

Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1979

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0020|log60

Kontakt/Contact

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 20, 4 (1979)

VALUATIONS OF STRUCTURES J. MLČEK

Abstract: This paper is a contribution to the development of the alternative set theory. A typical special result among those presented is the following: Let $\alpha = \langle a,f \rangle$ be a

Q/Q is a 6- or J-class.

As a consequence of our results we obtain a metrization theorem.

 $\frac{\text{Key words}}{\text{metrization}}$: Structure, valuation, 6-class, π -class,

Classification: 02K10, 02K99, 08A05, 54J05

§ 0. Introduction. Great numbers of important structures are constructed in the alternative set theory by using N-classes. For example, real numbers are constructed as factor-classes of the x -equivalence = on the class RN of rational numbers. (See [V].) The topological structure is comprehended as a π -equivalence on a set-theoretically definable class. In this paper we study structures which are described by using 6-classes and π -classes only. Let us explain

our problems in more detail on the structure $\langle a^2, \sim \rangle$, where a is a set and \sim is a π -equivalence on a. Using some ideas of the proof of the classic metrization lemma, we can prowe that there is a set-mapping $h:a^2 \rightarrow RN(\geq 0)$ (RN(≥ 0) denotes the class of non-negative rationals) such that $h(x,z) \leq$ $\leq h(x,y) + h(y,z), h(x,y) = h(y,x), h(x,y) = 0 \equiv x \sim y, h(x,y) =$ = $0 \equiv x = y$ hold. (h is called metric of \sim on a.) We can say that h is a valuation of a^2 in $Re(\geq 0)$ such that h respect (in the sense mentioned above) the following couples of operations: the operation o (the composition of pairs) and +; the operation Cn of converse and the identity mapping Id. Moreover, the values of all elements of \sim are exactly in $[\ge 0] = \{x \in RN(\ge 0); x = 0\}$. We shall describe a class of structures of the type $\langle A, F, E \rangle$, where F is a binary function and E is a unary function, such that the following statement holds: if Q is a set-structure of this class and Q is a substructure of α with the universe Q, which is a π -class, then the pair $\langle a, a/Q \rangle$ is valued in $\langle\langle RN(\geq 0), +, Id \rangle, \langle [\geq 0], +, Id \rangle\rangle$ by a set-mapping similarly as a set-metric of \sim on a values $\langle\langle a^2, \circ, Cn \rangle, \langle \sim, \circ, Cn \rangle\rangle$ in $\langle\langle RN(\geq 0), +, Id \rangle, \langle [\geq 0], +, Id \rangle\rangle$.

Note that we do not work with set-structures only but the structure Ω mentioned can be generally a structure from a standard system \mathcal{M} and the universe Q of the substructure Q/Q can be a $\pi^{\mathcal{M}}$ -or a $6^{\mathcal{M}}$ -class. Then we construct a valuation of the pair $\langle Q, Q/Q \rangle$ as a class of \mathcal{M} . (For the notions of the standard systems and $\pi^{\mathcal{M}}$ -and $6^{\mathcal{M}}$ -class see [M1].)

Let us mentione one consequence of our general results. Recall that x $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ y iff for each set-formula $\varphi(z)$ in FL we have

 $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{y})$. The following statement holds: there is a metric of $\stackrel{*}{=}$ on V which is an element of a revealment $\mathrm{Sd}_{\mathbf{y}}^{*}$ of the codable class $\mathrm{Sd}_{\mathbf{y}}$ of all set-theoretically definable classes (i.e., roughly speaking, there is a "formally set-theoretically definable" metric of $\stackrel{*}{=}$ on V. (For the notion of the revealments see [S-V 1].)

Further results concerning the problems of valuations will be presented in another paper.

§ 1. Preliminaries

- 1.0.0. We use usual definitions and notions of the alternative set theory and definitions, notions and symbols introduced in [M1]. We shall use results obtained in [M1].
- 1.0.1. Throughout this paper let ${\mathfrak M}$ denote a standard system.

§ 2. e-structures. Valuations

2.0.0. By a structure we mean a m+n+l-tuple $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, F_i, R_j \rangle_{i \in m, j \in n}$, m,n \in FN, where, for each $i \in m$, F_i is a a(i)-ary function, $dom(F_i)=A^{a(i)}$, $F_i^*A^{a(i)} \subseteq A$, a(i) \in FN and, for each $j \in m$, $R_j \subseteq A^{b(j)}$, b(j) \in FN.

