

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1977

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\\_0018|log57](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0018|log57)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

ON CONGRUENCES OF THE LATTICES  $\text{Sub}(L)$

Ivan VLČEK, Praha

Abstract: G. Grätzer suggested (see 1, problem 7) to characterize the lattice  $\text{Sub}(L)$ . In this paper some necessary conditions for  $L = \text{Sub}(L')$  for a finite lattice  $L$  are given.

Key words: Lattices  $\text{Sub}(L)$ , transposes, f.c. elements, atomic congruence.

AMS: 06A20

Ref. Ž.: 2.724.61

1. Preliminaries. Let  $L$  be a lattice.  $\text{Sub}(L)$  denotes the lattice of all sublattices of  $L$ , ordered by the set inclusion.  $C(L)$  denotes the lattice of all congruences on  $L$ ;  $\epsilon$  denotes the smallest element of  $C(L)$  (identity on  $L$ ).

The set consisting of elements  $a, b, \dots$  is denoted by  $(a, b, \dots)$ . If  $M$  is a set, we sometimes write  $(M)$  instead of  $M$ . The symbols  $\cap, \cup$  denote set intersection and union respectively.

The symbols  $\wedge, \vee$  denote the lattice operations of  $L$ . For the lattice operations of  $\text{Sub}(L)$  we use symbols  $\wedge, \vee$ . By  $(a, b, \dots)_L, (M)_L$  the sublattices of  $L$  generated by  $(a, b, \dots), M$  are denoted.

It is well-known that  $\text{Sub}(L)$  is a complete, atomic algebraic lattice having  $\emptyset$  as the smallest element and  $L$  as the greatest one. All atoms in  $\text{Sub}(L)$  are precisely all one ele-

ment subsets of L.

## 2. Congruences on Sub (L).

Definition. A lattice L is called subdirectly irreducible if for any arbitrary system  $(\Theta_i)_{i \in I} \subseteq C(L)$  holds:  $\bigcap \Theta_i = \epsilon$  implies that  $\Theta_j = \epsilon$  for some  $j \in I$ .

Definition. Two (closed) intervals  $[a,b], [c,d]$  are called transposes if  $a = b \cap c$ ,  $d = b \cup c$  or  $c = a \cap d$ ,  $b = a \cup d$ . In the first case we write  $[a,b] \nearrow [c,d]$ , in the second case  $[a,b] \searrow [c,d]$ . It is obvious that the relations  $\nearrow, \searrow$  are transitive.

We shall use the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (see [1], p. 24). A reflexive and symmetric binary relation  $\Theta$  on a lattice L is a congruence relation iff the following three properties are satisfied for all  $x, y, z, t \in L$ :

- 1)  $x \Theta y$  iff  $(x \cap y) \Theta (x \cup y)$ .
- 2)  $x \leq y \leq z$ ,  $x \Theta y$ , and  $y \Theta z$  imply that  $x \Theta z$ .
- 3)  $x \leq y$  and  $x \Theta y$  imply that  $(x \cup t) \Theta (y \cup t)$  and  $(x \cap t) \Theta (y \cap t)$ .

Lemma 2. Let L be a finite lattice,  $\Theta \neq \epsilon$  a congruence on Sub (L). Then there is an atom (a) in Sub (L), such that  $(a) \equiv \emptyset (\Theta)$ .

Lemma 3. If  $\Theta$  is a congruence on a lattice L,  $[p,q], [r,s]$  are transposes, then  $p \Theta q$  implies  $r \Theta s$ .

Definition. An element of a poset P is called fully comparable (f.c. element) if it is comparable with any element of P. A set consisting of f.c. elements is called f.c. set.

Remark. If  $I \in L$  is an f.c. set,  $x \in \text{Sub}(L)$ , then  
 $(x \cup I) = (x \vee I) \in \text{Sub}(L)$ .

Definition. Let  $I$  be an f.c. subset of a lattice  $L$ .  
 Let  $\tau_I$  denote the binary relation on  $\text{Sub}(L)$  defined in the  
 following way:

for  $x, y \in \text{Sub}(L)$   $x \tau_I y$  iff there is  $J \in I$  such that  
 $(x \wedge y) \cup J = x \vee y$ .

