

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1974

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0015|log49

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

QUASICOMPLEMENTED LATTICES

William H. CORNISH, Bedford Park

Abstract: Let L be a 0 -distributive lattice. Then L is quasicomplemented if and only if each minimal prime ideal in the lattice $\mathcal{J}(L)$ of ideals in L contrasts to a minimal prime ideal in L . A necessary and sufficient condition is also given for the contraction map to be a bijection of the set of minimal prime ideals of $\mathcal{J}(L)$ onto the set of minimal prime ideals of L . Amongst distributive lattices, a new characterization of quasicomplemented lattices is presented in terms of "lifting" dense elements modulo the smallest congruence having a minimal prime ideal as its kernel.

Key words: 0 -distributive, quasicomplemented, minimal prime ideal, lattice of ideals, compact space, extremally disconnected space.

AMS: 06A25, 06A35

Ref. Ž. 2.724.3

1. 0 -distributivity. According to Varlet [9], a lattice L with least element 0 is called 0 -distributive if it satisfies the condition: $a \wedge b = 0$ and $a \wedge c = 0$ imply $a \wedge (b \vee c) = 0$, for any a, b, c in L . This concept is both a generalization of pseudocomplementation and distributivity. It is equivalent to the condition that $J^* = \{x \in L : x \wedge j = 0 \text{ for each } j \in J\}$ is a lattice-ideal for each ideal or non-empty subset J of L and hence, as was noted by Varlet [9, Theorem 1], to the condition that the lattice $\mathcal{J}(L)$ of ideals in L is pseudocomplemented.

By a minimal prime ideal of a lattice or semigroup with 0

we mean a prime ideal (necessarily a proper subset) which is minimal amongst the prime ideals ordered by set-inclusion. For further details on minimal prime ideals we refer to [5] and [4]. The following theorem shows that there are sufficiently many minimal prime ideals in a 0 -distributive lattice. It is a consequence of Keimel's general theory of minimal prime ideals, see [4, Theorem C. Corollary]. Most of it is given in [2, Proposition 7.26, p.92]. However, we give an alternative proof based on Kist's work [5], describing prime ideals in a commutative semigroup.

1.1. Proposition. For a lattice L with 0 , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) L is 0 -distributive.
- (b) The minimal prime ideals of the semigroup $(L; \wedge, 0)$ are minimal prime ideals of the lattice L .
- (c) For each $a \in L$ with $a \neq 0$, there is a minimal prime ideal P such that $a \notin P$.
- (d) The zero ideal of the lattice L is an intersection of prime ideals.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). By [5, Corollary 1.4 and Lemma 3.1] the semigroup $(L; \wedge, 0)$ possesses minimal prime ideals and a prime ideal P is a minimal prime ideal if and only if, for each $a \in P$, there exists $b \notin P$ such that $a \wedge b = 0$. Thus, if P is a minimal prime in $(L; \wedge, 0)$ and $a_1, a_2 \in P$ then $a_1 \wedge b_1 = 0 = a_2 \wedge b_2$ for some $b_1, b_2 \notin P$. As P is prime $b_1 \wedge b_2 \notin P$ and yet $(a_1 \vee a_2) \wedge (b_1 \wedge b_2) = 0 \in P$, by 0 -distributivity. It

follows that $a_1 \vee a_2 \in P$ and so P is a lattice ideal.

(b) \implies (c) holds in the lattice $(L; \vee, \wedge, 0)$ since (b) \implies (c) holds in the semigroup $(L; \wedge, 0)$ by [5, Lemma 1.2].

(c) \implies (d) is trivial, while (d) \implies (a) holds since $a \wedge b = 0 = a \wedge c$ and $\{0\} = \bigcap P_i$, for suitable prime ideals P_i , imply $a \wedge (b \vee c) = 0$. Otherwise, $a \wedge (b \vee c) \notin P_j$ for some j and so $a \notin P_j$, whence $b, c \in P_j$ as $a \wedge b = 0 = a \wedge c$ and P_j is prime. But then $b \vee c \in P_j$ yields an impossibility.

Since any prime ideal of the lattice $(L; \vee, \wedge, 0)$ is a prime ideal of the semigroup $(L; \wedge, 0)$, Theorem 1.1 shows that a lattice L with 0 is 0 -distributive if and only if the minimal prime ideals of $(L; \vee, \wedge, 0)$ are precisely the minimal prime ideals of $(L; \wedge, 0)$.

