

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1976

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0017|log66

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

PROBABILISTIC RECONSTRUCTION FROM SUBGRAPHS

Vladimír MÜLLER, Praha

Abstract: In particular, it is proved that Ulam conjecture is true with probability 1.

Key words: Finite undirected graphs, automorphisms of graphs, Ulam conjecture.

AMS: 05C05

Ref. Ž.: 8.83

Introduction: It is proved that, given $\epsilon > 0$, asymptotically the most graphs with n vertices have all its subgraphs with at least $\frac{n}{2}(1 + \epsilon)$ vertices asymmetric (see [1]) and mutually non-isomorphic. Particularly, from this follows that the Ulam's conjecture [4] is true with probability 1. The line analog of this result was proved in [2]. Moreover, the following stronger result holds: For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists n_0 such that for every $n > n_0$ the most graphs with n vertices can be uniquely reconstructed from its $\frac{n}{2}(1 + \epsilon)$ -vertex subgraphs. On the other hand, V. Nýdl (Prague, Charles University) exhibited in his thesis an example of two non-isomorphic graphs G, H with $2n$ vertices with the same collection of $(n - 1)$ -vertex subgraphs.

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops

and multiple edges. The set of vertices and the set of edges of a graph G are denoted $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively.

A bijection $f: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ is called isomorphism from graph G to graph H if $\{x, y\} \in E(G) \iff \{f(x), f(y)\} \in E(H)$.

An isomorphism $f: G \rightarrow G$ is called automorphism of G . In the usual sense, the term type of an automorphism is used.

A graph with n vertices will be shortly denoted n -graph. For natural numbers p, k, n , $p \geq 2$, $kp \leq n$, we shall denote $S_{k,p}(n)$ the number of all n -graphs having some automorphism of the type $(\underbrace{p, p, \dots, p}_{k\text{-times}}, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

A graph having a non-trivial automorphism is called symmetric, a graph which is not symmetric is asymmetric. Further denote $S(n)$ the number of all symmetric n -graphs and $G(n) = 2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ the number of all n -graphs.

Two statements are obvious:

$$1) S(n) \leq \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1, p \geq 2 \\ kp \leq n}} S_{k,p}(n)$$

$$2) S_{k,p}(n) \leq \binom{n}{p} \binom{n-p}{p} \dots \binom{n-kp+p}{p} \frac{1}{k!} [(p-1)!]^k \cdot 2^{\binom{n-kp}{2}} \cdot 2^{\binom{n-kp}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{kp}{2}} \cdot 2^{\binom{kp}{2}} = R_{k,p}(n) \text{ for every}$$

$k \geq 1, p \geq 2, kp \leq n$.

Let $p \geq 2, (1+p) \leq n$. It is

$$\frac{R_{k+1,p}(n)}{R_{k,p}(n)} = \frac{n-kp}{p(k+1)} \cdot (p-1)! \cdot 2^{-A}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$A = np - kp^2 - n - \frac{p^2}{2} + kp.$$

Lemma 1: Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq 1$. Then $p! \leq 2^{\frac{p^2-1}{2}}$.

Proof: Lemma 1 can be easily proved by induction on p .

Lemma 2: Let $p \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$, $n = (k+1) \cdot p$. Then

$$\frac{R_{k+1,p}(m)}{R_{k,p}(m)} \leq 1.$$

Proof: It is $\frac{R_{k+1,p}(m)}{R_{k,p}(m)} = \frac{1}{k+1} \cdot \frac{(p-1)!}{2^{\frac{p^2}{2}-p}} \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \leq 1$.

Remark: It holds for $p = 2$, $k \geq 1$, $n = 2(k+1) + 1$

$$\frac{R_{k+1,p}(m)}{R_{k,p}(m)} = \frac{3}{2(k+1)} \leq p$$

Lemma 3: Let either $p \geq 3$, $n \geq (k+1) \cdot p$ or $p = 2$, $n \geq 2k + 3$.

$$\text{Then } \frac{R_{k+1,p}(m+1)}{R_{k,p}(m+1)} \leq \frac{R_{k+1,p}(m)}{R_{k,p}(m)}.$$

Proof: It is $\frac{R_{k+1,p}(m+1)}{R_{k,p}(m+1)} \cdot \frac{R_{k,p}(m)}{R_{k+1,p}(m)} = \frac{m+1-kp}{m-kp-p+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}$

If $p \geq 3$, $n \geq (k+1) \cdot p$ then $\frac{m+1-kp}{m-kp-p+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \leq \frac{p+1}{2^{p-1}} \leq 1$.

