

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1970

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866\\_0011|log75](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?316342866_0011|log75)

## Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

ON GENERALIZED LAMBERT SUMMABILITY

Patrick CASSENS and Francis REGAN

Oswego N.Y. - St.Louis, Mo.

Summability conditions on the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  from the series

$$(1) \quad F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n l_n \frac{x^n}{1 - l_n x^n}$$

where  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{l_n\}$  are complex sequences with 1 the least upper bound of  $\{|l_n|^{1/n}\}$  have been studied in [3] and [6]. This paper will prove an extension of Hardy's theorem ([6], pp.194-196) by showing that the  $(C, p)$  summability of  $\sum a_n$  implies summability of  $\sum a_n$  in a generalized Lambert method. The series (1) is a generalized Lambert series; and we say  $F(x)$  is represented by the  $F$ -series [4].

1. This section establishes the notation which will be employed throughout the paper.

Let  $n$  be a natural number,  $e$  and  $f$  be non-negative integers; and for a fixed natural number  $h$  define for all integers  $m$  such that  $0 \leq m \leq h-1$ ,

$$s_n^m = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{hj-m}$$

and

$$G_m(e, f; x, y) = m^e x_m^f y_m^m / (1 - x_m y_m^m)^{1+e}.$$

Let a sequence  $\{a_i\}$  be given. For any real value of  $\nu$ ,  $S_n^\nu(a_i)$  denotes the Cesàro sum of order  $\nu$  and  $A_i^\nu$  the binomial coefficient of order  $\nu$ .

For  $q$  a non-negative integer and  $g(x)$  differentiable at least  $q$  times, define  $H^q g(x) = (x d/dx)^q g(x)$ , where the operator  $(x d/dx)^q$  is defined so that  $(x d/dx)^0 g(x) = g(x)$ ,  $(x d/dx)^1 g(x) = x \cdot dg(x)/dx$  and for  $q \geq 1$ ,  $(x d/dx)^q = x \cdot d(H^{q-1} g(x))/dx$ .

The differences of order  $\nu$ ,  $\Delta^\nu$  belonging to a given sequence  $\{a_n\}$  are given by  $\Delta^1 a_n = a_n - a_{n+1}$  and for  $\nu \geq 2$ ,  $\Delta^\nu a_n = \Delta^1(\Delta^{\nu-1} a_n)$ .

Remark. For these differences the following hold

$$(i) \quad \Delta^\nu a_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} A_j^{\nu-1} a_{n+j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} (-1)^j C_{\nu,j} a_{n+j}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \Delta^\nu(a_n l_n) = \sum_{q=0}^{\nu} C_{\nu,q} \Delta^q a_n \Delta^{\nu-q} l_{n+q}.$$

2. Formulation of results. We state here the main theorems which are to be proved.

Theorem 1. Let  $F(x)$  be represented by the F-series; let  $k$  be a positive integer for which there exist  $k$  non-negative integers  $\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{k-1}$  such that

$$1. \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k_j} / k_j = b \quad (C, \nu_0);$$

$$2. \quad \text{for } t = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, \quad S_n^{\nu_t}(b_m^t) = o(n^{\nu_t}).$$

Then, if either  $l_{k_j} = 1$  for every  $j \leq N$  and suitable  $N$  or  $l_{k_j} \neq 1$  for every  $j \leq N$  and suitable  $N$ ,  $x^*$  is any primitive  $k$ -th root of 1 and

$$h_n = o(n^{-n-q+3}) ,$$

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 1^-} (1-\kappa)^{1+q} H^q F(\kappa x^*) = s \cdot J \cdot q ! ,$$

where  $J = 1$  in the former case and  $J = 0$  in the latter case.

Theorem 2. Let

1.  $\sum a_j = s$   $(C, p)$  for some non-negative integer  $p$ ,
2.  $\{J_n(x)\}$  be a sequence of functions of the real variable  $x$  on the interval  $(0, h)$  for positive  $h$  such that

- (i)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} J_n(x) = c$ ,  $c$  a constant, for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , for suitable  $N$ ,
- (ii) for all  $x$  in  $(0, h)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^p J_n(x) = 0$ ,
- (iii) for all  $x$  in  $(0, h)$ , there exists a  $K$  independent of  $x$  such that

$$\sum n^p |\Delta^{p+1} J_n(x)| < K .$$

Then  $\sum a_n J_n(x)$  converges for all  $x$  in  $(0, h)$  and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \sum a_n J_n(x) = s \cdot J_0(0^+) .$$

Comments. Theorem 1 is an extension of Hardy's theorem that  $(C, p)$  summability of  $\sum a_n$  implies Lambert summability of  $\sum a_n$  to the same sum. This is the restricted case  $q = 0$ ,  $h_n = 1$  for all  $n$  and  $p_t$  is a fixed natural number for  $t = 0, 1, \dots, h-1$ . The case where all  $h_n$  are 1 has been considered previously [3]. Theorem 2 is an extension of Bromwich's theorem ([1], p.358) and is useful in establishing Theorem 1.

