

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1974

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\\_0015|log52](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0015|log52)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

## FREE UNIFORM MEASURES

Jan PACHL, Praha

**Abstract:** There is a canonical mapping from the free complete locally convex space of a uniform space into the space of uniform measures. It is proved here that a uniform measure  $\mu$  is in the image of the map if and only if finite  $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu((\cdot - M) \vee f \wedge M)$  exists for each uniformly continuous function  $f$ .

**Key words:** Grothendieck's theorem on completeness, molecular measures, uniform measures, free uniform measures.

AMS: Primary 28A30

Ref. Ž.: 7.977.1

Secondary 46A05, 60B05

**Introduction.** For a uniform space  $X$  there is a particularly important class of functionals on the space  $\mathcal{U}_b(X)$  of all bounded uniform functions on  $X$ . The theory of these functionals (called uniform measures) was developed by Berezanskij [11], LeCam [10] and Frolík [6], [7].

It appears that several basic results (viz. those in § 2 below) of the theory are valid in more general setting (see § 1). In § 3 I show that this general schema applies also to the space  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  (whose elements I call "free uniform measures" here) introduced by Berezanskij [11]. As the space  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  is a completion of the free locally convex space of uniform space  $X$  [12], it follows that  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  is a free complete locally convex space of  $X$ .

Both the space of uniform measures and the space of free uniform measures were mentioned by Buchwalter and Pupier [5] and studied in the special case of fine uniformities by several authors [2],[4],[8],[9],[11],[13],[14],[16].

In § 4 free uniform measures are described by means of uniform measures. § 4 is self-contained in the sense that no results from §§ 1 - 3 are used there.

The notations and terminology concerning topological vector spaces are those of Schaefer [15]; particularly all locally convex spaces are Hausdorff and  $E^*$  denotes the algebraic dual of  $E$ . All the vector spaces are over the field  $R$  of reals. Occasionally I use  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  in place of *max* and *min*.

#### § 1. Approximation by molecular measures

1.1. Grothendieck's theorem (dual characterization of completion). Let  $\langle E, G \rangle$  be a duality and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a saturated family covering  $E$  of  $\mathcal{C}(E, G)$ -bounded sets. Denote by  $G_1$  the vector space of all  $\mu \in E^*$  whose restrictions to each  $S \in \mathcal{G}$  are  $\mathcal{C}(E, G)$ -continuous, and endow  $G_1$  with the  $\mathcal{G}$ -topology.

Then  $G_1$  is a complete locally convex space in which  $G$  is dense.

For the proof see Schaefer [15, IV - 6.2].

1.2. Setting. Let  $X$  be a non-empty set,  $E(X)$  be a linear subspace of the space  $R^X$ , separating points of  $X$ . Denote by  $Mol(X)$  the set of all formal finite real linear combinations of elements from  $X$ ; thus  $Mol(X)$  is the

linear space with the base  $X$ .

The elements of  $Mol(X)$  are called molecular measures.

There is a canonical duality  $\langle E(X), Mol(X) \rangle$  given by  $\langle f, \sum \lambda_i x_i \rangle = \sum \lambda_i f(x_i)$  and the topology  $\sigma(E(X), Mol(X))$  is just the topology of pointwise convergence on  $X$ .

Now consider any saturated family  $\mathcal{G}$  covering  $E(X)$  consisting of pointwise bounded (i.e.  $\sigma(E(X), Mol(X))$ -bounded) subsets of  $E(X)$  and denote  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}(X) = \{ \mu \in E(X)^* \mid \text{for each } S \in \mathcal{G} \text{ the restriction of } \mu \text{ to } S \text{ is continuous in the topology of pointwise convergence on } X \}$ .

Endow  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$  with the  $\mathcal{G}$ -topology.

Grothendieck's theorem then reads as follows:

1.3. Proposition.  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$  is a complete locally convex space in which  $Mol(X)$  is dense.

