

Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1971

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0012|log17

Kontakt/Contact

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 12,1 (1971)

ON PROBLEMS CONCERNING EXTENSION OF LINEAR OPERATIONS ON LINEAR SPACES *)

František CHARVÁT, Praha

The aim of this paper is the formulation of the so-called φ-extensibility of linear operators (i.e. linear, transformations of a linear space into another one) which is a generalization of the traditional extension of linear operators, resp. functionals preserving the norm. A necessary and sufficient condition for extensibility of bounded linear operators is proved (it is the condition analogous to that in [3]).

A theorem is proved on extension of complex linear operators that is a generalization of the well known Suchomlinoff's result concerning the extension of complex linear functionals preserving the norm (see [2]). We shall call P, Q the linear space over a field K. The symbol R denotes a subspace of the space P. The elements of P, resp. Q, resp. K will be denoted by small Latin letters from the end of the alphabet x, y, z etc., resp.

Ref.Z. 7.974.7

x) This paper is a more exact extension of the results in [4].

AMS Primary 47A20,55G36 Secondary -

from its beginning, i.e. α , \mathcal{L} , c etc., resp. by small Greek letters etc. Linear operators from P into Q operators only in the following will be marked by capital letters A, B, C etc.

For the domains of operators the symbol def is used, i.e. for example a space which is a domain of the operator A will be denoted def A.

Linear envelopes of subsets of a linear space will be denoted by brackets.

Definition 1. Let Φ be a mapping from P into exp Q (i.e. the set of all subsets of the linear space Q). We shall say the operator A to be Φ -admissible, if the following condition is satisfied:

$$x \in def A \implies A(x) \in \Phi(x)$$
.

<u>Definition 2.</u> Let Φ be a mapping from P into Q. The operator A be called Φ -extensionable, if there is an operator B such that

def B = P.

 $x \in def A \Longrightarrow A(x) = B(x)$, $x \in P \Longrightarrow B(x) \in \Phi(x)$.

<u>Definition 3.</u> Let Φ be a mapping from P into Q. This mapping is called linearly covering P in respect to Q, if the following statement is satisfied:

Let A be a Φ -admissible operator, then there is an element $\alpha \in Q$ for every $\psi \in P$ so that

 $A(x) + \alpha \cdot \alpha \in \Phi(x + \alpha y)$

for all x e def A and x e K.

Theorem 1. Let Φ be a mapping from P into Q . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) Every Φ -admissible operator is a Φ -extensionable operator;
- (ii) The mapping $\, \Phi \,$ is linearly covering P in respect to Q .

<u>Proof.</u> Let (i) be true. Let A be a Φ -admissible operator and $\psi \in P$. From (i) it follows that A has a Φ -admissible extension B such that $\det B = P$. Suppose that $\alpha = B(\psi)$. Then

 $A(x) + \alpha a = B(x) + \alpha B(y) = B(x + \alpha y) \in \Phi(x + \alpha y)$ and so (ii) is satisfied.

Let (ii) be true. Let A be a Φ -admissible operator. Let $\mathcal L$ be a set of all Φ -admissible operators. According to the assumption the set is not empty because $A \in \mathcal L$. Let us introduce the relation of a partial order on $\mathcal L$ as follows:

 $D \prec E (D, E \in \mathcal{L})$ if:

def D c def E, $x \in def D \implies D(x) = E(x)$ is fulfilled.

Such system $\mathscr L$ satisfies the assumption of Zorn's Lemma because if $\{F_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a monotone subsystem of the system $\mathscr L$, then we define the operator F in the following way:

 $x \in \text{def } F \Longrightarrow F(x) = F_i(x)$ for such i that $x \in F_i$. It is obvious that the definition is correct and that $F_i \prec F$ for $i \in I$ (obviously $F \in \mathcal{L}$). And so there is $B \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $A \prec B$ and if $B \prec C$, then B = C. We shall prove by contradiction that

def B = P. Let def $B \neq P$. It means that there is such $y \in P$ that def $B \neq [def B \cup y] \subset P$.

Because $B \in \mathcal{L}$, there is $b \in Q$ such that $B(x) + \alpha b \in \Phi(x + \alpha y)$ for all $x \in def B$ and $\alpha \in K$. It is possible to write every element $a \in [def B \cup y]$ uniquely in the form

 $x + \alpha \eta$, $x \in def B$, $\alpha \in K$.

