

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1972

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0013|log65

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

ON THE ALGEBRAIC CHARACTERIZATION OF SYSTEMS OF 1-1

PARTIAL MAPPINGS

Tomáš TICHÝ, Jiří VINÁREK, Praha

1. Let $X = \{X_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$ be a system of sets and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_a; a \in A\}$ a system of certain subsets $f \subset X_\alpha \times X_\beta$ ($\alpha, \beta \in A$). We can consider these subsets as multivalued partial mappings among sets of X which form the following operations on \mathcal{F} : a partial binary operation (the composition of relations $\circ : f, g \rightarrow f \circ g = \{(x, z); (x, y) \in g, (y, z) \in f\}$) and an unary one (the inverse relation $^{-1} : f \rightarrow f^{-1} = \{(x, y); (y, x) \in f\}$). \mathcal{F} with these operations forms an algebra called an algebraization of the system of sets and relations.

On the other hand: We have an algebra \mathcal{G} with a partial binary operation \circ and a unary operation $^{-1}$ and we try to find a system of sets and partial mappings whose algebraization is the algebra \mathcal{G} . We call such system of sets and mappings a representation of the algebra \mathcal{G} .

It is well known that an algebraization of a system

of mappings of a single set closed under the composition of mappings (the composition of mappings, the identity mapping and the inverse mapping, resp.) is a semigroup (group, resp.). Representations are given by the well-known Cayley's theorem. The problem of algebraizations and representations of categories has been solved by P. Freyd (see [3]). Similar representations of certain algebras are given in [4], too.

An algebraization of a system of all 1-1 partial mappings of a single set (including the empty mapping) is called an inverse semigroup; its representation was given in [1],[2]. In this paper, we solve a more general question of the algebraization of systems of 1-1 partial non-empty mappings closed under the inverse partial mappings and under the non-empty composition of partial mappings. (The exclusion of empty mappings is not substantial. We use it in order to simplify representations.) We give in this paper representations of algebras of 1-1 partial non-empty mappings among a set of sets, a class of sets, resp. (Theorem 1.2 resp.). In the second case we use the axiom of choice. In each of these cases we give a different representation. The correspondence between them is formulated in Theorem 3 - in fact, it is the matter of factorization.

2. Denote in this paper by $\underline{G} = (G, \cdot, {}^{-1})$ an algebra on a class \mathcal{G} , consisting of a partial binary operation \cdot and a total unary operation ${}^{-1}$. Furthermore, if $X = \{X_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$ is a system of sets, F will always denote

a system of some non-empty 1-1 partial mappings among sets from X which is closed under the inverse partial mappings and under the composition of partial mappings.

Theorem 1. Let G, A be sets; let \cdot ($^{-1}$ resp.) be a partial binary (total unary, resp.) operation on G . Then \underline{G} is an algebraization of the system (X, F) if and only if the following conditions for any $a, b, c \in G$ hold:

- (1) $(ab)c$ is defined if and only if $a(bc)$ is defined; then $(ab)c = a(bc)$;
- (2) $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$;
- (3) ab is defined if and only if $b^{-1}a^{-1}$ is defined and then $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$;
- (4) $aa^{-1}a$ is defined and $aa^{-1}a = a$;
- (5) $(aa^{-1})(bb^{-1}) = (bb^{-1})(aa^{-1})$ whenever one of these two expressions is defined.

Remark. It is easy to see that in the case of a total binary operation we obtain precisely the inverse semigroup axioms.

Proof of Theorem 1. Obviously, the algebraization of any system (X, F) satisfies conditions (1) - (5). On the other hand, from (1) - (5) for an algebra \underline{G} , further conditions follow:

- (A) If ab is defined, then $a^{-1}ab, abb^{-1}$ are also defined. ($(ab)^{-1}ab, ab(ab)^{-1}$ are defined (see (4)), hence from (3) and (1) $b^{-1}(a^{-1}ab), (abb^{-1})a^{-1}$ are defined.)
- (B) If we denote $J = \{aa^{-1}; a \in G\}$, then for any $\dot{j}_1, \dot{j}_2, \dot{j}_3 \in J$, equations $\dot{j}_1\dot{j}_2 = \dot{j}_2, \dot{j}_2\dot{j}_3 = \dot{j}_3$ imply

$\dot{g}_1 \dot{g}_2 = \dot{g}_3$. (We have $\dot{g}_3 = \dot{g}_2 \dot{g}_1 = (\dot{g}_1 \dot{g}_2) \dot{g}_3 = \dot{g}_1 (\dot{g}_2 \dot{g}_3) = \dot{g}_1 \dot{g}_3$.)

