

Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1972

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0013|log33

Kontakt/Contact

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

13,2 (1972)

hausdorff measures of the set of critical values of functions of the class $c^{\mathbf{k}\,,\lambda}$

Milan KUČERA, Praha

This paper deals with the problem of critical values of real functions. The following assertion is known for functions of one variable (see [1]): If f is a function of the class $C^{M,\lambda}$, then $\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(f(Z))=0$, where $\lambda=\frac{1}{M+\lambda}$, μ_{λ} is a λ -Hausdorff measure and Z denotes the set of all critical points of the function f. In this paper there is proved an analogous assertion for functions defined on some open set in E_n . Theorem 4.2 and Remark 4.1 give a full answer to the question how big the set of critical values can be in dependence of the smoothness of our function f. This result is proved for $\lambda=0$ (i.e. for $f\in C^{M}$) in [2],[3],[4].

I am indebted to Professor J. Nečas for his valuable advices.

1. Notations and terminology. We shall denote by Ω a fixed open set in the n-dimensional Euclidean space E_n . Let k be a positive integer number, $\lambda \in \{0,1\}$, let f be a function defined on Ω . Then we write $f \in C^{k,\lambda}(\Omega)$ if

AMS, Primary: 26A16, 58E99 Ref. Z. 751

Secondary: -

f has on Ω continuous derivatives of all orders not exceeding k and if derivatives of the order k are λ -Hölderian. We shall denote the set of critical points of the given function by $Z=\{x\in\Omega; \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)=0, i=1,...,m\}.$ If $\beta=(\beta_1,\ \beta_2,...,\ \beta_m)$ is a multiindex then we write $|\beta|=(\beta_1+...+\beta_m)$ and $D^\beta f=\frac{\partial^{|\beta|} f}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1}\partial x_2^{\beta_2}...\partial x_m^{\beta_m}}$. Suppose ψ

is a mapping defined on a domain D in E_d , the range of which lies in E_n . We denote by ψ_1,\ldots,ψ_n the components of this mapping and write $\psi\in C^{h_1,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ if $\psi_i\in C^{h_1,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$.

The composition of the function f and of the mapping ψ is denoted by $f * \psi$, the derivative of this composition is denoted by $\mathbb{D}^B(f * \psi)$; the symbol $\mathbb{D}^Bf * \psi$ denotes the composition of the function \mathbb{D}^Bf and of ψ .

If $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\in E_m$, then we put $\|x\|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} . \quad \text{By } \mathbb{D}(x) \quad \text{we denote an open ball}$ with the center in the point x. If $x^0\in E_m$, then by $\overline{xx^0}$ we denote an open segment with the extreme points x, x^0 .

2. General remarks

Remark 2.1. Let $F_1, \ldots, F_k \in C^{h,\lambda}(\Omega)$ be functions, $x^0 \in \Omega$. Suppose, for each $i=1,\ldots, n$, there exists j such that $\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(x^0) \neq 0$, $F_i(x^0) = 0$. Denote $N = \{x \in \Omega : F_i(x) = 0 \text{ for each } i=1,\ldots, n\} \text{ Then there exists a number } d < n \text{ , the balls } D(x^0) \subset \Omega \text{ ,}$ $D(q^0) \subset E_d \text{ and a mapping } \Phi \ll C^{h,\lambda}(D(q^0)) \text{ such }$

that $\Phi(q^o) = x^o$, $N \cap D(x^o) \subset \Phi(D(q^o)) \subset \Omega$ and such that either d = 1 or

(1)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}} (F_{i} * \Phi) (u_{j}^{o}) = 0$$
 for each $i = 1, ..., b$; $j = 1, ..., d$.

Proof. We can choose a submatrix I of the matrix

$$M = \left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(x^0)\right) \begin{array}{l} \dot{\delta} = 1, \dots, n \\ i = 1, \dots, n \end{array}$$
 with the following proper-

ties: $det I \neq 0$ and rank I = max(nank S), where maximum is taken over all submatrices S of M such that nank S < m. We can suppose

$$I = \left(\frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(x^{o})\right)^{j} = 1, ..., n$$

$$i = 1, ..., n$$
where $0 < n < m$, $n \le s$.

