

### Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1971

**PURL:** https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\_0012|log51

### **Kontakt/Contact**

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

# Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 12,3 (1971)

## CONSTRUCTION OF QUASIGROUPS HAVING A LARGE NUMBER OF ORTHOGONAL MATES

Charles C. LINDNER, Auburn

1. <u>Introduction</u>. The object of this paper is to give a construction which produces a quasigroup having a large number of orthogonal mates, any two of which differ by more than a permutation. By a pair of quasigroups differing by more than a permutation we mean that neither of the associated latin squares can be obtained from the other by a renaming of the symbols on which they are based. In particular we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. If there are h mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order n, t mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order q containing t mutually orthogonal subquasigroups of order n, and n mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order q-n, then there is a quasigroup of order n orthogonal mates any two of which differ by more than a permutation. If n=0 we obtain a quasigroup of order n having at least  $(h-2)(h-1)^{n}$  orthogonal mates orthogonal mates.

The proof of this theorem is based on a generalization of A. Sade's singular direct product. In particu-

AMS, Primary 05B15 Secondary 20N05

Ref.Ž. 8.812,2, 2.722.9 lar, a combination of the generalized singular direct products defined by the author in [1] and [2].

2. Definitions. Let (V, @) be an idempotent quasigroup and G, a set. For each w in V let  $\sigma(w)$  be a binary operation on Q so that  $(Q, \sigma(w))$  is a quasigroup. Further suppose that  $P \subseteq Q$ is such that all of the operations agree on P and such that  $(P, \sigma(w))$ is a subquasigroup of  $(Q, \sigma(w))$ . For each  $(w, w) w \neq w$ in V, let  $\Theta(\alpha r, \alpha r)$  be a binary operation on  $P' = Q \setminus P$ so that (P', & (w, w)) is a quasigroup. We remark here that the  $|V|^2 - |V|$  operations  $\otimes (w, w)$  are not necessarily related to each other; the |V| operations  $\sigma(v)$  are not necessarily related to each other; and finally that none of the  $|V|^2 - |V|$ operations @(w, w) are necessarily related to any of the IVI operations  $\sigma(w)$  . We now define a generalized singular direct product denoted by  $V_0 \times Q(\sigma(w))$ ,  $P, P' \otimes (\alpha r, \alpha r)$ , to be the quasigroup  $\oplus$ 

on the set  $PU(P' \times V)$  as follows:

- (1)  $n_1 \oplus n_2 = n_1 \sigma(w) p_0 = n_1 \sigma(w) p_0$  if  $p_1, p_2 \in P$ ;
- (2)  $p \oplus (p',v) = (po(v)p',v)$  if  $p \in P$ ,  $p' \in P'$ ,  $v \in V'$ ;
- (3)  $(n',v)\oplus n = (n'\sigma(v)p,v)$  if  $n \in P$ ,  $n' \in P'$ ,  $v \in V$ :
- (4)  $(n'_{1}, v) \oplus (n'_{2}, v) = n'_{1} \sigma(v) p'_{2}$  if  $n'_{1} \sigma(v) p'_{2} \in P$ =  $(n'_1\sigma(v)n'_2,v)$  if  $n'_1\sigma(v)n'_2 \in P'$ ;
- (5)  $(p'_1, w) \oplus (p'_2, w) = (p'_1 \otimes (w, w) p'_2, w \otimes w)$  if v + w.

We remark that if we take  $\sigma(w) = \sigma(w)$  for all w, w in V we have the generalized singular direct product defined in [1], whereas if we take  $\mathfrak{B}(w,w) = \mathfrak{B}(w',w')$  for all (w,w'), (w',w') we have the generalized singular direct product defined in [2]. If we take both of these restrictions we have A. Sade's singular direct product [3]. Finally if we take  $P = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma(w) = \sigma(w) = \mathfrak{B}(w,w')$  for all w,w' in V we have the ordinary direct product.

If in the generalized singular direct product  $V_{\mathcal{O}} \times \mathbb{Q}(\sigma(w), P, P' \otimes (w, w'))$  all of the operations  $\sigma(w) = \sigma$  we will replace  $\sigma(w)$  by  $\sigma$ . Similarly if all  $\otimes(w, w) = \otimes$  we will replace  $\otimes(w, w')$  by  $\otimes$ .

3. Proof of the theorem. Let  $(V, \mathfrak{D}_q)$ ,  $(V, \mathfrak{D}_2)$ , ... ...,  $(V, \mathfrak{D}_{b-1})$  be b - 1 mutually orthogonal idempotent quasigroups, and  $(G, \sigma_1)$ ,  $(G, \sigma_2)$ , ...,  $(G, \sigma_4)$  t mutually orthogonal quasigroups containing t subquasigroups  $(\rho_1, \sigma_1)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \sigma_2)$ , ...,  $(\rho_1, \sigma_2)$  so that  $\rho_1 = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$ , ...,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  so that  $\rho_2 = (\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  be  $\rho_1$  and  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ , ...,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  be  $\rho_2$  mutually orthogonal quasigroups. Let  $\rho_1 = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$  be the singular direct product formed from  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$  be the singular direct product formed from  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$  and  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ . M of course has order  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$  had denote the set of all generalized singular direct products of the form  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_3, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_3, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_3, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_3, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_3, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_3, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_3, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_3)$ , ...,  $(\rho_4, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $(\rho_2, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1, \rho_4)$ ,  $(\rho_1,$ 

and  $\mathfrak{G}(n,nr) \in \{\mathfrak{S}_2,\mathfrak{S}_3,\ldots,\mathfrak{S}_n\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{M}$  contains  $(s-2)(t-1)^n(\kappa-1)^{n^2-n}$  distinct quasigroups. The proof will be complete if we can show that (i) each member of  $\mathcal{M}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{M}$ , and (ii) no member of  $\mathcal{M}$  can be obtained from any other member of  $\mathcal{M}$  by a permutation.