Denote R the following binary relation on G : for $a, b \in G$ there is $(a, b) \in R$ if and only if ab^{-1} is defined in G . Denote \approx the equivalence generated by R . Now we can define the system (X, F) . Putting $X = \{X_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$, where X_α are just different classes of the equivalence \approx , we shall take for F a system of mappings f_a of sets X_α indexed by elements of G , where f_a are defined as follows:

for $x \in G$, $f_a(x)$ is defined if and only if xa is defined and $xaa^{-1} = x$. Then we put $f_a(x) = xa$. Clearly, $f_a(aa^{-1})$ is always defined. Moreover, $f_a(x) = f_a(y)$ implies $xa = ya$ and $xaa^{-1} = x$, $yaa^{-1} = y$, hence $x = y$. If $f_a(x)$, $f_a(y)$ are defined, we have $x \approx y$ and $f_a(x) \approx f_a(y)$. We can see that f_a are suitable non-empty one-to-one partial mappings and it remains to prove that (X, F) is a representation of \underline{G} .

(a) For any $a, b \in G$ we have $f_b \circ f_a = f_{ab}$. Whenever $f_{ab}(x)$ and $(f_b \circ f_a)(x)$ are defined, we find $f_b(f_a(x)) = (xa)b = x(ab) = f_{ab}(x)$. If $f_{ab}(x)$ is defined, we get $x(ab)(ab)^{-1} = x$. Thus xa is defined and from (B), (5), $(ab)(ab)^{-1}aa^{-1} = ab(ab)^{-1}$, $x^{-1}x(ab)(ab)^{-1} = x^{-1}x$ we can deduce $x^{-1}xaa^{-1} = x^{-1}x$. Thus $xaa^{-1} = x$, i.e. $f_a(x)$ is defined. Furthermore, $(xa)b$ is always defined and $xab \cdot b^{-1}a^{-1} = x$ implies that $xab b^{-1} = xa$, i.e. $(f_b \circ f_a)(x)$ is defined, too.

If $(f_b \circ f_a)(x)$ is defined, we have $xaa^{-1} = x$,

$xabb^{-1} = xa$. Thus $x(ab)(ab)^{-1} = xaa^{-1} = x$ and $f_{ab}(x)$ is also defined.

(b) For every $a \in G$, f_a and $f_{a^{-1}}$ are mutually inverse. We have $f_a \circ f_{a^{-1}} = f_{a^{-1}a}$, $f_{a^{-1}} \circ f_a = f_{aa^{-1}}$; $f_{a^{-1}a}(x) = xa^{-1}a = x$, $f_{aa^{-1}}(y) = yaa^{-1} = y$, whenever $f_{a^{-1}}(x)$, $f_a(y)$ are defined.

(c) For $a, b \in G$, $a \neq b$ implies $f_a \neq f_b$.

If $f_a = f_b$, then $f_a(aa^{-1}) = f_b(aa^{-1})$ and $f_a(bb^{-1}) = f_b(bb^{-1})$. Hence $aa^{-1} = aa^{-1}bb^{-1} = bb^{-1}aa^{-1} = bb^{-1}$ and $a = aa^{-1}b = bb^{-1}b = b$.

Theorem 2. Let G be a class, let X be a system of sets. Then an algebra \underline{G} is the algebraization of a system (X, F) if and only if \underline{G} satisfies:

(1) - (5) from Theorem 1;

(6) if we put $\tilde{G} = \{x \in G; xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x\}$ and define $a \approx b$ if and only if there exist $a_0, \dots, a_m \in \tilde{G}$, $a_0 = a$, $a_m = b$ such that $a_i a_{i+1}$ is defined for $i = 0, \dots, m-1$, then $\{x \in \tilde{G}; x \approx a\}$ is a set for every $a \in \tilde{G}$.