From the implicit function theorem it follows that there exist the balls $\mathbb{D}(x^o)\subset\Omega$, $\mathbb{D}(y^o)\subset\mathbb{E}_d$, where $d=m-\kappa$ and the functions $\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_\kappa\in\mathcal{C}^{4e,\lambda}(\mathbb{D}(y^o))$ such that

(2)
$$F_i(g_1(y), ..., g_n(y), y_1, ..., y_{m-k}) = 0$$

for $i = 1, ..., k$, $y = (y_1, ..., y_{m-k}) \in D(y^0)$,

(3) if
$$x \in D(x^0)$$
, $x \in N$, then $x_i = q_i(x_{n+1}, ..., x_m)$ for $i = 1, ..., \kappa$.

Define $\dot{\Phi}(y) = (g_1(y_1), \dots, g_n(y_1), y_1, \dots, y_d)$ for

 $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{D}(y^0)$. By (3) we have $\mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{D}(x^0) \subset \tilde{\Phi}(\mathbb{D}(y^0))$. The condition (1) for $i = 1, \dots, \kappa$ follows from (2). If d > 1, then κ $M = \kappa$ and the vectors $\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_1}(x^0), \dots, \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_m}(x^0)\right)$ for i = 1

= x+1,..., & are linear combinations of

$$\left(\frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial x_{1}}(x^{o}), \dots, \frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial x_{m}}(x^{o})\right) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From here the condition (1) follows for $i = \kappa + 1, ..., b$ too.

Remark 2.2. Let $F \in C^{\ell}(\Omega)$ be a function, $x^0 \in \Omega$, $D^{\beta}F(x^0)=0$ for each $0<|\beta| \leq \ell-1$. Suppose D is a ball in E_d , $d \leq n$. Let $\psi \in C^{(1)}(D)$ be a mapping, $\psi(D) \subset \Omega$, $x^0 \in D$, $\psi(x^0) = x^0$. Denote

$$C_1 = \max_{\substack{i = 1, \dots, m \\ j = 1, \dots, d}} \left(\sup_{x \in D} \left| \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial x_j} (x) \right| \right) < + \infty.$$

Then for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$ there exists $z^1 \in \overline{zz}^0$ and C > 0 (C depends on C_1 and ℓ only) such that

$$|F(\psi(z)) - F(\psi(z^{\circ}))| \le C \cdot \sum_{|\beta|=\ell} |D^{\beta}F(\psi(z^{1}))| \cdot ||z - z^{\circ}||^{\ell}$$
.

Proof. There exists $z^1 \in \overline{zz}^0$ such that $|F(\psi(z)) - F(\psi(z^0))| = |\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} (F * \psi)(z^1) \cdot (z_j - z_j^0)| = |\sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} (\psi(z^1)) \cdot \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial z_j} (z^1) \cdot (z_j - z_j^0)| \le$

$$\leq C_1 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial x_i} \left(\psi(x^1) \right| \cdot \|x - x^0\|.$$

In a similar way we can estimate

$$\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(\psi(z^{1}))\right| = \left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(\psi(z^{1})) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(\psi(z^{0}))\right| \leq$$

$$\leq C_{1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left| \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{t}} \partial x_{t} \left(\psi \left(z^{2} \right) \right) \right| \cdot \| z^{1} - z^{0} \|$$

where $\|z^0 - z^1\| \le \|z - z^0\|$. Further we can estimate $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_i} (\psi(z^2))$ etc. After a finite number of steps we obtain our assertion.

Remark 2.3. (Hausdorff measure.) Suppose A is a subset in E_m and b is a positive real number. For each c>0 define $\mu_{b,c}(A)=\inf_{i\geq 1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\dim A_i)^b$, the infimum being taken over all countable coverings $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of A such that $\dim A_i < c$. The number $\mu_b(A)=\lim_{k \to 0+}\mu_{b,c}(A)$ is said to be b-Hausdorff measure of A. If $\mu_b(A)=0$, then we say A is b-null.

It is easy to see: if A is h-null, then A is n-null for each n > h. If h = m, then we obtain Lebesgue measure.

3. Some estimates for functions of the class $C^{k,\lambda}(\Omega)$ Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in C^{k,\lambda}(\Omega)$ be a function. Then there exists a countable system of sets $\{M_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ such that

(4) Z \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ is countable;

(5) for each positive integer t there exists $C_t > 0$ such that $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \le C_t ||x_1 - x_2||^{4k+2}$ for each $|x_1| < x_2 \in M_t$.