2. Proof of Theorem 2. To simplify notation let  $h_n$  denote  $J_n(x)$  for  $x$  in  $(0, h)$ .

From the properties of Cesaro sums of order  $\mu$  we obtain

$$(2) \sum_{j=0}^n S_j^{\mu-1}(a_m) \Delta^\mu l_j = \sum_{j=0}^n S_j^\mu(a_m) \Delta^{\mu+1} l_j + S_n^\mu(a_m) \Delta^\mu l_{n+1}$$

and

$$(3) \sum_{j=0}^n a_j l_j = \sum_{j=0}^n S_j^0(a_m) \Delta^1 l_j + S_n^0(a_m) l_{n+1}.$$

If  $\mu$  is a positive integer, iteration of (2) with (3) establishes

$$\sum_{j=0}^n a_j l_j = \sum_{j=0}^n S_j^\mu(a_m) \Delta^{\mu+1} l_j + R_n$$

where

$$R_n = \sum_{j=0}^\mu S_n^j(a_m) \Delta^j l_{n+1}.$$

From Remark (i)

$$R_n = \sum_{j=0}^\mu \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^i C_{j,i} S_n^j(a_m) l_{n+i+1}.$$

Since  $S_n^j(a_m) = O(n^j)$ ,  $|S_n^j(a_m)| < K n^j$  for some positive  $K$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^\mu \sum_{i=0}^j K \cdot C_{j,i} n^j |l_{n+i+1}| \\ &\leq K \sum_{j=0}^\mu \sum_{i=0}^j C_{j,i} (n+i+1)^j |B_{n+i+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

But,  $(n+i+1)^j |l_{n+i+1}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by hypothesis and  $C_{j,i} \leq C_{\mu,i}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq \mu$ . Therefore,  $R_n = o(1)$ .

Consequently, from Remark (ii),

$$(4) \sum_{j=0}^n a_j l_j = \sum_{j=0}^\mu S_j^\mu(a_m) \Delta^{\mu+1} l_j + o(1).$$

Thus

$$(5) \sum a_j l_j$$

and

$$(6) \sum S_j^\mu(a_m) \Delta^{\mu+1} l_j$$

converge and diverge together.

Since  $S_j^r(a_m)$  is  $o(j^r)$ ,

$$\sum_{j=0}^n |S_j^r(a_m) \Delta^{r+1} l_j| \leq K \sum_{j=0}^n j^r |\Delta^{r+1} l_j|$$

for some positive  $K$ . But,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^r |\Delta^{r+1} l_j|$$

is convergent by hypothesis. Thus, series (6) converges.

This fact implies series (5) converges, and the first part of the theorem is established.

Since the validity of (4) depends only on conditions 1 and 2(ii) of Theorem 2, the following lemma is easily obtainable from (4) and the comment that in the special case

$a_0 = 1, a_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$  we have for integral  $r \geq -1$  that for all  $n$ ,  $S_n^r(a_m) = A_n^r = C_{n+r,n}$ ,  $\sum a_m = 1$  ( $C, r$ ) and  $\sum a_m l_m = l_0$ .

Lemma. Let  $\{J_n(x)\}$  satisfy condition 2(ii) of Theorem 2. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_{j+r,j} \Delta^{r+1} J_n(x) = J_0(x).$$

Combining  $\sum a_m l_m = l_0$ , statement (4) and the facts that series (5) and (6) are convergent we find that

$$(7) \quad \sum a_m l_m - b \cdot l_0 = \sum [S_m^r(a_j) - b \cdot C_{m+r,m}] \Delta^{r+1} l_m.$$

But, if  $b$  is the Cesàro limit in condition 1 of this theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [S_n^r(a_j) / C_{n+r,n}] = b$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [C_{n+r,n} / n^r] = 1/r!$$

Thus, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $N_\epsilon$  such that for  $n > N_\epsilon$ ,

$$|S_n^r(a_j) - b \cdot C_{n+p,n}| < \epsilon \cdot n^r;$$

and there exists a constant  $K$  such that for all  $n$

$$|S_n^r(a_j)| < K \cdot n^r \text{ and } |b \cdot C_{n+p,n}| < K \cdot n^r.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad |\sum a_n l_n - b \cdot l_0| &\leq \sum [|S_n^r(a_j) - b \cdot C_{n+p,n}| |\Delta^{p+1} l_n|] \\ &< 2K \sum_{n=0}^{N_\epsilon} (n+1)^r |\Delta^{p+1} l_n| \\ &\quad + \epsilon \sum_{n=N_\epsilon+1}^{\infty} n^r |\Delta^{p+1} l_n|. \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p+1} (-1)^j l_{n+j} \cdot C_{p+1,j} = \Delta^{p+1} l_n;$$