It is evident that the algebraization of any system F satisfies conditions (1) - (6). The sufficiency will result from the following three lemmas.

Lemma 1. $M(a) = \{x \in G; xx^{-1} = aa^{-1} \text{ and } x^{-1}x = a^{-1}a\}$ is a set for every $a \in G$.

Proof. $g(x) = a^{-1}x$ defines a mapping g from $M(a)$ into \tilde{G} . Obviously, $a^{-1}x$ is always defined and $g(x)[g(x)]^{-1} = a^{-1}xx^{-1}a = a^{-1}aa^{-1}a = x^{-1}xx^{-1}x =$

$= x^{-1}aa^{-1}x = [g(x)]^{-1}g(x)$. Moreover, g is injective
 (if $g(x) = g(y)$, then $aa^{-1}x = aa^{-1}y$; hence $xx^{-1}x =$
 $= yy^{-1}y$ and $x = y$) and $g(x) \approx g(y)$ for any $x, y \in$
 $\in \mathcal{M}(a)$. Condition (6) finishes the proof.

Lemma 2. Denote $d(a) = aa^{-1}$, $\kappa(a) = a^{-1}a$ for every
 $a \in G$. Then there is a mapping $K: G \rightarrow G$ with the
 following properties:

- (I) $d[K(a)] = \kappa(a)$, $\kappa[K(a)] = d(a)$ for every $a \in G$;
- (II) $[K(a)]^{-1} = K(a^{-1})$ for every $a \in G$;
- (III) if $\kappa(a) = d(b)$, then $K(b)K(a)$ is defined and
 $K(ab) = K(b) \cdot K(a)$;
- (IV) if $\kappa(a) = \kappa(b)$ and $d(a) = d(b)$, then $K(a) =$
 $= K(b)$.

Proof. We denote $J = \{aa^{-1}; a \in G\}$. We can define
 $(a, b) \in S$ if and only if $\kappa(a) = d(b)$ and denote \sim
 the equivalence generated by the binary relation S . Now,
 we can consider only classes of this equivalence. Let C be
 such a class and let $a \in C$. In view of $aa^{-1} \in J \cap C$,
 the class $J \cap C$ is non-empty and we can define $x_c \in J \cap$
 $\cap C$ using the axiom of choice. $M(x) = \{a \in G; d(a) = x_c, \kappa(a) = x\}$
 is a non-empty set for every $x \in J \cap C$ according to the
 definition of \sim and Lemma 1; so we can select $\bar{x} \in M(x)$.
 Now, we put $K(a) = [\overline{\kappa(a)}]^{-1} \overline{d(a)}$ for every $a \in G$. If
 $a \in G$, then $d(\overline{\kappa(a)})^{-1} = \kappa(a)$, $\kappa(\overline{\kappa(a)})^{-1} = d(\overline{d(a)}) =$
 $= x_c$, $\kappa(\overline{d(a)}) = d(a)$, $\kappa(K(a)) = d(a)$, $d(K(a)) =$

$$= (\overline{\kappa(a)})^{-1} \overline{d(a)} (\overline{d(a)})^{-1} \overline{\kappa(a)} = (\overline{\kappa(a)})^{-1} \overline{d(\overline{\kappa(a)})} \overline{\kappa(a)} = \kappa(a).$$

Thus the definition of K is correct and (I) is proved.

From $d(a) = \kappa(a^{-1})$, $\kappa(a) = d(a^{-1})$ it follows (II).

If $\kappa(a) = d(b)$, then $\kappa(ab) = \kappa(b)$, $d(ab) = d(b)$ and

$$K(ab) = (\overline{\kappa(b)})^{-1} \overline{d(a)} = (\overline{\kappa(b)})^{-1} \overline{d(b)} (\overline{d(b)})^{-1} \overline{d(a)} = K(b)K(a),$$

i.e. (III) holds. Obviously, (IV) holds, too.

