap B) \cap A$; since $r_5 = 8$, it has to be $\tilde{a}_1 \in N(X) \cap A$, hence $\tilde{c}_1 \in X \cap C$, $N(X \cap A) \cap C = N(c_1) \cap C \subseteq N(X) \cap C$, $N(\tilde{c}_1) \cap C \subseteq N(X) \cap C$, therefore $r_7 = 8$, which is a contradiction. In a similar manner we proceed if $r = \rho_{12}$ or $r = \rho_{18}$.

(c) $\rho_{14} \notin R_0$. If $\chi(x) = (4, 4, 1, 0, 7, 7, 5, 4, 23)$ for some X , then from $r_1 = 4$, $r_5 = 7$ according to Lemma 2(a) we obtain that there is $i \in [0, 15]$ such that $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$. From $X \cap C = \{c_1\}$ we should have $r_6 = 4$ (since $r_4 = 0$), but $r_6 = 5$; if $X \cap C = \{c_j\}$ for some $j \neq i$, we should have $N(X) \cap C = N(\{c_1, c_j\}) \cap C$, therefore $r_6 \geq 6$, which is a contradiction.

(d) $\rho_{19} \notin R_0$. Assume on the contrary that $\chi(X) = (4, 3, 1, 1, 7, 8, 4, 4, 23)$ for some X . From $r_1 = 4$, $r_5 = 7$ we obtain according to Lemma 2(a) that $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$. Further, from $r_3 = 1$, $r_7 = 4$ we have $X \cap C = \{c_1\}$ and $X \cap D = \{d_j\}$, where $j \in [0, 15]$, $d_1 \in N(d_j) \cap D$. $r_8 = 4$ yields $N(X \cap B) \cap D \subseteq N(d_j) \cap D$; let us show that $\tilde{b}_j \notin N(X) \cap B$. From $\tilde{b}_j \in N(X \cap B) \cap B$ it would necessarily follow that b_j, \tilde{b}_j would have a common neighbour in $X \cap B$, which is impossible. Since obviously $\tilde{b}_j \notin N(X \cap D) \cap B = \{b_j\}$, it would have to be $\tilde{b}_j \in N(X \cap A) \cap B$, hence $\tilde{a}_j \in N(a_1) \cap A$, contradicting $d_1 \in N(d_j)$.

(e) $\rho_{22} \notin R_0$. Let on the contrary $\chi(x) = (4, 3, 1, 1, 7, 7, 5, 4, 23)$ for some X . Lemma 2 (a) gives then $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$. From $r_7 = 5$ we have $X \cap C = \{c_1\}$ (otherwise $r_7 \geq 6$)

and it has to be $X \cap D = \{d_j\}$ for some $j \in [0, 15]$ such that $(d_1, d_j) \notin E(Q_6)$ (if this were not true, we should have $|N(X) \cap C| = 4$). But then $\{d_1\} \cup (N(d_j) \cap D) \subseteq N(X) \cap D$, hence $r_8 \geq 5$, which is a contradiction.

(f) $\zeta_{26} \notin R_0$. If on the contrary $\chi(X) = (4, 1, 1, 3, 7, 5, 4, 7, 23)$ for some X , then from $r_1 = 4$ and $r_5 = 7$ according to Lemma 2 (a) $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$ and since $r_6 < 6$ and $r_7 = 4$, then necessarily $X \cap B = \{b_1\}$, $X \cap C = \{c_1\}$ and further $N(X) \cap B = N(b_1) \cap B \cup N(X \cap D) \cap B$, $N(X) \cap C = N(c_1) \cap C \cup N(X \cap D) \cap C$, hence $r_6 = r_7$, which is a contradiction.

(g) $\zeta_{27} \notin R_0$. If on the contrary $\chi(X) = (4, 1, 1, 3, 7, 4, 4, 8, 23)$ for some X , then $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$ according to Lemma 2 (a); the identities $r_2 = r_3 = 1$ and $r_6 = r_7 = 4$ imply $X \cap B = \{b_1\}$, $X \cap C = \{c_1\}$, $X \cap D \subseteq N(d_1) \cap D$, therefore $|N(X) \cap D| \leq |N(X) \cap A|$, which is a contradiction.

(h) neither ζ_{30} nor ζ_{31} belong to R_0 . Assume on the contrary that for some X either $\chi(X) = \zeta_{30}$ or $\chi(X) = \zeta_{31}$. Then $r_1 = r_4 = 4$, $r_2 = 1$, $r_3 = 0$ and $r_5 = 7$. According to Lemma 2 (a) $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$. If $\pi(X \cap A) = \pi(X \cap D)$, then $r_7 = 4$ and $r_6 \neq 5$, since $N(X) \cap C = (N(X \cap A) \cup N(X \cap D)) \cap C$ and further either $r_6 = 4$ or $r_6 \geq 6$ (depending on whether $X \cap C = \{c_1\}$ or $X \cap C \neq \{c_1\}$), which is a contradiction. In the case $\pi(X \cap A) \neq \pi(X \cap D)$ we have $r_6 > 4$ and $r_7 > 4$, which again is a contradiction.

