

## Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1977

**PURL:** https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\_0018|log30

#### **Kontakt/Contact**

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

### COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

18,2 (1977)

# MULTIVALUED GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS

Shigeru ITOH, Tokyo

Abstract: We prove fixed point theorems for multivalued generalized contraction and contractive mappings in metrically convex metric spaces. Theorem 1 generalizes a fixed point theorem of Assad-Kirk for multivalued contraction mappings, Theorem 2 that of Assad for multivalued contractive mappings.

Key words: Multivalued generalized contraction (contractive) mapping, metrically convex metric space.

AMS: Primary 47H10, 54H25 Ref. Z.: 7.978.53 Secondary 54C60, 54E50

1. <u>Introduction</u>. Recently fixed point theorems for multivalued contraction or contractive mappings were obtained by Nadler [9], Assad-Kirk [1] and Assad [2], etc. On the other hand, Kannan [5] initiated studies of certain type of mappings which have many similarities to contraction and nonexpansive mappings. His ideas were further studied and generalized by Reich [10], Čirič [3], Kannan [8], Hardy-Rogers [5], Goebel-Kirk-Shimi [4] and Wong [11, 12, 13], etc.

In this paper we shall give fixed point theorems for multivalued generalized contraction mappings and generalized contractive mappings. Theorem 1 is an extension of a theorem in Assad-Kirk[1]. Theorem 2 extends a fixed point theorem in Assad[2].

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professors

H. Umegaki and W. Takahashi for their encouragement in preparing this paper.

2. <u>Preliminaries</u>. Let (X,d) be a metric space. For any  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset X$ , we denote  $d(x,A) = \inf \{d(x,y): y \in A\}$ . It can easily be checked the following lemma.

Lemma 1. For any x,y & X and A C X, we have

$$|d(x,A) - d(y,A)| \leq d(x,y).$$

Let  $\mathcal{LB}(X)$  denote the family of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of X and D be the Hausdorff metric on  $\mathcal{LB}(X)$  induced by the metric d on X. The following lemmas are direct consequences of the definition of Hausdorff metric.

Lemma 2. If A, B  $\in \mathcal{CB}(X)$  and  $x \in A$ , then for any positive number  $\varepsilon$  , there exists a  $y \in B$  such that

$$d(x,y) \leq D(A,B) + \varepsilon$$
.

<u>Lemma 3.</u> For any  $x \in X$  and any A, B  $\in \mathcal{CB}(X)$ , it follows that

$$|d(x,A) - d(x,B)| \leq D(A,B)$$
.

(X,d) is said to be metrically convex if for any  $x, y \in X$  with x+y, there exists an element  $z \in X$ , x+z+y, such that

$$d(x,z) + d(z,y) = d(x,y).$$

In Assad and Kirk [1] the following is noted.

Lemma 4. If K is a nonempty closed subset of a complete and metrically convex metric space (X,d), then for any xe K, ye K, there exists a ze 8 K (the boundary of K) such

that

$$d(x,z) + d(z,y) = d(x,y).$$

3. Generalized contraction mappings. Let K be a nonempty closed subset of a metric space (X,d) and T be a mapping of K into  $\mathcal{CB}(X)$ . T is said to be a generalized contraction mapping if there exist nonnegative real numbers  $\infty$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  with  $\infty + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$  such that for any x,  $\gamma \in K$ ,

$$D(T(x),T(y)) \leq \propto d(x,y) + \beta \{(d(x,T(x)) + d(y,T(y)))\}$$
$$+ \gamma \{d(x,T(y)) + d(y,T(x))\}.$$

If  $\beta = \mathcal{T} = 0$ , then T is called  $\infty$ -contraction. The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. Let (x,d) be a complete and metrically convex metric space, K a nonempty closed subset of X. Let T be a generalized contraction mapping of K into (x,d). If for any  $x \in \partial K$ ,  $T(x) \subset K$  and  $\frac{(x+\beta+\gamma)(1+\beta+\gamma)}{(1-\beta-\gamma)^2} < 1$ , then there is a  $z \in K$  such that  $z \in T(z)$ .

