

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1971

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0012|log64

Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

ON ONE-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF DIFFEOMORPHISMS II: GENERIC
BRANCHING IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

Pavol BRUNOVSKÝ, Bratislava

§ 1

In [1], we have studied the generic nature of the loci of periodic points of a diffeomorphism of a finite dimensional manifold M , depending on a parameter with values in a one dimensional manifold P , in $P \times M$. A part of the results (those concerning the branching of periodic points), we have proved for two dimensional M only. It is the purpose of this paper to extend these results for M of arbitrary finite dimension.

Since this paper is a direct continuation of [1], we shall frequently refer to [1] for results of technical character as well as techniques of proof. Nevertheless, for the sake of the reader's convenience, we re-introduce those concepts and results of [1] which are necessary for the understanding of this paper, in the rest of this section. The main results of this paper and their proofs are given in § 3. § 2 has an auxiliary character; it establishes certain generic properties of maps of an interval into the

AMS: Primary 54H20
Secondary 57D50

Ref. Ž. 7.977.3

set of matrices.

Denote \mathcal{F} the space of C^κ mappings ($1 < \kappa \leq \infty$) x)
 $f: P \times M \rightarrow M$, where P, M are C^κ second countable manifolds of dimension $1, n < \infty$ respectively, such that for every $p \in P$ the map $f_p: M \rightarrow M$, given by $f_p(m) = f(p, m)$ is a diffeomorphism, endowed with the C^κ Whitney topology.

Let us note that, although this topology is not metrizable, it has the property that a residual set in \mathcal{F} (i.e. a countable intersection of open dense sets) is dense in \mathcal{F} (this can be proved similarly as the analogous statement for vector fields is proved in [2], using the openness of \mathcal{F} in the set of all C^κ mappings $P \times M \rightarrow M$).

Denote by $Z_{\kappa} = Z_{\kappa}(f)$ the set of κ -periodic points of f , i.e. $Z_{\kappa}(f) = \{(p, m) \mid f_p^{\kappa}(m) = m, f_p^j(m) \neq m \text{ for } 0 < j < \kappa\}$. In [1, Theorem 1] a residual subset \mathcal{F}_1 of \mathcal{F} was defined and it was shown that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, Z_{κ} are one dimensional submanifolds of $P \times M$ (Z_1 being closed) and, if an eigenvalue of $df_p^{\kappa}(m)$ at some point $(p, m) \in Z_{\kappa}$ is 1 (we denote the set of such points by X_{κ}), then it meets the unit circle S in the complex plane transversally at (p, m) (in the sense of Remark 3) and the remaining eigenvalues of $df_p^{\kappa}(m)$ do not lie on S . Also, it was shown that the subset \mathcal{F}_{κ} of maps from \mathcal{F} , having the

 x) In [1] we have assumed $1 < \kappa < \infty$, but Theorems 1 - 4 of [1] are trivially true for the C^∞ case.

above properties for $1 \leq k \leq n$, is open dense in \mathcal{F} .

§ 2

Denote by \mathcal{U} the set of all $n \times n$ matrices with the differential structure induced by its natural identification with \mathbb{R}^{n^2} . Further, denote by \mathcal{U}_1 the set of matrices having an eigenvalue of multiplicity ≥ 2 on S , $\mathcal{F}_{2\ell}$ the set of matrices having an ℓ -th root of unity different from ± 1 as eigenvalue, $\mathcal{U}_2 = \bigcup_{\ell=3}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_{2\ell}$.

Let I be a closed interval on \mathbb{R} . Denote by Φ the space of all C^n mappings $I \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ endowed with the C^n uniform topology.

Proposition 1. Let $J \subset I$ be a closed interval, $J \subset \text{int } I$. Then, for every $\ell = 3, 4, \dots$ the set $\Psi_\ell(J)$ of all $F \in \Phi$ such that $F(J) \cap (\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2) = \emptyset$ is open dense in Φ .

Corollary 1. Given J as in Proposition 1, the set $\Psi(J)$ of all $F \in \Phi$ such that $F(J) \cap (\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2) = \emptyset$ is residual in Φ .

For the proof of Proposition 1 we shall need to prove several lemmas.