Remark 3.1. A similar assertion is proved in [2], but for $\Lambda=0$ only. A.P. Morse proves it by using induction for m+k. Theorem 3.1 can be proved in a similar way. But in this paper, a constructive proof is given. This proof is based on the fact that each set M_{\pm} lies in some hyperplane; this hyperplane is characterized by the mapping $\Phi = \Phi_1 \times \ldots \times \Phi_n$ (on some neighborhood of a point χ^0) from Construction 3.1 and Lemma 3.1; the number d_n is the dimension of this hyperplane.

Construction 3.1. Suppose $x^0 \in Z$ is a fixed point. We shall associate a finite number of mappings Φ_1, \dots, Φ_{12} to this point.

Let k_{ij} be the smallest entire number such that $\mathbb{D}^{\beta}f(x_{ij})=0$ for all $|\beta|\leq k-k_{ij}$. If $k_{ij}=0$, then we need not any mapping, that means our hyperplane (see Remark 3.1) has dimension m. Assume $k_{ij}>0$. Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}}\mathbb{D}^{\beta}f(x^{0})\neq 0$ for some i, β , $1\leq j\leq m$, $|\beta|=k-k_{ij}$. Denote $Z_{k_{ij}}=i$ x $\in Z$; $\mathbb{D}^{\beta}f(x)=0$ for all $|\beta|\leq k-k_{ij}$. From the implicit function theorem it follows that there exist the balls

 $\mathbb{D}(x^o) \subset \Omega$, $\mathbb{D}(y^o) \subset \mathbb{E}_{d_1}$, $(d_1 < m)$ and a mapping $\Phi_1 \in C^{k_1, \lambda}$ $(\mathbb{D}(y^o))$ such that

(6)
$$Z_{A_{k_1}} \cap D(x^\circ) \subset \Phi_1(D(y^\circ)) \subset \Omega$$
, $\Phi_1(y^\circ) = x^\circ$

and such that either $d_1 = 1$ or

(7)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}} \left(D^{\beta} f * \Phi_{1} \right) \left(w^{\delta} \right) = 0$$

for each $|\beta| = \Re - \Re_1$, $j = 1, \dots, d_1$

(see Remark 2.1; we set $F_i = D^{cc} f$, where cc^i , i = 1,..., 5 are all nullindexes such that $|cc^i| = kc - kc$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} D^{\alpha i} f(x^{o}) \neq 0 \quad \text{for some } j \text{). Define } D_{1} = D(y^{o}).$$

If $d_1 = 1$, then we set p = 1 and we conclude our construction.

Suppose $d_1 > 1$. Let k_2 be the smallest number such that $k_2 < k_1$ and

(8)
$$\mathcal{D}^{a}(\mathcal{D}^{b^{1}}f * \Phi_{1})(\psi) = 0$$

for each $|\beta^1| = k - k_1$, $|\beta| \leq k_1 - k_2$

for $y = y^0$ (β denotes d_1 -dimensional multiindex in (8)). If $k_2 = 0$, then we set $\mu = 1$ and we conclude our construction.

Suppose $k_2 > 0$ and denote

$$Z_{A_{k_1}, A_{k_2}} = f \times \epsilon Z_{k_1}$$
; $x = \Phi_1(y)$, (8) is valid x .

We have
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\dot{\beta}}} \mathbb{D}^{\beta} (\mathbb{D}^{\beta^{1}} \in \mathbb{A}_{1}) (y^{0}) \neq 0$$
 for some β^{1} , β , $\dot{\beta}$, $|\beta^{1}| = k - k_{1}$, $|\beta| = k_{1} - k_{2}$, $1 \leq \dot{\beta} \leq d_{1}$.

