

# Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1977

**PURL:** https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\_0018 | log73

## **Kontakt/Contact**

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

#### COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

18,4 (1977)

## NONLINEAR EQUATIONS WITH LINEAR PART AT RESONANCE:

#### VARIATIONAL APPROACH

Svatopluk FUČÍK, Praha

Abstract: Under some assumptions we give the variational proofs of the existence results for the equation Lu = Su, where L is linear selfadjoint Fredholm and noninvertible, S is a nonlinear bounded and potential operator in a Hilbert space.

Key words: Potential operators, maxmin-points, minmax-points, nonlinear equations with linear part at resonance, implicit function theorem.

AMS: 47H15 Ref. Ž.: 7.978.5

1. <u>Introduction</u>. Let H be a real separable Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle u,v \rangle$  and with the norm  $\|u\| = \langle u,u \rangle^{1/2}$ . Suppose that B: H  $\longrightarrow$  H is a linear completely continuous selfadjoint operator and let  $\Lambda$  be a sequence of all eigenvalues of B calculated together with the multiplicity. Let  $e_{\lambda} \in H$ ,  $\|e_{\lambda}\| = 1$ , be the normalized eigenvector of B corresponding to  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , i.e.

$$\lambda e_{\lambda} = Be_{\lambda}$$
,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Choose the eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  to be fixed. Let W be a null-space of the operator

L: 
$$u \mapsto \lambda_0 u - Bu$$
,  $u \in H$ 

and denote

$$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{distance} \ \mathbf{af} \ \boldsymbol{\lambda}_o \ \mathbf{to} \ \{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \neq \boldsymbol{\lambda}_o \}$$
 .

Let S: H  $\longrightarrow$  H be strongly continuous nonlinear operator (i.e. it maps weakly convergent sequences  $u_n \longrightarrow u$  onto strongly convergent sequences  $Su_n \longrightarrow Su$ ) and suppose that

(1) 
$$\sup_{u \in H} \| \operatorname{Su} \| = \infty < \infty.$$

Moreover, let the operator S be potential with the potential  $\mathcal{G}: \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$  (i.e. the Fréchet derivative of  $\mathcal{G}$  is S). Define

$$6: r \longmapsto \sup_{w \in W} \mathcal{G}(w),$$

The following result was firstly proved for partial differential operators in [1]; for abstract setting see [6], [3]. In [3]it is considered also the case of the growth condition

where  $\sigma \in (0,1]$  and they are given the applications to the boundary value problems for nonlinear partial differential equations and the existence theorems obtained by this way extend the previously proved results.

Theorem 1. Under the above assumptions the equation

is solvable in H provided one from the following conditions is satisfied:

(3) 
$$\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \delta'(\mathbf{r}) = -\infty ,$$

(4) 
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \Re(\mathbf{r}) = \infty .$$

Theorem 1 is of the variational type, however, its proof is topological. In this note we shall give (under some additional assumptions) the variational proof of Theorem 1. The additional assumptions are important for the method used below and the obtaining of the variational proof of Theorem 1 without these assumptions is an open problem up to now.

We shall show that the solutions of (2) are characterized as maxmin-points (or minmax-points) of certain functional. This fact can be useful for using the numerical methods for constructing the solution of (2). Unfortunately, we had no success to obtain that the solutions of (2) are characterized as the saddle points of certain functional.

Before stating the main results of the present note let us introduce the following notation.

Let Z and V be the closures of linear hulls of all eigenvectors of B corresponding to  $\mathcal{A} \in \Lambda$  with  $\mathcal{A} > \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $\mathcal{A} < \mathcal{A}_0$ , respectively. Then  $H = \mathbf{W} \oplus \mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  (the direct sum) and denote by  $P_{\mathbf{V}}, P_{\mathbf{W}}, P_{\mathbf{W} \oplus \mathbf{V}}$  the orthogonal projections from H onto V, W and  $\mathbf{W} \oplus \mathbf{V}$ , respectively. Obviously

$$\langle Lv, v \rangle \ge d ||v||^2, v \in V,$$
  
 $\langle Lz, z \rangle \le -d ||z||^2, z \in Z.$ 

Define the functional  $\Phi: W \times V \times Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  by

$$\tilde{\Phi}: \ (\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{z}) \longmapsto \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v},\mathbf{v} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathbf{L}\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z} \right\rangle \ - \ \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z}\right).$$