If $p = 2$, $n \geq 2k + 3$ then $\frac{m+1-kp}{m-kp-p+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \leq \frac{p+2}{2 \cdot 2^{p-1}} = 1$.

Corollary: Let $p \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$, $n \geq (k+1) \cdot p$. Then

$$\frac{R_{k+1,p}(m)}{R_{k,p}(m)} \leq 1.$$

Proof: Follows immediately from the previous lemmas.

Proposition 1: Let p, k, s, n be natural numbers, $p \geq 2$, $k \geq s \geq 1$, $n \geq kp$. Then $R_{k,p}(n) \leq R_{s,p}(n)$.

Putting $k = 1$ in the definition of $R_{k,p}(n)$, we get

$$R_{1,p}(n) = \binom{n}{p} (p-1)! \cdot 2^{\binom{n-p}{2}} \cdot 2^{n-p} \cdot 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{R_{1,p+1}(n)}{R_{1,p}(n)} = \frac{n-p}{p+1} \cdot p \cdot 2^{-n+p+\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } n \geq p+1.$$

Lemma 4: Let $p \geq 2$, $n = p+1$. Then $\frac{R_{1,p+1}(n)}{R_{1,p}(n)} \leq 1$.

Proof: It is $\frac{R_{1,p+1}(p+1)}{R_{1,p}(p+1)} = \frac{p}{p+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq 1$.

Lemma 5: Let $p \geq 2$, $n = p+1$. Then

$$\frac{R_{1,p+1}(n+1)}{R_{1,p}(n+1)} \leq \frac{R_{1,p+1}(n)}{R_{1,p}(n)}.$$

Proof: It is $\frac{R_{1,p+1}(n+1)}{R_{1,p}(n+1)} \cdot \frac{R_{1,p}(n)}{R_{1,p+1}(n)} = \frac{n+1-p}{n-p} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \leq 1$.

Proposition 2: Let $p \geq q \geq 2$, $n \geq p$. Then $R_{1,p}(n) \leq R_{1,q}(n)$.

Proof: Follows easily from the lemmas 4, 5.

Using the propositions 1, 2, we get the following

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bound: } S(n) &\leq \sum_{\substack{p \geq 2 \\ k, p \leq n}} S_{k,p}(n) \leq \sum_{\substack{p \geq 2 \\ k, p \leq n}} R_{k,p}(n) \leq R_{1,2}(n) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^n R_{k,2}(n) + \sum_{\substack{p \geq 3 \\ k, p \leq n}} R_{k,p}(n) \leq R_{1,2}(n) + n \cdot R_{2,2}(n) + \\ &+ n^2 \cdot R_{1,3}(n) = \binom{n}{2} 2^{\binom{n-2}{2}} \cdot 2^{n-2} \cdot 2 + \frac{n}{2} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n-2}{2} \cdot \\ &2^{\binom{n-4}{2}} 2^{2n-8} \cdot 4 \cdot 4 + n^2 \binom{n}{3} \cdot 2 \cdot 2^{\binom{n-3}{2}} 2^{n-3} 2^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \\ &2n^2 \cdot 2^{\frac{n^2-3n}{2}} + 12 \cdot n^5 2^{\frac{n^2-5n}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: It is clear that the number of graphs with an automorphism of the type $(2,1,\dots,1)$ is bounded by the first term, the second term bounds the number of all other

symmetric graphs. Obviously the first term is greater than the second one for n sufficiently large.

Lemma 6: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a < \frac{1}{n}$. Then $(1 - a)^n \geq 1 - na$.

Proof: This is a well-known inequality. (It is also easy to prove by binomic development of $(1 - a)^n$.)

Lemma 7: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$. Then $\frac{(k+1)^{k+1}(k-1)^{k-1}}{k^{2k}} > 1$.

Proof: It is $\frac{(k+1)^{k+1}(k-1)^{k-1}}{k^{2k}} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^{k+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^2}\right)^{k-1} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 \geq \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{k^2}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{2}{k}\right) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2}{k}\right) \geq 1$.

