

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1972

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0013|log8

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

MORSE-SARD THEOREM FOR REAL-ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

J. SOUČEK, V. SOUČEK, Praha

In this paper we will prove that the set of all critical values must be countable for every real-analytic function, which is defined on $D \subset E_N$.

Definition 1. A real-valued function $f(x)$ defined on an open subset $D \subset E_N$ is called real-analytic, if each point $w \in D$ has an open neighborhood U , $w \in U \subset D$ such that the function has a power series expansion in U .

Theorem 1. Let f be a real-analytic function defined on an open subset $D \subset E_N$. Let us denote by Z the set of critical values of f , i.e.

$$Z = \{ x \in D ; \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \};$$

then the set $f(Z \cap K)$ is finite for every compact subset $K \subset D$ and hence $f(Z)$ is at most countable.

Remark. The Morse-Sard theorem for C^∞ -functions gives us only

$$H_\alpha(f(Z)) = 0$$

for all $\alpha > 0$ (where H_α is the α -dimensional Hausdorff measure). But we can construct an uncountable subset

$M \subset E_1$ such that $H_\alpha(M) = 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$. On the other hand, there can be easily constructed a real-analytic function defined on $(0, 1)$ such that the set $f(\mathbb{Z})$ is infinite.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on some theorems about germs of varieties from the theory of several complex variables. We recapitulate for the reader the necessary definitions and theorems from [G-R] in § 1.

§ 2 contains then the proof of Theorem 1.

§ 1. Germs of varieties

This paragraph is only a recapitulation of the facts from [G-R] (in brackets we shall refer to the numbers of definitions and theorems in [G-R]).

Definition 2 (II.E.4). Let X, Y be subsets of \mathbb{C}^N (the Cartesian product of N copies of the complex plane). The sets X and Y are said to be equivalent at 0 if there is a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of 0 such that $X \cap \mathcal{U} = Y \cap \mathcal{U}$. An equivalence class of sets is called the germ of a set. The equivalence class of X is to be denoted by \mathcal{X} .

If $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2$ are germs of a set, we can define $\mathcal{X}_1 \cup \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_1 \cap \mathcal{X}_2$ by the natural way.

Definition 3 (II.E.6). A germ \mathcal{X} is the germ of a variety if there are a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of 0 and functions f_1, \dots, f_t holomorphic in \mathcal{U} , such that

$$\{x \in \mathcal{U} ; f_i(x) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t\}$$

is a representative for \mathcal{X} .

We shall denote the collection of germs of a variety at 0 by \mathcal{B} .

Definition 4 (II.E.12). A germ $V \in \mathcal{B}$ is said to be irreducible if $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ for $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ implies either $V = V_1$ or $V = V_2$.

Theorem 2 (II.E.15). Let $V \in \mathcal{B}$. We can write $V = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$ where the V_i are irreducible and $V_i \not\subset V_j$ for $i \neq j$. V_1, \dots, V_k are uniquely determined by V .

An open polydisc in \mathbb{C}^N is a subset $\Delta(w, \kappa) \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(w, \kappa) &= \Delta(w_1, \dots, w_N; \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) = \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{C}^N; |x_j - w_j| < \kappa_j, 1 \leq j \leq N\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5 (I.B.8, I.B.10). A subset M of \mathbb{C}^N is a complex submanifold of \mathbb{C}^N if to every point $\mu \in M$ there correspond a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of μ , a polydisc $\Delta(0, \sigma)$ in \mathbb{C}^k ($k \leq N$) and a nonsingular holomorphic mapping $F: \Delta(0, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ such that $F(0) = \mu$, and

$$M \cap \mathcal{U} = F(\Delta(0, \sigma)).$$

Theorem 3. Let $V \in \mathcal{B}$ be an irreducible germ. Then there exist a polydisc $\Delta(0, \kappa)$ and a set $V_0 \subset \Delta(0, \kappa)$ such that:

- (i) $\overline{V_0}$ is a representative of V ,
- (ii) for each polydisc $\Delta_1(0) \subset \Delta$ there exists a polydisc $\Delta_2(0) \subset \Delta_1(0)$ such that $V_0 \cap \Delta_2$ is a connected complex submanifold.

This theorem follows immediately from III.A.10, III.A.9 and III.A.8; this is only a reformulation of a part of Theorem III.A.10.

§ 2. The proof of Theorem 1

Let $x_0 \in D$ be fixed. Suppose that there exist points $x_m \in D$ such that

- (1) $x_m \rightarrow x_0$,
- (2) $\text{grad } f(x_m) = 0$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (3) if $m \neq m'$ then $f(x_m) \neq f(x_{m'})$.

We want to show that such sequence cannot exist.