We can, by using implicit function theorem (analogously as in the case of Φ_1 - see Remark 2.1) construct the balls $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{x}^o)\subset\Omega$, $\mathbb{D}_2\subset\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}_2}$, $(\mathbf{d}_2<\mathbf{d}_1)$ and a mapping $\Phi_2\in\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{k}_2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D}_2)$ such that

$$(6') \ Z_{\frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{2},\frac{\mathbf{a}_2}{2}} \cap \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{x}^o) \subset \Phi_1 * \Phi_2(\mathbb{D}_2) \subset \Omega \ , \ \Phi_2(\mathbf{v}^o) = \psi^o$$

and such that either $d_2 = 1$ or

(7')
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\dot{x}}} \left(\mathcal{D}^{\beta} \left(\mathcal{D}^{\beta^{1}} \mathbf{f} * \Phi_{1} \right) * \Phi_{2} \right) (v^{\circ}) = 0$$

for each $|\beta^1| = \Re - \Re_1, |\beta| = \Re_1 - \Re_2, \ j = 1, ..., d_2$.

If $d_2=1$, then we set p=2 and conclude our construction. Suppose $d_2>1$. Analogously as k_2 , we can take the smallest entire number k_2 such that $k_2< k_2$ and

(8')
$$D^{\beta}(D^{\beta^2}D^{\beta^4}f * \Phi_1) * \Phi_2)(v) = 0$$

for each
$$|\beta^{1}| = k - k_{1}$$
, $|\beta^{2}| = k_{1} - k_{2}$, $|\beta| \leq k_{2} - k_{3}$,

and for $v = v^0$ (β^4 , β^2 , β is m-dimensional, d_1 -dimensional,

 d_2 -dimensional multiindex, respectively). If $k_3=0$, then we set =2. Assume $k_3>0$. Then we can (analogously as Z_{k_1}, \dots, Φ_1) construct the sets $Z_{k_1}, \dots, E_2, \dots$, $Z_{k_2}, \dots, Z_{k_3}, \dots$ and mappings Φ_2 , Φ_4, \dots , respectively. It is easy to see that after a finite number of steps we obtain the following assertion:

Lemma 3.1. To each point $x^0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, a finite number of mappings Φ_1, \dots, Φ_n and a ball $\mathbb{D}(x^0)$ can be associated such that (we use the notation from Construction 3.1)

- (9) $\Phi_{\ell} \in C^{k_{\ell} 2}$ (D_{ℓ}), D_{ℓ} is a ball in $E_{d_{\ell}}$, $\ell = 1, ..., n$,

 where $k_{n} < k_{n-1} < ... < k_{1} \le k$; $d_{n} < d_{n-1} < ... < d_{1} < n$;
- (10) $\Phi_{\ell}(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\ell-1}$, $Z_{k_1,\dots,k_{\ell}} \cap \mathcal{D}(x^{\circ}) \subset \Phi_1 * \dots * \Phi_{\ell}(\mathcal{D}_{\ell}) \subset \Omega$, $\ell = 1,\dots,\ell$;
- (11) $\mathbb{D}^{\beta} (\mathbb{D}^{\beta^{\ell}} (\dots (\mathbb{D}^{\beta^{2}} (\mathbb{D}^{\beta^{1}} f * \Phi_{1}) * \Phi_{2}) \dots) * \Phi_{\ell}) (w) = 0$
- for $v = v^{\circ}$, $(\Phi_1 * ... * \Phi_{\ell} (v^{\circ}) = x^{\circ})$, $|\beta^1| = \Re \Re_1, |\beta^2| = \Re_1 \Re_2, ..., |\beta^{\ell}| = \Re_{\ell-1} \Re_{\ell},$ $|\beta| \leq \Re_{\ell} \Re_{\ell+1} \text{ and for } \ell = 1, ..., p-1;$
- if $d_n>1$, then this holds for l=p, $k_{p+1}=0$, too. Let us define $\Phi(v)=\Phi_1*\dots*\Phi_p(v)$ for $v\in D_p$. Lemma 3.2. There exists a finite number of sets

 Z^1, \ldots, Z^2 such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} Z^j = Z$ and each set Z^j contains all points $x \in Z$ of the same type in the following sense:

if x^4 , $x^2 \in Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and if ϕ_1^4 ,..., $\phi_{p_1}^4$; ϕ_1^2 ,..., $\phi_{p_2}^2$; respectively, are the corresponding mappings associated to the points x_1 , x_2 , respectively, by Lemma 3.1, then $\phi_1 = \phi_2$, $\phi_1^4 = \phi_2^2$ and the implicit function theorem is used for the same combination of variables in each step of Construction 3.1 (i.e. the domains of ϕ_1^4 , ϕ_2^2 lie in the same subspace of E_m , i=1,..., $p_1=p_2$).