Lemma 8: Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^r \left[\frac{n}{2} (1 - \varepsilon) \right]^r \cdot 2^{-n} = 0.$$

Proof: It is enough to take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$ and to prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^r}{2^n} \cdot \left[\frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{k-1}{k} \right]^r = 0 \quad \text{for every } z = 0, 1, \dots$$

$\dots, 2k - 1$, $n = 2kn' + z$. It is

$$\left[\frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{k-1}{k} \right]^r = n'^r (k-1)^r + \left[\frac{z(k-1)}{2k} \right]^r = n'^r (k-1)^r + z'^r.$$

$$\text{Denote } A_{n'} = (2kn' + z)^r \cdot \left(\frac{2kn' + z}{n'^r (k-1)^r + z'^r} \right) \cdot 2^{-(2kn' + z)}$$

$$\text{Let us count the limit } \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_{n'+1}}{A_{n'}} = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{2k}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(2n'+k+2k+z) \dots (2n'+k+z+1)}{(n'(k-1)+z') \dots (n'(k-1)+z'+k-1)(n'(k+1)+z-z'+1) \dots (n'(k+1)+z-z'+k+1)} = \\ & = \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \frac{(2k)^{2k}}{(k-1)^{k-1} (k+1)^{k+1}} < 1, \end{aligned}$$

by the lemma 7 and by the d'Alambert's convergence criterion there is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = 0$.

This proves the lemma 8.

Notation: Let $G = \langle V(G), E(G) \rangle$ be a graph. Denote $s(G) = \min \{ |M|, M \subset V(G) \text{ and } G|_{V(G)-M} \text{ is symmetric} \}$. (I.e. $s(G)$ is the minimal number of vertices of G , the deleting of which makes the graph symmetric.)

For $r \leq n$ denote further $S^r(n)$ the number of all n -graphs G satisfying $s(G) = r$.

Theorem 1: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{G(n)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor} S^k(n) = 0.$$

(i.e. the most of graphs have all its subgraphs with at least $\frac{n}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)$ vertices asymmetric).

Proof: Denote $S^r(n)$ the number of all n -graphs $G = \langle V(G), E(G) \rangle$ which satisfies $s(G) = r$ and there exists a set $M \subset V(G)$, $|M| = r$ such that the graph $G|_{V(G)-M}$ has an automorphism of the type $(2, 1, \dots, 1)$. Denote $S^{*r}(n) = S^r(n) - S^r(n)$. It holds

$$S^{*r}(n) \leq \binom{n}{r} 2^{(n-r)^2} 2^{\frac{(n-r)^2 - 3(n-r)}{2}} 2^{\binom{n-r}{2}} 2^{r(n-r-1)}.$$

The first two terms bound the number of $(n - r)$ -graphs having an automorphism of the type $(2, 1, \dots, 1)$ and the last two terms bound the number of all possible completions to an n -graph. In the last exponent we use the fact that $s(G)$ is exactly equal to r and not $s(G) < r$.

Further it holds

$$S^{*r}(n) \leq \binom{n}{r} 12 (n-r)^5 2^{\frac{(n-r)^2 - 5(n-r)}{2}} 2^{\binom{n-r}{2}} 2^{r(n-r)}.$$

$$\text{and } \frac{1}{G(m)} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2}(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor} S^{\kappa}(m) = \frac{1}{G(m)} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2}(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor} S'^{\kappa}(m) + \frac{1}{G(m)} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2}(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor} S''^{\kappa}(m).$$

$$\text{We have } \frac{1}{G(m)} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2}(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor} S''^{\kappa}(m) \leq 12 m^5 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2}(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor} 2^{-m+2\kappa} \leq 12 m^6 2^{-m\varepsilon}.$$

The last formula is $o(1)$. At the same time we have

$$\frac{1}{G(m)} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2}(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor} S'^{\kappa}(m) \leq 2 m^2 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2}(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor} \binom{m}{\kappa} \cdot 2^{-m} \leq 2 m^3 \binom{m}{\lfloor \frac{m}{2}(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor} \cdot 2^{-m} \rightarrow 0$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see the previous lemma 8).

This proves the theorem 1.

Theorem 1 cannot be improved as follows by the following proposition.

Proposition 3: Let $G = \langle V(G), E(G) \rangle$ be a graph, $|V(G)| = n = 2k + 1$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Then there exists a symmetric subgraph of G with at least $k + 1$ vertices.

If $n = 2k$ then there exists a symmetric subgraph of G with at least $k + 1$ vertices.