The general Ascoli theorem (see e.g. Bourbaki [3, § 2 - Th.2]) gives

1.4. The compactness criterion. A set  $D \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$  is relatively compact if and only if (i) the restriction of  $D$  to any  $S \in \mathcal{G}$  is equicontinuous and (ii) the set  $D(f) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded for each  $f \in E(X)$ .

On every set  $S \in \mathcal{G}$  the topologies  $\sigma(E(X), Mol(X))$  and  $\sigma(E(X), \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}(X))$  coincide. Hence the theorem of Mackey-Arens (see Schaefer [15; IV - 3.2]) yields

1.5. Proposition. The  $\mathcal{G}$ -topology on  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$  is consistent with the duality  $\langle E(X), \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \rangle$  if and only if all sets in  $\mathcal{G}$  are relatively compact (in  $E(X)$ ).

with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence on  $X$ .

§ 2. Uniform measures. Given a Hausdorff uniform space  $X$  denote by  $U_B(X)$  the space of uniform (= uniformly continuous) bounded real-valued functions on  $X$ . Consider the family  $U.E.B.(X)$  of all equiuniform (= uniformly equicontinuous) uniformly bounded subsets of  $U_B(X)$ .

Thus one obtains the space  $\mathcal{M}_{U.E.B.}(X)$ , shortly  $\mathcal{M}_U(X)$ , whose elements are called uniform measures.

Propositions 1.3, 1.4 apply; further the closure (in  $R^X$ ) of any  $S \in U.E.B.$  in the topology of pointwise convergence belongs to  $U.E.B.$  - hence (by 1.5) dual of  $\mathcal{M}_U(X)$  identifies with  $U_B(X)$ . Moreover there is the following result, due to Le Cam [10] (cf. [14, Th.2]):

2.1. Theorem. The topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_U(X), U_B(X))$  and the  $U.E.B.$ -topology coincide on the positive cone of  $\mathcal{M}_U(X)$ .

§ 3. Free uniform measures. Given a Hausdorff uniform space  $X$  denote by  $U(X)$  the space of uniform real-valued functions on  $X$ . Consider the family  $U.E.(X)$  of all equiuniform pointwise bounded subsets of  $U(X)$ . Following the schema in § 1 this gives rise to the space

$\mathcal{M}_{U.E.} = \{ \mu \in U(X)^* \mid \text{for each } S \in U.E. \text{ the restriction of } \mu \text{ to } S \text{ is continuous in the topology of pointwise convergence on } X \}$

endowed with the topology of U.E. -convergence.

This space will be denoted  $\mathcal{M}_F$  and its elements will be called free uniform measures.

As in § 2 the following theorem follows from 1.3 - 1.5:

3.1. Theorem. (a)  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  is a complete locally convex space in which  $\text{Mol}(X)$  is dense.

(b) A subset  $D$  of  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  is relatively compact if and only if (i) the restriction of  $D$  to any  $S \in \text{U.E.}(X)$  is equicontinuous and (ii) the set  $D(f) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded for each  $f \in U(X)$ .

(c) (cf. [12]) The dual of  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  is  $U(X)$ .

The fact in (a) together with the result by Raikov [12; Th.1] implies that  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  is the free complete locally convex space of  $X$  - this justifies the term "free"; the name "free uniform measures" was chosen as  $\mathcal{M}_F$  canonically identifies with a subset of  $\mathcal{M}_U$  (see § 4).

The following theorem is an analogue of 2.1.

3.2. Theorem. The topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_F(X), U(X))$  and the U.E. -topology coincide on the positive cone of  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ .

Proof. As the topology  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_F, U)$  is coarser one must prove it is finer.

Let  $\mu_\alpha$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_F$  be positive and  $\lim_{\alpha} \mu_\alpha(g) = \mu(g)$  for each  $g \in U(X)$ . Choose any  $S \in \text{U.E.}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Put  $f(x) = \sup \{ |g(x)| \mid g \in S \}$ . Then  $f \in U(X)$  and  $\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(f - (f \wedge M)) = 0$ . As the set  $\{f - (f \wedge M) \mid M > 0\}$  is in U.E. there is  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $\mu(f - (f \wedge M_1)) < \varepsilon$ .