Lemma 3. Let K be a mapping from Lemma 2. Then for every $a \in G$ $K(aa^{-1}) = aa^{-1}$.

$$\text{Proof. } K(aa^{-1}) = K(a^{-1})K(a) = \kappa[K(a)] = d(a) = aa^{-1}.$$

Now, we can prove Theorem 2. The relation \approx from (6) is clearly an equivalence. We can define the system (X, F) in this way: X is a system of all the classes of the equivalence \approx (which are sets according to (6)). F is a system of all the mappings \tilde{F}_a ($a \in G$) defined $\tilde{F}_a(x) = K(xa)xa$ whenever $xaa^{-1} = x$.

If $\tilde{F}_a(x)$, $\tilde{F}_a(y)$ are defined, then $x \approx y$ ($a_0 = x$, $a_1 = aa^{-1}$, $a_2 = y$, $a_i a_{i+1}$ are defined for $i = 0, 1$); we have also $\tilde{F}_a(x) \approx \tilde{F}_a(y)$ ($a'_0 = \tilde{F}_a(x)$, $a'_1 = a^{-1}a$, $a'_2 = \tilde{F}_a(y)$). Obviously $\tilde{F}_a(aa^{-1})$

is always defined. If $\tilde{F}_a(x) = \tilde{F}_a(y)$, then $K(xa)xa a^{-1} = K(ya)ya a^{-1}$, i.e. $K(xa)x = K(ya)y$.

From Lemma 3 it follows that $d(a^{-1}x^{-1}x) = d(a^{-1}y^{-1}y)$ and $\kappa(a^{-1}x^{-1}x) = \kappa(a^{-1}y^{-1}y)$. This fact implies $\kappa(xa) = \kappa(ya)$, $\kappa(x) = \kappa(y) = d(y) = d(x)$ and $d(xa) = d(ya)$. Then $K(xa) = K(ya)$, $K(a^{-1}x^{-1})K(xa)x = K(a^{-1}y^{-1})K(ya)y$,

$K(xaa^{-1}x^{-1})x = K(yaa^{-1}y^{-1})y$ and $x = y$. Thus \tilde{f}_a are suitable non-empty one-to-one partial mappings.

Now we prove that $\tilde{f}_b \circ \tilde{f}_a = \tilde{f}_{ab}$ for any $a, b \in G$. If $(\tilde{f}_b \circ \tilde{f}_a)(x)$ is defined, then $K(a^{-1}x^{-1})K(xa)xab^{-1} = K(a^{-1}x^{-1})K(xa)xa$ and from Lemmas 2 and 3 it follows that $xabb^{-1}a^{-1} = xaa^{-1} = x$, i.e. $\tilde{f}_{ab}(x)$ is defined. If $\tilde{f}_{ab}(x)$ is defined, then $x = xabb^{-1}a^{-1} = x(x^{-1}x)(aa^{-1})abb^{-1}a^{-1} = x(aa^{-1})(x^{-1}x)abb^{-1}a^{-1} = x(aa^{-1})(x^{-1}x) = xaa^{-1}$, i.e. $\tilde{f}_a(x)$ is defined. Furthermore,

$$K(xa)xa = K(xa)xa(bb^{-1})(a^{-1}a) = K(xa)xa(a^{-1}a)(bb^{-1}) = K(xa)xab^{-1}, \text{ hence } (\tilde{f}_b \circ \tilde{f}_a)(x) \text{ is defined, too.}$$

$$\text{Finally, } (\tilde{f}_b \circ \tilde{f}_a)(x) = K[K(xa)xab]K(xa)xab = K(a^{-1}x^{-1}xab)K(xa)xab = K(xab)xab = \tilde{f}_{ab}(x).$$

Obviously $\tilde{f}_{aa^{-1}}(x)$ is defined if and only if $\tilde{f}_a(x)$ is defined; we have $\tilde{f}_{aa^{-1}}(x) = K(xaa^{-1})xaa^{-1} = K(x)x = x$.

A similar consideration shows that $\tilde{f}_{a^{-1}a}(y) = y$, if $\tilde{f}_{a^{-1}}(y)$ is defined. Thus \tilde{f}_a and $\tilde{f}_{a^{-1}}$ are mutually inverse.