We shall seek a solution of (2) which satisfies

(5) 
$$\Phi(w_0, v_0, z_0) = \max_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}} \min_{(w, v) \in W \times V} \Phi(w, v, z)$$

or

(6) 
$$\Phi(w_0, v_0, z_0) = \min_{v \in V} \max_{(w, z) \in W \times Z} \Phi(w, v, z).$$

The main results are the following two theorems.

Theorem 2. Let S:  $H \longrightarrow H$  be Fréchet differentiable at arbitrary  $u \in H$ . Suppose (1),(3) and that the Fréchet derivative S'(u) at  $u \in H$  (considered as a linear bounded operator from H into H) satisfies

(7) 
$$\langle S'(u)h,h \rangle < 0$$

for arbitrary  $h \in H$ ,  $h \neq 0$ .

Then the equation (2) has at least one solution

(8) 
$$u_0 = w_0 + v_0 + z_0 \in H$$
,  $(w_0, v_0, z_0) \in W \times V \times Z$ 

such that (5) holds.

On the other hand, arbitrary point  $(w_0, v_0, z_0) \in W \times V \times Z$  satisfying (5) defines by the rule (8) the solution of (2).

Theorem 3. Suppose (1),(4) and that the Fréchet derivative S'(u) at  $u \in H$  satisfies

(9) 
$$\langle S'(u)h,h \rangle > 0$$

for arbitrary  $h \in H$ ,  $h \neq 0$ .

Then the equation (2) has at least one solution (8) such that (6) holds. Arbitrary point  $(w_0, v_0, z_0) \in W \times V \times Z$  satisfying (6) defines by the rule (8) the solution of (2).

The proof of Theorem 2 will be given in Section 2. The proof of Theorem 3 will be omitted as it is quite analogous to that of Theorem 2. In Section 3 we shall present some re-

marks concerning the special case

$$\lambda_{0} = \max \Lambda .$$

### 2. The proof of Theorem 2

(i) As S is strongly continuous the functional  $\mathcal G$  is also strongly continuous. The assumption (7) implies that

(11) 
$$\langle Su_1 - Su_2, u_1 - u_2 \rangle < 0$$

for arbitrary  $u_1, u_2 \in H$ ,  $u_1 + u_2$ , and that the functional  $-\mathcal{S}$  is convex, i.e.

$$- \mathcal{G}(tu_1 + (1 - t)u_2) \leq -t \mathcal{G}(u_1) - (1 - t) \mathcal{G}(u_2)$$
 for  $u_1, u_2 \in H$ ,  $t \in [0,1]$ .

(ii) Notice that if  $f: H \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  is Fréchet differentiable and convex on H then

$$f(u_0) = \min_{u \in H} f(u)$$

if and only if

$$f'(u_0) = 0.$$

(iii) Let  $z \in Z$  be fixed. Then  $\tilde{\Phi}(.,.,z)$  is two times Fréchet differentiable weakly lower semicontinuous (for definition see e.g. [4],[7]) on W×V. From

$$\begin{split} & \Phi(w,v,z) \ge \frac{d}{2} \|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle Iz,z \rangle - \mathcal{G}(w+v+z) + \mathcal{G}(w) - \\ & - \mathcal{G}(w) \ge \frac{d}{2} \|v\|^2 - \mathcal{G}(\|w\|) + \langle S(w+\Phi(v+z)), v+z \rangle - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \|L\| \cdot \|z\|^2 \ge \frac{d}{2} \|v\|^2 - \mathcal{G}(\|w\|) - \infty \|v\| - \infty \|z\| - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \|L\| \cdot \|z\|^2 \end{split}$$

it follows that  $\Phi(.,.,z)$  is coercive:

$$\lim_{\|w\|+\|v\|\to\infty} \Phi(w,v,z) = \infty .$$

From this and from the main theorem on calculus of variations (i.e. the lower weakly semicontinuous and coercive functional attains over reflexive Banach space its infimum - see e.g. [4],[7]) we obtain the existence of at least one couple  $w(z) \in W$ ,  $v(z) \in V$  such that