Proof. Denote  $k = \frac{(\infty + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2}$ , then  $0 \le k < 1$ . If k = 0, then the conclusion of Theorem 1 is obvious. So we may assume that k > 0. We choose sequences  $\{x_n\}$  in K and  $\{y_n\}$  in K in the following way. Let  $x_0 \in \partial K$  and  $x_1 = y_1 \in T(x_0)$ . By Lemma 2, there exists a  $y_2 \in T(x_1)$  such that

$$d(y_1,y_2) \leq D(T(x_0),T(x_1)) + \frac{1-\beta-3}{1+\beta+3} k.$$

If  $y_2 \in K$ , let  $x_2 = y_2$ . If  $y_2 \notin K$ , choose an element  $x_2 \in K$  such that  $d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, y_2) = d(x_1, y_2)$  using Lemma 4. By induction, we can obtain sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  such that for

n = 1, 2, ...,

(1) 
$$y_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$$
,

(2) 
$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq D(T(x_{n-1}), T(x_n)) + \frac{1-\beta-2}{1+\beta+2} k^n$$

where

(3) 
$$y_{n+1} = x_{n+1} \text{ if } y_{n+1} \in K, \text{ or }$$

$$(4) \quad d(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1}) + d(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{y}_{n+1}) = d(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_{n+1}) \text{ if } \mathbf{y}_{n+1} \notin \mathbb{K}.$$
 We shall estimate the distance  $d(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1})$  for  $n \ge 2$ .

There arise three cases.

(i) The case that  $x_n = y_n$  and  $x_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$ . We have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$\leq D(T(x_{n-1}),T(x_n)) + \frac{1-\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta+\gamma}k^n$$

$$\leq \ll d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, T(x_{n-1})) + d(x_n, T(x_n))$$

$$+ \gamma \{d(x_{n-1}, T(x_n)) + d(x_n, T(x_{n-1}))\} + \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma^{-}}{1 + \beta + \gamma^{-}} k^{n}$$

$$\leq \propto d(x_{n-1},x_n) + \beta \ell d(x_{n-1},x_n) + d(x_n,x_{n+1})$$

+ 
$$\gamma i d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) i + \frac{1 - \beta - 2^{\nu}}{1 + \beta + 2^{\nu}} k^n$$
,

hence

$$(1 - \beta - \gamma)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma}k^n$$

an d

$$\mathtt{d}(\mathtt{x}_{n},\mathtt{x}_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \ \mathtt{d}(\mathtt{x}_{n-1},\mathtt{x}_{n}) \ + \ \frac{\mathtt{k}^{n}}{1 + \beta + \gamma} \ .$$

(ii) The case that  $x_n = y_n$  and  $x_{n+1} + y_{n+1}$ . By (4) we obtain that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le d(x_n, y_{n+1}) = d(y_n, y_{n+1}).$$

As in the case (i), we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \frac{k^n}{1 + \beta + \gamma},$$

thus

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n},\mathbf{x}_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \; \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n-1},\mathbf{x}_{n}) \; + \; \frac{\mathbf{k}^{n}}{1 + \beta + \gamma} \; .$$

(iii) The case that  $x_n \neq y_n$  and  $x_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$ . In this case  $x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$  holds. We have

$$\mathtt{d}(\mathtt{x}_{n}, \mathtt{x}_{n+1}) \neq \mathtt{d}(\mathtt{x}_{n}, \mathtt{y}_{n}) + \mathtt{d}(\mathtt{y}_{n}, \mathtt{x}_{n+1}) = \mathtt{d}(\mathtt{x}_{n}, \mathtt{y}_{n}) + \mathtt{d}(\mathtt{y}_{n}, \mathtt{y}_{n+1}).$$

By (2) it Pollows that

$$d(y_n,y_{n+1}) \neq D(T(x_{n-1}),T(x_n)) + \frac{1-\beta-3^{-}}{1+\beta^{+}} x^n$$

$$\leq \cot(x_{n-1},x_n) + \beta \cdot \cot(x_{n-1},T(x_{n-1})) + \cot(x_n,T(x_n))$$

$$+\gamma \cdot d(x_{n-1},T(x_n)) + d(x_n,T(x_{n-1})) + \frac{1-\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta+\gamma} k^n$$

$$+ \gamma \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, y_n) \cdot + \frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma} k^n$$