We say that a class B A is a universe in α iff, for each $i \in m$, $F_i^*E^{A(i)} \subseteq B$ holds. A substructure of the structure α is a structure $\langle B, F_i \wedge B^{A(i)}, R_j \cap E^{b(j)} \rangle$ is m, join where B is a universe in α . We denote the substructure presented by α . If there is no danger of confusion, we write $\langle B, F_i, R_j \rangle$ instead of $\langle B, F_i \wedge B^{A(i)}, R_j \cap B^{b(j)} \rangle$ is m, join

2.0.1. A <u>covariant</u> (<u>contravariant</u> resp.) e<u>-structure</u> is a structure (A,F,E) where F is a binary function, E is a

unary function and the following holds: (1) F is associative on A,

- (2) $E \circ E = Id$
- (3) F(E(x),E(y)) = E(F(x,y))(F(E(x),E(y)) = E(F(y,x)) resp.)

holds for each x,y & A.

An e-structure is a covariant or a contravariant e-structure. An e-structure $\mathcal{Q} = \langle A, F, E \rangle$ is a commutative e-structure re iff F is commutative on A.

Then $\mathcal Q$ is covariant and contravariant simultaneously. An estructure $\langle A,F,Id \rangle$ is covariant. It is contravariant iff it is commutative. Let $\mathcal Q = \langle A,F,E \rangle$ be an e-structure. We define the binary relation on A as follows:

$$x \triangleleft_{a} y \equiv (\exists z \in A)(F(x,z) = y).$$

If there is no danger of confusion, we shall write simply $\operatorname{confusion}$, instead of conf_{n} .

<u>Proposition</u>. The relation $extstyle a_a$ is transitive on A.

- 2.0.2. Examples. (1) A structure (A,F) is a semigroup iff (A,F,Id) is a covariant e-structure.
 - (2) < N,+,Id > is a commutative e-structure.
- (3) Let $RN(\ge 0) = \{x \in RN; x \ge 0\}$, $RN(>0) = \{x \in RN; x > 0\}$. $\langle RN(\ge 0), +, Id \rangle$ and $\langle RN(>0), \cdot, -1 \rangle$ are commutative e-structures.
- (4) We put, for $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $X_2 = \{2^{\infty}; \infty \in X\}$. $\langle \mathbb{N}_2, \cdot, \mathbb{I}d \rangle$ is a commutative e-structure.
- (5) Let a be a set, $a \neq 0$. Then $\langle P(a), \cup, Id \rangle$, $\langle P(a), \cap, Id \rangle$ are commutative e-structures.
- (6) We define the mapping $F^0: (\nabla^2 \cup \{0\})^2 \longrightarrow \nabla^2 \cup \{0\}$ as follows: $F^0(\langle x,y\rangle, \langle u,v\rangle) = \langle x,v\rangle$ (0 resp.) iff y = u ($y \neq u$ 684 -

resp.) and $F^{0}(W,0) = F^{0}(0,W) = 0$ for each We $V^{2} \cup \{0\}$.

 F^0 is an associative function on $V^2 \cup \{0\}$ and, consequently, $\langle V^2 \cup \{0\}, F^0, Id \rangle$ is an e-structure, which is not commutative. Let R be a transitive relation. Then $\langle R \cup \{0\}, F^0, Id \rangle$ is an e-structure and the following holds:

 $(\forall u \in R \cup \{0\})(u \triangleleft 0) \& (\forall u \in R \cup \{0\})(0 \triangleleft u \equiv u = 0).$

2.0.3. Lemma. Let $\langle A, F, E \rangle$ be an e-structure. Let A_0 , A_1 be classes such that $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A$ and $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E}\mathbb{I}(A_0, A_1)$ hold. Let $Q_i = \mathbb{E}^n A_i \cap A_i$ for i = 0,1.

Then $Q_0 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq Q_1 \subseteq A_1$ and, for i = 0,1, $F^*Q_0^2 \subseteq Q_1$, $E^*Q_1 \subseteq Q_1$.