Consider the sets $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 = \{ (A, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_1'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 0, \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = 1 \}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}) = \{ (A, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 0, \lambda_1 = \lambda_{10}, \lambda_2 = \lambda_{20} \}$, where $P(\lambda_1) = P_1(\text{Re } \lambda, \text{Im } \lambda) + i P_2(\text{Re } \lambda, \text{Im } \lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of

$$A, P_1' + iP_2' = P' = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \lambda}.$$

Being defined by polynomial equalities, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20})$ are real algebraic varieties and the sets $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$ are the projections of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$ and $\cup \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20})$ into \mathcal{U} respectively, where the union is taken over all $\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}$ such that $(\lambda_{10} + i\lambda_{20})^2 = 1$ and $\lambda_{20} \neq 0$.

By [3, splitting (b) of § 11)], $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2$ can be written as a finite disjoint union of submanifolds of strictly decreasing dimensions, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} M_j$, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} N_j$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} M_j$, $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\nu} N_j$ is closed for all $0 < \varphi \leq \nu$, $0 < \sigma \leq \nu$.

Lemma 1. $\text{codim } M_j \geq 4$ for all j .

For the proof of this lemma we need some more lemmas.

Lemma 2. For any $A \in \mathcal{U}$, the set of all matrices similar to A is an immersed submanifold of \mathcal{U} of codimension $\geq n$.

Proof. Consider the group $GL(n)$, whose action ψ on \mathcal{U} is given by $\psi(T, A) = T^{-1}AT$ for $T \in GL(n)$, $A \in \mathcal{U}$. The set of matrices similar to A is the orbit of A under this group action and, according to [4, 2.2, Proposition 2], is an immersed submanifold of \mathcal{U} of codimension equal to the dimension of the closed Lie subgroup $\mathcal{H} = \{T \in GL(n) \mid \psi(T, A) = A\}$. It is easy to show that \mathcal{H} is identical with the subset of $GL(n)$ of matrices that commute with A . It follows from [5, VIII, §2, Theorem 2] that \mathcal{H} has the dimension $\geq n$, q.e.d.

Corollary 2. Denote by ρ the map $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ assigning to every matrix from \mathcal{U} the m -tuple of coefficients of its characteristic polynomial and $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ as $\tilde{\rho} = \rho \times id$. Then, for any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$, $\rho^{-1}(x)$ is a finite disjoint union of immersed submanifolds of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of codimension $\geq m$.

Denote by $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ the set of points $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2 \in S$ and is a root of the polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^m + \alpha_1 \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + \alpha_m$ of multiplicity ≥ 2 . Obviously, $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1) = V$.

Lemma 3. The map $\tilde{\rho}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 \rightarrow V$ is open (in the topologies on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1, V$ induced by their imbedding into $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ respectively).

Proof. Obviously, it suffices to prove that $\rho|_{\mathcal{U}_1} : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \hat{V}$, where \hat{V} is the projection $(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m)$ of V into \mathbb{R}^m , is open. That is, we have to prove that given a neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of $A \in \mathcal{U}_1$, for any $P \in \hat{V}$ sufficiently close to $\rho(A)$, there is a $B \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\rho(B) = P$.

This statement is obvious if A has the real canonical form; its extension for A not in canonical form follows from $\rho(A) = \rho(T^{-1}AT)$ for $T \in GL(m)$.

Proof of Lemma 1. V is an algebraic variety in \mathbb{R}^{m+2} , defined by the polynomial identities $P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_1'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 1 = 0$, where $P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \text{Re } P(\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2)$ etc. Therefore, it can be written as a finite disjoint union of submani-

folds of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} of decreasing dimension, $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$.

We prove $\dim V_1 \leq n-2$. To do this, we note that $\text{codim } V_1 \geq \text{rank}_x V$ for any $x \in V_1$ (cf. [3]), where $\text{rank}_x V$ is the dimension of the linear space spanned by the differentials at x of the polynomials of the ideal associated with V . Since V_1 is open in V it suffices to prove that the set of those x for which $\text{rank}_x V \geq 4$ is dense in V .

For $x \in V$, $x = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} dP_1 &= (\dots, \lambda_1, 1, 0, 0), \\ (1) \quad dP'_1 &= (\dots, 1, 0, \frac{\partial P'_1}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial P'_1}{\partial \lambda_2}), \\ dP'_2 &= (\dots, 0, 0, \frac{\partial P'_2}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial P'_2}{\partial \lambda_2}), \\ d(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 1) &= (\dots, 0, 0, 2\lambda_1, 2\lambda_2), \end{aligned}$$

and, since

$$\begin{aligned} - \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{\partial P'_1}{\partial \lambda_1} & \frac{\partial P'_1}{\partial \lambda_2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial P'_2}{\partial \lambda_1} & \frac{\partial P'_2}{\partial \lambda_2} \\ 0 & 0 & 2\lambda_1 & 2\lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} &= 2 \left[\lambda_2 \frac{\partial P'_2}{\partial \lambda_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial P'_2}{\partial \lambda_2} \right] = \\ &= 2 \left[\lambda_2 \frac{\partial P'_2}{\partial \lambda_1} + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial P'_1}{\partial \lambda_1} \right] = 2 \text{Re} (\lambda^{-1} P''(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that for a dense subset of V , $\text{Re} (\lambda^{-1} P''(\lambda)) \neq 0$.