(i) Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  . Without loss in generality we can take  $A = V_{O_2} \times (o(w), P, P' \otimes (v, w))$ . Now if  $\sigma(w)$  is the same operation for all w in Vand  $\otimes(v,w)$  is the same operation for all  $v \neq w$ in V we have the ordinary singular direct product which A. Sade has shown is orthogonal to M , [3]. Suppose we take  $A' = V_{\mathfrak{Q}_1} \times \mathfrak{Q}(\sigma_2, P, P' \otimes_2)$ . New for each v in V the copy of  $(Q, \sigma_a)$  in M and the copy of  $(Q, \sigma_2)$  in A' are both based on PU(P'x  $\{v\}$ ). Since (0,  $\sigma_{\!_{1}}$ ) and (0,  $\sigma_{\!_{2}}$ ) are orthogonal so are their copies in M and A'. Hence, if we superimpose the latin squares associated with their copies in M and A' we obtain {PU(P'x {wi})} x {PU(P'x {wi})} . Now if for any w in V we replace  $(Q, \sigma_2)$  by  $(Q, \sigma(w))$ ,  $\sigma(v) \in \{\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_t\}$ , in the construction of A' the copy of  $(Q, \sigma(w))$  is still based on  $PU(P' \times \{w\})$ . Since  $(0, \sigma_{\alpha})$  and  $(0, \sigma(\sigma))$  are orthogonal, superimposing the latin squares associated with their copies still gives  $\{PU(P' \times \{w\})\} \times \{PU(P' \times \{w\})\}$ . Since all copies of the  $(0, \sigma(v))$  agree on P we can replace  $\sigma_1$  by  $\sigma(w)$  in the construction of A' with the result that the singular direct product

 $A'' = V_{\Theta_2} \times Q(\sigma(v), P, P' \otimes_2)$  is still orthogonal to M.

Now let  $w \neq w \in V$  . The latin squares associated with  $(P', \otimes_4)$  in M is based on  $P' \times \{ v \otimes_4 w \}$  and the latin square associated with (P', @, ) in A" is based on  $P' \times \{ v \circ_{2} w \}$ . Since  $(P', \otimes_{4})$  and (P', 8) are orthogonal if we superimpose their associated latin squares in M and A" we obtain  $\{P' \times \{w \odot_1 w\} \times \{P' \times \{w \odot_2 w\}\}$ . As above if in the construction of A" we replace (P',  $\Theta$ ,)  $(P', \otimes (v, w)), \otimes (v, w) \in \{ \otimes_2, \otimes_3, \dots, \otimes_k \}$ the latin square associated with (P', @(v, w)) is still based on  $P' \times \{ w \otimes_{2} w \}$ . Since  $(P', \otimes (w, w))$ orthogonal to (P', ⊗ ) if we superimpose their associated latin squares in M and A" we still obtain iP' x in Q, wil x iP' x in Q, wil . It follows that we can replace  $\Theta_2$  by  $\otimes$  (nr, nr) in the construction of A" and the resulting quasigroups  $A = V_{\Theta_2} \times Q$ , (o(v), P, P'  $\otimes$  (w, w)) are still orthogonal to M . (ii) Now let  $M_i = V_{\mathfrak{O}_i} \times \mathbb{Q}(\sigma(w), P, P' \otimes (w, w))$ and  $M_{i} = V_{0i} \times Q(\sigma(n), P, P' \otimes (n, nr))$  belong to M. One of two things is true: either  $\sigma(w)$  is the same in the construction of both M; and M; for each a in V or the centrary. If  $\sigma(w)$  is the same for all w $\in V$  , since each of  $(V, \Theta_i)$  and  $(V, \Theta_i)$  is idempotent the latin squares associated with the  $(Q, \sigma(x))$ ,  $v \in V$ , in M; and M; are identical and in the same relative position. Hence, any permutation, other than the

identity, applied to one of  $M_i$ ,  $M_j$  cannot give the other. On the other hand if  $\sigma(w)$  is different for some  $w \in V$ , then the subquasigroup of  $M_i$  based on  $PU(P' \times \{w\})$  is orthogonal to the subquasigroup of  $M_j$  based on  $PU(P' \times \{w\})$ . Again it follows that no permutation will transform one of  $M_i$ ,  $M_j$  into the other.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Examples. (i) Since 17 = 4(5-1) ± 1 and there are 3 mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order 4 and 4 mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order 5 containing 4 mutually orthogonal quasigroups of order 1, there is a quasigroups of order 17 having at least 331, 776 orthogonal mates, any two differing by more than a permutation. (ii) Since 22 = 7(4-1) + 1, similar remarks produce a quasigroup of order 22 having at least 512 orthogonal mates, no one of which can be obtained from the other by a permutation.

#### References

- [1] C.C. LINDNER: The generalized singular direct product for quasigroups, Can.Math.Bull.
  14(1971),61-63.
- [2] C.C. LINDNER: Construction of quasigroups using the singular direct product, Proc.Amer.Math. Soc.(to appear).

[3] A. SADE: Produit direct-singulier de quasigroupes orthogonaux et anti-abéliens, Ann.Ac.Sci.
Bruxelles, Sér.I.,74(1960),91-99.

Auburn University
Auburn, Alabama
U.S.A.

(Oblatum 30.3.1971)

