

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1976

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0017|log56

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

COGENERATION AND MINIMAL REALIZATION

(Preliminary communication)

Jiří ADÁMEK, Praha

Abstract: Given a triple algebra (Q, d) and a quotient e of Q , then e is said to cogenerate the biggest quotient-algebra of (Q, d) , contained in e , provided that such exists. (This is dual to the generation of subalgebras.) A necessary and sufficient condition on a triple \mathbf{T} is exhibited in order that \mathbf{T} admit cogeneration, i.e. that each quotient object on each \mathbf{T} -algebra cogenerate something. The condition is very simple; the functor T must preserve cointersections. For triples over sets this characterizes finitary algebras.

Cogeneration is closely related to minimal realizations for triple machines. In terms of Arbib and Manes, an input process X is proved to admit minimal realization iff $X^{\mathcal{C}}$ preserves cointersections.

All the details are going to appear in 3 .

Key words: Triple algebra, generation of subalgebras, cogeneration of quotient algebras, preservation of cointersections, triple machines, minimal realization.

AMS: 18B20, 18A30, 08A25

Ref. Ž.: 3.971, 2.725

A) Cogeneration

A,1 We assume that a category \mathcal{K} is given, equipped with a factorization system $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$. This allows us to speak about quotient objects of an object Q , as morphisms $e: Q \rightarrow \bar{Q}$ in \mathcal{E} "up to isomorphism". The quotients of Q are naturally ordered: $e_1 \leq e_2$ iff $e_2 = k.e_1$ for some k .

The least upper bounds are called cointersections; if they always exist (even for classes of quotients), \mathcal{E} is said to be closed to cointersections. And a functor, respecting and respecting these least upper bounds, is said to

to preserve cointersections. (All this is dual to the usual notion of big intersections of subobjects.)

We consider a (fixed) triple $\mathbf{T} = (T, \mu, \eta)$, which will be supposed to preserve \mathcal{E} (i.e., $e \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $Te \in \mathcal{E}$). A quotient algebra of a \mathbf{T} -algebra (Q, d) is a \mathbf{T} -homomorphism $h: (Q, d) \rightarrow (Q', d')$ with $h \in \mathcal{E}$.

A,2 Definition: A triple \mathbf{T} is said to admit cogeneration if for every \mathbf{T} -algebra (Q, d) and every quotient object e of Q there exists the biggest quotient algebra c of (Q, d) with $c \leq e$. Then c is said to be cogenerated by e .

Note. The cogeneration of quotient algebras is dual to the generation of subalgebras. If \mathcal{K} has (big) intersections, the generation presents no problem: each subobject generates the intersection of all subalgebras, containing it. Fortunately, the intersection of \mathbf{T} -algebras is always a \mathbf{T} -algebra (for the forgetful functor $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ creates limits). Now, assume that T preserves cointersections. Then the cointersection of \mathbf{T} -algebras is always a \mathbf{T} -algebra (for the forgetful functor $\mathcal{K}^{\mathbf{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ creates all colimits, preserved by T). Thus, each quotient object cogenerates the cointersection of all quotient algebras, contained in it. This can be reversed as follows.

Main Theorem. Let \mathcal{E} be closed to cointersections and let T preserve \mathcal{E} . Then \mathbf{T} admits cogeneration iff T preserves cointersections.

A,3 Corollary. A triple over the category of sets

admits cogeneration iff it is isomorphic to the W -free algebra triple for some variety W of finitary algebras.

Note. More on functors, preserving cointersections, can be found in [2]. E.g., under additional, rather mild, assumptions on \mathcal{K} , each functor which preserves cointersections, generates a free triple. (Recall from [5] that a free triple \mathbb{T} , generated by an endofunctor X , is a transformation $t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that for every triple \mathbb{T}' and every transformation $t': X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}'$ there exists a unique triple morphism $r: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}'$ with $t' = r.t.$) A corollary: every triple which admits cogeneration, is a retract of a free triple.

Another result in [2] concerns endofunctors of the category of vector spaces (over an arbitrary given field) from which we get

Corollary. A triple over vector spaces admits cogeneration iff it is finitary, i.e. T preserves filtered colimits.

B) Minimal realization

B1 Arbib and Manes investigate automata over free triples [4]. In the same direction, automata over arbitrary triples can be defined (cf. [4,6] and, for a more general approach, [7]). Concerning the minimal realization problem, this generalization of the Arbib-Manes approach turns out to be very convenient: the whole technique becomes much simpler.