<u>Proof.</u> The assertion follows from Construction 3.1 and Lemma 3.1.

Remark 3.2. Assume x^1 , $x^2 \in Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (\$\frac{1}{2}\$ fixed). Let $\Phi^1_{\frac{1}{4}}$, $\Phi^2_{\frac{1}{4}}$, $i=1,\ldots,n$ be the corresponding mappings (see Lemma 3.1, 3.2) with the domains $D^1_{\frac{1}{4}}$, $D^2_{\frac{1}{4}}$. Then $\Phi^1_{\frac{1}{4}} = \Phi^2_{\frac{1}{4}}$ on $D^1_{\frac{1}{4}} \cap D^2_{\frac{1}{4}}$. It follows from the construction of these mappings, from the fact that x^1 , $x^2 \in Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for the same \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and from the unicity of the implicit function.

Remark 3.3. Assume $x' \in Z^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Then the condition (11) is fulfilled for each $v \in \mathbb{D}_{\ell}$ such that $\Phi_1 * \dots * \Phi_{\ell} (v) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{p}}$. This follows from Remark 3.2 and from the validity (11) for mappings associated to the point $x = \Phi_1 * \dots \Phi_{\ell} (v)$.

Remark 3.4. Suppose $x^o \in \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $\mathbb{D}(x^o) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \mathbb{C} \oplus (\mathbb{D}_n)$. This follows from (10), because

 $\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{x}^{0}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{i} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{k_{1}}, ..., k_{n}$ for some set $\mathbb{Z}_{k_{1}}, ..., k_{n}$ (see Construction 3.1 and Remark 3.2).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. An open ball $\mathfrak{D}(x^o)$ from Lemma 3.1 corresponds to each point $x^o \in Z^{\frac{1}{p}}$. These balls cover $Z^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and therefore we can select a countable covering $\{\mathfrak{D}(x^t)\}_{t=1}^\infty$ of the set $Z^{\frac{1}{p}}$. We have a finite number of sets $Z^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Hence, it is sufficient to prove: if $x^o \in Z^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is a fixed point, then there exists a set $M \subset Z^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is a fixed point, then there exists a set $M \subset Z^{\frac{1}{p}}$ such that $|f(x^4) - f(x^2)| \le C ||x^4 - x^2||^{4p+2p}$ for each $x^4, x^2 \in M$ and the set $Z^{\frac{1}{p}} \cap \mathfrak{D}(x^o) \setminus M$ is countable.

Let $x^o \in Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be fixed. We shall use the notation from Construction 3.1 and Lemma 3.1. Denote $A = \{v \in D_{p_i}, \bar{\Phi}(v) \in 2D(x^o) \cap Z^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$, $M = \bar{\Phi}(A' \cap A)$, where A' is the set of all limit points of A. By Remark 3.4, we have $D(x^o) \cap Z^{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \bar{\Phi}(A)$, the set $A \setminus A'$ countable, therefore $D(x^o) \cap Z^{\frac{1}{2}} \setminus M$ is countable. Suppose x^1 , $x \in M$, v^1 , $v \in A'$, $\bar{\Phi}(v^1) = x^1$, $\bar{\Phi}(v) = x$. We have $D^0 f(x) = 0$ for $|\beta| \in k - k$ (see Construction 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 - we have $x, x^o \in Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for the same $\frac{1}{2}$). By Remark 2.2 (we put F = f, $\psi = \bar{\Phi}$)

 $|f(x^{1}) - f(x)| \leq C \sum_{|\beta| = \hat{n} - \hat{n}_{1}} |D^{\beta} f(\tilde{\Phi}(v^{2}))| \cdot ||v^{1} - v||^{\hat{n}_{1} - \hat{n}_{1}} =$ (12) $= C \sum_{|\beta| = \hat{n}_{1} - \hat{n}_{2}} |(D^{\beta} f * \tilde{\Phi}_{1})(\tilde{\Phi}_{2} * \dots * \tilde{\Phi}_{n}(v^{2}))| \cdot ||v^{1} - v||^{\hat{n}_{1} - \hat{n}_{1}},$

where $v^2 \in \overline{v^4 v}$, Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.3 imply

$$D^{R}(D^{R^{1}}f * \Phi_{1})(\Phi_{2} * ... \Phi_{R}(v)) = 0 \text{ for } |\beta^{1}| = A - k_{1} ,$$

$$|\beta| \leq k_{1} - k_{2} .$$

From Remark 2.2 we obtain (we put $F = D^{\beta} f * \Phi$, $\psi = \Phi_2 * \dots * \Phi_n$)