It can be easily shown that  $\tau_I$  is reflexive and symmetric.

Proposition 1. Let  $L$  be a lattice,  $I$  an f.c. subset of  
 $L$ . Then  $\tau_I$  is a congruence relation on  $\text{Sub}(L)$ .

Proof. We shall verify the properties from Lemma 1.

1) Obvious.

2)  $x \leq y \leq z$  and let  $J, J' \in I$  be such that  
 $x \cup J = (x \wedge y) \cup J = x \vee y = y$  and  $y \cup J' = (y \wedge z) \cup J' = y \vee z = z$ .  
 Then  $z = x \cup J \cup J'$ , i.e.  $x \tau_I z$ .

3) Let  $x, y, t \in \text{Sub}(L)$ ,  $x \leq y$  and  $x \tau_I y$ . Then  $x \cup J =$   
 $= (x \wedge y) \cup J = x \vee y = y$ . But  $J$  is an f.c. subset (also a sub-  
 lattice), so that  $y \vee t = (x \cup J) \vee t = (x \vee J) \vee t = x \vee t \vee J =$   
 $= (x \vee t) \cup J$ , thus  $(x \vee t) \tau_I (y \vee t)$ . Similarly  
 $y \wedge t = (x \cup J) \wedge t = (x \cap t) \cup (J \cap t) = (x \wedge t) \cup J'$ , i.e.  
 $(y \wedge t) \tau_I (x \wedge t)$ .

The proof is finished.

The most important special case in the last definition is  
 $I = (b)$ . We shall write in this case  $\tau_b$  instead of  $\tau_{(b)}$ .

Proposition 2. Let  $L$  be a finite lattice,  $b \in L$  an f.c.  
 element. Then the congruence  $\tau_b$  is an atom in  $\mathcal{C}(\text{Sub}(L))$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2 any congruence  $\Theta \neq \epsilon$  which is con-  
 tained in  $\tau_b$ , contains  $[\emptyset, c]$  for some  $c \in L$ . By the defini-

tion of  $\tau_b$  we have  $c = b$ , which implies that the congruence  $\Theta \subset \tau_b$  is necessarily such that  $(b) \equiv \emptyset(\Theta)$ .

Further, by Lemma 3 and by definition,  $\tau_b$  is the smallest of all congruences for which  $(b) \equiv \emptyset$ , so that  $\Theta = \tau_b$ .

Corollary 1. Let  $L$  be a finite lattice. Sub  $(L)$  is subdirectly irreducible iff  $\text{card } L = 1$ .

Proof. Since every finite lattice  $L$ ,  $\text{card } L \geq 2$  has  $0 \neq 1$ , the assertion follows from the last proposition.

Now, we shall convert Proposition 2 and show that any atomic congruence on Sub  $(L)$  has the form of  $\tau_b$  for an f.c. element  $b \in L$ .

Theorem 1. Let  $L$  be a finite lattice. Then there is one-to-one correspondence between f.c. elements of  $L$  and atomic congruences on Sub  $(L)$ ; to an f.c. element  $b$  corresponds the congruence  $\tau_b$  described above.

Proof. Let  $\varphi \neq \epsilon$  be a congruence on Sub  $(L)$ . By Lemma 2 there is  $b \in L$  such that  $(b) \equiv \emptyset(\varphi)$ . If  $b$  is an f.c. element, then by the proof of Proposition 2  $\tau_b \subseteq \varphi$  and we are done.

If  $b$  is not fully comparable, there is  $c \in L$  such that  $A = (b, c, b \wedge c, b \vee c)$  is a four element sublattice of  $L$ . Since  $(b) \wedge (c) = \emptyset$  and  $(b) \vee (c) = A$  we have  $[\emptyset, b] \nearrow [c, A]$  in Sub  $(L)$ .

We show that  $(b \vee c) \equiv \emptyset(\varphi)$ .

Since  $c \neq b \vee c$  we have  $(c) \wedge (b \vee c) = \emptyset$  and  $(c) \vee (b \vee c) = (c \cup (b \vee c))_L = (c \cup (b \vee c))$ , thus  $[\emptyset, b \vee c] \nearrow [c, c \cup (b \vee c)]$ .