and for all  $n \leq N$  for  $N$  suitably large,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} l_n = c$  by hypothesis. Since  $\sum_{j=0}^{p+1} (-1)^j c \cdot C_{p+1,j} = 0$ , for every  $n \leq N$  and  $N$  suitably large, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \Delta^{p+1} l_n = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \sup |\sum a_n l_n - b \cdot l_0| \leq \epsilon \cdot K,$$

which implies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} [\sum a_n l_n - b \cdot l_0] = 0.$$

The conclusion of Theorem 2 follows.

3. Before proving Theorem 1 we note the following three theorems.

Theorem A. ([5], p.100) If  $\kappa \geq p > -1$  and  $\sum a_n = b(C, p)$ , then  $\sum a_n = b(C, \kappa)$ .

Theorem B. [2] Let  $\mu > -1$  and  $q > 0$ .

1. If  $S_n^\mu(a_j) = o(n^d)$  for some positive  $d$ , then  $S_n^{\mu+q}(a_j) = o(n^{d+q})$  and  $S_n^{\mu-2}(a_j) = o(n^d)$ .

2. If  $S_n^\mu(b_j^m) = o(n^d)$  for some positive  $d$ , then  $a_{k, n-m} = o((k, n-m)^d)$  where for a fixed positive integer  $k$  we define, for all integers  $m$  such that  $0 \leq m \leq k-1$ ,  $b_j^m = \sum_{i=1}^j a_{k, i-m}$ .

Theorem C. [2] Let  $F(x)$  be defined by the  $F$ -series; let  $k$  be a positive integer such that there exists a positive  $d$  for which  $S_n^\mu(b_j^m) = o(n^d)$ , for some  $\mu > -1$  and all integers  $m$  satisfying  $0 \leq m \leq k-1$ . Let  $q$  be a positive integer,  $M(q, 1) = M(q, q) = 1$  and for  $q > 2$  and  $2 \leq w \leq q-1$ ,

$$M(q, w) = wM(q-1, w) + (q-w+1)M(q-1, w-1).$$

Then for  $|x| < 1$ ,

$$H^q F(x) = \sum_{w=1}^q M(q, w) \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k, j-m} G_{k, j-m}(q, w; l, x).$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $q$  be a positive integer; let  $\mu$  be an integer and  $\mu \geq \mu_t$  for all integers  $t$  satisfying  $0 \leq t \leq k-1$ ; then, from Theorems A and B we may replace each  $\mu_t$  by  $\mu$ . From Theorem C

$$H^q F(x) = \sum_{w=1}^q M(q, w) \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k, j-m} G_{k, j-m}(q, w; l, x).$$

Since  $\sum_{w=1}^q M(q, w) = q!$  for all integers  $q \geq 1$  ([3], p.431), this theorem will be demonstrated if the following are true:



(a) For any integer  $w$  satisfying  $1 \leq w \leq q$ ,

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 1^-} (1-\kappa)^{1+q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{\kappa j} G_{\kappa j}(q, w; l, \kappa x^*) = b \cdot J;$$

(b) For all integers  $w$  and  $t$  satisfying

$$1 \leq w \leq q \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq t \leq \kappa - 1,$$

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 1^-} (1-\kappa)^{1+q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{\kappa j-t} G_{\kappa j-t}(q, w; l, \kappa x^*) = 0.$$

Proof of (a). For integral  $w$  such that  $1 \leq w \leq q$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{\kappa j} G_{\kappa j}(q, w; l, \kappa x^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\kappa j) c_j G_{\kappa j}(q, w; l, \kappa)$$

for  $c_j = a_{\kappa j} / (\kappa j)$  since  $x^*$  is a primitive  $\kappa$ -th root of 1.

Because

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 1^-} [(1-\kappa)^{1+q} \kappa^{1+q} / (1-\kappa^{\kappa \cdot 1+q})] = 1,$$

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 1^-} [(1-\kappa)^{1+q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\kappa j) c_j G_{\kappa j}(q, w; l, \kappa)]$$

$$(9) = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j l_{\kappa j}^w \frac{(1-\kappa^{\kappa})^{1+q} j^{1+q} \kappa^{w \kappa j}}{(1-l_{\kappa j} \kappa^{\kappa j})^{1+q}}$$

provided the limit in (9) exists. To prove the existence of this limit we let  $e^{-x} = \kappa^{\kappa}$ ,

$$J_j(x) = l_{\kappa j}^w \frac{(1-e^{-x})^{1+q} j^{1+q} e^{-w j x}}{(1-l_{\kappa j} e^{-j x})^{1+q}}$$

and show  $\sum c_j J_j(x)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.