(12) 
$$\Phi(w(z), v(z), z) = \min_{(w, w) \in W \times V} \Phi(w, v, z).$$

(iv) Lemma. w(z), v(z) with the property (12) are determined uniquely.

<u>Proof.</u> Suppose that there exist  $z \in Z$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ ,  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  such that

$$\bar{\Phi}\left(\mathbf{w}_{1},\mathbf{v}_{1},z\right)=\bar{\Phi}\left(\mathbf{w}_{2},\mathbf{v}_{2},z\right)=\min_{\left(\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{v}\right)\in\mathcal{W}\times\mathcal{V}}\bar{\Phi}\left(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v},z\right).$$

Then the partial Fréchet derivatives  $\Phi_1'(w_1,v_1,z)$ ,  $\Phi_2'(w_i,v_i,z)$  (i = 1,2) vanish, i.e.

$$\langle Lv_i,k \rangle = \langle S(z + v_i + w_i),h + k \rangle$$

for i = 1,2 and arbitrary  $h \in W, k \in V$ . Put  $k = v_1 - v_2$ ,  $h = w_1 - w_2$ . Then

$$d \| \mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2} \|^{2} \leq \langle \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2} \rangle =$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{w}_{1} + \mathbf{v}_{1} + \mathbf{z}) - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{w}_{2} + \mathbf{v}_{2} + \mathbf{z}), (\mathbf{w}_{1} - \mathbf{w}_{2}) +$$

$$+ (\mathbf{v}_{1} - \mathbf{v}_{2}) \rangle \leq 0$$

which implies  $v_1 = v_2 = v$ . Thus

$$\langle s(w_1 + v + z) - s(w_2 + v + z), w_1 - w_2 \rangle = 0$$

from which together with (11) we get  $w_1 = w_2$  and the unique-

ness of w(z), v(z) defined in (iii) is proved.

(v) Lemma. The mappings w:  $Z \longrightarrow W$ , v:  $Z \longrightarrow V$  defined in (iii) map bounded subsets of Z onto bounded subsets of H.

Proof. It is

 $d \| v(z) \|^2 \leq \langle Lv(z), v(z) \rangle = \langle S(z + w(z) + v(z)), v(z) \rangle \leq$ 

 $\leq \infty \| \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z}) \|$ . Thus if MCZ is a bounded set then  $\{ \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z}); \mathbf{z} \in M \}$  is a bounded subset of V. From

$$\frac{d}{2} \| \mathbf{v}(z) \|^2 - \mathfrak{G}(\| \mathbf{w}(z) \|) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{L}z, z \rangle - \infty \| \mathbf{v}(z) \| - \mathbf{v}(z) \| - \mathbf{v}(z) \| + \mathbf{v}($$

$$- \propto \|z\| \not = \Phi(w(z), v(z), z) \not = \Phi(0, 0, z) \not = -\frac{d}{2} \|z\|^2 + \infty \|z\|$$

it follows that  $\{6(\|w(z)\|); z \in M\} \subset \mathbb{R}^1$  is bounded from below and with respect to the assumption (3) the set  $\{w(z); z \in M\} \subset W$  is bounded.

(vi) As  $\dot{\Phi}(.,.,z)$  is a convex functional on  $W \times V$  we have with respect to (ii) that

$$v = v(z), w = w(z)$$

if and only if

$$\langle Lv,k \rangle = \langle S(w + v + z),h + k \rangle$$

for arbitrary he W, ke V.

