Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, roč. 106 (1981), Praha

SOME REMARKS ON DOMATIC NUMBERS OF GRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received July 26, 1979)

E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi in the papers [1] and [2] define the domatic number of an undirected graph. Here we shall present some results concerning this concept. We shall investigate finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges.

A dominating set in a graph G is a subset D of the vertex set $V(G)$ of G with the property that each vertex of $V(G) - D$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of D. A partition of $V(G)$ into dominating sets is called *a domatic partition of* G. The maximal number of classes of a domatic partition of a graph G is called *the domatic number of* G and is denoted by $d(G)$.

In [2] it is suggested to relate the domatic number of a graph G to the connectivity of this graph. In this paper we shall prove some results concerning this topic.

The vertex (or edge) connectivity degree of a graph G is the minimal cardinality of a subset of the vertex set (or the edge set, respectively) of G with the property that by deleting this set from G a disconnected graph is obtained. (To delete a subset of the vertex set of G means to delete all vertices of this set and all edges which are incident to these vertices. To delete a subset of the edge set of G means to delete only all edges of this set.) The vertex connectivity degree of G will be denoted by $\omega(G)$, its edge connectivity degree by $\sigma(G)$.

Theorem 1. *Let p and q be non-negative integers, $p < q$. Then there exists a graph G such that $\omega(G) = p$, $d(G) = q$.*

Proof. Take two copies G', G'' of the complete graph K_q with q vertices. If $p = 0$, then G is the graph whose connected components are G' and G''. If $p \neq 0$, we choose pairwise distinct vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_p$ in G' and $v_1, \ldots, v_p$ in G'' and identify u_i with v_i for each $i = 1, \ldots, p$. In the following we shall denote the vertex obtained by identifying u_i with v_i by w_i for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. The remaining vertices of G' (or G'') will be denoted by $u_{p+1}, \ldots, u_q$ (or $v_{p+1}, \ldots, v_q$, respectively). In the case $p = 0$ we denote the vertices of G' by $u_1, \ldots, u_q$ and the vertices of G'' by $v_1, \ldots, v_q$. If we delete the set $\{w_1, \ldots, w_p\}$ from G, we obtain a disconnected graph. As each of the vertices $w_1, \ldots, w_p$ is adjacent to all the other vertices of G, after deleting less than p vertices the graph G remains connected; therefore $\omega(G) = p$. Let $D_i = \{w_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$ and $D_i = \{u_i, v_i\}$ for $i = p + 1, \ldots, q$. Evidently $\{D_1, \ldots, D_q\}$ is a domatic partition of G and $d(G) \geqq q$. In [1] it was proved that $d(G) \leqq \delta(G) + 1$, where $\delta(G)$ is the minimal degree of a vertex of G. Here evidently $\delta(G) = q - 1$, hence $d(G) = q$.

Theorem 2. *Let p and q be non-negative integers, $p < q$. Then there exists a graph G such that $\sigma(G) = p$, $d(G) = q$.*

Proof. We take again two copies G' and G'' of K_q. Let the vertices of G' (or G'') be $u_1, \ldots, u_q$ (or $v_1, \ldots, v_q$, respectively). If $p = 0$, the graph G is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1. If $p \neq 0$, we join u_i with v_i by an edge for each $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Evidently $\sigma(G) = p$, where G is the graph thus obtained. Taking $D_i = \{u_i, v_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q$ we obtain a domatic partition $\{D_1, \ldots, D_q\}$ and, as $\delta(G) = q - 1$, we have $d(G) = q$.

Theorem 3. *Let h be a positive integer. Then there exists a graph G such that*

$$\omega(G) - d(G) = \sigma(G) - d(G) = h .$$

Proof. Let $n = 2h + 4$ and consider the complete graph K_n. As n is even, there exists a linear factor F of K_n. Let the edges of F be $e_1, \ldots, e_{h+2}$, let u_i, v_i be the end vertices of the edge e_i for $i = 1, \ldots, h + 2$. Let G be the graph obtained from K_n by deleting all edges of F. Evidently each subset of $V(G)$ which induces a disconnected subgraph of G is of the form $\{u_i, v_i\}$ for some i. Therefore $\omega(G) = n - 2 = 2h + 2$. It is easy to prove that also $\sigma(G) = n - 2 = 2h + 2$. No vertex of G is adjacent to all the other vertices, therefore each dominating set of G has at least two vertices. This implies $d(G) \leqq n/2$. Putting $D_i = \{u_i, v_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, h + 2$ we obtain a domatic partition of G and hence $d(G) = n/2 = h + 2$. We have

$$\omega(G) - d(G) = \sigma(G) - d(G) = h .$$

The graph from the proof of Theorem 3 also has the property that $d(G) = \frac{1}{2}\,\delta(G) + 1$. We express a conjecture.

Conjecture. *For each graph G we have*

$$d(G) \geqq \tfrac{1}{2}\,\delta(G) + 1 .$$

At the end we turn to another problem suggested in [2] — to characterize the uniquely domatic graphs.

A graph G is called *uniquely domatic*, if there exists exactly one domatic partition of G with $d(G)$ classes.

We shall characterize the uniquely domatic graphs whose domatic number is 2. First we prove a lemma.