Condition 1 of Theorem 2 is satisfied by hypothesis.

For those  $j$  for which  $l_{\kappa j} = 1$ ,  $J_j(0^+) = 1$ , while if  $j$  is such that  $l_{\kappa j} \neq 1$ ,  $J_j(0^+) = 0$ . Therefore, by restricting all  $l_{\kappa j}$  for some initial segment of  $\{l_{\kappa j}\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  to either be 1 or different

from 1, condition 2(i) of Theorem 2 is satisfied.

For  $h > 0$  and  $x$  in  $(0, h)$  we have

$$(10) \quad |j^n J_j(x)| \leq |l_{hj}^w| j^{2+n+1} e^{-wxj} = o(1)$$

since  $w \geq 1$ ,  $j \geq 1$  and  $|l_{hj}^w| \leq 1$ ; and condition 2(ii) holds.

Previous techniques ([3] and [6]) for establishing conditions similar to 2(iii) require expansion of

$[l_{hj}^w e^{(1+2-w)xj}] / [e^{xj} - l_{hj}^w]^{1+2}$  into partial fractions in  $e^{xj}$ . This can be done only for  $l_{hj}^w$  a constant. Then, if  $l_{hj}^w$  is a real constant,  $K$ , the transformation  $e^{-wt} = K \cdot e^{-xt}$  reduces our problem to that in [3]. If  $l_{hj}^w$  is complex, the sequence  $\{\Delta^{n+1} J_j(x)\}$  contains complex expressions; and it is not possible to establish 2(iii) by the methods given here.

Consequently, we impose  $l_n^w = o(n^{-(n+2+3)})$ , which implies there exists a constant  $K$  such that

$$(11) \quad |l_n^w| < K \cdot n^{-(n+2+3)}.$$

From (10) and (i) of the remark we have

$$(12) \quad n^n |\Delta^{n+1} J_n(x)| < n^n (2n)^{1+2} \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} C_{n+1,m} |l_{h(n+m)}^w|^w$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. From (11) and (12) there exists a constant  $K'$  independent of  $x$  such that

$$\sum n^n |\Delta^{n+1} J_n(x)| < K', \quad \text{and (a) is proved.}$$

Proof of (b). For all integers  $w$  and  $t$  satisfying

$$1 \leq w \leq q \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq t \leq h-1, \quad \text{we show}$$

$$(13) \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 1^-} (1-\kappa)^{1+2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{hj-t} G_{hj-t}(q, w; l, \kappa x^*) = 0.$$

It follows from Theorem B that  $S_n^r(n_j^t) = o(n^r)$  implies  $a_{n_j-t} = o((n_j-t)^r)$ , and there exists a constant  $K_1$  such that

$$(14) \quad |a_{n_j-t}| < K_1 (n_j-t)^r.$$

But, for all values of  $n$  and  $j$ ,

$$[1 - l_{n_j-t}(nx^*)]^{1+q}$$

is bounded from zero since  $|l_{n_j-t}| \leq 1$ ,  $x^*$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of 1 and  $1 \leq t \leq n-1$ . Therefore, for all  $j$  and for all  $n < 1$ ,  $|nx^*| < 1$ , and there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$(15) \quad |a_{n_j-t} G_{n_j-t}(q, w; l, nx^*)| < M |a_{n_j-t}| |l_{n_j-t}|^w (n_j-t)^2.$$

From (11), (14) and  $|l_{n_j-t}| \leq 1$  we find the left side of (15) is less than

$$M \cdot K_1 \cdot (n_j-t)^r \cdot K \cdot (n_j-t)^{-(r+q+3)} \cdot (n_j-t)^2 = (M \cdot K_1 \cdot K) (n_j-t)^{-3}.$$

Hence (b) is proved. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] T.J.I.A. BROMWICH: On limits of certain infinite series and integrals, Math. Ann. 65(1908), 350-369.
- [2] Patrick CASSENS and Francis REGAN: Hadamard operators applied to generalized Lambert series, to appear in Math. Notae.
- [3] Patrick CASSENS, Francis REGAN and Daniel J. TROY: On Lambert series, J. Analyse Math. 21(1968), 423-431.
- [4] J.M. FELD: The expansion of analytic functions in generalized Lambert series, Ann. of Math. 33(1932),

139-143.

[5] G.H. HARDY: Divergent series, Oxford at Clarendon Press,  
London, 1949.

[6] G.H. HARDY: Note on Lambert series, Proc.London Math.  
Soc.13(1913),192-198.

State University of New York College  
at Oswego, Oswego, New York 13126

Saint Louis University  
St. Louis, Missouri 63103

(Oblatum 21.7.1970)