Now  $(b) \equiv \emptyset(\varphi)$  and  $[\emptyset, b] \nearrow [c, A]$ , hence  $c \equiv A(\varphi)$ .

Since  $(c) \subset (c \cup (b \vee c)) \subset A$  and every congruence class is a convex sublattice, we obtain

$c \equiv (c \cup (b \cup c)) (\varphi)$  and thus, finally  
 $(b \cup c) \equiv \emptyset (\varphi)$ .

We shall distinguish two cases.

Case I. If  $b \cup c$  is an f.c. element, then the congruence  $\tau_{b \cup c}$  is an atom in  $C(\text{Sub } (L))$ , and since  $b \neq b \cup c$ , it is necessarily  $\tau_{b \cup c} \subset \varphi$ . The proof is in this case finished.

Case II. If  $b \cup c$  is not comparable with  $d \in L$  we repeat the previous consideration and obtain  $(b \cup c \cup d) \equiv \emptyset (\varphi)$ . If  $b \cup c \cup d$  is an f.c. element of  $L$ , we are finished. If not, we continue analogously. Since  $L$  is finite, we finally obtain an f.c. element  $k \in L$  such that the atomic congruence  $\tau_k$  is contained in  $\varphi$ . The proof is finished.

Now we can describe a certain sublattice of  $C(\text{Sub } (L))$  by using the atoms  $\tau_b$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $L$  be a finite lattice,  $I$  the set of all f.c. elements of  $L$ ,  $(b_1, \dots, b_m) = J \in I$ . Then in  $C(\text{Sub } (L))$

$$\tau_{b_1} \cup \tau_{b_2} \cup \dots \cup \tau_{b_m} = \tau_J$$

**Proof.** We denote the congruence on the left hand side by  $\Theta$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a congruence such that  $\Phi \supseteq \tau_{b_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Let  $x \tau_J y$ . Then there is  $J' \subseteq J$  such that  $x \vee y = (x \wedge y) \cup J'$ . Suppose  $J' = (b_{k_1}, \dots, b_{k_\ell})$ , let  $c_0 = x \wedge y$  and define  $c_s = c_{s-1} \cup b_{k_s}$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ . Then  $c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_\ell$  and  $(c_{s-1}, c_s) \in \tau_{b_{k_s}} \subseteq \Phi$ . Thus by the transitivity of  $\Phi$   
 $c_0 = (x \wedge y) \Phi (x \vee y) = c_\ell$ . By Lemma 1  $x \Phi y$ ; thus  $\tau_J \subseteq \Phi$  and, consequently  $\tau_J = \Theta$ .

Corollary 2. Let  $L$  be a finite lattice,  $I$  the set of all f.c. elements of  $L$ ,  $\text{card } L = n$ . Then  $C(\text{Sub } (L))$  contains as a sublattice the Boolean lattice  $2^n$  having  $\epsilon$  as the smallest element and  $\tau_I$  as the greatest one.

Now, it is easy to reformulate our results as necessary conditions for a finite lattice  $L$  to be  $L = \text{Sub } (L')$ .

Let  $I$  denote the set of atoms of  $L$  the union of which with any different atom is of height 2. Let  $\text{card } I = n$ .

- 1)  $L$  is subdirectly reducible or  $\text{card } L = 2$ .
- 2) All atoms in  $C(L)$  are exactly the congruences  $\tau_b$  (where  $b \in I$ ) defined by  $b \equiv 0$ . The element  $b$  is the only element of  $L$  identified with 0 by the congruence  $\tau_b$ .
- 3) The lattice  $C(L)$  contains a Boolean lattice  $2^n$  as its sublattice. This lattice has  $\epsilon$  as the smallest element and  $\tau_b$ ;  $b \in I$  are exactly all its atoms.

#### R e f e r e n c e

- [1] G. GRÄTZER: Lattice theory: First concepts and distributive lattices, Freeman, San Francisco 1971.

V Ú S T E

Velflíkova 4, 16000 Praha 6

Československo

Oblatum 16.6. 1977)