From (a) - (h) the desired inclusion follows. It is possible to show by constructing suitable sets X that the converse inclusion and therefore the equality $R_0 = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_6, \dots, \zeta_{23}\}$ holds as well.

Now we proceed to the proof of the main assertion:

If $\chi(X) = r \in R_0$, then X fulfils (2) or (3) of Theorem 3.

We first discuss separately three cases:

(1) Let $\chi(X) = r \in \{\xi_7, \xi_9\}$, i.e. either $r = (5, 2, 2, 0, 8, 6, 6, 2, 22)$ or $r = (5, 2, 2, 0, 8, 6, 6, 3, 23)$. Two possibilities are to be considered: (1.a) Assume $N(a_1) \cap A \subseteq X \cap A$, $b_1 \in X \cap B$, $c_1 \in X \cap C$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$. Then, of course, $N(a_1) \subseteq X$ and (2) of Theorem 3 is fulfilled. (1.b) Let (1.a) not hold; since according to Lemma 3 the number k of circuits from \mathcal{C} satisfies $k \geq 1$, then $b_1 \in X \cap B$, $c_1 \in X \cap C$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$. But $N(a_1) \cap A \subseteq X \cap A$ does not hold, hence $(N(b_1) \cap B) - (N(X \cap A) \cap B) \neq \emptyset$. From $r_1 = 5$, $r_6 = 6$ we obtain $|(N(b_1) \cap B) - (N(X \cap A) \cap B)| = 1$. Since $r_2 = 2$, let $j \in [0, 15]$ be such that $j \neq 1$ and $b_j \in X \cap B$. From $r_6 = 6$ we have $N(b_j) \cap B \subseteq N(\{b_1\} \cup X \cap A) \cap B$. Further, $\pi(N(\{b_1\} \cup X \cap A) \cap B) = \pi(N(\{c_1\} \cup X \cap A) \cap C) = \pi((N(X \cap C) \cup N(X \cap A)) \cap C)$, and, since $r_3 = 2$, also $c_j \in X \cap C$. Hence $k \geq 2$, and consequently the case (1.b) cannot occur for $r = \xi_9$. Since necessarily $|N(a_1) \cap N(a_j) \cap A| = 2$, we obtain $|N(a_1) \cap N(a_j) \cap (X \cap A)| = 1$ and $a_\ell \in N(a_1) \cap N(a_j)$, $a_\ell \notin X \cap A$ for some $\ell \in [0, 15]$. Further $|N(a_1) \cap A \cap (X \cap A)| = |N(a_j) \cap A \cap (X \cap A)| = 3$, hence $|N(a_1) \cap X| = |N(a_j) \cap X| = 5$ and at the same time $(a_1, a_\ell), (a_j, a_\ell) \in E(Q_6)$; X fulfils (3) of Theorem 3, q.e.d.

(2) Let $\chi(X) = r \in \{\xi_{17}, \xi_{21}\}$, i.e. either $r = (4, 3, 1, 1, 7, 7, 4, 4, 22)$, or $r = (4, 3, 1, 1, 7, 7, 4, 5, 23)$. According to Lemma 2(a), $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$; $r_3 = 1$ and $r_7 = 4$ necessarily imply $X \cap C = \{c_1\}$. $b_1 \in X \cap B$ would mean $N(a_1) \subseteq X$ and (2) of Theorem 3 would be fulfilled. Assume therefore $b_1 \notin X \cap B$. Let $X \cap D = \{d_j\}$; $j \neq 1$ (because $(c_1, d_1) \in E(Q_6)$). It has to be $d_j \in N(d_1)$ - otherwise $|N(X) \cap C| \geq 5$ - and therefore also $a_j \in N(a_1)$, $a_j \in X$. Further, $|X \cap B \cup \{b_1\}| = 4$,

$|N(X \cap B \cup \{b_1\}) \cap B| = 7$. In a similar manner as in the proof of Lemma 2 (a) we can show that $N(b_\ell) \cap B = X \cap B \cup \{b_1\}$ for some $\ell \in [0, 15]$. It must be $\ell = j$ ($\ell \neq j$ would imply $|N(X) \cap D| \geq 6$, since $N(\{d_j, d_\ell\}) \cap D \subseteq N(X) \cap D$, contradicting $r_8 \in \{4, 5\}$). But then $|N(X) \cap D| = 4$ and therefore it is sufficient to consider the case $r = \mathcal{C}_{17}$. Then $X \cap B = N(b_j) \cap B - \{b_1\}$, therefore $|N(a_1) \cap X| = |N(b_j) \cap X| = 5$; $(a_1 b_1)$, $(b_j, b_1) \in E(Q_6)$. X fulfils (3) of Theorem 3, q.e.d.