Proof. The relation $Q_i \subseteq A_i$, i = 0,1, is obvious. 1) We prove that $A_0 \subseteq Q_1$. Let $x \in A_0$. We have $E(x) \in A_1$, $x \in A_1$ and x = E(E(x)). Thus $x \in A_1 \cap E^nA_1$. 2) We prove that $F^nQ_0^2 \subseteq Q_1$, Let $x,y \in Q_0$. Thus $x,y \in A_0$ and x = E(u), y = E(v) hold with some $u,v \in A_0$. We have $F(x,y) \in A_1$, $F(u,v) \in A_1$ and $F(v,u) \in A_1$. Thus $F(x,y) = F(E(u),E(v)) \in E^nA_1$ holds. We deduce from this that $F(x,y) \in A_1 \cap E^nA_1$. 3) Let us prove that $E^nQ_i \subseteq Q_i$ holds for i = 0,1. Let $x \in Q_i$. Then $x \in A_i$ and there is a $y \in A_i$ such that x = E(y). Consequently, $E(x) \in A_i \cap E^nA_i$ holds.

2.0.4. Let \mathcal{Q} be an e-structure. Let \mathcal{Q} , \mathcal{B} be universes in \mathcal{Q} . The triple $\langle \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}/\mathcal{B} \rangle$ is called a <u>triad over</u> \mathcal{Q} . Let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{B})$ denote this triad. A <u>triad of the type</u> $\mathcal{G}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ (or a $\mathcal{G}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ -triad) is a triad $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{B})$ such that $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{M}$ and \mathcal{Q} is a $\mathcal{G}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ -class, We define a <u>triad of the type</u> $\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ (or a $\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ -triad) analogously.

Examples. (1) $\langle N,+,Id \rangle$ (FN, $\{0\}$), $\langle N_2,-,Id \rangle$ (FM₂, $\{1\}$) are σ' -triads.

(2) Let a be a set, a + 0 and let Q be an ideal on P(a).

Then $\langle P(a), \cup, Id \rangle$ (Q, $\{0\}$) is a triad. Suppose, moreover, that Q is a 6 (π resp.)-class. Then the triad presented is a 6-triad (π -triad resp.).

(3) The equivalence $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ on RN is defined as follows: $(\forall x,y \in RN)(x \stackrel{\circ}{=} y \equiv (\forall n)(|x-y| < \frac{1}{n} \lor (x > n \& y > n) \lor (x < -n \& y < -n)).$ We put $[\geq 0] = \{y \in RN(\geq 0); y \stackrel{\circ}{=} 0\}$. Then $\langle RN(\geq 0), +, Id \rangle ([\geq 0], \{0\})$ is a π° -triad.

2.1.0. Let $a = \langle A, F, E \rangle$, $\widetilde{a} = \langle \widetilde{A}, \widetilde{F}, \widetilde{E} \rangle$ be e-structures. A mapping $A \to \widetilde{A}$ is called <u>valuation of</u> $a \in \widetilde{a}$ iff for each $x,y \in A$ holds:

$$H(F(x,y)) \preceq_{\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}} F(H(x),H(y))$$

 $H(E(x)) = E(H(x)).$

Let $\mathcal{Q}(Q,B)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(\widetilde{Q},\widetilde{B})$ be triads. A mapping $H:A \to \widetilde{A}$ is called valuation of the triad $\mathcal{Q}(Q,B)$ in the triad $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(\widetilde{Q},\widetilde{B})$ iff H is a valuation of \mathcal{Q} in $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ and we have for each $x \in A$:

 $x \in Q \cong H(x) \in \widetilde{Q}, x \in B \cong H(x) \in \widetilde{B}.$

Example. The mapping $H:N \to N_2$ sending ∞ to 2^{∞} is a valuation of $\langle N,+,\mathrm{Id} \rangle$ (FN, $\{0\}$) in $\langle N_2,\cdot,\mathrm{Id} \rangle$ (FN, $\{1\}$).

<u>Proposition</u>. Let \mathcal{Q} be an e-structure and let $\dashv_{\mathcal{Q}}$ be reflexive on \mathbb{A} . Let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{B})$ be a triad over \mathcal{Q} and let $\mathbb{A} \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ be an universe in \mathcal{Q} .

- (1) $\alpha/A'(Q \cap A', B \cap A')$ is a triad over α/A' .
- (2) Identity mapping Id is a valuation of $Q/A'(Q \cap A', B \cap A')$ in Q(Q,B).

Proof. (1) follows from the fact that $Q \cap A'$ and $B \cap A'$ are universes in Q/A'. (2) Identity mapping is a valuation of Q/A' in Q (by using of the reflexivity of \triangleleft_Q).