Since  $0 \le \infty < 1$  and  $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, y_n) = d(x_{n-1}, y_n)$ , we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le (1 + \gamma)d(x_n, y_n) + (\alpha + \gamma)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + (\alpha + \gamma)d(x_n, y_n)$$

+ 
$$\beta d(x_{n-1}, y_n)$$
 +  $(\beta + \gamma) d(x_n, x_{n+1})$  +  $\frac{1 - \beta - \gamma}{1 + \beta + \gamma} k^n$ 

$$\leq (1 + \gamma)d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, y_n)$$

+ 
$$(\beta + \gamma)d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$
 +  $\frac{1-\beta-\gamma}{1+\beta+\gamma}k^n$ ,

and

$$\mathtt{d}(\mathtt{x}_{\mathtt{n}},\mathtt{x}_{\mathtt{n}+1}) \leq \frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{1-\beta-\gamma} \ \mathtt{d}(\mathtt{x}_{\mathtt{n}-1},\mathtt{y}_{\mathtt{n}}) + \frac{\mathtt{k}^{\mathtt{n}}}{1+\beta+\gamma} \ .$$

As in the case (ii), we have

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n-1},\mathbf{y}_n) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \; \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{n-2},\mathbf{x}_{n-1}) \; + \; \frac{\mathbf{k}^{n-1}}{1 + \beta + \gamma} \; .$$

Thus it follows that

$$\begin{array}{l} d(x_{n},x_{n+1}) \neq \frac{(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)(1+\beta+\gamma)}{(1-\beta-\gamma)^{2}} \ d(x_{n-2},x_{n-1}) \\ + \frac{k^{n-1}}{1-\beta-\gamma} + \frac{k^{n}}{1+\beta+\gamma} \end{array}.$$

The case that  $\mathbf{x}_n + \mathbf{y}_n$  and  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} + \mathbf{y}_{n+1}$  does not occur. Since

$$\frac{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}{1-\beta-\gamma} \leq \frac{(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)(1+\beta+\gamma)}{(1-\beta-\gamma)^2}, \text{ for } n\geq 2 \text{ we have}$$

$$d(x_{n},x_{n+1}) \leq \begin{cases} kd(x_{n-1},x_{n}) + \frac{k^{n}}{1-\beta-\gamma^{n}}, \text{ or } \\ kd(x_{n-2},x_{n-1}) + \frac{k^{n-1}+k^{n}}{1-\beta-\gamma^{n}}. \end{cases}$$

Put  $\sigma = k^{\frac{1}{2}} \max (\|x_0 - x_1\|, \|x_1 - x_2\|)$ , then by induction we can show that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le k^{\frac{4n}{2}} (of + \frac{n}{1 - \beta - 2^n}) (n = 1, 2, ...).$$

It follows that for any m> n≥1,

$$d(x_{n},x_{m}) \leq \sigma \sum_{i=m}^{m-1} (k^{\frac{1}{2}})^{i} + \frac{1}{1-\beta-2} \sum_{i=m}^{m-1} i(k^{\frac{1}{2}})^{i}.$$

This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete and K is closed,  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some point  $z \in K$ . By the way of choosing  $\{x_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_i} = y_{n_i}$  (i = 1,2,...). Then we have

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}},\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{z})) &\leq \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}-1}),\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{z})) \\ &\leq & \simeq \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}-1},\mathbf{z}) + \beta \cdot \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}-1},\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}-1})) + \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{z})) \} \\ &+ \gamma \cdot \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}-1},\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{z})) + \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}-1})) \} \\ &\leq & \simeq \mathrm{fd}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}-1},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}}) + \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}},\mathbf{z}) \cdot \} + \beta \cdot \mathrm{fd}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}-1},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}}) \end{split}$$

+ 
$$d(z,x_{n_{\underline{i}}})$$
 +  $d(x_{n_{\underline{i}}},T(z))$  +  $\mathcal{T}id(x_{n_{\underline{i}}-1},x_{n_{\underline{i}}})$   
+  $d(x_{n_{\underline{i}}},T(z))$  +  $d(x_{n_{\underline{i}}},z)$  ,

thus

$$(1-\beta-\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}},\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{z})) \leq (\alpha+\beta+\gamma) \, \, \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}},\mathbf{z}) \, + \, \mathrm{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}-1},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n_{i}}}) \, \, \}$$

and

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x_{n_i}},\mathbf{T(z)}) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + 2^r}{1 - \beta - 2^r} \{\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x_{n_i}},\mathbf{z}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x_{n_i-1}},\mathbf{x_{n_i}})\} .$$