The set  $S_1 = \{(-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1 \mid g \in S\}$  is in U.E.B. and the restrictions of  $\mu_\alpha$  and  $\mu$  to  $U_S(X)$  are positive

elements of  $\mathcal{M}_U(X)$  (cf. § 4). Thus from 2.1 it follows that there is  $\alpha_1$  such that

$$|\mu_\alpha(h) - \mu(h)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } h \in S_1 \text{ and any } \alpha \geq \alpha_1,$$

$$\text{and } |\mu_\alpha(f - f \wedge M_1) - \mu(f - f \wedge M_1)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } \alpha \geq \alpha_1.$$

Then for any  $g \in S$  and  $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$  one has

$$|\mu_\alpha(g) - \mu(g)| \leq |\mu_\alpha(g - (-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1)| +$$

$$+ |\mu_\alpha((-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1) - \mu((-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1)| + |\mu(g - (-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1)| <$$

$$< \mu_\alpha(f - f \wedge M_1) + \varepsilon + \mu(f - f \wedge M_1) < 4\varepsilon. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

The following example shows the free uniform measure need not be order bounded linear form on  $U(X)$  (or equivalently: the space  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  need not be spanned by its positive cone).

3.3. Example. Let  $X$  be the real line with the usual (metric) uniformity. For  $f \in U(X)$  put

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} (f(n) - f(n + \frac{1}{n})).$$

Then  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_F(X)$  but for the function  $g \in U(X)$ ,  $g: x \mapsto |x|$ , and for any  $m$  one can find  $f \in U(X)$  such that

$$0 \leq f \leq g,$$

$$f(n) = n, f(n + \frac{1}{n}) = 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq n \leq m \quad \text{and } f(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \geq m + 1;$$

$$\text{then } \mu(f) = \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n}.$$

§ 4. Connection of  $\mathcal{M}_F$  with  $\mathcal{M}_U$ . Observe that for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_F(X)$  its restriction to  $U_F(X)$  is a uniform measure  $\mu_U \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$ .

4.1. Proposition [1 ; 1.9]. For any Hausdorff uniform space  $X$  the canonical linear map  $\{\mu \mapsto \mu_{\mathbb{U}}\}: \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{U}}(X)$  is injective.

Proof [4; 4.8.2]. Suppose  $\mu_{\mathbb{U}} = 0$ , i.e.  $\mu(q) = 0$  for any  $q \in \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ . Choose any  $f \in \mathbb{U}(X)$ :  $f = \lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} (-M) \vee f \wedge M$  pointwise and the set  $\{(-M) \vee f \wedge M\}$  is on  $\mathbb{U.E.}$ , hence  $\mu(f) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu((-M) \vee f \wedge M) = 0$ . Q.E.D.

In the theorem 4.5 below the image of the map  $\{\mu \mapsto \mu_{\mathbb{U}}\}$  is characterized. Particular cases of 4.5 were proved by Berezanskij [1; § 8] and Berruyer and Ivol [2], however, these authors deal with order bounded measures. As example 3.3 shows there are, in general, unbounded forms in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(X)$  - and this is where the difficulty lies. The following facts are more or less needed in the proof of 4.5.

4.2. Lemma. Given a Hausdorff uniform space  $X$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{U}}(X)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\{f_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in B}$  be a net,  $0 \neq f_{\beta} \in \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ , such that  $\lim_{\beta} f_{\beta} = 0$  pointwise and the set  $\{f_{\beta}\}$  is in  $\mathbb{U.E.}(X)$ . Suppose  $|\mu(f_{\beta})| > \varepsilon$  for each  $\beta \in B$ .

Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\{\beta(m)\}$  of indices  $\beta(m) \in B$  such that

$$|\mu(\max\{f_{\beta(m)} \mid 1 \leq m \leq m\})| > m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Observe first that given conditions imply the index set  $B$  cannot have the largest element.

Now as  $|\mu(f_{\beta})| > \varepsilon$  for each  $\beta \in B$  so  $\mu(f_{\gamma}) > \varepsilon$  for some subnet  $\{f_{\gamma}\}$  of the net  $\{f_{\beta}\}$  or  $\mu(f_{\gamma}) < -\varepsilon$  for some subnet  $\{f_{\gamma}\}$  of the net  $\{f_{\beta}\}$ .



Thus I can suppose without any loss of generality that  $\mu(f_\beta) > \varepsilon$  for each  $\beta \in B$  (and the case  $\mu(f_\beta) < -\varepsilon$  then follows by the substitution  $\mu \mapsto -\mu$ ).

This assumption being made construct  $\beta(m)$  inductively:

Choose any  $\beta(1) \in B$ .

If  $\beta(1), \beta(2), \dots, \beta(m)$  are found such that  $\mu(h_m) >$

$m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  where  $h_m = \max\{f_{\beta(m)} \mid 1 \leq m \leq m\}$  then

$\lim_{\beta} (h_m \wedge f_\beta) = 0$  pointwise and the set  $\{h_m \wedge f_\beta\}$  is in U.E.B.

Hence  $\mu(h_m \wedge f_{\beta(m+1)}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for some  $\beta(m+1) > \beta(m)$ .

Since  $(h_m \wedge f_{\beta(m+1)}) + (h_m \vee f_{\beta(m+1)}) = h_m + f_{\beta(m+1)}$  this implies  $\mu(h_m \vee f_{\beta(m+1)}) = \mu(h_m) + \mu(f_{\beta(m+1)}) - \mu(h_m \wedge f_{\beta(m+1)}) > m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = (m+1) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Q.E.D.

For  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$  and  $f \in U(X)$  say that  $\int f d\mu$  exists and  $\int f d\mu = h$  iff the finite  $\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \mu((-M) \vee f \wedge M) = h$  exists. (Of course,  $\int f d\mu = \mu(f)$  for  $f \in U_{\mathcal{R}}(X)$ .)

Warning: In spite of the notation,  $f \mapsto \int f d\mu$  need not be additive (unless it is defined for many functions  $f \in U(X)$  enough - see 4.4 and 4.5)! Nevertheless, the following result is in force:

4.3. Lemma. Given a uniform space  $X$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$ ,  $f \in U_{\mathcal{R}}(X)$  and  $g \in U(X)$  such that  $\int g d\mu$  exists.

Then  $\int (f+g) d\mu$  exists and  $\int (f+g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu$ .

Proof. For  $M > 0$  put

$$h_M = (-M) \vee (f+g) \wedge M - f - (-M) \vee g \wedge M .$$

For  $x \in X$  one has  $\sup_M |h_M(x)| \leq |f(x)| \leq \sup_{y \in X} |f(y)|$  ;

hence the set  $\{h_M\}$  is in U.E.B..

Moreover  $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} h_M = 0$  pointwise and so  $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu(h_M) = 0$ , that is  $\int (f+g) d\mu = \mu(f) + \int g d\mu$ . Q.E.D.

In the proposition 4.4 below the set  $S \in U.E.(X)$  is said to be full iff it is of the form

$$S = \{f \in U(X) \mid |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varphi(x, y) \text{ for any } x, y \in X \text{ and } |f| \leq g\}$$

where  $g \in U(X)$  and  $\varphi$  is a uniformly continuous pseudo-metric on  $X$ . Any set in  $U.E.(X)$  is contained in some full set.

4.4. Proposition (Monotone convergence). Given a Hausdorff uniform space  $X$ , full set  $S \in U.E.(X)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$  such that  $\int g d\mu$  exists for any  $g \in S$ .