<u>Proposition</u>. Let $\widetilde{a} = \langle \widetilde{a}, \widetilde{f}, \widetilde{E} \rangle$ be a commutative e-structure and let $\widetilde{a}(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{E})$ be a triad. Suppose that there exist

points a, q, be \widetilde{A} such that $b \triangleleft q \triangleleft a$ and $b \in \widetilde{B}$, $q \in \widetilde{Q} - \widetilde{B}$, as $\in \widetilde{A} - \widetilde{Q}$.

Then, for each triad ${\mathcal T}$, there is a valuation of ${\mathcal T}$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal A}.(\widetilde{\mathbb Q},\widetilde{\mathbb B})_*$

Proof. Let H be a mapping, defined as follows: $H(x) = b \le x \le B$, $H(x) = q \le q \in Q-B$, $H(x) = a = x \in A-Q$, where $\langle A,F,E \rangle (Q,B) = \mathcal{T}$. The H is the required valuation.

§ 3. Valuation lemmas

3.0.0. We shall prove two lemmas which have the important role for the construction of valuations of σ^{20} -triads and π^{20} -triads. At first, we introduce the following definition: let $\alpha = \langle A, F, E \rangle$ be an e-structure and let B be an universe in α . A 6-string (π -string resp.) R is called 6 (π resp.)-string in α over B iff B = R(0), A = R(dom(R)-1) and [F,F₃] (R(α),R(α +1)), E*R(α) \subseteq R(α) holds for each α \in dom(R)-1 (A = R(0), B = R(dom(R)-1) and [F,F₃] (R(α +1), R(α)), E*R(α) \subseteq R(α) holds for each α \in dom(R)-1 resp.), where F₃:A³ \longrightarrow A is the function satisfying F₃(x,y,z) = = F(F(x,y),z).

3.0.1. 6-valuation lemma. The following holds in the sense of \mathfrak{M} : Let \mathcal{Q} be an e-structure and let B be an universe in \mathcal{Q} . Let Q be a 6-string in \mathcal{Q} over B and let $\xi+1=0$ = dom(Q).

Then there is a valuation H of the triad $\mathcal{Q}(B,B)$ in $\langle N,+,\mathrm{Id} \rangle$ ($\{0\},\{0\}$) such that $\mathbb{Q}(\infty) \subseteq \{x \in A; \mathbb{H}(x) \leq 2^{\infty}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\infty+1)$ holds for each $\infty \in \mathbb{R}$.

 π -valuation lemma. The following holds in the sense of m: Let α be an e-structure and let B be an universe in α .

Let Q be a π -string in α over B and let ξ +1 = dom(Q).

Then there is a valuation H of the triad Q(B,B) in $\langle RN(\geq 0),+,Id \rangle$ (f03,f03) such that $Q(\infty+1) \subseteq \{x \in A; H(x) \leq 2^{-(\alpha+1)}\} \subseteq Q(\infty)$ holds for each $\infty \in \mathbb{C}$.

The π -valuation lemma follows from the π -valuation lemma. Really, let G be a valuation of G(B,B) in $\langle N,+,\mathrm{Id} \rangle$ (f03,f03) such that $Q(\xi-\alpha) \leq \{x \in A\}$; $G(x) \leq 2^{\alpha}\} \subseteq G(\xi-(\alpha+1))$ holds for each $\alpha \in \xi$. We put $\beta = \xi-\alpha$. Thus, $Q(\beta) \leq \{x \in A\}$; $G(x) \leq 2^{\xi-\beta}\} \subseteq Q(\beta-1)$ holds for each $1 \leq \beta \leq \xi$. The required valuation is the mapping $H = 2^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \cdot G$.

3.0.2. The proof of the & -valuation lemma.

I. A path in A is a function t such that $dom(t) \le N$ and $rng(t) \le A$. We construct the function [F] with domain

 $\bigcup\{\{t\} \times \{\langle \infty, \beta \rangle; \alpha \leq \beta \& \beta \in dom(t)\}\}\$ t is a path in A3 by induction over N:

$$[F](t,\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle)=t(\alpha)$$

[F](t, $\langle \alpha, \beta + 1 \rangle$) = F([F](t, $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$),t($\beta + 1$)). We shall write more simply [F](t, α , β) instead of [F](t, $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$).

<u>Lemma 1</u>. Let t be a path in A, $\alpha \leq \gamma + 1 \leq \beta \in dom(t)$. Then

 $[F](t, \infty, \beta) = F([F](t, \infty, \gamma), [F](t, \gamma+1, \beta))$ holds.