It is obvious that the set of those $x \in V$ for which $P''(\lambda) \neq 0$ is dense in V . If λ is real and $\lambda \in S$,

$P''(\lambda) \neq 0$, then also $\lambda^{-1}P''(\lambda) = \operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1}P''(\lambda) \neq 0$.

Assume that λ is not real, $\lambda \in S$ and $P''(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then $\lambda^{-1}P''(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}P''(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}(\lambda - \bar{\lambda})^2 R(\lambda)$,

where $R(\mu)$ is real for μ real. For ε real denote

$$P_\varepsilon(\mu) = (\mu - \lambda)^2(\mu - \bar{\lambda})^2[R(\mu) + \varepsilon] = \mu^n + \alpha_{1\varepsilon}\mu^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_{m\varepsilon}.$$

$P_\varepsilon(\mu)$ is real for μ real and $(\alpha_{1\varepsilon}, \dots, \alpha_{m\varepsilon}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in V$.

We have $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda}P_\varepsilon''(\lambda)) - \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda}P''(\lambda)) = \varepsilon \operatorname{Re}[\bar{\lambda}(\lambda - \bar{\lambda})^2] = -4\varepsilon\lambda_1\lambda_2$. Since both $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_2 \neq 0$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small such that $\operatorname{Re}[\bar{\lambda}P_\varepsilon''(\lambda)] \neq 0$. This proves the density in V of the set of points x for which $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda^{-1}P''(\lambda)) \neq 0$.

Let i be such that $\tilde{\pi}(M_1) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$, $\tilde{\pi}(M_1) \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for $j < i$. Since $\bigcup_{j=1}^i V_j$ is open, $M = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(V_i) = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\bigcup_{j=1}^i V_j)$ is open in M_1 and, by Lemma 3, $\pi(M_0)$ is open in V_i . From this and the Sard's theorem ([6, Theorem 15.1]) it follows that there is a point $\tilde{A} \in M_0$ at which $\tilde{\pi}$ is regular. Thus, locally $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{A}))$ is an imbedded submanifold of the dimension $\dim M_1 - \dim V_i \geq \dim M_1 - n + 2$. On the other hand, from Corollary 2 it follows $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{A})) \leq m^2 - n$. Consequently, $\dim M_1 \leq m^2 - 2$, q.e.d.

Lemma 4. If $\lambda_{20} \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{N}_1 \geq 4$.

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 1, with V replaced by the set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ of points $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20})$ for which $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{10} + i\lambda_{20}$ is a root of $P(\lambda) = \lambda^m + \alpha_1\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + \alpha_m$.

This is again an algebraic variety defined by the equations

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_{10} = \lambda_2 - \lambda_{20} = 0, P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 0.$$

The differentials of the polynomials at the points of W are

$$dP_1 = (\dots, \lambda_{10}, 1, \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \lambda_2}),$$

$$dP_2 = (\dots, \lambda_{20}, 0, \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \lambda_2}),$$

$$d(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{10}) = (\dots, 0, 0, 1, 0),$$

$$d(\lambda_2 - \lambda_{20}) = (\dots, 0, 0, 0, 1).$$

Obviously, they are independent if $\lambda_{20} \neq 0$. The rest of the proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. Openness follows from the fact that both \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 are closed.