(13)
$$|(D^{\beta^1} f * \Phi_1) (\Phi_2 * \dots * \Phi_p (v^2))| \leq$$

$$\leq C \sum_{|\beta^2| = k_1 - k_2} |(D^{\beta^2} (D^{\beta^1} f * \Phi_1)) (\Phi_2 * \dots * \Phi_p (v^3))| \cdot ||v^2 - v||^{k_1 - k_2},$$

 $\| \boldsymbol{v}^2 - \boldsymbol{v} \| \leq \| \boldsymbol{v}^4 - \boldsymbol{v} \| \text{ . Analogously, we can proceed: we shall}$ estimate $\mathbf{D}^{\beta^2}(\mathbf{D}^{\beta^4}\mathbf{f} * \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1) * \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2, \mathbf{D}^{\beta^3}(\mathbf{D}^{\beta^2}(\mathbf{D}^{\beta^4}\mathbf{f} * \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1) * \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2) * \boldsymbol{\Phi}_3$ etc. After $\mu - 1$ steps we obtain altogether (from the estimates (12),(13) etc.)

(14)
$$|f(x^{1}) - f(x)| \leq C \sum_{\beta^{1},...,\beta^{n}} |D^{\beta^{n}}(...(D^{\beta^{2}}(D^{\beta^{1}}f * \Phi_{1}) * \Phi_{2})...$$

...) $* \Phi_{n} (v^{n+1}) |.||v^{1} - v||^{\Re - \Re n}$,

the sum is taken over all multiindexes $|\beta^1| = k - k_1, ...$..., $|\beta^n| = k_{n-1} - k_n$.

If $d_h > 1$, then from Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.3 it follows

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{D}^{\beta} (\mathbb{D}^{\beta^{1}} (\dots (\mathbb{D}^{\beta^{2}} (\mathbb{D}^{\beta^{1}} \mathbf{f} * \tilde{\Phi}_{1}) * \tilde{\Phi}_{2}) * \dots) * \tilde{\Phi}_{h}) (v) = 0 \; , \\ & \mathbb{I} \beta^{1} \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{A} - \mathbb{A}_{1}, \dots, \; \mathbb{I} \beta^{h} \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{A}_{h-1} - \mathbb{A}_{h} \; , \; \mathbb{I} \beta \mathbb{I} \leq \mathbb{A}_{h} \; . \end{split}$$

Hence, we obtain by using (14) and the mean value theorem

$$|f(x^1) - f(x)| \leq$$

$$\leq C \underset{\beta^4,\ldots,\,\beta^{n+1}}{\Xi} \mid \mathbb{D}^{\beta^{n+1}}(\mathbb{D}^{\beta^4}(\ldots(\mathbb{D}^{\beta^2}(\mathbb{D}^{\beta^4}\mathbf{f} * \Phi_1) * \Phi_2) * \ldots$$

$$\leq C \cdot \|v^{12+2} - v\|^{a} \cdot \|v^{1} - v\|^{a} \leq C\|v^{1} - v\|^{a+2}$$

(the sum being taken over all multiindexes $|\beta^1| = k - k_1, ...$..., $|\beta^{n}| = k_{n-1} - k_{n}, |\beta^{n+1}| = k_n$), because the functions in the middle member are λ -Hölderian.

Suppose $d_n=1$. The functions which are in the right hand side in (14), are the functions of one variable and they are equal to zero on each point from A (see Remark 3.3). But we have $v \in A'$ and from here we see that the derivatives of all orders not exceeding k_n of these functions on v are equal to zero. Hence, we can conclude the proof analogously as in the case $d_n > 1$.

4. Hausdorff measure of the set of critical values

Theorem 4.1. Let f be a function, $f \in C^1(\Omega)$,

 $\kappa \geq 1$. Let A be a compact subset of Z and

(15)
$$|f(x') - f(x)| \le C \cdot ||x' - x||^{\kappa}$$

for each $x', x \in A$, where C > 0. Then f(A) is $\frac{n}{\kappa}$ -null.

