

Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1971

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0012|log11

Kontakt/Contact

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae 12,1 (1971)

SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN METRIC AND BANACH SPACES Josef DANES, Praha

- § O. <u>Introduction</u>. This paper is devoted to the study of fixed points of some mappings in metric and normed spaces. Notations and terminology are described in Section 1. Section 2 contains some results near to those given by Kannan in [11] and Kirk in [13]. In Section 3 we study ***-m-c**L** mappings and the relation between Fréchet differentiability and the measure of non-compactness. Section 4 is devoted to an application of a theorem of Browder [4].
- § 1. Notations and terminology. Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be two pseudometric spaces, C a subset of X and T a mapping of X into Y. Then T is said to be uniformly continuous on C with respect to X, if for each positive d there is a positive E such that if C is in C and X in X with $d(C, X) \leq E$, then $e(T(C), T(X)) \leq d$.

Let M be a subset of X and define

 $Q_{\epsilon}(M) = \{ \epsilon > 0 : M \text{ can be covered by a finite number of closed } \epsilon \text{-balls in } X \}$

and the measure of non-compactness of the set M by $\chi(M) = \inf Q(M)$ (see Sadovskii [14]). For elementary properties of the measure of non-compactness and related topics

AMS, Primary 47H10, 47H15, 58C20

Ref.Z. 7.978.4

see [3],[8],[9],[15]. T is called a k-mcL mapping if $\chi(T(M)) \leq k\chi(M)$ for any subset M of X. T is called a strictly k-mcL mapping 1 if $\chi(T(M)) < k\chi(M)$ for any non-precompact bounded subset M of X. In this terminology, T is concentrative if it is continuous and a strictly 1-mcL mapping. T is asymptotically regular (see [5]), if $e(T^m(x), T^{m+1}(x)) \longrightarrow 0$ as $m \longrightarrow +\infty$, for any x in X. It is easy to see that T is uniformly continuous on C with respect to X, respectively a k-mcL mapping, if it is k-Lipschitzian on C with respect to X (that is C in C and C in X implies that $e(T(C), T(X)) \leq k \cdot c(C, X)$ for some $k \geq 0$, respectively k -Lipschitzian on X.

Let (X, p) and (Y, q) be pseudonormed linear spaces and X_q and Y_q their closed unit balls at the origin. In what follows, " — " and " — " denote the convergence in the weak and strong (pseudonorm) topology, respectively. In [8] and [10] we computed the measure of non-compactness of $X_q: \chi(X_q) = 0$ or 1 if $X/p^{-1}(0)$ has a finite or infinite dimention. If T is a linear mapping of X into Y, denote by $\chi(T)$ the number $\chi(T(X_q))$. It is easy to see that χ is a pseudonorm on the space of all linear bounded mappings from X into Y; its kernel, that is the set $\chi^{-1}(0)$, consists of precompact linear mappings of X into Y. Clearly, $\chi(T) \leq \|T\|$ for any linear T: $X \rightarrow Y$.

¹⁾ **k-mcL** is the abbreviation of "Lipschitzian in the sense of the measure of non-compactness with constant k ".

Now, let X and Y be normed linear spaces, C a subset of X and T a mapping of C into Y. Then T is said to be (a) demicontinuous if $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ in C implies $T(x_m) \longrightarrow T(x_0)$ in Y; (b) weakly continuous if $x_m \longrightarrow x_0$ in C implies $T(x_n) \longrightarrow T(x_0)$ in Y; (c) convex if the functional f(x) = ||x - T(x)|| and the set C are convex; (d) Fréchet differentiable at a point z in C (see [16]) if z is in the interior of C and T(z+h) = T(z)++ $T'(x)h + \omega(x,h) (h \in X \cap (C-x)^{2})$, where T'(x), the Fréchet derivative of T at z , is a linear continuous mapping of X into Y and $\omega(z,h)$, the remainder of T at z. satisfies the condition: $\lim_{h\to 0} \frac{\|\omega(x,h)\|}{\|h\|} = 0$; (e) uniformly Fréchet differentiable on C (see [16]) if C is open, T is Fréchet differentiable at any $oldsymbol{z}$ in $oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}^+$ and $\lim_{n\to 0} \frac{\|\omega(z,h)\|}{\|\omega(z,h)\|}$ = 0 uniformly for z in C;(f) feebly semicontractive if Y = X = a Banach space and there is a mapping V of $C \times C$ into X such that T(x) = V(x, x)for all x in C, $\|V(x,x) - V(y,x)\| \le \|x - y\|$ (x, y, z in C) and the map $x \longrightarrow V(\cdot, x)$ is compact from C to the space of maps of C to X with the uniform metric. The kernel of C is the set $K(C) = \{x \in X: C \text{ is } \}$ starshaped with respect to x, that is, the closed segment [\times , z] is contained in C for any z in C β .