For the proof of density we consider the sets

$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20})$ with $\lambda_{20} \neq 0$ and the space $\tilde{\Phi}$ of maps $F: \text{int } I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, defined by $\tilde{F} = F|_{\text{int } I} \times id$, $F \in \tilde{\Phi}$, endowed with the C^n uniform topology. Further, we denote by $\tilde{\Psi}_i = \{\tilde{F} \mid \tilde{F}(I) \cap \bigcup_{j=n-i+1}^n M_j = \emptyset\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\tilde{\Psi}_{n+i} = \{\tilde{F} \mid \tilde{F}(I) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 \cap \bigcup_{j=n-i+1}^n N_j = \emptyset\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\tilde{\Psi}_2$ is the intersection of the projections of $\tilde{\Psi}_{n+n}$ taken over all nonreal l -th roots of unity, it suffices to prove that $\tilde{\Psi}_{n+n}$ is dense in $\tilde{\Phi}$. We prove this by induction showing that every $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\Psi}_i$ can be approximated arbitrarily closely by an $\tilde{F}' \in \tilde{\Psi}_{i+1}$. Without loss

of generality we assume $1 < i < n$.

The map $\varphi : \Phi \rightarrow \tilde{\Phi}$ given by $\varphi(F) = \tilde{F}$ is a C^∞ -representation (here and further in this proof we use the terminology of [6]) and the evaluation map meets M_{n-i} transversally. Due to the dimension estimates of Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, the existence of the approximation of F not intersecting M_{n-i} follows from the transversality theorem [6, Theorem 19.1] and the openness of $\tilde{\Psi}_i$, q.e.d.

Denote \mathcal{U}_3 the subset of \mathcal{U} consisting of matrices having an eigenvalue on S . Again, we associate with \mathcal{U}_3 the algebraic variety $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3 = \{(A, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 1 = 0\}$ whose projection is \mathcal{U}_3 . Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i$, where \mathcal{X}_i are mutually disjoint manifolds of decreasing dimension and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i$ is closed in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$ for every i .

Lemma 5. $\text{codim } \mathcal{X}_1 = 3$.

Proof. The proof of the inequality $\dim \mathcal{X}_1 \geq 3$ is analogous to that of Lemma 1. We only note that the differentials of the defining polynomials $P_1, P_2, \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 1$ of $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ ($\tilde{\pi}$ defined as in Corollary 2) are independent if $\text{Re}(\lambda P'(\lambda)) \neq 0$; it can be shown similarly as in the proof of Lemma 1 that this is true for a dense subset of $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3)$.

To prove the opposite inequality assume $I = [0, 2]$ and consider the map $F(t) = \text{diag}\{t, 0, \dots, 0\}$. If

$\text{codim } \mathcal{K}_1 < 3$ then it would follow from the transversality argument used in the proof of Proposition 1 that there should exist a small C^∞ perturbation \hat{F} of F no value of which would have an eigenvalue on S . This, however, is obviously impossible.

Proposition 2. Let $J \subset I$ be a closed interval, $J \subset \text{int } I$. Then, for every $\ell > 2$ the subset $\Psi_\ell^0(J) \subset \Psi_\ell(J)$ of all F such that F meets $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$ transversally (i.e. F meets transversally \mathcal{K}_1 and does not meet \mathcal{K}_i for $i > 1$ at all) is open dense in $\Psi_\ell(J)$, and, thus, in Φ .

The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 1.

Corollary 3. Given J as in Proposition 2, the set $\Psi^0(J)$ of maps $F \in \Phi$ such that $F(J) \cap (\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2) = \emptyset$ and F meets $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$ transversally over J is residual in Φ .

Lemma 6. Let $F \in \Phi$ and let λ_0 be a simple eigenvalue of $F(t_0)$, where $t_0 \in I$. Then there is a neighbourhood N of t_0 in I and a unique function $\lambda : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda(t_0) = \lambda_0$ and $\lambda(t)$ is an eigenvalue of $F(t)$ for $t \in N$. Further, there is a nonsingular C^∞ matrix $C(t)$ on N such that $C^{-1}FC = B$, where the first column of $B(t)$ is the transpose of $(\lambda(t), 0, \dots, 0)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $F(t_0)$ is in the Jordan canonical form with λ_0 in the first column. Choose $C(t_0) = E$ (the unity matrix) and $C(t) = (c_1(t), \dots, c_n(t))$, $\lambda(t)$ as the solution of

the set of equations $F(t)c_1(t) = \lambda(t)c_1(t)$,

$c_i(t) = c_i(t_0), i > 1, |c_1(t)| = 1$ ($|\cdot|$ being the Euclidean norm). It is easy to check that the Jacobian of this set of equations at t_0 is not zero. The implicit function theorem completes the proof.