(vii) Lemma. The mappings w:  $Z \longrightarrow W$ , v:  $Z \longrightarrow V$  transform the weakly convergent sequences in Z onto strongly convergent sequences in H.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset Z$ ,  $z_n \longrightarrow z_0$  in Z. Then  $\{\|w(z_n)\|\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{\|v(z_n)\|\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are bounded sequences of real numbers (see Lemma (v)) and with respect to the refle-

xivity of V and finite-dimensionalness of W there exists a subsequence  $\{z_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z_{n_i}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{w_o} \text{ in } \mathbf{W}, \ \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z_{n_i}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{v_o} \text{ in } \mathbf{V}.$$

Letting  $i \longrightarrow \infty$  in

$$\langle Lv(z_{n_i}),k \rangle = \langle S(z_{n_i} + v(z_{n_i}) + v(z_{n_i})), h + k \rangle$$

we obtain

$$\langle Lv_0,k \rangle = \langle S(v_0 + v_0 + z_0),h + k \rangle$$

for arbitrary he W, ke V. Thus according to (vi) we have

$$\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z}_0), \ \mathbf{w}_0 = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}_0).$$

From this it easily follows (by contrary) that

$$v(z_n) \longrightarrow v(z_0), w(z_n) \longrightarrow w(z_0).$$

The strong convergence  $v(z_n) \longrightarrow v(z_0)$  follows from

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z}_n) = \mathbf{KP}_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z}_n) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z}_n) + \mathbf{z}_n)$$

(where K is the inverse of L considered as an operator from  ${\tt V}$  onto  ${\tt V}$  ) and from the strong continuity of S.

(viii) Lemma. The mappings w:  $Z \longrightarrow W$ , v:  $Z \longrightarrow V$  are Fréchet differentiable.

<u>Proof.</u> Define F:  $W \times V \times Z \longrightarrow W \times V$  by

$$F: (w,v,z) \longmapsto (-P_{\overline{W}}S(w+v+z), P_{\overline{V}}Lz - P_{\overline{V}}S(w+v+z)).$$

Obviously

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}_{(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{z}) : (\widetilde{\mathbf{w}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) \longmapsto (-\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{w}} \mathbb{S}'(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z})(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}), \\ & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbb{L}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} - \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbb{S}'(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z})(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}})). \end{split}$$

According to (vi) and Implicit Function Theorem it is sufficient to prove that

$$F'_{(w,v)}(w(z),v(z),z): W\times V \longrightarrow W\times V$$

is an isomorphism. Put

$$\begin{split} A: & (\widetilde{\mathbf{w}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) \longmapsto (\ \lambda_{0}\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} + \mathbf{P}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}}\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z})(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}), \ \mathbf{P}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}}\mathbf{B}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}' + \\ & + \mathbf{P}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}}\mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z})(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}})). \end{split}$$

Obviously A:  $W \times V \longrightarrow W \times V$  is completely continuous and

$$F'_{(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v},\mathbf{z})\colon (\widetilde{\mathbf{w}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}})\longmapsto \lambda_{\mathbf{0}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}})-\mathtt{A}(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}).$$

According to the Fredholm theory for linear operators to prove that  $F'_{(w,v)}(w,v,z)$  is an isomorphism it is sufficient to prove that the equation

(13) 
$$P_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{L} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}} = P_{\mathbf{w} \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{S}'(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z})(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}})$$

has only a trivial solution. Let  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) \in \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{V}$  be a solution of (13), Then  $d \| \mathbf{v} \|^2 \le \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}},\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} \rangle = \langle S'(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z})(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}),$   $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}} \rangle \le 0$ 

and thus  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = 0$ . From

$$P_{\mathbf{W} \oplus \mathbf{V}} S'(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{z}) \widetilde{\mathbf{w}} = 0$$

we have

$$0 = \langle S'(w + v + z) \widetilde{w}, \widetilde{w} \rangle$$

and the assumption (7) implies  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = 0$ . The proof of the Fréchet differentiability of  $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z})$  and  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z})$  is completed.

(ix) Define G: 
$$Z \to \mathbb{R}^1$$
 by  
G:  $z \longmapsto \Phi(w(z), v(z), z)$ .