Following Varlet [9], a lattice L with 0 is called quasicomplemented if, for each $x \in L$, there is an element $x' \in L$ such that $x \wedge x' = 0$ and $x \vee x'$ is dense. Of course, an element $d \in L$ is dense if $\{a \in L : a \wedge d = 0\} = \{0\}$. In general the element x' is highly non-unique. Besides being 0 -distributive, a pseudocomplemented lattice L is quasicomplemented - we may simply choose x' to be x^* , the pseudocomplement of x .

For an element x in a lattice L with 0 , let $(x) = \{a \in L : a \leq x\}$ denote the principal ideal generated by x . Then, as was established by Varlet [9, Theorem 10], a 0 -distributive lattice L is quasicomplemented if and only

if, for each $x \in L$, there exists $x' \in L$ such that $(x]** = (x']^*$.

Let $\text{Min}(L)$ denote the set of all minimal prime ideals of a 0-distributive lattice L . We may turn $\text{Min}(L)$ into a Hausdorff topological space by endowing it with the so-called hull-kernel topology which has the sets of the form $\{P \in \text{Min}(L) : x \notin P\}$ ($x \in L$) as a base for the open sets. For details on this topology see [5], [4], [6] and [8]. Applying Theorem 1.1 and the main theorem of [8], we immediately obtain

1.2. Proposition. A 0-distributive lattice L is quasicomplemented if and only if $\text{Min}(L)$ is a compact Hausdorff space.

Of course, 1.2 is also a consequence of [4, Proposition 5.10, Corollary]. Proposition 1.2, together with the next result, constitute our tools for proving the main results of this paper.

1.3. Proposition. Let L be a quasicomplemented 0-distributive lattice. Then $\text{Min}(L)$ is extremally disconnected if and only if for each ideal J in L , there exists $a \in L$ such that $J^* = (a]^*$.

Recall that a topological space is extremally disconnected if and only if the closure of each open set is open. Proposition 1.3 can be obtained by adapting [1, Theorem 4.4] from ring-notation to lattice-notation. There are no hidden

difficulties . Alternatively, it is easily proved that, for a quasicomplemented 0-distributive lattice L , the space of minimal prime ideals is the Stone representation space for the Boolean algebra of all ideals of the form $(x]^{**}$ ($x \in L$) . That we have a Boolean algebra can be seen from either [9, Main Theorem, p.156] or [7, Theorem 1]. The assertion then follows from the well-known fact that a Boolean algebra is complete if and only if its representation space is extremally disconnected and the observation that the Boolean algebra of ideals $(x]^{**}$ is complete if and only if the condition of 1.3 obtains. This last observation follows from [7, Theorem 2, Corollary].

1.4. Lemma. For any 0-distributive lattice L , $\text{Min}(J(L))$ is a compact Hausdorff extremally disconnected space.

Proof. Since L is 0-distributive, $J(L)$ is pseudocomplemented and so $\text{Min}(J(L))$ is compact and Hausdorff because of 1.2. For a non-empty subset J of $J(L)$, $\{J \in J(L) : J \cap K = (0] \text{ for each } K \in J\} = \{J \in J(L) : J \cap Y = (0], \text{ where } Y = \bigvee \{K : K \in J\}\}$ and so the rest follows from 1.3.

2. Main Theorems. For a 0-distributive lattice L and a prime ideal P in $J(L)$, $c(P)$ denotes the set-theoretical union of all ideals (of L) which are in P , while for a prime ideal Q in L , $\pi(Q)$ denotes the set

$\{J \in J(L) : J \subseteq Q\}$. If we identify the members of L with the corresponding principal ideals which they generate and thereby identify L with a sublattice of $J(L)$ then $c(P) = L \cap P$ for each prime ideal P in $J(L)$. That is, $c(P)$ is then nothing more than the contraction of P to the sublattice L of $J(L)$. Thus, in the statements of the main theorems we shall speak of contractions of minimal prime ideals in $J(L)$ to L though, for the sake of clarity, it will be convenient to use our initial description of $c(P)$ ($P \in \text{Min}(J(L))$) in the proofs.

For a prime ideal P in $J(L)$ and a prime ideal Q in L , it is easy to see that $c(P)$ and $\mu(Q)$ are prime ideals in L and $J(L)$, respectively. This was observed by Katriňák [3] in the case of distributive lattices. In fact the main theorems were inspired by [3, Lemma 12 and Theorem 5]. They not only explain [3, Lemma 12] but also clarify Theorem 5 of [3], wherein Katriňák gives a necessary and sufficient condition, involving contractions of minimal prime ideals, for the lattice of ideals of a distributive lattice with 0 and 1 to be a Stone lattice.

2.1. Theorem. A 0-distributive lattice L is quasicomplemented if and only if each minimal prime ideal in $J(L)$ contracts to a minimal prime ideal in L .