Remark 1. Under the assumptions of Lemma 6, for λ_0 not real, starting from the real canonical form of $F(t_0)$, one can similarly prove that there is a C^∞ real matrix $C(t)$ in some neighbourhood of t_0 in I that brings $F(t)$ into the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1(t), B_2(t) \\ 0, B_3(t) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } B_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \lambda(t), \operatorname{Im} \lambda(t) \\ -\operatorname{Im} \lambda(t), \operatorname{Re} \lambda(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary 4. Let $F \in \Phi$, $t_0 \in I$ and let $\lambda_{i_0}, \dots, \lambda_{k_0}$ be simple eigenvalues of $F(t_0)$. Then, there is a neighbourhood N of t_0 in I and unique C^∞ functions $\lambda_i : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda_i(t_0) = \lambda_{i_0}$ and $\lambda_i(t)$ are eigenvalues of $F(t)$ for $t \in N$. Further, there is a C^∞ matrix $C(t)$ on N such that $C^{-1}AC = B$, where B has the form $\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & B_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and B_1 is triangular with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ on the diagonal. Also, there is a real C^∞ matrix $\hat{C}(t)$ on N that brings $F(t)$

into the form $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_1(t), \hat{B}_2(t) \\ 0, \hat{B}_3(t) \end{pmatrix}$, where $\hat{B}_1(t)$ is block diagonal with blocks as in Remark 1.

Proposition 3. Let $F \in \Psi_\ell^0(J)$ for some $\ell > 2$. Then, the eigenvalues of F meet S transversally.

By this proposition we mean that the functions λ , defined in Lemma 6 for $\lambda_0 \in S$ (note that such λ_0 are simple) meet S transversally.

Proof. Let $\lambda(t_0) \in S$ be an eigenvalue of $F(t_0)$. By Lemma 6, there is a nonsingular C^n matrix $C(t)$ such that $C^{-1}(t)F(t)C(t) = B(t)$, where $B(t)$ has the form specified in Lemma 6. Denote $B(t, \mu)$ the matrix obtained from $B(t)$ by replacing in the first column $\lambda(t)$ by μ . Denote by $\mu(t)$ the orthogonal projection of $\lambda(t)$ on S , φ the Euclidean distance. Since $C(t)B(t, \mu(t))C^{-1}(t) \in \mathcal{U}_3$ and \mathcal{K}_1 is open in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$, $(C(t)B(t, \mu(t))C^{-1}(t), \mu_1(t), \mu_2(t)) \in \mathcal{K}_1$, for t sufficiently close to t_0 , where $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$. We have $|\lambda(t)| - 1 = |\lambda(t) - \mu(t)| = \varphi(B(t), B(t, \mu(t))) \geq |C(t)|^{-1}$, $|C(t)|^{-1} \varphi(F(t), C(t)B(t, \mu(t))C^{-1}(t)) \geq \kappa_1 \varphi(\tilde{F}(t), \mathcal{K}_1)$, where $\kappa_1 > 0$ is a suitable constant. If \tilde{F} meets \mathcal{K}_1 transversally, then obviously $\varphi(\tilde{F}(t), \mathcal{K}_1) \geq \kappa_2 |t - t_0|$ for some $\kappa_2 > 0$. Consequently, $\frac{d|\lambda(t)|}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} \neq 0$, q.e.d.

Corollary 5. The number of such $t \in J$ for which an eigenvalue of $F(t)$ is on S , is finite for every $F \in \mathcal{F}_2^0(J)$.

Theorem 1. Let $J \subset \text{int } I$ be a closed interval. Then, the set $\Phi_{1,2}(J)$ of those $F \in \Phi$, satisfying

- (i) $F(t)$ has no double eigenvalue on S ,
- (ii) $F(t)$ has no non-real l -th root of unity as ei-

genvalue,

(iii) the eigenvalues of $F(t)$ meet S transversally,
(iv) if an eigenvalue of $F(t)$ lies on S , then no other eigenvalue of $F(t)$ lies on S except, of its complex conjugate,

for every $t \in J$, is open dense in Φ .

Corollary 6. The set $\Phi_1(J)$ of those $F \in \Phi$ satisfying (i), (iii), (iv) of Theorem 1 and such that for every $t \in J$, $F(t)$ has no non-real root of unity as eigenvalue, is residual in Φ .

Proof. Openness is obvious. From Propositions 1 - 3 it follows that the set of maps from Φ , satisfying (i) - (iii) (i.e. the set $\Psi_2^0(J)$), is open dense in Φ . Therefore, it suffices to prove that every $F \in \Psi_2^0(J)$ can be arbitrarily closely approximated by an $\hat{F} \in \Psi_2^0(J)$ satisfying (iv). In virtue of Corollary 4 it suffices to show that if for some t_0 (iv) is not satisfied it is possible to perturb F in an arbitrary small neighbourhood N of t_0 by an arbitrary small perturbation, without changing it outside N , in such a way that (i) - (iv) will be true for the perturbation of F for every $t \in N$.