Let $X: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a functor, generating a free tri-

ple \mathbf{T} . (Arbib and Manes call X an input process and they denote $TQ = X^{\otimes} Q$.) Then pairs (Q, σ) , where Q is an object and $\sigma: XQ \rightarrow Q$ is a morphism, naturally correspond to \mathbf{T} -algebras (Q, d) ; therefore, \mathbf{T} -algebras will play the role of (Q, σ) for triple machines.

B2 As in A) above, we have \mathcal{K} , $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ and \mathbf{T} . For fixed objects Y and I , a machine is tuple $M = (Q, d, Y, \beta, I, \tau)$, where (Q, d) is a \mathbf{T} -algebra and $\beta: Q \rightarrow Y$ and $\tau: I \rightarrow Q$ are morphisms. \mathbf{T} -homomorphisms, commuting with both the β 's and the τ 's, are called simulations (from one machine to another).

Given a machine M , the morphism $r = d \cdot \mathbf{T} \tau: TI \rightarrow Q$ is called the run map of M , and the composition $f_M = \beta \cdot r: TI \rightarrow Y$ is the behavior of M . The machine M is reachable if $r \in \mathcal{E}$.

A realization of a "behavior", i.e. of a morphism $f: TI \rightarrow Y$, is any machine M with $f_M = f$. This realization is minimal if (i) it is reachable and (ii) for every reachable realization M' there exists a unique simulation from M' to M . Every behavior has a reachable realization, e.g. $M(f) = (TI, \mu^I, Y, f, I, \eta^I)$ - here $r = \text{id}_{TI}$. The problem of minimal realization is: does every behavior have a minimal realization? If this is so (for all I , Y and f) then \mathbf{T} is said to admit minimal realization.

B,3 Theorem. A triple \mathbf{T} , preserving \mathcal{E} , admits cogeneration iff it admits minimal realization.

Combining this theorem with the above result, we ob-

tain, in the terminology of Arbib and Manes:

Corollary. Let \mathcal{E} be closed to cointersection and let X be an input process, preserving \mathcal{E} . Then X admits minimal realization iff $X^{\mathcal{E}}$ preserves cointersections.

B.4 A finite model. To capture also finite-state machines, we can proceed as in [2], starting with a class \mathcal{E} of epis. We do not assume any factorization properties and we think of \mathcal{E} -morphisms as "finite quotients". A behavior is regular if it has a reachable realization (i.e., $r \in \mathcal{E}$ - recall that if \mathcal{E} contains all isomorphisms, then all behaviors f are regular, via $M(f)$). And \mathbf{T} admits minimal realization if each regular (!) behavior has a minimal realization. As above, it suffices that \mathcal{E} is closed to, and \mathbf{T} preserves, cointersections. This can be reversed if $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{E}$:

Theorem. Let \mathcal{E} be a class of epis, closed to cointersections, let \mathbf{T} preserve \mathcal{E} . Then \mathbf{T} admits minimal realization iff \mathbf{T} preserves cointersections.

Example. Let \mathcal{X} be the category of sets, or, the category of vector spaces. Let \mathcal{E} denote epis $e: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ with \bar{A} finite (resp., finite-dimensional). Then \mathcal{E} -cointersections are proved in [2] to be absolute colimits.

Corollary. Every triple over sets or over vector spaces admits finite minimal realization.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] J. ADÁMEK: Machines in a category: finiteness contra minimality, Proceedings MFCS '75 Symposium,

- Lecture Notes in Comp. Sci. 32, Springer 1975,
160-166.
- [2] J. ADÁMEK: Realization theory for automata in categories, to appear in J. Pure Appl. Algebra.
 - [3] J. ADÁMEK: On the cogeneration of algebras, to appear.
 - [4] M.A. ARBIB, E.G. MANES: A categorist's view of automata and systems, Lecture Notes in Comp. Sci. 25, Springer 1975, 51-64.
 - [5] M. BARR: Coequalizers and free triples, Math. Z. 116 (1970), 307-322.
 - [6] M. BARR: Right exact functors, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 4 (1974), 1-8.
 - [7] J. SZOMINSKI: A representation of the category of algebras over different monads by the category of algebras over one suitable monad in a category of pointed monads, a preprint.

Elektrotechnická fakulta
České vysoké učení technické v Praze
Suchbátariva 2, 16600 Praha 6
Československo

(Oblatum 24.5. 1976)