Therefore,  $d(x_{n_{\underline{i}}},T(z))\longrightarrow 0$  as  $i\longrightarrow \infty$  . By the inequality

$$d(z,T(z)) \leq d(x_{n_i},z) + d(x_{n_i},T(z))$$

and the above result, it follows that d(z,T(z))=0. Since T(z) is closed, this implies that  $z\in T(z)$ . q.e.d.

Since every Banach space is metrically convex, we have the following corollary for singlevalued mappings.

Corollary 1. Let E be a Banach space and K be a nonempty closed subset of E. Let f be a generalized contraction mapping of K into E. If  $f(\partial K) \subset K$  and  $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma) < 1$ , then there exists a (unique) fixed point of f in K.

3. Generalized contractive mappings. Let K be a non-empty closed subset of a metric space (X,d). Let T be a mapping of K into  $\mathcal{CB}(X)$ . T is said to be a generalized contractive mapping if there exist nonnegative real numbers  $\infty$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  such that for any x, y  $\in$  K with  $x \neq y$ ,

$$D(T(x),T(y)) < \infty d(x,y) + \beta \{d(x,T(x)) + d(y,T(y))\}$$
$$+ \gamma \{d(x,T(y)) + d(y,T(x))\},$$

where  $0<\infty+2\beta+2\gamma\leq 1$ . If  $\beta=\gamma=0$  and  $\infty=1$ , then T is called contractive. T is said to be continuous at  $x_0\in K$  if for any  $\varepsilon>0$ , there exists a  $\sigma>0$  such that  $D(T(x),T(x_0))<\varepsilon$  whenever  $d(x,x_0)<\sigma$ . If T is continuous at each point of K, we say that T is continuous on K.

We shall give a fixed point theorem for continuous generalized contractive mappings.

Theorem 2. Let (X,d) be a complete and metrically convex metric space and K be a nonempty compact subset of X. Let T be a generalized contractive mapping of K into  $\mathcal{LB}(X)$  and continuous on K. If for any  $x \in \partial K$ ,  $T(x) \subset K$  and  $\frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} \leq 1$ , then there exists an element  $z \in K$  such that  $z \in T(z)$ .

Proof. Define a function g of K into  $R^+$  (nonnegative real numbers) by g(x) = d(x,T(x)) ( $x \in K$ ), then by Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, we have

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \le |d(x,T(x)) - d(y,T(x))|$$
  
+  $|d(y,T(x)) - d(y,T(y))| \le d(x,y) + D(T(x),T(y)).$ 

Hence g is continuous and since K is compact, there exists a  $z \in K$  such that  $g(z) = \min \{g(x) : x \in K\}$ . Suppose that g(z) > 0, then we obtain a contradiction. For each  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , there exists a  $x_n \in T(z)$  for which

$$d(x_n,z) \leq g(z) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

If  $x_n \in K$  for n sufficiently large, then some subsequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  converges to an  $x_0 \in K$ . We may assume that  $x_0 \neq z$ , then

$$g(x_{0}) = d(x_{0}, T(x_{0})) \neq D(T(z), T(x_{0}))$$

$$< \alpha d(z, x_{0}) + \beta i d(z, T(z)) + d(x_{0}, T(x_{0}))$$

$$+ \gamma i d(z, T(x_{0})) + d(x_{0}, T(z))$$

$$\leq \alpha g(z) + \beta i g(z) + g(x_{0}) + \gamma i g(z) + g(x_{0})$$
and

 $(1-\beta-\gamma)g(x_0)<(\infty+\beta+\gamma)g(z).$ 

Thus

$$g(x_0) < \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} g(z) \leq g(z),$$

contradicting the minimality of g(z). If there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_i} \notin K$ , then  $z \notin \partial K$ . For simplicity, we may assume that  $x_n \notin K$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  By Lemma 4, for each n there exists a  $y_n \in \partial K$  for which  $d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, z) = d(x_n, z)$ . Since K is compact and  $T(y_n) \subset K$ , there exists  $w_n \in T(y_n)$  such that  $d(x_n, w_n) = d(x_n, T(y_n))$ . We may also assume that  $\{y_n\}$  converges to some  $y_0 \in \partial K$ . Let