This follows by induction on $\beta - \infty$.

Let t be a path in A, $dom(t) = \vartheta + 1$. We define the path \overline{t} with $dom(\overline{t}) = \vartheta + 1$ as follows: $\overline{t}(\infty) = t(\vartheta - \infty)$. $\widetilde{F}: \mathbb{A}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is the function so that $\widetilde{F}(x,y) = F(y,x)$ holds for each $x,y \in \mathbb{A}$. $[\widetilde{F}]$ is defined similarly as [F].

The following lemma can be proved by induction on β - ∞ .

Lemma 2. Let t be a path in A, $dom(t) = \vartheta + 1$. Then $[F](t,\alpha,\beta) = [\widetilde{F}](t,\vartheta - \beta,\vartheta - \alpha)$

holds for each $\infty \leq \beta \leq \vartheta$.

II. We put for each $x \in A$: $G_Q(x) = \min \{ \infty \leq \frac{1}{2}; x \in Q(\infty) \}$. Thus, G_Q is a function, $G_Q: A \to N$, and we have $G_Q(x) \leq \infty \equiv x \in G(\infty)$, $\infty < G_Q(x) \equiv x \notin Q(\infty)$ for each $\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We shall write more simply G instead of G_Q . The index Q denotes only that G_Q is constructed from Q and this notion will be used in 3.0.3.

We define the function G^* , $G^*:A \to N$, as follows: $G^*(x) = 0$ iff $x \in B$, $G^*(x) = 2^{G(x)}$ iff $x \in A-B$. Let t be a path in A. We put

$$V_{Q}(t) = \sum \{ G^*(x); x \in rng(t) \}.$$

We shall write more simply $\mathcal V$ instead of $\mathcal V_{\mathbf Q}.$ $\mathcal V$ is a function, $\operatorname{rng}(\mathcal V)\subseteq \mathbb N.$

We deduce from the definition of $\mathcal V$ that $\mathcal V(t) = 0 \equiv \operatorname{rng}(t) \subseteq B$ and $\mathcal V(t) = 0 \longrightarrow (\forall \infty, \beta \in \operatorname{dom}(t))(\infty \in \beta \longrightarrow \operatorname{IF}](t, \infty, \beta) \in B)$.

Let t be a path in A, $dom(t) = \sigma'+1$. Writing [F](t) ($[\widetilde{F}](t)$ resp.) we mean $[F](t,0,\sigma')$ ($[\widetilde{F}](t,0,\sigma')$ resp.). Note that whenever $[F](t,\infty,\beta)$ appears, then we assume that $\langle t,\langle \infty,\beta \rangle \rangle$ is an element of dom([F]). We use the similar convention for the terms [F](t), $[\widetilde{F}](t,\infty,\beta)$, $[\widetilde{F}](t)$.

Lemma 3. Let $z \in A$ and suppose that [F](t) = z. Then (*) $\mathcal{V}(t) \neq 0 \rightarrow 2^{G(z)} \neq 2 \cdot \mathcal{V}(t)$ holds.

Proof. By induction on dom(t).

(i) Suppose that dom(t) = 2. Assume, for example that $G(t(0)) \neq G(t(1))$. Thus $G(z) \neq G(t(1)+1)$ holds and we have $2^{G(z)} \neq 2 \cdot 2^{G(t(1))}$. If $t(1) \neq B$ then G(t(1)) = 0 and, consequently, G(t(0)) = 0. We deduce from this that $t(0) \in B$, which

is a contradiction. Thus, t(1) & B holds and we have $2 \cdot 2^{G(t(1))} \le 2 \cdot (G^*(t(0)) + 2^{G(t(1))}) = 2 \cdot V(t)$

(ii) Suppose that the statement (*) holds whenever $dom(t) \leq \beta + 1$ and $\beta + 1 \geq 3$ is fixed. Let t be a path in A and let dom(t) = β +2. Let [F](t) = z and assume that $\mathcal{V}(t) \neq 0$. We shall prove that $2^{G(z)} \leq 2 \cdot \mathcal{V}(t)$ holds.

We put $c = \mathcal{V}(t)$. Let σ be the maximal natural number such that $2^{\sigma} \le c$. If $\sigma \ge \xi$ -1 then $2^{G(z)} \le 2^{\xi} \le 2^{\sigma+1} \le 2 \cdot 2^{\sigma} \le 2^{\sigma+1} \le 2^{\sigma+$ eq 2.c and, consequently, the statement in question is proved. Assume $\sigma < \xi -1$.