Proof. For each positive integer N we shall denote by $\{I_{N}^{*}\}_{i=1}^{\kappa_{N}}$ a system of all intervals of the type

 $\langle k_1 N^{-1}, (k_1 + 1) N^{-1} \rangle \times ... \times \langle k_m N^{-1}, (k_m + 1) N^{-1} \rangle$ $(m \text{ -dimensional cubes}) \text{ which intersect the set } A \quad (k_i \text{ are entire numbers}). Set <math>J_N^{\circ} = I_N^{\circ} \cap A$. We have $\bigcup J_N^{\circ} = A$, therefore $\bigcup f(J_N^{\circ}) = f(A)$. From (15) we obtain diam $f(J_N^{\circ}) \leq C \cdot N^{-n}$. By the definition of Hausdorff measure we have

(16)
$$(u_{\frac{n}{N}}(f(A) \leq \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\operatorname{diam} f(J_{N}^{j})]^{\frac{n}{N}}$$
.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary (but fixed). Let us divide the sets $J_{\mu}^{\dot{\tau}}$ for each fixed N into two groups:

(i) diam
$$f(J_N^{\sharp}) \leq \epsilon N^{-n}$$
;

(ii) diam
$$f(J_N^{i}) > \varepsilon N^{-\kappa}$$
.

By $\nu_N^{(1)}$, $\nu_N^{(2)}$ respectively, denote the number of sets which lie in the group (i),(ii). Put $\nu_N = \nu_N^{(1)} + \nu_N^{(2)}$. Let us suppose that we have proved the following assertion:

(17)
$$v_N = O(N^m), v_N^{(2)} = \sigma(N^m).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} & \overset{\mu_{N}}{\sum} \left[\operatorname{diam} \ \mathbf{f} \left(\ J_{N}^{\dot{s}} \right) \right]^{\frac{n}{n}} = \sum_{\substack{j \neq e(1) \\ J_{N}^{\dot{s}} \in (1)}} \left[\operatorname{diam} \ \mathbf{f} \left(\ J_{N}^{\dot{s}} \right) \right]^{\frac{n}{n}} + \\ & + \sum_{N}^{\dot{s}} \left[\operatorname{diam} \ \mathbf{f} \left(\ J_{N}^{\dot{s}} \right) \right]^{\frac{n}{n}} \leq \nu_{N}^{(4)} \left(\varepsilon N^{\kappa_{N}} \right)^{\frac{n}{n}} + \nu_{N}^{(2)} \left(C_{1} N^{-\kappa_{N}} \right)^{\frac{n}{n}} \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{n}{n}} \nu_{N}^{(4)} N^{-m} + C_{2} \nu_{N}^{(2)} N^{-m} . \end{split}$$

The second member in the right hand side converges to zero (if $N \to \infty$) by (17) and the first member can be made arbitrarily small by a convenient choice of ε . From here and from (16) we obtain f(A) is $\frac{n}{n}$ -null. Hence, it is sufficient to prove (17). Suppose

(18) there exists $\sigma > 0$ (dependent of ε only, independent of N, $\dot{\sigma}$) such that $m_m(J_N^{\dot{\sigma}}) \leq (1-\sigma)N^{-m}$ for each $J_N^{\dot{\sigma}} \in (ii)$ (where m_m denotes the m-dimensional Lebesgue measure).

Set $A_N = \nu_N N^{-m} - m_m(A)$. We have $A_N \longrightarrow 0$, because A is compact. From here $\nu_N = O(N^m)$. We have $m_m(A) \le \nu_N^{(1)} N^m + (1 - \sigma) \nu_N^{(2)} N^{-m}$,

hence

$$v_N^{(n)} + v_N^{(2)} = m_m(A)N^m + \sigma(N^m) \le v_N^{(n)} + (1 - \sigma)v_N^{(2)} + \sigma(N^m)$$
.

From here $\delta v_N^{(2)} = \sigma(N^n)$, i.e. $v_N^{(2)} = \sigma(N^n)$, hence (17) is valid. Hence, it is sufficient to prove (18).

Let $J_N^{\dot{s}}$ be an arbitrary set of the group (ii). There exist a, $b \in J_N^{\dot{s}}$ such that $\operatorname{diam} f(J_N^{\dot{s}}) = f(b) - f(a) > \epsilon N^{-n}$.