Assume that for some $t_0 \in J$, k pairs of conjugate eigenvalues $\lambda_j^0, \overline{\lambda_j^0}$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ lie on S (the modification of the proof for the case of some eigenvalue being real is straightforward). Let α be so small that the functions λ_j , defined by λ_j^0, t_0 as in Lemma 6 exist and do not meet S except at t_0 and no other eigenvalue of $F(t)$ lies on S on $K \cap J$, where

$K = [t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha]$, and that there is a C^∞ matrix C such that $C^{-1}(t)F(t)C(t) = B(t)$ has the form

$$B = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} \\ -\lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{n_1} & \lambda_{n_2} \\ -\lambda_{n_2} & \lambda_{n_1} \end{pmatrix}, B_1 \right\}$$

where $\lambda_j = \lambda_{j1} + i\lambda_{j2}$ (cf. Remark 1). Choose an

$\varepsilon < \frac{\alpha}{2}$, n real mutually distinct numbers τ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that $|\tau_j| < \varepsilon$ and a bump function $\chi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi(t) = 0$ outside K , $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \in K_\varepsilon = [t_0 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, t_0 + \frac{\alpha}{2}]$, $\hat{\lambda}_j(t) = \lambda_j(t + \tau_j \chi(t))$,

$$\hat{B}(t) = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\lambda}_{11}(t) & \hat{\lambda}_{12}(t) \\ -\hat{\lambda}_{21}(t) & \hat{\lambda}_{11}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\lambda}_{n_1}(t) & \hat{\lambda}_{n_2}(t) \\ -\hat{\lambda}_{n_2}(t) & \hat{\lambda}_{n_1}(t) \end{pmatrix}, B_1(t) \right\},$$

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} F(t) & \text{for } t \notin K, \\ C(t)\hat{B}(t)C^{-1}(t) & \text{for } t \in K. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $\hat{F} \in \psi_2^0$ and, in $K \cap J$, $\hat{\lambda}_j$ meets S exclusively at the point $t_0 - \tau_j$. If τ_j are chosen small enough, F will be arbitrarily close to F , q.e.d.

§ 3

In [1, § 2] it was shown that for $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, each point of $\bar{Z} \setminus Z_n$ (such points have been called branching points) is contained in some set Z_l with l being a di-

visor of \mathbb{K} and that some eigenvalue of df_{ν}^{ℓ} at such point has to be a root of unity different from 1 .

Theorem 2. There is a subset \mathcal{F}_2 of \mathcal{F}_1 , residual in \mathcal{F} such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$, the following is true for every $(\nu_0, m_0) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{K}}(f)$, $\mathbb{K} \geq 1$:

- (i) $df_{\nu_0}^{\mathbb{K}}(m_0)$ has no double eigenvalue on S ,
- (ii) $df_{\nu_0}^{\mathbb{K}}(m_0)$ has no non-real root of 1 as an eigenvalue .
- (iii) The eigenvalues of $df_{\nu}^{\mathbb{K}}(m)$ meet S transversally at (ν_0, m_0) .
- (iv) If an eigenvalue of $df_{\nu_0}^{\mathbb{K}}(m_0)$ lies on S , then there is no other eigenvalue of $df_{\nu_0}^{\mathbb{K}}(m_0)$ on S except of its complex conjugate.

Corollary 7. For $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$, $(\nu, m) \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{K}}(f)$ can be a branching point only if one of the eigenvalues of $df_{\nu}(m)$ is -1 , the other being outside S .

Remark 2. Denote $\mathcal{F}_{2\mathbb{K}\ell}$ the subset of $\mathcal{F}_{1\mathbb{K}}$ of those mappings, satisfying (i),(iii),(iv) for $1 \leq \mathbb{K} \leq \mathbb{K}$ and (ii) with "roots" replaced by " ℓ -th roots" for $1 \leq \mathbb{K} \leq \mathbb{K}$. Then, $\mathcal{F}_{2\mathbb{K}\ell}$ is open dense in \mathcal{F} .