Proof: Let $G = \langle V(G), E(G) \rangle$ be a graph, $|V(G)| = n = 2k + 1$. For $x, y \in V(G)$ let us denote $d_G(x) = |\{z \in V(G), \{x, z\} \in E(G)\}|$ the degree of x in G , $d_G(x, y) = |\{z \in V(G), \{x, z\} \in E(G) \text{ and } \{y, z\} \in E(G)\}|$, $\bar{d}_G(x) = d_{K_n - G}(x)$, $\bar{d}_G(x, y) = d_{K_n - G}(x, y)$. It holds

$$\sum_{x \in V(G)} \binom{d_G(x)}{2} + \sum_{x \in V(G)} \binom{\bar{d}_G(x)}{2} = \sum_{\substack{x, y \in V(G) \\ x \neq y}} d_G(x, y) + \sum_{\substack{x, y \in V(G) \\ x \neq y}} \bar{d}_G(x, y).$$

As there is $d_G(x) + \bar{d}_G(x) = n - 1$ for every $x \in V(G)$, it must

be $\sum_{x \in V(G)} \binom{d_G(x)}{2} + \sum_{x \in V(G)} \binom{\bar{d}_G(x)}{2} \geq 2m \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2}$ and there exist two

points $x, y \in V(G)$, $x \neq y$ such that $d_G(x, y) + \bar{d}_G(x, y) \geq \frac{2m \binom{\frac{n-1}{2}}{2}}{\binom{n}{2}} = \frac{n-3}{2}$.

It means that graph G has the symmetric subgraph with

$\frac{n+1}{2}$ vertices induced by the set $\{x, y\} \cup \{z \in V(G), \{z, x\} \in E(G) \text{ and } \{z, y\} \in E(G)\} \cup \{z \in V(G), \{z, x\} \in E(G) \text{ and } \{z, y\} \in E(G)\}$.

This subgraph has the non-trivial automorphism exchanging the points x and y .

Analogously, for n even there can be proved the existence of a symmetric subgraph with $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ vertices.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k = \lceil \frac{n}{2} (1 + \varepsilon) \rceil$, $2k - n \leq r \leq k - 1$. Denote by $K_r(n)$ the number of n -graphs G satisfying

- 1) there exist two different isomorphic k -subgraphs of G having precisely r common vertices
- 2) all subgraphs of G with at least $\frac{n}{2} (1 + \varepsilon)$ vertices are asymmetric.

Theorem 2:
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{r=2k-n}^{k-1} K_r(n)}{G(n)} = 0 .$$

Proof: Put $K(n) = \sum_{r=2k-n}^{k-1} \frac{K_r(n)}{G(n)}$ and

$k' = \lceil \frac{n}{2} (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \rceil$ (we write shortly k' instead of $k'(n)$ as well as k instead of $k(n)$). Obviously it is $K(n) = K'(n) + K''(n) + K_{k-1}(n)$, where $K'(n) = \sum_{r=2k-n}^{k'} \frac{K_r(n)}{G(n)}$ and $K''(n) = \sum_{r=k'+1}^{k-2} \frac{K_r(n)}{G(n)}$.

We divide the proof into three cases:

I. Let $2k - n \leq r \leq k'$. It holds (even for every r)
$$K_r(n) \leq \binom{m}{k} \binom{k}{r} \binom{m-k}{k-r} \cdot 2^{\binom{k}{2}} \cdot k! \cdot 2^{\frac{(m-2k+n)}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{(2k-n)(m-2k+n)}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{(k-n)(k-n)}{2}}$$

and $\frac{K_{\kappa}(m)}{G(m)} \leq \frac{\binom{m}{k} \binom{k}{\kappa} \binom{m-k}{k-\kappa}}{2^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{\kappa}{2}}} = \bar{K}_{\kappa}(m)$. Further it holds

$$\frac{\bar{K}_{\kappa+1}(m)}{\bar{K}_{\kappa}(m)} = \frac{(k-\kappa)^2}{(\kappa+1)(m-2k+\kappa+1)} \cdot 2^{\kappa} \geq \frac{1}{m^2} \cdot 2^{2k-m} \geq \frac{1}{m^2} 2^{m\varepsilon-2},$$

hence $\bar{K}_{\kappa}(n) \leq \bar{K}_{\kappa'}(n)$ for every sufficiently large n and for every r satisfying the conditions of the case I. Hence for sufficiently large n there is

$$\begin{aligned} K'(m) &\leq m \bar{K}_{k'}(m) = m \cdot \frac{\binom{m}{k} \binom{k}{k'} \binom{m-k}{k-k'}}{2^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{k'}{2}}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{m \cdot m! \cdot k!}{k'! (k-k')! (m-2k+k')!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{m^2(\frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{32}) - m(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{8}) + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously for sufficiently large n it is

$$K'(m) \leq \frac{m \cdot m! \cdot k!}{2^{\frac{m^2 \varepsilon}{16}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