(∞) Suppose that $G^*(t(0)) \neq \frac{c}{2}$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ be a maximal number such that

$$\mathcal{V}(t \wedge \gamma + 1) = \underset{\alpha=0}{\overset{\gamma}{\succeq}} G^*(t(\infty)) \neq \frac{c}{2}.$$

Obviously, $0 \le \gamma \le \beta$. Moreover, $0 \ne G^*(t(\gamma + 1)) \le c$ and $\sum_{\alpha=\gamma+2}^{\beta+1} G^*(t(\alpha)) \neq \frac{c}{2} . \text{ We put } z_1 = [F](t,0,\gamma), z_3 = [F](t,\gamma+1)$

Suppose that $\underset{\alpha \leq 0}{\overset{\mathcal{X}}{\sum}} G^*(t(\alpha)) \neq 0$. We deduce from the induction hypothesis that $2^{G(z)} \le 2 \cdot \frac{c}{2} = c$. Thus, the following relation

(*)

 $G(z_1) \neq \sigma'$. It is easy that $G(t(\gamma+1)) \neq \sigma'$. We deduce as above that

 $G(\mathbf{z}_3) \leq \delta^{\circ}$

holds:

follows from $\alpha = \frac{\sum_{\alpha=2}^{\beta+1} G^*(t(\alpha)) \neq 0.$

The relations (*), (**), (***) hold too in the case if $\sum_{\alpha=0}^{q} G^*(t(\alpha)) = 0 \text{ or } \sum_{\alpha=q+2}^{p+1} G^*(t(\alpha)) = 0. \text{ We have } z = [F](t) = 0$

 $= F(F(z_1, t(\gamma+1)), z_3) = F_3(z_1, t(\gamma+1), z_3) \text{ and } F_3^*Q^3(\delta) \subseteq Q(\delta+1).$

We deduce from this that $z \in Q(d'+1)$. Consequently, $G(z) \neq d'+1$

holds, and

$$2^{G(z)} \le 2^{O+1} = 2 \cdot 2^{O} \le 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot V(t)$$

follows immediately.

(β) Suppose that $G^*(t(0)) > \frac{c}{2}$. Then $G^*(t(\beta+1)) \le \frac{c}{2}$. Thus, $G^*(\overline{t}(0)) = G^*(t(\beta+1)) \le \frac{c}{2}$ holds. We have $\widetilde{LF}(t) = z = LF(t)$ (by using the lemma 2). We deduce similarly as in the case (∞) that $2^{G(z)} \le 2 \cdot c$ holds.

III. The following definition of the function $H:A \longrightarrow N$ is justified:

 $H(x) = \min \{ \mathcal{V}(t); [F](t) = x \}.$

We shall prove that H is the valuation in question.

- (a) $H(x) = 0 \equiv x \in B$. Suppose that H(x) = 0. Then there exists a path t in A such that $H(x) = \mathcal{V}(t)$ and [F](t) = x. Thus, $x \in B$ holds. Suppose that $x \in B$. We have $G^*(x) = 0$ and H(x) = 0 follows from the relation $H(x) \neq \mathcal{V}(\{\langle x, 0 \rangle\}) = G^*(x) = 0$.
- (b) $Q(\infty) \subseteq \{x \in A; H(x) \le 2^{\alpha}\} \subseteq Q(\infty+1)$ holds for each $\alpha \in \S$. At first, we prove that
- $(\times\times)$ $x \in A-B \longrightarrow 2^{-1} \cdot 2^{G(x)} \le H(x) \le 2^{G(x)}$ holds.

Proof. Let t be a path in A such that [F](t) = x and $\mathcal{V}(t) = H(x)$. We have $\mathcal{V}(t) \neq 0$ and, consequently, $2^{-1} \cdot 2^{G(x)} \neq \mathcal{V}(t) \neq H(x)$. The statement $(\times \times)$ follows from this and from the relation $H(x) \neq \mathcal{V}(\{\langle x,0 \rangle \}) = G^*(x) = 2^{G(x)}$. We are proving (b). Let $x \in A$ be such that $H(x) \neq 2^{\infty}$ and $x \in B$. We have $2^{G(x)-1} \neq H(x) \neq 2^{\infty}$ and, consequently $x \in Q(\infty+1)$ holds. Conversely, let $x \in Q(\infty)-B$. We have $G(x) \neq \infty$. We deduce from this that $H(x) \neq 2^{G(x)} \neq 2^{\infty}$.