If  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is a net such that  $g_\alpha \in S$  for each  $\alpha \in A$  and  $g_\alpha \searrow 0$  pointwise then  $\lim_{\alpha} \int g_\alpha d\mu = 0$ .

Proof. Suppose there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subnet  $\{g_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$  of the net  $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  such that  $|\int g_\beta d\mu| > \varepsilon$  for each  $\beta \in B$ . As  $\int g_\beta d\mu = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu(g_\beta \wedge M)$  there are constants  $P_\beta$  such that  $|\mu(g_\beta \wedge P_\beta)| > \varepsilon$  for each  $\beta \in B$ . For  $f_\beta = g_\beta \wedge P_\beta$  pick a strictly increasing sequence

$\{\beta(m)\}$  such that (see 4.2)  $|\mu(h_m)| > \varepsilon \cdot \frac{m}{2}$  (where

$h_m = \max\{f_{\beta(m)} \mid 1 \leq m \leq m\}$ ) for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . It holds

$h_m \in S$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , hence there exists  $h = \lim h_m \geq 0$  and  $h \in S$ .

I am going to show that neither  $\sup_n P_{\beta(n)} < +\infty$  nor  $\sup_n P_{\beta(n)} = +\infty$  is possible.

(i)  $\sup_n P_{\beta(n)} < +\infty$ : Then  $h \in U_{\beta}(X)$  and  $\{h_m\} \in U.E.B.$ , hence  $|\mu(h)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(h_m)| = +\infty$ , contradiction.

(ii)  $\sup_n P_{\beta(n)} = +\infty$ : for any  $M$  pick up  $n(M)$  such that  $P_{\beta(n(M))} \geq P_{\beta(n)}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, n(M)$  and  $P_{\beta(n(M))} \geq M$ .

Then  $h \wedge P_{\beta(n(M))} = h_{n(M)}$  for any  $M$  and consequently  $|\int h d\mu| = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(h \wedge P_{\beta(n(M))})| = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(h_{n(M)})| = +\infty$ ,

contradiction.

4.5. Theorem. For a Hausdorff uniform space  $X$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$  two conditions are equivalent:

(i) there exists  $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_F(X)$  such that  $\mu(f) = \mu_1(f)$  for any  $f \in U_{\beta}(X)$ .

(ii)  $\int f d\mu$  exists for any  $f \in U(X)$ .

Proof. The implication (i)  $\implies$  (ii) follows from the fact that for any  $f \in U(X)$  the set  $\{(-M) \vee f \wedge M \mid M > 0\}$  is in  $U.E.$  and so  $\mu_1(f) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu_1((-M) \vee f \wedge M) = \int f d\mu$ .

For the inverse, suppose (ii) holds and define  $\mu_1(f) = \int f d\mu$  for  $f \in U(X)$ ; it is to show that  $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_F(X)$ . Clearly  $\mu_1(\lambda f) = \lambda \mu_1(f)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in U(X)$ .

Thus two more things remain to be proved: (I) If  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is a net such that the set  $\{f_\alpha\}$  is in U.E. and  $\lim_\alpha f_\alpha = 0$  pointwise then  $\lim_\alpha \int f_\alpha d\mu = 0$ .

(II)  $\mu_1$  is additive on  $\mathcal{U}(X)$ .

ad (I): Since for every  $f \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  one has  $\int f d\mu = \int f^+ d\mu - \int f^- d\mu$  it suffices to prove  $\lim_\alpha \int f_\alpha^+ d\mu = 0$ . If this were not so there would exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subnet  $\{f_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$  of the net  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  such that  $|\int f_\beta^+ d\mu| > \varepsilon$  for each  $\beta \in B$ .

Hence there are constants  $P_\beta$  such that  $|\int (f_\beta^+ \wedge P_\beta) d\mu| > \varepsilon$  for each  $\beta \in B$  and Lemma 4.2 implies there is a sequence  $\{h_m\}$  such that  $0 \leq h_m \in \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{Q}(X)$  and  $|\mu(h_m)| > m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\{h_m\} \in \text{U.E.}(X)$  and  $h_m \nearrow h \in \mathcal{U}(X)$ .