Proof. Suppose L is quasicomplemented. Let $P \in \text{Min}(J(L))$ and $x \in c(P)$. Then, $(x] \in P$. Choose $x' \in L$ such that $x \vee x'$ is dense and $x \wedge x' = 0$. We claim that $x' \notin c(P)$. Otherwise, $x' \in c(P)$, $(x'] \in P$, and $(x \vee x') = (x] \vee (x'] \in P$, and so the dense ele-

ment $(x \vee x')$ of $J(L)$ is in the minimal prime ideal P . This contradicts the following characterization of a minimal prime ideal in a 0 -distributive lattice L : a prime ideal Q in a 0 -distributive lattice is a minimal prime ideal if and only if, for each $a \in Q$, there exists $b \in L \setminus Q$ such that $a \wedge b = 0$. This characterization which will also be freely used below, follows from 1.1 and the proof of (a) \implies (b) in 1.1. Thus, it is indeed the case that $x' \notin c(P)$. Since $c(P)$ is a prime ideal it follows that it is a minimal prime ideal.

Conversely, suppose $c(P) \in \text{Min}(L)$ for each $P \in \text{Min}(J(L))$. Then, we have a function $c: \text{Min}(J(L)) \rightarrow \text{Min}(L)$ such that $c: P \mapsto c(P)$ for each $P \in \text{Min}(J(L))$. This function is a surjection. For if $Q \in \text{Min}(L)$, $\rho(Q)$ is a prime ideal in $J(L)$ and so, by Zorn's lemma, it contains at least one minimal prime ideal P . Then $c(P) = Q$. Since, if $a \in c(P)$ then $(a) \in P \subseteq \rho(Q)$ and so $(a) \subseteq Q$, i.e. $a \in Q$; $c(P) \subseteq Q$ has been established and hence $c(P) = Q$ because both $c(P)$ and Q are minimal primes. The function is continuous. For let $a \in L$. Then, $c^{-1}(\{Q \in \text{Min}(L) : a \notin Q\}) = \{P \in \text{Min}(J(L)) : a \notin c(P)\} = \{P \in \text{Min}(J(L)) : (a) \notin P\}$, which means that the inverse image of a basic open set in $\text{Min}(L)$ is a basic open set in $\text{Min}(J(L))$. Thus, $\text{Min}(L)$ is the continuous image of $\text{Min}(J(L))$ and so is compact due to 1.4. Because of 1.2, L is quasicomplemented.

2.2. Theorem. Let L be a 0 -distributive lattice. Then, L is quasicomplemented and each minimal prime ideal of L is the contraction of a unique minimal prime ideal of $J(L)$ if and only for each $J \in J(L)$, there exists $y \in L$ such that $J^{**} = (y]^*$.

Proof. Suppose L is quasicomplemented and if $Q \in \text{Min}(L)$, $P_1, P_2 \in \text{Min}(J(L))$ are such that $Q = c(P_1) = c(P_2)$ then $P_1 = P_2$. Then, by 2.1 and its proof, $c : \text{Min}(J(L)) \rightarrow \text{Min}(L)$ is a bijection. But, by the proof of 2.1, c is continuous. Hence, c is a homeomorphism since each of $\text{Min}(L)$ and $\text{Min}(J(L))$ is compact and Hausdorff. Because of 1.3 and 1.4, J^* is of the form $(x]^*$ ($x \in L$) for each $J \in J(L)$. The quasicomplementation on L then implies $J^{**} = (x]^{**} = (x']^*$, as required.

Suppose L satisfies the condition: for each $J \in J(L)$, there exists $y \in L$ such that $J^{**} = (y]^*$. It is clear that L is quasicomplemented. Let $P_1, P_2 \in \text{Min}(J(L))$ be such that $c(P_1) = c(P_2)$. Let $J \in P_1$. As L is 0 -distributive, $J^* \in J(L)$, $J \vee J^*$ is dense in $J(L)$, and $J \cap J^* = (0] = J^{**} \cap J^*$. As $J(L)$ is 0 -distributive and P_1 is a minimal prime ideal, $J^* \notin P_1$ and so $J^{**} \in P_1$. Choose $x \in L$ such that $J^{**} = J^* = (x]^*$. Then $(x]^{**} = J^{**} \in P_1$, so $x \in (x]^{**} \subseteq c(P_1)$. Hence $x \in c(P_2)$. Then, we must have $x \in K$ for some $K \in P_2$, whence $(x] \subseteq K \in P_2$ and $(x] \in P_2$. As P_2 is a minimal prime ideal, $(x]^* \notin P_2$ and so $(x]^{**} \in P_2$. But $J \subseteq J^{**} = (x]^{**}$, and so $J \in P_2$. Thus $P_1 \subseteq P_2$. Because of the minimality of P_2 , we conclude

that $P_1 = P_2$. Because of the proof of 2.1, each $Q \in \text{Min}(J(L))$ is the contraction of some $P \in \text{Min}(J(L))$ and thus Q is the contraction of a unique $P \in \text{Min}(J(L))$.