§ 2. In this section we shall present some sufficient conditions on the existence of fixed points of some mappings in metric spaces. These results are related to those of

²⁾ C-x denotes the set $\{c-x:c\in C\}$.

Kannan [11] and Kirk [13].

Theorem 1. Let (X, τ) be a non-empty compact space and d a non-negative real-valued symmetric function on $X \times X$ such that d(x, y) = 0 implies x = y $(x, y \in X)$. Suppose that T_1 and T_2 are mappings of X into itself satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) if $T_1(x) = x = y = T_2(y)$ is not true, then $d(T_1(x), T_2(x)) < \frac{1}{2} [d(x, T_1(x)) + d(y, T_2(y))];$
- (2) the function $f(x,y) = d(x,T_1(x)) + d(y,T_2(y))$ is lower semi-continuous on $(X,\tau) \times (X,\tau)$.

Then the mappings T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point which is the unique fixed point of each of T_4 and T_2 .

<u>Proof.</u> If z and w are fixed points of T_1 and T_2 respectively, with $z \neq w$, then by (1) we have $d(T_1(z), T_2(w)) < \frac{1}{2}[0+0] = 0$, a contradiction, proving the trivial part of the theorem.

Since f(x, y) is a lower semi-continuous function on the (non-empty) compact space $(X, z) \times (X, z)$, there is a point (z, w) in $X \times X$ at which f attains its infimum. If

$$(*)$$
 $T_1(T_2(w)) = T_2(w) = w$

OF

$$(**) \qquad x = T_1(x) = T_2(T_1(x))$$

is true, then w or z is a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . Hence it suffices to prove that at least one of (*) and (*) is satisfied. Suppose not. Then, by (1)

$$f(T_1(w), T_1(x)) = d(T_2(w), T_1(T_2(w))) + d(T_1(x), T_2(T_1(x))) =$$

$$= d(T_1(T_2(w)), T_2(w)) + d(T_1(x), T_2(T_1(x))) <$$

 $< \frac{1}{2} [d(T_2(w), T_1(T_2(w))) + d(w, T_2(w))] +$ $+ \frac{1}{2} [d(x, T_1(x)) + d(T_1(x), T_2(T_1(x)))] =$ $= \frac{1}{2} [f(x, w) + f(T_2(w), T_1(x))],$

that is, $f(T_2(w), T_4(z)) < f(z, w)$ - a contradiction to the minimality of f at the point (z, w).

In the above theorem one can take, for instance, as d a metric on X. Proofs of the following corollaries are similar to those given in [7],[10]. We can obtain further assertions by taking $T_1 = T_2 = T$.

Corollary 1. Let (X, π) be a non-empty compact space and d a non-negative real-valued lower semi-continuous function on $(X, \pi) \times (X, \pi)$. Suppose that T_1 and T_2 are continuous mappings of X into itself satisfying the condition (1) of Theorem 1. Then the conclusion of Theorem 1 remains valid.

Corollary 2. Let X be a non-empty weakly compact subset of a normed linear space, T_1 and T_2 weakly continuous mappings of X into itself satisfying the condition (1) of Theorem 1 with $d(x,y) = \|x-y\|$. Then the conclusion of Theorem 1 remains valid.

Corollary 3. Let X be a non-empty weakly compact convex subset of a normed linear space, T_1 and T_2 demicontinuous mappings of X into itself satisfying the condition (1) of Theorem 1 with $\alpha(x,y) = \|x-y\|$. Let the function $\alpha(x,y) = \|x-y\|$. Then the confusion of Theorem 1 remains valid.

Corollary 4. Let X, T, T, and d be as in Corollary

3. Suppose that $I-T_1$ and $I-T_2$ are convex. (I denotes the identity mapping on X .) Then the conclusion of Theorem 1 remains valid.