Then -G is weakly lower semicontinuous and

$$G(z) = \overline{\Phi}(w(z), v(z), z) \leq \overline{\Phi}(0, 0, z) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Iz, z \rangle - \mathcal{G}(z) \leq \frac{1}{2} ||z||^2 + c ||z||$$

implies that -G is coercive, i.e.

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{z}\| \to \infty} G(\mathbf{z}) = -\infty .$$

Thus there exists at least one  $\mathbf{z}_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that

$$G(z_0) = \max_{z \in Z} G(z)$$

and we have  $G'(z_0) = 0$ , i.e.

$$\langle G'(z_0), z \rangle = 0$$

for arbitrary z e Z. As

$$G'(z_0) = \Phi'_1(w(z), v(z), z) \circ w'(z) +$$

$$+ \Phi'_2(w(z), v(z), z) \circ v'(z) + \Phi'_3(w(z), v(z), z)$$

(the Leibniz rule on differentiation of composition) we have (putting  $u_0 = w(z_0) + v(z_0) + z_0$ )

(14) 
$$0 = \langle G'(z_0), z \rangle = \langle Lv(z_0), v'(z_0)z \rangle + \langle Lz_0, z \rangle - \langle Su_0, w'(z_0)z + v'(z_0)z \rangle - \langle Su_0, z \rangle.$$

As

(15) 
$$\langle Lv(z_0), k \rangle = \langle Su_0, h + k \rangle$$

for arbitrary  $k \in V$  and  $h \in W$  we obtain from (14):

(16) 
$$\langle Lz_0, z \rangle = \langle Su_0, z \rangle$$

for arbitrary  $z \in Z$ . The relations (15) and (16) imply

and the theorem is proved.

### 3. Remarks

(i) If (10) holds then  $Z = \{0\}$  and if the assump-

tions of Theorem 2 are satisfied then the solution of (2) is unique.

(ii) If (10) holds then the additional assumptions upon S are not necessary. One can immediately prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Suppose (1),(3),(10). Then the equation (2) has at least one solution

(17) 
$$u_0 = w_0 + v_0 \in H, w_0 \in W, v_0 \in V$$

such that

(18) 
$$\Phi(w_0, v_0) = \min_{(w, v) \in W \times V} \Phi(w, v)$$

(where  $\Phi: (w,v) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \langle Lv,v \rangle - \mathcal{G}(w+v)$ ). On the other hand, arbitrary solution of (18) defines by the rule (17) the solution of (2).

- (iii) Analogous result as in Theorem 4 is proved in [2] under more complicated assumptions.
- (iv) The procedure how to prove Theorem 2 (or Theorem 3) extends the method from [5] for obtaining the existence of saddle point of convex-concave functional.

## References

- [1] S. AHMAD A.C. IAZER J.L. PAUL: Elementary critical point theory and perturbations of elliptic boundary value problems at resonance, Indiana Univ. Math. Journal 25(1976), 933-944.
- [2] M.S. BERGER, M. SCHECHTER: On the solvability of semilinear operator equations and elliptic boundary value problems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78(1972), 741-745.

- [3] S. FUČÍK: Nonlinear potential equations with linear parts at resonance (to appear).
- [4] S. FUČÍK J. NEČAS V. SOUČEK: Variationsrechnung, Teubner Texte zur Mathematik, Teubner, Leipzig, 1977.
- [5] A.C. LAZER E.M. LANDESMAN D.R. MEYERS: On saddle point problems in the calculus of variations, the Ritz algorithm, and monotone convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 52(1975), 594-614.
- [6] A.C. LAZER: Some resonance problems for elliptic boundary value problems, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics No 19: Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Differential Equations (ed.: L. Cesari, R. Kannan, J.D. Schuur), pp. 269-289.M.

  Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, 1976.
- [7] M.M. VAJNBERG: Variational methods for the study of nonlinear operators (Russian), Moscow 1956. English transl.: Holden-Day, San Francisco, California 1964.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta Universita Karlova Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8 Československo

(Oblatum 4.7. 1977)