II. Let $k' + 1 \leq r \leq k - 2$. We suppose that all subgraphs with at least $\frac{n}{2} (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ vertices are asymmetric. Hence

$$K_{\kappa}(m) \leq \binom{m}{k} \binom{k}{\kappa} \binom{m-k}{k-\kappa} \cdot 2^{\binom{k}{2}} \cdot (k-\kappa)! \binom{k}{\kappa} \cdot 2^{\binom{m-2k+\kappa}{2}} 2^{\binom{m-2k+\kappa}{2} (2k-\kappa)} 2^{\binom{k-\kappa}{2} (k-\kappa)}$$

$$\text{and } \frac{K_{\kappa}(m)}{G(m)} \leq \frac{\binom{m}{k} \binom{k}{\kappa}^2 \binom{m-k}{k-\kappa} (k-\kappa)!}{2^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{\kappa}{2}}} = \bar{K}'_{\kappa}(m).$$

Analogously as in case I we can derive

$$\frac{\bar{K}'_{\kappa+1}(m)}{\bar{K}'_{\kappa}(m)} = \frac{(k-\kappa)}{(\kappa+1)(m-2k+\kappa+1)} \cdot 2^{\kappa} \geq \frac{1}{m^2} \cdot 2^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}(1+\varepsilon)}$$

The last number is greater than 1 for every sufficiently large n and for every r satisfying the conditions of the

case II. Thus for sufficiently large n it is $K_r(n) \leq K_{k-2}(n)$ and

$$K''(n) \leq n \cdot \bar{K}'_{k-2}(n) = n \cdot \frac{\binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{2}^2 \binom{n-k}{2} \cdot 2}{2^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{k-2}{2}}} \leq \frac{n^7}{2^{2k-n}} \leq \frac{n^7}{2^{n\epsilon-2}}.$$

The last term tends to 0 for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

III. Let us notice that the number of all k -graphs G satisfying

- (i) all subgraphs of G with at least $k-2$ points are asymmetric,
 - (ii) there exist two different isomorphic $(k-1)$ -subgraphs of G
- by $k \cdot 2^{\binom{k-1}{2}} \cdot (k-1) \cdot (k-1) \cdot 2 \leq 2 \cdot k^3 2^{\binom{k-1}{2}}$.

Further let us notice that there is no asymmetric $(k+1)$ -graph G which satisfies:

- (i) there exist two different copies of some k -graph G_1 as subgraphs of G ,
- (ii) all $(k-1)$ -subgraphs of G_1 are asymmetric and non-isomorphic to one another.

From these facts it follows

$$K_{k-1}(n) \leq \binom{n}{k} \cdot k \cdot (n-k) \cdot 2 \cdot k^3 \cdot 2^{\binom{k-1}{2}} \cdot 2k \cdot 2^{(n-k-1)} \cdot 2^{(n-k-1)(k+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{K_{k-1}(n)}{G(n)} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{k} \cdot n^6}{2^{2kn}}.$$

However, the last term tends to 0 for $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Lemma 8). This proves the theorem 2.

From Theorems 1, 2 it follows easily:

Corollary 1: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then the most of n -graphs (in the sense of limit) have all its subgraphs with at least $\frac{n}{2}(1+\epsilon)$ vertices asymmetric and non-isomorphic to one

another.

Corollary 2: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the most of n -graphs (in the sense of limit $n \rightarrow \infty$) are uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) by the family of its subgraphs with $\left[\frac{n}{2} (1 + \varepsilon) \right]$ vertices.

Proof: Every graph which has all its subgraphs on $\left[\frac{n}{2} (1 + \varepsilon) \right]$ vertices asymmetric and non-isomorphic to one another, has the described property.

From Corollary 2 it easily follows that the Ulam's hypothesis is true with probability 1.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] F. HARARY: Graph theory, Addison Wesley, Reading, (1969).
- [2] V. MULLER: The edge reconstruction hypothesis is true for graphs with more than $n \log n$ edges (to appear in Journal of Comb. Theory (B)).
- [3] J. NEŠETŘIL: On approximative isomorphisms and Ulam-Kelly conjecture, Berichte der XVIII. IWK, TH Ilmenau(1973), 17-18.
- [4] S.M. ULAM: A collection of mathematical problems, Wiley (Interscience, New York, 1960).

Matematický ústav ČSAV
Žitná 25, 11000 Praha 1
Československo

(Oblatum 6.7. 1976)