Theorem 2. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space, C a non-empty compact subset of X and T a (not necessarily continuous) mapping of X into itself which is uniformly continuous on C with respect to X. Let $\alpha(T,x)$ be a subset of X, for any $x \in X$. Suppose that:

- (1) $\inf_{x \in X} d(x, T(x)) = 0$;
- (2) $\overline{\alpha(T,x)} \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for each x in X;
- (3) $d(y,T(y)) \leq \kappa(d(x,T(x)))$ for each $y \in \kappa(T,x), x \in X$, where $\kappa(t)$ is a function defined on $(0,+\infty)$ with $\kappa(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0+$.

Then T has a fixed point in X (even in C).

<u>Proof.</u> Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then, by (1), there exists a point x in X such that $d(x, T(x)) < \varepsilon$; by (2), there are y in $\sigma(T, x)$ and c in C with $d(y, c) < \varepsilon$. Thus, by (3), we have

 $d(c,T(c)) \leq d(c,y) + d(y,T(y)) + d(T(y),T(c)) \leq$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \kappa(\varepsilon) + \sigma'(\varepsilon) = \eta(\varepsilon)$$
,

where $d'(\varepsilon) = \sup_{c \in C} \{d(T(x), T(w)) : x \in X, w \in C, d(x, w) \le \varepsilon \}$ is the modul of uniform continuity of T on C with respect to X. The fact $\eta(\varepsilon) \longrightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0+$ implies that $\inf_{c \in C} d(c, T(c)) = 0$. The continuity of T on the non-esc empty compact subset C ensures the existence of a point x_c in C such that $d(x_c, T(x_c)) = \inf_{c \in C} d(c, T(c)) = 0$, and x_c is a fixed point of T.

Remark. The condition (1) of Theorem 2 is satisfied if (X,d) is a bounded complete subset of a normed linear space and T is a nonexpansive mapping of X into itself and the kernel of X intersects the range of T, $K(X) \cap R(T) \neq \emptyset$ (see [10], Proposition 4), or if T is asymptotically regular, $d(T^n(x), T^{m+1}(x)) \longrightarrow 0$ as $m \longrightarrow +\infty$, for any x in X. In many cases we can take $\alpha(T,x) \subset \{T^m(x)\}_{m=0}^\infty$, or $\alpha(T,x) \subset \alpha(T^m(x): m=0,1,...\}$, if X is a subset of a linear space (cf. Kirk [13], Cor. 2.1).

- § 3. k-mcL mappings and Fréchet differentiable mappings.

 Proposition 1. Let (X, n) and (Y, q) be pseudonormed linear spaces and T a linear mapping of X into Y. Then:
 - (1) T is continuous if and only if $\chi(T) < +\infty$;
- (2) T is precompact (that is, it maps bounded subsets of X onto precompact subsets of Y) if and only if $\chi(T) = 0$;
 - (3) if T is continuous then it is a χ(T)-mcL mapping;
- (4) if T is not precompact, then T is not a k-mcL mapping for any $k < \chi(T)$.

<u>Proof.</u> (1) and (2) follow at once from the definition of $\chi(T)$ and Lemma 1, (2) and (3) in [9]. The same considerations as in the proof of Theorem 8 in [10] prove (3). The part (4) of the theorem is a consequence of the equality $\chi(T) \equiv \chi(T(X_1)) = \chi(T) \cdot \chi(X_1)$. (Note that $\chi(T) > 0$ implies that the dimension of the quotient space $\chi/\rho^{-1}(0)$ is infinite and $\chi(X_1) = 1$, cf. Proposition 6 in [10].)

<u>Proposition 2.</u> Let (X, d) and (Y, e) be pseudometric

spaces and $\{T_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ a sequence of M-mcL mappings of X into Y which converges, uniformly on bounded subsets of X, to a mapping T of X into Y. Then T is a M-mcL mapping.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let M be a bounded subset of X. Then there exists m_o such that $\varepsilon(T_{m_o}(x))$, $T(x) \le \varepsilon$ for all x in M. Hence the Hausdorff distance (with respect to ε) of $T_{m_o}(M)$ and T(M) is not greater than ε and, using [31,§ 3, Lemma, or [8], Theorem 1.11, respectively [9], Lemma 1, (8), we obtain that $|\chi(T_{m_o}(M)) - \chi(T(M))| \le \varepsilon$. Hence $\chi(T(M)) \le \chi(T_{m_o}(M)) + \varepsilon \le k \cdot \chi(M) + \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we have $\chi(T(M)) \le k \chi(M)$.