Suppose that $x_0 = 0$ (for easy notation). In a small neighborhood of the point 0 we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0} a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_N^{\alpha_N}.$$

We can consider $E_N \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ and extend the function f on a small polydisc $\Delta = \Delta(0, \kappa) \subset \mathbb{C}^N$:

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0} a_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_N^{\alpha_N}, \quad x \in \Delta(0, \kappa).$$

From (2) we have (if $x_m \in \Delta(0, \kappa)$)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x_m) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Let $V \in \mathcal{B}$ be the germ of a variety determined by the set

$$(4) \quad V = \{x \in \Delta(0, \kappa) ; \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x) = 0, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}(x) = 0\}.$$

There is a decomposition V into its irreducible branches (see Theorem 2)

$$V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_n .$$

If V_1, \dots, V_n are representatives of V_1, \dots, V_n then there exists a polydisc $\Delta_1(0)$ such that

$$(5) \quad V \cap \Delta_1 = (V_1 \cap \Delta_1) \cup \dots \cup (V_n \cap \Delta_1) .$$

By (1) we have (for all n sufficiently large)

$$x_n \in V \cap \Delta_1$$

and hence infinite number of x_n must lie in some $V_i \cap \Delta_1$. So we can suppose that there exists a subsequence

$\{x_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$(6) \quad x_{n_j} \in V_1 \cap \Delta_1$$

for all j . Because the germ V_1 is irreducible, it follows from Theorem 3 that there exist a polydisc $\Delta_2(0)$ and a set $V_0 \subset \Delta_2$ such that

(i) $\overline{V_0}$ is a representative of V_1 ,

(ii) for every polydisc $\Delta_3(0) \subset \Delta_2$ there exists a polydisc $\Delta_4(0) \subset \Delta_3$ such that $V_0 \cap \Delta_4$ is a connected complex submanifold.

Because the sets V_1 and $\overline{V_0}$ are both representatives of the same germ V_1 , there exists a polydisc $\Delta_3(0)$ such that

$$V_1 \cap \Delta_3 = \overline{V_0} \cap \Delta_3 .$$

There exists (by (ii)) a polydisc $\Delta_4(0) \subset \Delta_3 \cap \Delta_1$ such that

$$(7) \quad V_1 \cap \Delta_4 = \overline{V_0} \cap \Delta_4$$

and $V_0 \cap \Delta_4$ is a connected complex submanifold.

We shall prove that f must be constant on $\bar{V}_0 \cap \Delta_4$. Let $z_0 \in V_0 \cap \Delta_4$ be fixed, let us denote $M = \{z \in V_0 \cap \Delta_4 ; f(z) = f(z_0)\}$.

Suppose $z \in M$. By Definition 5 there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of z , a polydisc $\Delta_k \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, ($k \leq N$) and a nonsingular holomorphic mapping F :

$$F : \Delta_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$$

such that

$$F(\Delta_k) = \mathcal{U} \cap V_0 ; F(0) = z .$$

Hence for arbitrary $w \in \mathcal{U} \cap V_0$ there exists $\rho \in \Delta_k$ such that

$$F(\rho) = w .$$

Let us denote

$$\gamma(t) = t\rho ; 0 \leq t \leq 1 .$$

Then $F(\gamma(t))$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ is a smooth curve, lying in $\mathcal{U} \cap V_0$ and by (7) and (5) we have

$$F(\gamma(t)) \in V , 0 \leq t \leq 1 ,$$

and hence (by (4))

$$\frac{d}{dt} [f(F(\gamma(t)))] = 0 , 0 \leq t \leq 1 .$$

From this it follows immediately that $f(w) = f(z)$, hence

$$\mathcal{U} \cap V_0 \subset M .$$

Because the set M is open and closed in $V_0 \cap \Delta_4$, we have

$$V_0 \cap \Delta_4 = M .$$

The function f is a constant function on $V_0 \cap \Delta_4$, and hence also on $\bar{V}_0 \cap \Delta_4$. But from (1), (6), (7) we have (for $j \geq j_0$)

$$x_{m_j} \in \bar{V}_0 \cap \Delta_4,$$

hence $f(x_{m_j}) = f(x_{m_l})$; $l, j \geq j_0$, which is a contradiction with (3).

Now the proof of Theorem 1 can be easily finished.

Suppose that $K \subset D$ is a compact set and that the set $f(Z \cap K)$ is infinite. We can find a sequence $\{x_n\}^\infty \subset Z \cap K$ such that $f(x_n) \neq f(x_m)$ (for $n \neq m$).

Then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{m_k}\}$, $x_{m_k} \rightarrow x_0 \in K$.

Because (1), (2), (3) is true for $\{x_{m_k}\}$, we have a contradiction.

R e f e r e n c e

[G-R] R. GUNNING, H. ROSSI: Analytic functions of several complex variables, Prentice-Hall, 1965.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 12.7.1971)