As a consequence of the proofs of 2.1 and 2.2, together with 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 we obtain

2.3. Theorem. The following conditions are equivalent for a 0-distributive lattice L .

(a) $\text{Min}(L)$ is compact, Hausdorff and extremally disconnected.

(b) L and its lattice of ideals $J(L)$ have homeomorphic spaces of minimal prime ideals.

(c) For each ideal J in L , there is $y \in L$ such that $J^{**} = \langle y \rangle^*$.

3. Distributive lattices.

3.1. Lemma. Let L be a distributive lattice with 0 and at least one dense element. Then L is quasicomplemented if and only if for each minimal prime ideal P in L and each $x \in L \setminus P$, there exist a dense element d and an element $p \in P$ such that $x \vee p = d \vee p$.

Proof. Let D be the non-empty filter of dense elements in L .

Suppose L is quasicomplemented with $x \in L \setminus P$ for some given minimal prime ideal P . Choose $x' \in L$ such that $x' \wedge x = 0$ and $x \vee x'$ is dense. As P is prime, $x' \in P$. Then, $x \vee p = d \vee p$ with $d = x \vee x' \in D$ and $p = x' \in P$.

Conversely, suppose L satisfies the condition in the lemma. Suppose Q is a prime ideal disjoint from D . Then Q contains a minimal prime P . If Q is not a minimal prime then there is an element $x \in Q \setminus P$. Thus there exist $d \in D$ and $p \in P$ such that $x \vee p = d \vee p$. Then $d \in Q$, an impossibility. Hence any prime ideal Q which is disjoint from D , is a minimal prime. It follows from Stone's theorem that each ideal which is disjoint from the filter D , is contained in a minimal prime ideal. From [6, Proposition 3.4], L is quasicomplemented.

If J is any ideal in a distributive lattice L then it is well-known that the relation $\theta(J)$, given by $a \equiv b (\theta(J))$ ($a, b \in L$) if and only if $a \vee x = b \vee x$ for some $x \in J$, is a congruence. It is, in fact, the smallest congruence on L having J as a congruence class. When J is prime, the quotient lattice $L/\theta(J)$ is dense, i.e. each non-zero element is dense. We say that a dense element d in $L/\theta(J)$ can be lifted to a dense element x in L if the congruence class of x modulo $\theta(J)$ is d . Lemma 3.1 and these remarks yield the following theorem.

3.2. Theorem. Let L be a distributive lattice with 0 and at least one dense element. Then L is quasicomplemented if and only if, for each minimal prime ideal P in L , each dense element in $L/\theta(P)$ can be lifted to a dense element in L .

r e f e r e n c e s

- [1] M. HENRIKSEN and M. JERISON: The space of minimal prime ideals of a commutative ring, *Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.* 115(1965),110-130.
- [2] K.H. HOFMANN and K. KEIMEL: A general character theory for partially ordered sets and lattices, *Memoirs Amer.Math.Soc.No.122*(1972).
- [3] T. KATRINÁK: Notes of Stone lattices I (in Russian), *Mat.-fyz.časop.*16(1966),128-142.
- [4] K. KEIMEL: A unified theory of minimal prime ideals, *Acta Math.Acad.Sci.Hungar.*23(1972),51-69.
- [5] J. KIST: Minimal prime ideals in commutative semigroups, *Proc.London Math.Soc.*(3)13(1963),31-50.
- [6] T.P. SPEED: Some remarks on a class of distributive lattices, *J.Austral.Math.Soc.*9(1969),289-296.
- [7] T.P. SPEED: A note on commutative semigroups, *J.Austral.Math.Soc.*8(1968),731-736.
- [8] T.P. SPEED: A note on commutative semigroups II, *J.London Math.Soc.*(2)2(1970),80-82.
- [9] J.C. VARLET: A generalization of the notion of pseudo-complementedness, *Bull.Soc.Roy.Sc.Liège* 37(1968), 149-158.

School of Mathematical Sciences
 The Flinders University of South Australia
 Bedford Park, 5042, South Australia,
 Australia

(Oblatum 8.5.1974)