- (c) $H(F(x,y)) \angle H(x) + H(y)$ holds for each $x,y \in A$. This follows immediately from the construction of H.
- (d) H(E(x)) = H(x) holds for each $x \in A$.

We shall prove (d) by using the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Let t be a path in A, $dom(t) = \vartheta + 1$, and let $cc \leq \beta \leq \vartheta$. (1) $V(E \circ t) \leq \vartheta \cdot (t)$.

- (2) If α is covariant then $[F](E \circ t, \alpha, \beta) = E([F](t, \alpha, \beta))$.
- (3) If Q is contravariant then $[F](E \circ \overline{t}, \alpha, \beta) = E([F](t, \beta \beta, \beta \alpha))$.

The proof of this lemma is straghtforward and we omit it. - We prove that

$$(\Box) \qquad \qquad H(y) \leq H(E(y))$$

holds for each $y \in A$. Suppose that E(y) = x. Let t be a path in A such that [FJ(t) = x and V(t) = H(x). Assume covariant A. Then $[FJ(E \circ t) = E([FJ(t)) = E(x) = y$. Assume contravariant A. Then $[FJ(E \circ \overline{t}) = E([FJ(t)) = E(x) = y$. We have $V(E \circ \overline{t}) \leq V(E \circ t) \leq V(t) = H(x)$ and, consequently, (\Box) is proved. We deduce from (\Box) that

$$H(y) \le H(E(y)) \le H(E(E(y))) = H(y).$$

Thus, the statement (d) is proved. The proof of the 6-valuation lemma is finished.

3.0.3. Remark. (1) The valuation H from the previous proof is defined as follows: $\langle x,y \rangle \in H \equiv y \in A \& x = \min \{ \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}(t) ; [F](t) = x \}$. Thus, there is a normal formula $\Phi'(x,y,X,Y)$ of the language FL such that

$$\langle x,y \rangle \in \mathbb{H} = \Phi'(x,y,Q,v_Q).$$

The function $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is constructed by a normal formula again. We deduce from this that there exists a normal formula Φ (x,y,X,Y) of the language FL, satisfying

$$\langle x,y\rangle \in H \equiv \Phi(x,y,Q,Q).$$

(2) Let Q, R be 6-artings in Q over B, where B is an universe in an e-structure $Q = \langle A.F.E \rangle$. Let dom(Q) = dom(R)

and suppose that $Q(\infty) \subseteq R(\infty)$ holds for each $\infty \in dom(Q)$. We put

 $H^Q = \{\langle x,y \rangle; \ \Phi(x,y, \alpha,Q)\}, \ H^R = \{\langle x,y \rangle; \ \Phi(x,y, \alpha,R)\}.$ Then $H^R(x) \leq H^Q(x)$ holds for each $x \in A$.

Proof. Let x be an element of A. Then $G_R(x) \neq G_Q(x)$. (For G_Q see the previous proof.) We deduce from this that $\mathcal{V}_R(t) \neq \mathcal{V}_Q(t)$ for each path t in A. The required proposition follows from this immediately.

§ 4. Scales for 6 M _triads and n M _triads

4.0.0. A triad \mathcal{T} is called scale for the type $\mathscr{E}^{\mathfrak{M}}$ ($\pi^{\mathfrak{M}}$ resp.) iff \mathcal{T} is a $\mathscr{E}^{\mathfrak{O}}(\pi^{\mathfrak{O}}$ resp.)-triad and, for each triad $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ of the type $\mathscr{E}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\pi^{\mathfrak{M}}$ resp.), there exists a valuation H of $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ in \mathcal{T} such that $H \in \mathfrak{M}$.

4.0.1. Theorem

- (1) The triad $\langle N,+,Id \rangle$ (FN, $\{0\}$) is a scale for the type 6^{201} .
- (2) The triad $\langle RN(\geq 0),+,Id \rangle$ ([≥ 0], $\{0\}$) is a scale for the type $\pi^{3\%}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \langle A, F, E \rangle$ be an e-structure and let $\alpha(Q,B)$ be a 6^{20} -triad over α . We have [F,E](Q,Q). Thus, there is a 6-string S of Q, S $\in \mathcal{M}$, and B \subseteq S(0) \subseteq S(∞) \subseteq A, $[F,E](S(<math>\infty$),S(∞ +1)) holds for each ∞ +1 \in dom(S). (This follows from [M1] 2.1.0). Put, for each $\infty \in$ dom(S),