Now for  $q_m = h - h_m$  one has  $q_m \searrow 0$ , and from Lemma 4.3 it follows that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(q_m)| = +\infty$ ; as the set  $\{q_m\}$  belongs to  $\text{U.E.}(X)$  (and consequently it also belongs to some full set in U.E.) this contradicts Lemma 4.4.

ad (II): Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{U}(X)$  be arbitrary. For  $M > 0$  put  $h_M = (-M) \vee (f+g) \wedge M - (-M) \vee f \wedge M - (-M) \vee g \wedge M$ .

Then the set  $\{h_M\}$  is in  $\text{U.E.}(X)$  and  $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} h_M = 0$  pointwise, hence  $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu(h_M) = 0$  from (I), that is  $\int (f+g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu$ . Q.E.D.

4.6. Remark.  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  may be treated as a subset of  $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{U}(X)$ , but not as a (topological) subspace. In fact,

the uniform topology (= U.E.B. -topology) and the "free" topology (= U.E. -topology) agree on  $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$  if and only if  $U_{\mathcal{E}}(X) = U(X)$ . For, if there exist  $x_n \in X$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $f \in U(X)$  such that  $f(x_n) > n^2$ , put  $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \chi_{x_n} \in \mathcal{M}_F(X)$ . Then  $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on every set in U.E.B. but  $\mu_n(f)$  does not converge.

Acknowledgement. I want to express my thanks to Zdeněk Frolík for valuable discussions on uniform measures and related subjects.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] BEREZANSKIJ I.A.: Measures on uniform spaces and molecular measures, Trudy Moskov.mat.obšč.19(1968), 3-40(Russian,English translation has appeared in Trans.Moscow Math.Soc.19(1968),1-40).
- [2] BERRUYER Jacques and IVOL Bernard: L'espace  $M(T)$ , C.R. Acad.Sci.Paris 275(1972),A 33-36.
- [3] BOURBAKI Nicolas: Eléments de mathématique, Livre III: Topologie générale,Chapter 10,Paris 1961.
- [4] BUCHWALTER Henri: Topologies et compactologies, Publ. Dépt.math.Lyon 6-2(1969),1-74.
- [5] BUCHWALTER Henri and PUIPIER René: Complétion d'un espace uniforme et formes linéaires, C.R.Acad.Sci. Paris 273(1971),A 96-98.
- [6] FROLÍK Zdeněk: Mesures uniformes, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 277(1973),A 105-108.
- [7] FROLÍK Zdeněk: Représentation de Riesz des mesures uniformes, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 277(1973),A 163-166.
- [8] HAYDON Richard: Sur les espaces  $M(T)$  et  $M^\infty(T)$ , C.R. Acad.Sci.Paris 275(1972),A 989-991.

- [9] KIRK R.B.: Complete topologies on spaces of Baire measures, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.184(1973),1-29.
- [10] LeCAM L.: Note on a certain class of measures (preprint).
- [11] LÉGER Christian and SOURY Pierre: Le convexe topologique des probabilités sur un espace topologique, J.math.pures appl.50(1971),363-425.
- [12] RAJKOV D.A.: Free locally convex spaces of uniform spaces, Mat.Sb.63(105)(1964),582-590(Russian).
- [13] ROME Michel: Le dual de l'espace compactologique  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(T)$ , C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 274(1972),A 1631-1634.
- [14] ROME Michel: Ordre et compacité dans l'espace  $M^\infty(T)$ , C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 274(1972),A 1817-1820.
- [15] SCHAEFER Helmut H.: Topological Vector Spaces, New York-London 1966.
- [16] SENTILLES Dennis and WHEELER Robert F.: Linear functionals and partitions of unity in  $C_b(X)$  (preprint).

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta  
 Karlova universita  
 Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8  
 Československo

(Ublatum 24.6.1974)