We define the operator C on $[def B \cup y]$ by this way: $C(x) = B(x) + \alpha b$, where $x = x + \alpha y$, $x \in def B$, $\alpha \in K$. It is easy to see:

 $x \in def B \Longrightarrow C(x) = B(x)$,

 $z \in def C \Longrightarrow C(z) \in \Phi(z)$,

B + C.

Hence $C \in \mathcal{L}$, $B \prec C$, $B \neq C$, however, it is a contradiction. Thus (ii) is satisfied and the proof is complete.

Convention. In the following K will denote the field of real or complex numbers. Let P, Q be normed linear spaces. We denote the norm on P by ${}^{1}\|\cdot\|$, the norm of Q by ${}^{2}\|\cdot\|$. The symbol $S(a;\epsilon)$ is used for the set

{ $k \in \mathbb{Q}$; $^2 || a - k || \le \epsilon$ }, $\epsilon > 0$ (e.g. a closed sphere in \mathbb{Q} with the centre a and radius ϵ).

<u>Definition 4</u>. Let $\mathcal{R} \ge 0$. Let P, Q be normed linear spaces. The linear space Q is called \mathcal{R} -productively centred in respect to P, if the following is satisfied:

Let A be such that

 $S(A(x_1), k^1|x_1 + y|1) \cap S(A(x_2), k^1|x_2 + y|1) + \emptyset$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in def A$ and $y \in P$, then $\bigcap_{x \in def A} S(A(x), \Re^{1}||x+y||) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } y \in P.$

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{R} \geq 0$. Let P, Q be normed linear spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) The mapping Φ from a linear space F to exp Q defined by

 $x \in P \implies \Phi(x) = \{a \in Q; 2 | a | \le 2 n^4 | x | \}$ is linearly covering P in respect to Q; (ii) The linear space Q is $2 n^4 - n^4 + n^4 +$

<u>Proof.</u> Let (i) be valid. Let A be such that $S(A(x_1), A ^1 || x_1 + y_1 ||) \cap S(A(x_2), A ^1 || x_2 + y_1 ||) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in def A$ and $y \in P$.

From the relation

 $S(A(x), A ^1 | x |) \cap S(0,0) \neq \emptyset$, $x \in def A$ (in the previous relation we denote $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y = 0$) - zero in P) it follows that

 2 IA(x) \leq Ac 4 IxI, x \in def A.

Thus the operator A is Φ -admissible. According to (i) the condition is satisfied that there is $a \in C$ for every $a \in P$ such that

 2 || $A(x) + \alpha \alpha || \le \Re^{4}$ || $x + \alpha \eta ||$ for $x \in def A$ and $\alpha \in K$. It follows from the last relation (denoting $\alpha = 1$) that $-\alpha \in A$ $A \in A$ A

(generally for different ψ there are, of course, different $-\alpha$). Thus, it is true that

 $\sum_{x \in A \cap A} S(A(x), A \cap A \cap A + A \cap A) + B$ for all $A \in P$ and (ii) is satisfied.

 $S(A(x_1), k^{-1}||x_1 + y_1|) \cap S(A(x_2), k^{-1}||x_2 + y_1|) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in def A$ and $y \in P$.

It is sufficient to show that the sum of radiuses of such two spheres is greater or equals the distance of their centres which is correct under the assumption, because

So there is $-a \in C$, for every $y \in P$ such that $-a \in \bigcap_{x \in def A} S(A(x), Ae^{1}||x+y||)$,

in other words:

 ${}^{2}\|A(x) + \alpha\| \leq k e^{4}\|x + y\| \text{ for } x \in \text{def } A.$ From there it follows that for all $\alpha \in K$, $\alpha \neq 0$: $|\alpha| \cdot {}^{2}\|A(\frac{x}{\alpha}) + \alpha\| \leq |\alpha| \cdot k e^{4}\|(\frac{x}{\alpha}) + y\|, x \in \text{def } A$ so that

 2 |A(x)+ $\alpha\alpha$ | $\leq kc^{1}$ |x+ α y|, $x \in def A$, $\alpha \in K$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Since the last relation is trivial for $\alpha = 0$, (i) is satisfied and the proof is complete.