Finally we have to prove that $a \neq b$ implies $\tilde{f}_a \neq \tilde{f}_b$.

Suppose $\tilde{f}_a = \tilde{f}_b$. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can prove that $aa^{-1} = bb^{-1}$, which implies $K(aa^{-1}a)aa^{-1}a = K(bb^{-1}b)bb^{-1}b$, i.e. $K(a)a = K(b)b$ and $a^{-1}a = b^{-1}b$. Thus $K(a) = K(b)$ and $K(a^{-1})K(a)a = K(b^{-1})K(b)b$, i.e. $a = b$.

Theorem 3. Let $\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1} \rangle$ be an algebra from Theo-

rem 1, i.e. let \mathcal{G} be a set. Let \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z} resp. be systems of sets and some of their partial mappings which are the representations of the algebra \mathcal{G} in the sense of Theorem 1, 2 resp. Then \mathcal{Z} is a factorization of \mathcal{U} .

Proof. Let us put $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \{a \in \mathcal{G}; aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a\}$. For certain sets $\bar{\mathcal{U}}, \bar{\mathcal{Z}}$ we have $\mathcal{U} = \langle \{0_p; p \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}\}, \{f_a; a \in \mathcal{G}\} \rangle$, $\mathcal{Z} = \langle \{0'_q; q \in \bar{\mathcal{Z}}\}, \{f'_a; a \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}\} \rangle$. (The definition of sets $\bar{\mathcal{U}}, \bar{\mathcal{Z}}$ and of mappings f_a, f'_a follows clearly from Theorems 1 and 2.)

We define $h: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ as $h(x) = K(x) \cdot x$ and we shall show that h is the required factorization.

(a) $h(x)(h(x))^{-1} = K(x)xx^{-1}[K(x)]^{-1} = K(x)K(xx^{-1})K(x^{-1}) = x^{-1}x = x^{-1}K(xx^{-1})x = (h(x))^{-1} \cdot h(x)$, i.e. $h(x) \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Putting $\tilde{y} = K(y^{-1})y$ for $y \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, we get $h(\tilde{y}) = K(\tilde{y})\tilde{y} = K(y)K(y^{-1})y = y$.

(b) For every $p \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ there exists $q \in \bar{\mathcal{Z}}$ such that $h(0_p) \subset 0'_q$. It is sufficient to prove that $(x, y) \in R$ implies $h(x) \approx h(y)$. If we denote $a_0 = h(x)$, $a_1 = y^{-1}K(y^{-1})$, $a_2 = h(y)$, we can easily see that $a_i a_{i+1}$ is defined for $i = 0, 1$, i.e. $h(x) \approx h(y)$.

(c) For every $q \in \bar{\mathcal{Z}}$ there exists $p \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $h^{-1}(0'_q) \subset 0_p$. We have to prove that for any $x, y \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ xy^{-1} is defined, whenever xy is defined. We have $xy = xy y^{-1}y = x y^{-1} y y$ and xy^{-1} is defined, too.

(d) Finally, if $f_a(x)$ is defined, then $f'_a(h(x)) = h(f_a(x))$. We have $h(x)aa^{-1} = K(x)xaa^{-1} = K(x)x = h(x)$ and $f'_a(h(x))$ is defined. Moreover, we get $f'_a(h(x)) =$

$$= K(K(x)xa)K(x)xa = K(x^{-1}xa)K(x)xa = K(xa)xa = h(xa) = h(\xi_a(x))$$

and Theorem 3 is proved.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] VAGNER V.V.: K tĕorii ĉastiĉnyĉ preobrazovanij, Doklady AN SSSR 84(1952),653-656.
- [2] VAGNER V.V.: Obobščennyje grupy, Doklady AN SSSR 84 (1952),1119-1122.
- [3] FREYD P.: Concreteness, to appear in J.of Pure and Appl.Alg.
- [4] SCHEIN B.M.: Relation Algebras and Function Semigroups, Semigroup Forum 1(1970),1-62.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta
 Karlova universita
 Sokolovská 83, Praha 8
 Āeskoslovensko

(Oblatum 21.8.1972)