Remark 3. (iii) should be understood as follows: If an eigenvalue λ_0 of $df_{\nu_0}^{\mathbb{K}}(m_0)$ is on S , then in some neighbourhood N of (ν_0, m_0) in $\Sigma_{\mathbb{K}}$, there is a unique C^{∞} function $\lambda : N \rightarrow C$ such that $\lambda(\nu, m)$ is

an eigenvalue of $df_{\rho}^h(m)$ for $(\rho, m) \in N$ and

$\lambda(\rho_0, m_0) = \lambda_0$. This λ meets S transversally.

Proof. It suffices to prove Remark 2, from which Theorem 2 follows. We carry out the proof for $h = 1$, i.e. we prove that $\mathcal{F}_{2,1,l}$ is open dense for any l ; the extension for $h > 1$ is similar as in the proof of [1, Theorem 1].

The openness of $\mathcal{F}_{2,1}$ is obvious. To prove density, assume $f \in \mathcal{F}_{1,1}$. Then, by [1, Theorem 1], there is an open set U containing $X_1(f)$ such that for every $(\rho_0, m_0) \in U$, (i) - (iv) is trivially satisfied.

$Z_1 \setminus U$ can be covered locally finitely by a countable family $(W_\alpha, (\mu_\alpha \times \nu_\alpha))$, $W_\alpha = U_\alpha \times V_\alpha$ of coordinate neighbourhoods in such a way that for any $K \in P \times M$ compact, $W_\alpha \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for a finite number of α 's only and $(W_\alpha, (\mu_\alpha \times \nu_\alpha))$ satisfy (iv) of [1, Theorem 1] (i.e. $W_\alpha \cap Z_1$ is the graph of a C^h function $\varphi_\alpha: U \rightarrow V$). We show how for any open W'_α , $\overline{W'_\alpha} \subset \overline{W_\alpha} = U'_\alpha \times V'_\alpha$, f can be approximated by \hat{f} such that \hat{f} coincides with f outside W'_α and satisfies (i) - (iv) of Theorem 2 for every $(\rho_0, m) \in Z_1 \cap W'_\alpha$. The construction of an approximation of f satisfying (i) - (iv) for any $(\rho_0, m_0) \in Z_1$ is then standard. In the rest of the proof we drop the subscript α .

In the coordinates $(\rho, m) \mapsto (\mu, \nu)$, $\nu = x - x_0 \circ \varphi(\rho)$, f can be represented by

$$\nu'_j = A(\mu)\nu_j + Y(\mu, \nu)$$

where the primed coordinates are those of the image,

$$Y(\mu, 0) = 0, \quad dY(\mu, 0) = 0.$$

By Theorem 1, we can approximate $A : \mu(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ by a map $\hat{A} : \mu(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ such that A satisfies (i) - (iv) of Theorem 1 on U .

Let $\psi : (\mu \times x)(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ bump function such that $\psi = 1$ on $(\mu \times x)(\bar{W}')$ and $\psi = 0$ outside $(\mu \times x)(W)$. Denote by \hat{f} the map which coincides with f outside W and is given in W by the coordinate representation

$$\psi' = [A(\mu) + \psi(\mu, y)(\hat{A}(\mu) - A(\mu))]y + Y(\mu, y).$$

If we choose A sufficiently close to \hat{A} , \hat{f} will be arbitrarily close to f and will satisfy (i) - (iv) for every $(\mu_0, m_0) \in W'$.

Denote by Y_{2k} the set of points $(\mu, m) \in Z_{2k}$ for which one eigenvalue of $df_{\mu}^{2k}(m)$ is -1 . For $(\mu, m) \in Z_{2k}$ denote $n(\mu, m)$ the number of eigenvalues of $df_{\mu}^{2k}(m)$ with modulus less than 1.

Theorem 3. Assume $k > 2$. Then, there is a subset \mathcal{F}_3 of \mathcal{F}_2 , residual in \mathcal{F} , such that every $f \in \mathcal{F}_3$ has the following properties:

(i) Y_{2k} coincides with the set of $2k$ -periodic branching points,

(ii) for every $(\mu_0, m_0) \in Y_{2k}$, there is a coordinate neighbourhood $(W, \mu \times x)$, $W = U \times V$ of (μ_0, m_0) such that $\mu(\mu_0) = 0$, $x(m_0) = 0$, $Z_{2k} \cap W = U \times \{0\}$ and

(a) $Z_{2k} \cap W$ consists of two components, separa-

ted by (r_0, m_0) ; all points $(r, m) \in Z_{2k} \cap W$ satisfy $\mu(r) > 0$ and $Z_{2k} \cap W \cup \{(r_0, m_0)\}$ is a C^1 (but not C^2) submanifold of W .