<u>Definition 5</u>. The linear space Q is called productively centred in respect to P, if it is A -productively centred for every $A \ge 0$.

Remark 1. As a result of Theorem 1.2 and Definition 5 there follows the statement: Let P, Q be normed linear spaces. Let Q be productively centred to P. Then every bounded operator from P into Q may be extended on the whole P preserving the norm.

Theorem 3. The linear space of real numbers is productively centred in respect to every normed linear space over the field of real numbers.

<u>Proof.</u> Theorem 3 is a result of a more general statement for the linear space of real numbers: Let $\mathcal S$ be an arbitrary system of closed spheres in the linear space of real numbers such that any two elements of this system have a non empty intersection. Then the intersection of all these spheres is a non empty set. The proof of this statement is easy. We denote $\mathcal S=fI_{\mathcal U}_{\mathcal U}_{\mathcal U} \in \mathbb N$, $I_{\mathcal U}=\langle n_{\mathcal U}, q_{\mathcal U}\rangle$. If we denote $n=\sup_{\mathcal U\in \mathbb N}n_{\mathcal U}$, $q=\inf_{\mathcal U\in \mathbb N}q_{\mathcal U}$, then it follows q=g. Suppose, on the contrary, that q=g. Then there is $q_{\mathcal U}$, $q_{\mathcal U}$ such that $q_{\mathcal U} = q_{\mathcal U}$ by another way q=g, q=g, on the contrary to the hypothesis. Hence it follows q=g, and q=g and q=g for every q=g.

Remark 2. As the result of Remark 1 and Theorem 3, there follows the Hahn-Banach theorem on extension of real bounded linear functionals preserving the norm.

Convention. Let P be a normed linear space over the field of complex numbers. By the symbol $_{\mathcal{R}}P$ we denote the linear space P as a normed linear space over the field of real numbers, analogously for subspaces and linear envelopes.

<u>Definition 6</u>. Let G, be a linear space over the field of complex numbers. We call this linear space a pure complex linear space, if:

1. There is introduced a so-called involution(see [1]) on a

linear space ${\mathcal Q}$, i.e. a mapping J from ${\mathcal Q}$ into ${\mathcal Q}$ such that

$$J(\alpha \alpha + \beta \mathcal{L}) = \overline{\alpha} J(\alpha) + \overline{\beta} J(\mathcal{L});$$

$$J(J(\alpha)) = \alpha;$$

2. on the linear space $\, {\bf Q} \,$ there is introduced a norm such that

2
| $J(a)$ || = 2 || a || ,
 2 || a || = 2 || Re $a \cdot cost + Im a \cdot sint$ ||

(Δ is a set of real numbers).

By the symbol Re a, resp. Im a we denote the socalled real part, resp. imaginary part of the element a. Every element a \in Q may be written uniquely in the form

Re a + i Im a, Re a, Im $a \in Re$ Q - is a subspace of the space $_n$ Q for every its element it follows J(a) = (a).

Theorem 4. Let P be a normed linear space over the field of complex numbers. Let Q be a pure complex linear space. Let $k \ge 0$. Let a mapping $_{\mathcal{R}}\Phi$ from $_{\mathcal{R}}P$ into exp Re Q defined by the following $x \in _{\mathcal{R}}P \Longrightarrow _{\mathcal{R}}\Phi(x) = \{a \in \mathbb{R}e \ Q; ^2 \| a \| \le k ^4 \| x \| \}$ be the linearly covering $_{\mathcal{R}}P$ in respect to $_{\mathcal{R}e}Q$. Then the mapping Φ from P into exp Q defined by $_{\mathcal{R}e} = \Phi(x) = \{a \in \mathbb{Q}; ^2 \| a \| \le k ^4 \| x \| \}$ is linearly covering P in respect to Q.

<u>Proof.</u> At first we shall prove the following lemmas.

<u>Lemma 1.</u> Let P be a linear space over the field of complex numbers. Let Q be a pure complex linear space.

Then

(i) for an arbitrary operator A it follows that $x \in def A \Longrightarrow Im A(x) = -Re A(ix)$, Re A is the operator from $_{n}P$ into Q;

(ii) if B is the operator from $_{n}P$ into Re Q then A(x) = B(x) - i B(i x), $x \in def B$ the operator from P into Q is defined and B = Re A.