Theorem 3. Let X and Y be normed linear spaces, C an open non-empty subset of X and T a mapping of C into Y possessing the Fréchet derivative at a point z of C. Then $\lim_{z\to 0+} \frac{\chi(T(z+z\,X_1))}{c}$ exists and equals to $\chi(T'(z))$.

<u>Proof.</u> There is an $\varepsilon_o > 0$ such that the closed ε_o -ball at z is contained in C. We can write

 $T(x+h)=T(x)+T'(x)h+\omega(x,h) (\|h\| \leq \epsilon_{\alpha}, h \in X) ,$

where $d'(\varepsilon) = \sup \{\frac{\|\omega(z,h)\|}{\|h\|} : h \in X, 0 < \|h\| \le \varepsilon \}$ converges to 0 as ε tends to 0. Further,

 $T(z+\epsilon X_1) \subset T(z) + T'(z)(\epsilon X_1) + \omega(z,\epsilon X_1) \ (0 < \epsilon \le \epsilon_0)$, $T'(z)(\epsilon X_1) \subset T(z) = T(z+\epsilon X_1) + \omega(z,\epsilon X_1)$,
hence

$$\frac{T(z+\varepsilon X_4)}{\varepsilon} \subset \frac{T(z)}{\varepsilon} + T'(z)(X_4) + \frac{\omega(z,\varepsilon X_4)}{\varepsilon}$$

$$T'(z)(X_4) \subset \frac{T(z)}{\varepsilon} - \frac{T(z+\varepsilon X_4)}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\omega(z,\varepsilon X_4)}{\varepsilon}$$

$$\frac{T(z+\varepsilon X_4)}{\varepsilon} \subset \frac{T(z)}{\varepsilon} + T'(z)(X_4) + \sigma(\varepsilon)X_4$$

$$(0<\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_o)$$

$$T'(z)(X_4) \subset \frac{T(z)}{\varepsilon} - \frac{T(z+\varepsilon X_4)}{\varepsilon} + \sigma(\varepsilon)X_4$$
that is
$$|\frac{\chi(T(z+\varepsilon X_4))}{\varepsilon} - \chi(T'(z))| \le \sigma(\varepsilon) (0<\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_o),$$
and the theorem follows.

Remark. A direct consequence of the proof is that if T is uniformly Fréchet differentiable on C, then $\frac{\chi\left(T(z+sX_1)\right)}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{converges to } \chi\left(T'(z)\right) \quad \text{as } s \longrightarrow 0 \text{ , uniformly for } z \text{ in } C \text{ .}$

Corollary 1. Let X and Y be normed linear spaces, C an open non-empty subset of X and T a mapping of C into Y possessing the Fréchet derivative at a point z in C. If T is a x-mcL mapping, then so is its Fréchet derivative T'(z), that is $\chi(T'(z)) \leq x$.

<u>Proof.</u> The proof is a direct consequence of Theorem 3 and [10], Proposition 6, respectively [8], Theorem 1.7.

Lemma 1. Let X and Y be normed linear spaces, C a non-empty bounded subset of X which is starshaped with respect to the origin of X and T an α -homogeneous mapping of C into Y for some $\alpha \leq 1$ (that is $T(tx) = t^{\alpha}T(x)$ if t > 0 and x, tx & C) and a α -mcL map-

ping on $C \cap X_4$ for some $\Re \ge 0$. Then T is a (strictly) $\Re -mc$ L mapping on C.