(b) No eigenvalue of $[(Z_k \cup Z_{2k}) \cap W] \setminus \{(r_0, m_0)\}$ is on S ; either $h(r, m) = h(r', m') = h(r'', m'') + 1$ or $h(r, m) = h(r', m') = h(r'', m'') - 1$ for any $(r, m) \in Z_k \cap W$, $\mu(r) < 0$, $(r', m') \in Z_{2k} \cap W$, $(r'', m'') \in Z_k \cap W$, $\mu(r'') > 0$,

(c) $W \setminus (Z_k \cup Z_{2k})$ contains no invariant set.

Proof. Again, we carry out the proof for $k = 1$, the proof of its extension for $k > 1$ being as in [1, Theorem 1].

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{2,1,2}$. Then, $Y_1(f)$ is discrete and, if $(r_0, m_0) \in Y_1$, one eigenvalue of $df_{r_0}(m_0)$ is -1 and the remaining ones can be divided into two groups according to whether their moduli are < 1 or > 1 , the number of the former ones being $h(r_0, m_0)$. Thus, using [6, Appendix 3] as in [1, Lemma 4], it follows that we can choose the coordinates (μ, x) in such a way that $x = (x_1, y, z)$, $\dim x_1 = 1$, $\dim y = h(r_0, m_0)$ and the coordinate representation of f in these coordinates is as follows:

$$x_1 = -x_1 + \alpha(\mu x_1 + \beta x_1^2 + \gamma x_1^3) + \omega(\mu, x_1, y, z),$$

$$(3) \quad y = Ay + Y(\mu, x_1, y, z),$$

$$z = Cz + Z(\mu, x_1, y, z),$$

where ω, Y, Z are C^∞ and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega, Y, Z & \text{ are } C^\infty \text{ and } Y(\mu, x_1, 0, x) = 0, Z(\mu, x_1, y, 0) = 0, \\ \omega(\mu, x_1, y, x) & = O(|x_1^3| + |\mu x_1| + |y| + |x|), \\ d\omega(0, 0, 0, 0) & = 0, \\ dY(0, 0, 0, 0) & = 0, dZ(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by \mathcal{F}_{31} the subset of \mathcal{F}_{11} of those maps in the coordinate representation (3) of which $\beta^2 + \gamma \neq 0$ for every $(\mu_0, m_0) \in Y_1(f)$. The definition of \mathcal{F}_{31} does not depend on the choice of particular coordinates and the set \mathcal{F}_{31} is open dense in \mathcal{F} . The proof of this as well as the proof that the maps of \mathcal{F}_{31} satisfy (i), (ii) for $k=1$ does not differ from the corresponding part of the proof of [1, Theorem 3], except of the proof of (ii)(c), where, because of the possible presence of the eigenvalues of moduli both < 1 and > 1 one has to use the argumentation of the proof of [1, Lemma 4].

As a corollary of [1, Theorem 1] and Theorem 3 we obtain

Theorem 4. Assume $k > 2$. Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_3$:

- (i) for k odd, Z_k is a closed submanifold of $P \times M$,
- (ii) for k even, either Z_k is closed and $Y_{k/2}$ is empty, or Z_k is a C^1 (but not C^2) submanifold of $P \times M$ and $\bar{Z}_k \setminus Z_k$ is discrete and coincides with $Y_{k/2}$.

Remark 4. This theorem corrects the erroneous formulation of its two dimensional version [1, Theorem 4], in which the possibility of Z_k being closed was omitted.

References:

- [1] P. BRUNOVSKÝ: On one-parameter families of diffeomorph-

- isms, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 11(1970),
559-581.
- [2] M.M. PEIXOTO: On an approximation theorem of Kupka and
Smale, Journal of Differential Equations 3
(1966), 214-227.
- [3] H. WHITNEY: Elementary structure of real algebraic va-
rieties, Annals of Mathematics 66(1957),
545-556.
- [4] R. THOM, H. LEVINE: Singularities of differentiable
mappings, Russian translation, Mir, Moscow,
1969.
- [5] F.R. GANTMACHER: Teorijsa matric, Nauka, Moscow, 1966.
- [6] R. ABRAHAM, J. ROBBIN: Transversal mappings and flows,
Benjamin, 1967.

Matematický ústav SAV
Bratislava
Československo

(Oblatum 28.4. 1971)