(3) Let $\chi(X) = r = \mathcal{C}_{28}$, i.e. $r = (4, 1, 0, 4, 7, 4, 4, 7, 22)$. According to Lemma 2 (a), $N(a_1) \cap A = X \cap A$, $N(d_j) \cap D = X \cap D$ for some $i, j \in [0, 15]$. As $r_2 = 1$ and $|N(X) \cap B| = r_6 = 4$, we have $i = j$ and $X \cap B = \{b_1\}$, therefore $|N(a_1) \cap X| = |N(d_1) \cap X| = 5$ and at the same time $c_1 \notin X$, (a_1, c_1) , $(c_1, d_1) \in E(Q_6)$; X fulfils (3) of Theorem 3, q.e.d.

The remaining cases are covered by the next two propositions:

Lemma 5. Let $\chi(X) = r \in R_0 - \{\mathcal{C}_7, \mathcal{C}_9\}$, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_9)$. If $\varphi(r_2 + 1) > r_6$ and $\varphi(r_3 + 1) > r_7$, then $N(a_1) \subseteq X$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$ and X fulfils (2) of Theorem 3.

Proof. Obviously r meets the assumptions of (a) or (b) of Lemma 2; therefore $N(a_1) \cap A \subseteq X \cap A$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$. Then, however, $N(b_1) \cap B \subseteq N(X \cap A) \cap B$, hence $N(\{b_1\} \cup (X \cap B)) \cap B \subseteq N(X) \cap B$. From $b_1 \notin X$ it would follow $\varphi(r_2 + 1) \leq |N(\{b_1\} \cup (X \cap B)) \cap B| \leq |N(X) \cap B| = r_6$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $b_1 \in X$, in a similar way $c_1 \in X$, hence $N(a_1) \subseteq X$, q.e.d.

Lemma 6. Let $\chi(X) = r \in R_0 - \{\mathcal{C}_7, \mathcal{C}_9\}$, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_9)$. If $r_4 = 0$, then $N(a_1) \subseteq X$ for some $i \in [0, 15]$ and X fulfils (2) of Theorem 3.

Proof. Let X be such that $\chi(x) = r \in R_0 - \{\mathcal{C}_7, \mathcal{C}_9\}$, $r_4 = 0$. This means $r \in \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_6, \mathcal{C}_{11}, \mathcal{C}_{13}, \mathcal{C}_{16}\}$ and in these cases

$k = r_3$ according to Lemma 3 and further $1 \leq k < 3$, $r_7 = \max(r_1, \varphi(r_3))$. According to Lemma 2, $N(a_1) \cap A \subseteq X \cap A$. Let first $r \neq \varphi_{16}$, then $r_3 = 1$ and assume $j \in [0, 15]$ be such that $X \cap C = \{c_j\}$. If $N(a_j) \cap A - X \cap A \neq \emptyset$, then $i \neq j$ and also $N(c_j) \cap C - N(X \cap A) \cap C \neq \emptyset$; this gives $r_7 \geq r_1 + 1$. From $N(c_i) \cap C \subseteq N(X \cap A) \cap C$ we obtain $r_7 \geq \varphi(r_3 + 1)$, contradicting $r_7 = \max(r_1, \varphi(r_3))$.

For $r = \varphi_{16} = (4, 3, 2, 0, 7, 7, 6, 3, 23)$ we proceed as follows: if $c_1 \notin X \cap C$, then $|N(X \cap C \cup \{c_1\}) \cap C| = 6$ and at the same time $|X \cap C \cup \{c_1\}| = 3$, contradicting $\varphi(3) = 7$. Hence $c_1 \in X \cap C$ and since $k = r_3$, we conclude that $b_i \in X \cap B$ holds as well, therefore $N(a_i) \subseteq X$, q.e.d.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] FORCADE, R: Smallest Maximal Matchings in the Graph of the d -Dimensional Cube, J.Comb.Th.B, 14, 153-156 (1973).
- [2] KŘIVÁNEK, M.: The structure of edge-bases in n -dimensional cubes, M.Sc.Thesis, Prague (1979).
- [3] LABORDE, J.M.: Une Question d'Algèbre du Boole sur les Fonctions Irréductibles et le Couplage Min-max du n -Cube, N° - 260 - Problèmes Combinatoires et Théorie des Graphes, 259-263, Editions du CNRS, 15, Paris, (1978).

Matematický ústav ČSAV

Žitná 25

115 67 Praha 1

Československo

(Oblastum 10.7. 1981)

VÚMS

Loretánské nám. 3

110 00 Praha 1

Československo