$$8e = \infty d(y_0, z) + \beta d(y_0, T(y_0)) + d(z, T(z))$$

$$+ \gamma d(y_0, T(z)) + d(z, T(y_0)) - D(T(y_0), T(z)),$$

then  $\varepsilon > 0$ , because  $y_0 + z$ . For this  $\varepsilon$  , there exists a positive integer N such that for any  $n \ge N$ 

(6) 
$$g(y_0) - \varepsilon < g(y_n)$$
,

(7) 
$$d(x_n, z) < g(z) + 2\varepsilon$$
, and

(8) 
$$D(T(y_n),T(z)) < D(T(y_0),T(z)) + 2\varepsilon$$

Then for any n≥N, we have

$$g(y_0) - \varepsilon < g(y_n) = d(y_n, T(y_n))$$

hence

$$g(y_0) < \frac{1+\beta+\gamma}{1-\beta-\gamma} g(z) - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\beta-\gamma}$$

Take a  $u \in T(y_0)$  such that  $d(y_0, T(y_0)) = d(y_0, u)$ . Since g(z) > 0,  $u \neq y_0$ . Thus we obtain

$$g(u) = d(u,T(u)) \neq D(T(y_0),T(u))$$

$$< \alpha d(y_0,u) + \beta \{d(y_0,T(y_0)) + d(u,T(u))\}$$

$$+ \gamma \{d(y_0,T(u)) + d(u,T(y_0))\}$$

$$\neq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)g(y_0) + (\beta + \gamma)g(u)$$

and

$$g(u) < \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} g(y_0)$$
.

Therefore it follows that

$$g(u) < \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} g(z) - \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2}$$

$$\leq g(z) - \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2}.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence g(z)=0 and since T(z) is closed, we have  $z\in T(z)$ . q.e.d.

In Banach spaces, the following corollary holds.

Banach space E and f be a continuous generalized contractive mapping of K into E. If  $f(\partial K) \subset K$  and  $\frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma')(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} \le 1$ , then there exists a (unique) fixed point of f in K.

Remark. If for any  $x \in K$ ,  $T(x) \subset K$  in Theorem 1 (or Theorem 2), then the conditions that  $k = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(1 + \beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma)^2} < 1$  (or  $k \le 1$ ) and that X is metrically convex are unnecessary.

#### References

- [1] ASSAD N.A., KIRK W.A.: Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type, Pacific J. Math. 43(1972), 553-562.
- [2] ASSAD N.A.: Fixed point theorem for set valued transformations on compact sets, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.(4)8
  (1973), 1-7.
- [3] ČIRIČ L.B.: Fixed points for generalized multi-valued contractions, Mat. Vesnik 9(1972), 265-272.
- [4] GOEBEL K., KIRK W.A., SHIMI T.N.: A fixed point theorem in uniformly convex spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.(4) 7(1973), 67-75.
- [5] HARDY G., ROGERS T.: A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, Canad.Math. Bull. 16(1973),201-206.
- [6] KANNAN R.: Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 60(1968), 71-76.
- [7] KANMAN R.: Some results on fixed points IV, Fund. Math. 74(1972), 181-187.
- [8] KANNAN R.: Fixed point theorems in reflexive Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38(1973), 111-118.
- [9] NADLER S.B. Jr.: Multi-valued contraction mappings, Pa-

cific J. Math. 30(1969), 475-488.

- [10] REICH S.: Kannan's fixed point theorem, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4)4(1971), 1-11.
- [11] WONG C.S.: Common fixed points of two mappings, Pacific J. Math. 48(1973), 299-312.
- [12] WONG C.S.: Fixed point theorems for generalized nonexpansive mappings, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 18(1974), 265-276.
- [13] WONG C.S.: Fixed points and characterizations of certain maps, Pacific J. Math. 54(1974), 305-312.

Department of Information Sciences
Tokyo Institute of Technology
Oh-Okayama, Meguro-Ku, Tokyo 152
Japan

(Oblatum 2.4. 1976)