

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1972

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\\_0013|log70](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0013|log70)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

ON INFORMATION IN CATEGORIES

Miroslav KATĚTOV, Praha

In this note we consider real-valued functions defined on morphisms of a given category and satisfying certain natural conditions. It is shown that if the category in question is that of all finite non-void sets, then every such a function is of the form well-known from the information theory.

Terminology and notation. For basic concepts concerning categories we refer to [3]. The classes of objects and morphisms of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  will be denoted by  $Obj \mathcal{C}$  and  $Morph \mathcal{C}$ , respectively. Letters  $f, g, h$ , possibly with subscripts, will designate morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The domain of a morphism (in particular, of a mapping)  $f$  will be denoted by  $Df$ . A sum (product) of  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ , will be denoted by  $f_1 + \dots + f_m$  (by  $f_1 \times \dots \times f_m$ ). Sometimes we will write  $\sum f_i$  instead of  $f_1 + \dots + f_m$  and  $mf$  instead of  $f + \dots + f$  ( $m$  times). If  $f$  is isomorphic to  $g$  (in the sense that there are isomorphisms  $h_1, h_2$  such that  $f = h_1 g h_2$ ), we write  $f \approx g$ .

AMS, Primary: 94A15, 18B99

Ref. Ž. 8.721, 2.726.1

The cardinality of a set  $X$  will be denoted by  $|X|$ . If  $X, Y$  are non-void sets,  $|Y| = 1$ , then the (unique) mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  will be denoted by  $i(X, Y)$  or by  $i(X)$ .

The set of all real numbers will be denoted by  $\mathbb{R}$ , that of non-negative ones by  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . For an  $x > 0$ ,  $\log x$  is the dyadic logarithm of  $x$ ; we put  $0 \log 0 = 0$ .

Definition. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. A function  $\varphi: \text{Morph } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  will be called an ID-function (ID stands for "information decrement") for  $\mathcal{C}$  if the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $f \approx g$  implies  $\varphi(f) = \varphi(g)$ ;
- (2)  $\varphi(fg) \geq \varphi(g)$  provided  $fg$  is defined;
- (3) if  $f = f_1 + \dots + f_n$  and all  $\text{Df}i$  are mutually isomorphic, then  $\varphi(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \varphi(f_i)$ ;
- (4) if  $h$  is a product of  $f$  and  $g$ , then  $\varphi(h) = \varphi(f) + \varphi(g)$ .

Conventions. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of finite non-void sets and  $\varphi: \text{Morph } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies (1), we will put: (i) for any  $X \in \text{Obj } \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\varphi(X) = \varphi(i(X))$ ; (ii) for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\varphi(n) = \varphi(X)$ , where  $|X| = n$ .

Theorem. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category of all finite non-void sets (with mappings as morphisms). A function  $\varphi: \text{Morph } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is an ID-function if and only if there is a number  $c \geq 0$  such that, for every morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  we have

$$\varphi(f) = \frac{c}{|A|} \sum_{b \in B} |f^{-1}b| \log |f^{-1}b|.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that every  $\varphi$  of the form described above is an ID-function. To show the converse, we need some lemmas. In what follows,  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of finite non-void sets.

Lemma 1. Assume that  $\varphi: \text{Morph } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies conditions (1), (3) from the definition of an ID-function. If  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is surjective, then

$$\varphi(f) = \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{b \in B} |f^{-1}b| \varphi(f^{-1}b) .$$

Proof. If  $b \in B$ , put  $m_b = |f^{-1}b|$ . Put  $m = \sum m_b$ ,  $\nu = \prod m_b$ ,  $\nu_b = \nu m_b^{-1}$ . For every  $b \in B$ , put  $q_b = \nu_b i(m_b)$ . Clearly, for every  $b \in B$ ,  $\varphi(q_b) = \varphi(i(m_b)) = \varphi(f^{-1}b)$ ,  $|\mathcal{D}q_b| = \nu$ . Put  $f' = \sum_{a \in A} q_{fa}$ ,  $f'' = \nu f$ . It is easy to see that  $f' \approx f''$ . Since  $\varphi(f') = \frac{1}{m} \sum m_b \varphi(q_b)$ ,  $\varphi(f'') = \varphi(f)$ , we obtain

$$\varphi(f) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{b \in B} m_b \varphi(q_b) .$$

This proves the assertion.

Lemma 2. Assume that  $\varphi: \text{Morph } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies conditions (1), (2), (3) and that  $\varphi(1) = 0$ . Then, for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$m \varphi(m) \leq (m+1) \varphi(m+1) .$$

Proof. Let  $A, B, C$  be sets,  $|A| = m+1$ ,  $|B| = 2$ ,  $|C| = 1$ . Choose  $g: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $g = i(m) + i(1)$ ,  $f: B \rightarrow C$ . Clearly,  $\varphi(fg) = \varphi(m+1)$ , and, by condition (2), we have  $\varphi(fg) \geq \varphi(g)$ . By Lemma 1,  $\varphi(g) = \frac{m}{m+1} \varphi(m)$ .

This proves the assertion.

Lemma 3. Let  $\psi$  be a non-negative real-valued function on the set of positive integers. Assume that  $m \cdot \psi(m) \leq (m+1) \psi(m+1)$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and that  $\psi(n^m) = m \cdot \psi(n)$  for  $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, for every  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  we have

$$\psi(m) = \psi(2) \cdot \log m .$$

The proof is standard and may be omitted.

We are now going to prove the theorem. Let  $g : \text{Morph } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfy (1) - (4). By Lemma 2, we have  $m \cdot g(m) \leq (m+1) g(m+1)$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since (4) is fulfilled, we have  $g(n^m) = m g(n)$  for  $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, by Lemma 3,  $g(m) = c \log m$ , where  $c = g(2)$ . Lemma 1 now implies that, for any surjective  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we have

$$(f) = \frac{c}{|A|} \sum_{b \in B} |f^{-1}(b)| \log |f^{-1}(b)| .$$

If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an arbitrary morphism of  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $j : f(A) \rightarrow B$  be the embedding and let  $\kappa : B \rightarrow f(A)$  be such that  $\kappa(x) = x$  for all  $x \in f(A)$ . Then  $g = \kappa f$  is surjective,  $f = j g$ . By condition (2), we have  $g(f) = g(g)$ , which proves the theorem.

Remarks. 1) Clearly, there exist categories for which there is no ID-function (except 0). An example: the category  $\mathcal{L}$  of finite-dimensional linear spaces (over some fixed field). However, for this category there exist functions  $\text{Morph } \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying (1), (2) and (4). -  
2) It may be of some interest to investigate those catego-

ries for which there exist non-trivial ID-functions. -

3) Since the cartesian product in the category of sets plays two distinct roles, that of categorical product and that of tensor product (see e.g. [2],[1]), it might be interesting to investigate, in closed categories (see e.g. [2],[1]), another concept of an ID-function with (4) replaced by an analogous condition on tensor product.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] S. EILENBERG, G.M. KELLY: Closed categories, Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif., 1965), pp. 421-562. Springer, New York, 1966.
- [2] G.M. KELLY, S. MacLANE: Coherence in closed categories, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 1(1971), 97-140.
- [3] B. MITCHELL: Theory of categories, Academic Press, 1965.

Matematický ústav ČSAV

Žitná 25

Praha 1

(Oblatum 23.11.1972)