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbf{P} \equiv \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}(\infty) \cap \mathbf{E}^{\mathsf{n}} \mathbf{S}(\infty)$$

We deduce from 2.0.3 that P is a 6-string of Q and $B \subseteq P(0) \subseteq P(\infty) \subseteq A$, $F^nP^2(\infty) \subseteq P(\infty+1)$, $E^nP(\infty) \subseteq P(\infty)$ hold for each $\infty+1 \in \text{dom}(P)$. Evidently, P is an element of \mathcal{M} . Let $\delta \in N$ -FN be such that $2\delta < \text{dom}(P)$. Let R be a relation, satis-

4.0.2. Remark. Let $\mathcal{Q}(Q,B)$ be a triad and suppose that $\mathcal{Q} \in \operatorname{Sd}_{V}$, $B \in \operatorname{Sd}_{V}$. Assume that Q is a G-class which is not a G^{O} -class. Then there exists a valuation H of $\mathcal{Q}(Q,B)$ in $\langle N,+,\operatorname{Id} \rangle (FN,\{O\})$ and $H \in \operatorname{Sd}_{V}^{*}$. But no valuation of $\mathcal{Q}(Q,B)$ in $\langle N,+,\operatorname{Id} \rangle (FN,\{O\})$ is an element of Sd_{V} .

Proof. The existence of a valuation, which is a Sd_V^* -class, follows from the previous theorem (because $\mathcal{Q}(Q,B)$ is Sd_V^* -triad).

Suppose that there is a valuation of $\alpha(Q,B)$ in $\langle N,+,Id \rangle (FN,\{0\})$ and let $H \in Sd_V$. Let $\xi \in N-FN$. Then $R = \{\langle x,\alpha \rangle; H(x) < \infty \& \alpha \in \xi \}$ is a 6-string of Q and $R \in Sd_V$. Thus Q is a 60-class, which is a contradiction.

4.1.0. Let Q be an equivalence on a class A. The mapping $H:A^2 \longrightarrow RN(\geq 0)$ is called <u>metric of Q on A iff</u> the following holds for each $x,y,z \in A$:

 $H(x,x) \leq H(x,y) + H(y,z), H(x,y) = H(y,x), H(x,y) \leq 0 = \langle x,y \rangle \in \mathbb{Q},$ $H(x,y) = 0 \equiv x = y.$

<u>Metrization theorem</u>. Let Q be an equivalence on A, $A \in \mathcal{M}$, and let Q be a $\pi^{\mathcal{M}}$ -class. Then there exists a metric H of Q on A, $H \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{E}^0: \mathbf{V}^2 \cup \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{V}^2 \cup \{0\}$ be the mapping defined as follows: $\mathbf{E}^0(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$, $\mathbf{E}^0(0) = 0$. Then $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \mathbf{A}^2 \cup \{0\}, \mathbf{F}^0, \mathbf{E}^0 \rangle$ is a contravariant e-structure and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{Q} \cup \{0\}, \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle; \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A}\} \cup \{0\})$ is a \mathcal{A}^{M} -triad. Let $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{W}$ be a valuation of \mathcal{T} in $\langle \mathbf{RN}(\geq 0), +, \mathbf{Id} \rangle$ ($\{ \geq 0\}, \{0 \}$). A metric in question is the mapping $\mathbf{G} \wedge \mathbf{A}^2$.

<u>Corollary</u>. (1) There exists a metric H of $\stackrel{*}{=}$ on V, so that $H \in Sd_V^*$.

(2) There is no metric of $\stackrel{\triangle}{=}$ on V which is an element of $Sd_{\mathbf{v}}$.

Proof. (1) follows from the metrization theorem. (2) follows from [M1], 1.0.7 and from 4.0.2. (For the equivalence $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ see also § 0.)

References

- [K] J.L. KELLEY: General Topology, Van Norstad Comp., Inc., 1961.
- [M1] J. MLČEK: Approximation of 6-classes and 37-classes, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20(1979), 669-679.
- [S-V 2] A. SOCHOR and P. VOPENKA: Revealments, to appear in Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 21(1980).
- [V] P. VOPENKA: Mathematics in the alternative set theory, Teubner-Texte, Leipzig 1979.

Matematický ústav Universita Karlova Sokolovská 83, 18500 Praha 8 Československo

(Oblatum 4.6. 1979)