Proof. We can restrict our consideration to the case when T is a M-mcL mapping on $C \cap X_1$. Let M be a bounded subset of C and denote $M_1 = M \cap X_1$ and $M_2 = M \cap (X \setminus X_1)$. Then there is a t > 1 such that $t^{-1}M_2$ is contained in X_1 . Then $\chi(T(M_2)) = \chi(t^{\alpha}T(t^{-1}M_2)) = t^{\alpha}\chi(T(t^{-1}M_2)) \leq t^{\alpha} \cdot M \cdot \chi(M_2)$. Therefore

 $\chi(\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{M})) = \chi(\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{M}_1) \cup \mathsf{T}(\mathsf{M}_2)) = \max\{\chi(\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{M}_1)) \ ,$

 $\chi(T(M_2))\} \leq \max\{k \cdot \chi(M_1), k \cdot \chi(M_2)\} = k \cdot \chi(M).$

§ 4. An application of a Browder's theorem. Recently, Browder [4] has proved the following important theorem:

Let X be a Banach space, C a closed bounded convex subset of X having the origin of X in its interior, T a mapping of C into X such that for each x in the boundary of C, $Tx + \lambda x$ for any $\lambda > 1$. Suppose that for a given constant $k \le 1$ and a mapping V of $C \times C$ into X, T(x) = V(x, x) for all x in C while

 $\|V(x,x)-V(y,x)\| \le Ae \|x-y\|$ (x, y \in C) and the map $x \longrightarrow V(\cdot,x)$ is compact from C to the space of maps from C to X with the uniform metric. Then:

- (a) If k < 1, T has a fixed point in C .
- (b) If $k \leq 1$ and (1-T)(C) is closed in X, then T has a fixed point in C.

By means of this theorem, Browder [4] derived a fixed point theorem for semicontractive mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces, and Kirk [12] made this for strongly

semicontractive mappings in reflexive Banach spaces. Our purpose in this section is to give a fixed point theorem for concentrative feebly semicontractive mappings in Banach spaces. In the part (b) of the Browder's theorem, the problem is to prove that $(I-T)(\mathcal{C})$ is closed in X.

Lemma 2. Let X be a normed linear space, C a complete subset of X and T a concentrative mapping of C into X. Then the mapping I-T maps bounded closed subsets of C into bounded closed subsets of X (I denotes the identity mapping of C into C).

<u>Proof.</u> Let M be a closed and bounded subset of X. Since T is concentrative, we have $\chi(T(M)) \leq \chi(M) < +\infty$ and hence T(M) is bounded. Now, the inclusion (I-T)(M)cM-T(M) implies the boundedness of (I-T)(M). Let $\{y_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in (I-T)(M) converging (strongly) to a point y_o in X . Then there are points x_m in Msuch that $x_n - T(x_m) = y_m$. Denote $A = \{x_m : m = 1, 2, ... \}$ and $B = \{ y_m : m = 1, 2, \dots \}$. Then, clearly, $A \subset T(A) + B$ and $T(A) \subset A - B$. Thus, B being precompact (the underlying set of a convergent sequence), we have $\chi(A) \leq \chi(T(A)) + \chi(B) = \chi(T(A)) \leq \chi(A) + \chi(B) = \chi(A),$ that is, $\chi(T(A)) = \chi(A)$, and hence A is precompact. Then $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal C$. There exists a subsequence $\{x_{m_{g_k}}\}$ of $\{x_m\}$ such that $x_{m_{g_k}} \rightarrow x_0$ for some x_0 in C . We have $T(x_{m_{2k}}) \longrightarrow T(x_{0})$ since T is continuous. Hence $x_0 - T(x_0) = y_0$ and y_0 is in (I-T)(C) which proves the lemma.

Lemma 3. Let X be a normed linear space, C a complete subset of X and T a concentrative mapping of C into X.

If $x_m \longrightarrow x_o$ and $y_m = x_m - T(x_m) \longrightarrow y_o$ for some $\{x_m\} \subset C$, $x_o \in C$ and $y_o \in X$, then $y_o = x_o - T(x_o)$.

<u>Proof.</u> Denoting $A = \{x_m\}$ and $B = \{y_m\}$ and using $A \subset T(A) + B$, $T(A) \subset A - B$, we have, by the same argument as in the proof of the preceding lemma, $\chi(A) = 0$. Hence \overline{A} is compact and $x_m \longrightarrow x_o$ in \overline{A} implies $x_m \longrightarrow x_o$. Therefore, $y_0 = x_o - T(x_o)$.

Theorem 4. Let X be a Banach space, C a closed bounded convex subset of X having the origin of X in its interior, T a concentrative feebly semicontractive mapping of C into X satisfying the Leray-Schauder condition: for each X in the boundary of C and for each X > 1, TX + XX. Then T has a fixed point in C.