The proof is

Lemma 2. Let P be a normed linear space over the field of complex numbers. Let Q be a pure complex linear space. Let $Ac \ge O$. Then:

if $x \in def C \implies {}^{2}\|C(x)\| \le ke^{1}\|x\|$, then $x \in {}_{n}def C \implies {}^{2}\|Re C(x)\| \le ke^{1}\|x\|$, and inversely.

<u>Proof.</u> This statement is trividal in regard to the first direction, see Definition 6.

Let $x \in_{\mathcal{R}} def C$. Then we have $^{2}\| \operatorname{Re} C(x)\| \le A ^{1}\| x\|$. Because $x \cdot e^{-it} \in_{\mathcal{R}} def C$ for all real t, it follows $^{2}\| \operatorname{Re} C(x) \cos t - \operatorname{Re} C(ix) \sin t\| \le A ^{1}\| x \cdot e^{-it}\| = A ^{1}\| x\|$ for all real t and so

 ${}^{2}\|C(x)\| = \max_{t \in A} {}^{2}\|Re C(x) cost + Im C(x) sint\| = \max_{t \in A} {}^{2}\|Re C(x) cost - Re C(ix) sint\| \le ke^{1}\|x\|$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 3. Let P be a linear space over the field of complex numbers. Then it follows

 $\kappa \left[\kappa \left[R \cup \gamma \right] \cup i \gamma \right] = \left[R \cup \gamma \right].$ The proof is easy.

Now we prove Theorem 4.

Let A be Φ -admissible. From the lemma it follows that Re A is Φ -admissible, i.e. there is $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ Re Q for every $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

 $x \in \text{def } A \implies {}^{2} \| \text{Re } A(x) + \beta a_{1} \| \le \Re^{4} \| x + \beta a_{2} \|$ for all real β .

Re $A(x) + \beta a_1$ is an $\alpha \Phi$ -admissible operator on α [def $A \cup a_1$] into Re Q, i.e. for every $i \cdot a_1$ there is $a_2 \in Re Q$ such that

 $x \in {}_{n} \operatorname{def} A \Longrightarrow {}^{2} \| \operatorname{Re} A(x) + \beta a_{1} + \gamma a_{2} \| \le * {}^{4} \| x + \beta y + \gamma i y \|$ for all real β , γ .

We define the operator B as follows:

def B = [def A U y] ,

if $z = x + (\beta + i\gamma) \psi$, $x \in def A$, $(\beta + i\gamma) \in K$, then $B(z) = A(x) + (\beta + i\gamma) (a_i - ia_2)$.

It follows that Re B(z) = Re A(x) + $\beta a_1 + \gamma a_2$. According to the preceding we have that

 $z \in [def A \cup y_1] \implies {}^2 \|B(z)\| \le Ac^4 \|z\|$, in other words,

 $^{2}\|A(x) + \alpha a\| \le k ^{1}\|x + \alpha y\|$ for all $x \in def A$ and $\alpha \in K$ $(a = a_{1} - i a_{2})$.

So Φ is linearly covering P in respect to Q, q.e.d.

Theorem 5. Let P be a normed linear space over the field of complex numbers. Let Q be a pure complex linear space. Let Re Q be productively centred in respect to P. Then every operator from P into Q is extension-

able on the whole P preserving the norm.

 $\underline{\text{Proof}}$. This theorem is an easy result of Theorem 1, 2, 4.

Remark 3. Theorem 5 is a generalization of the well known Suchomlinoff's result concerned with the extension of complex linear functionals preserving the norm.

References

- [1] KANTOROWICZ AKILOFF: Functional analysis in normed spaces (Russian), Moscow 1959.
- [2] SUCHOMLINOFF: On extension of linear functionals in complex and quaternion spaces (Russian), Mat. Sb. 1938, 353-358.
- [3] NACHBIN: A theorem of Hahn-Banach type for linear transformation, TAMS 68(1950),28-46.
- [4] CHARVÁT: K problematice rozšiřování lineárních operací na modulech, Čas.pěst.matematiky (93)(1968), 371-377.

Praha 3 Vinohrady, Ambrožova 18 Československo

(Oblatum 6.11.1969)

.