From (15) we obtain

(19)
$$|f(k') - f(a')| \ge \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}^{-\kappa}$$

for each

(20)
$$a', b' \in I_N^{\frac{1}{2}}, \|a'-a\| < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{-1}, \|b'-b\| < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{-1}.$$

Consider two points a', b' which fulfil (20) and $\overline{a'b'} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist the open magments S_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that $\overline{a'b'} \setminus A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$.

Denote the extreme points of these segments by a^i , b^i . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\mathcal{S}') - f(a')| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(\mathcal{S}^{i}) - f(a^{i})| \leq \\ &\leq C \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (diam \, S_{i})^{n} \leq C \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} diam \, S_{i})^{n} = \\ &= C \cdot [m_{1}(\overline{a'b'} \setminus A)]^{n} .\end{aligned}$$

If $m_1(a'b' \setminus A) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2C}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{-1}$, then we obtain $|f(b') - f(a')| < \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon N^{-n}$. But it is not possible by (19),(20), hence

(21) if $\|a'-a\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{C}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}-1}$, $\|b'-b'\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{C}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} N^{-1}$, $\|a'b' \wedge A \neq 0$, then $m_{\gamma}(a'b' \wedge A) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{C}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} N^{-1}$. If $a'b' \cap A = \emptyset$, then the last inequality holds, too.

It is easy to see there exists $C_4 > 0$ (dependent of the dimension m only, independent of j, N) such that there

exist a^o , $b^o \in I_N^b$ which fulfil the conditions

$$D(a^{\circ}, C_{4} \in {}^{\frac{1}{n}} N^{-1}) \subset D(a, \frac{1}{4} (\frac{\epsilon}{C})^{\frac{1}{n}} N^{-1}) \cap I_{N}^{\hat{\sigma}}$$
;

$$D(\mathcal{L}^{0}, C_{L} e^{\frac{1}{L}} N^{-1}) \subset D(\mathcal{L}, \frac{1}{4} (\frac{\epsilon}{C})^{\frac{1}{L}} N^{-1}) \cap I_{N}^{s}$$
.

Let K be a convex closure of the set $D(a^o, C_4 \in ^{\frac{1}{k}} N^{-1}) \cup D(A^o, C_4 \in ^{\frac{1}{k}} N^{-1}) \ .$ By using (21) we obtain

$$m_m(K \setminus A) \ge P \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{C}\right)^{\frac{1}{L}} N^{-1}$$

where P is the volume of (m-1)-dimensional ball with diam $P=2.C_4\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}N^{-1}$. It is easy to see from here $m_m(K\setminus A)\geq C_5\epsilon^{\frac{m}{4}}N^{-m} ,$

where C_g depends on C and m only. Further, $m_m(I_N^{*-}A) \ge m_m(K \setminus A)$.

It is sufficient to put $S = C_S \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and the assertion (18) is proved. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. If $f \in C^{\infty, \lambda}(\Omega)$ is a function, then the set f(Z) is $\frac{n}{2n+2}$ -null.

<u>Proof.</u> It is easy to see that we can suppose that the sets M_\pm from Theorem 3.1 are compact. Our assertion follows from here and from Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.1. If $h < \frac{n}{2k+2}$, then there exists a function from the class $C^{2k,2}$ such that $\omega_h(f(Z)) > 0$ (see [1]).

Remark 4.2. If $f \in C^{\infty}$ (i.e. f has continuous derivatives of all orders), then the set f(Z) is β -null

for each s > 0. This follows from Theorem 4.2. But the set f(Z) need not be countable. We must demand f is real-analytic to obtain such a strong assertion (see [5]).

References

- [1] R. KAUFMANN: Representation of linear sets as critical sets, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.25(1970),884-889.
- [2] A.P. MORSE: The behaviour of a function on its critical set, Ann.Math.(2)40(1939),62-70.
- [3] A. SARD: The measure of the critical set values of differentiable maps, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc.48(1942), 883-890.
- [4] A.J. DUBOVICKIJ: O množestvach toček vyrožděnija, Izvestija AN SSSR 31(1967),27-36.
- [5] J. SOUČEK, V. SOUČEK: The Morse-Sard theorem for realanalytic functions, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 13(1972),45-51.

Matematický ústav ČSAV Krakovská 10 "Praha 1 Československo

(Oblatum 20.12.1971)