<u>Proof.</u> By Lemma 2, (I - T) (C) is closed, and using the Browder's theorem mentioned at the beginning of this section, our theorem follows.

Corollary 1. Let X and C be as in the theorem. Let T be a concentrative nonexpansive mapping of C into X satisfying the Leray-Schauder condition (see Theorem 4). Then T has a fixed point in C.

Corollary 2. Let X and C be as in the theorem. Let T be the sum of a concentrative nonexpansive mapping and a compact mapping of C into X. Suppose that T satisfies the Leray-Schauder condition (see Theorem 4). Then T has a fixed point in C.

Lemma 4. Let X be a normed linear space and $\{x_m\}$ a sequence in X weakly converging to x_0 and let ε be a real number greater than $\chi(\{x_m: m=4,2,...\})$. Then there is m_0 such that for each $m \ge m_0$ x_m lies in the

2 & -ball at x. .

<u>Proof.</u> Suppose not. Then there is a subsequence $\{x_{m_k}\}$ of $\{x_m\}$ which is disjoint from the 2ε -ball at x_o . Now, $\{x_m\}$, and hence $\{x_{m_k}\}$, is covered by a finite number of closed ε -balls. Hence there exist a point ε in X and a subsequence $\{x_{m_{k_i}}\}$ of $\{x_{m_{k_i}}\}$ contained in the closed ε -ball at ε . Since the closed ε -ball at ε is convex and $x_{m_{k_i}}$ x_o , the point x_o lies in the closed ε -ball at ε . Thus, $\{x_{m_{k_i}}\}$ being contained in the closed ε -ball at ε , it is contained in the closed ε -ball at ε , it is contained in the closed ε -ball at ε , a contradiction.

References

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI: Proprietà spettrali di certi operatori lineari non compatti. Rend.Sem.Mat.Univ.Padova 42(1969),189-200.
- [2] L.P. BELLUCE W.A. KIRK: Some fixed point theorems in metric and Banach spaces. Canad.Math.Bull.12 (1969),481-491.
- [3] Ju.G. BORISOVIČ Ju.I.SAPRONOV: On topological theory of compactly restrictable mappings. Trudy Sem. Funkc.Anal.12(1969),43-68.
- [4] F.E. BROWDER: Semicontractive and semiaccretive nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces. Bull.Amer.Math. Soc.74(1968),660-665.
- [5] F.E. BROWDER W.V. PETRYSHYN: The solution by iteration of non-linear functional equations in Banach spaces. Ibid.,72(1966),571-575.

- [6] J. DANES: Nonlinear operators and functionals(Thesis).

 Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and
 Physics, Prague, 1968(Czech).
- [7] J. DANES: Some fixed point theorems, Comment.Math.Univ. Carolinae 9(1968),223-235.
- [8] J. DANES: Generalized concentrative mappings. Summer School on Fixed Points, Krkonoše, Czechoslova-kia, Aug. 31-Sept. 6 1969.
- [9] J. DANES: Generalized concentrative mappings and their fixed points. Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 11 (1970),115-136.
- [10] J. DANES: Fixed point theorems, Nemyckii and Uryson operators, and continuity of nonlinear mappings. Ibid.,11(1970),481-500.
- [11] R. KANNAN: Some results on fixed points. Bull.Calcutta Math.Soc.60(1968),71-76.
- [12] W.A. KIRK: On nonlinear mappings of strongly semicontractive type. Journ.Math.Anal.Appl.37(1969), 409-412.
- [13] W.A. KIRK: Nonexpansive mappings and the weak closure of sequences of iterates. Duke Math.Journ.36 (1969),639-645.
- [14] B.N. SADOVSKII: On a principle of fixed points. Funkc.
 Analiz Prilož.1(1967),74-76.
- [15] B.N. SADOVSKII: On measures of non-compactness and concentrative operators. Problemy Mat.Analiza Slož.Sistem 2(1968);89-119.
- [16] M.M. VAINBERG: Variational methods for the study of nonlinear operators. Holden-Day, San Francisco,

Calif.,1964.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta Karlova Universita Sokolovská 83, Praha 8 Československo

(Oblatum 15.7.1970)

3

.

.