

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1980

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X_0105|log44

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

THE HEAT AND ADJOINT HEAT POTENTIALS

MIROSLAV DONT, Praha

(Received November 17, 1977)

Let G stand for the fundamental solution of the heat equation in R^{n+1} , i.e.

$$G(x, t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in R^n, \quad t > 0,$$

$$G(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in R^n, \quad t \leq 0.$$

By the term measure we mean a finite Borel measure with compact support in R^m . If μ is a measure in R^{n+1} , the heat potential G is defined by the equality

$$G_\mu(x, t) = \int_{R^{n+1}} G(x - \xi, t - \tau) d\mu(\xi, \tau).$$

Similarly one can define the adjoint heat potential G_μ^* by

$$G_\mu^*(x, t) = \int_{R^{n+1}} G^*(x - \xi, t - \tau) d\mu(\xi, \tau),$$

where G^* is the fundamental solution of the adjoint heat equation; $G^*(x, t) = G(x, -t)$.

Let μ be a measure in R^{n+1} . It is known (see [1], [3], [4]) that for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ the condition

$$(1) \quad \sup \{ |G_\mu(x_1, t_1) - G_\mu(x_2, t_2)| ; \\ x_1, x_2 \in R^n, |x_1 - x_2| \leq \varepsilon, |t_1 - t_2| \leq \varepsilon^2 \} \leq K\varepsilon^\alpha$$

(i.e. G_μ is a Hölder-continuous function with the coefficient α in the variable x and the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}\alpha$ in the variable t) is fulfilled if and only if the condition

$$(2) \quad \sup \{ \mu(\{(x, t) \in R^{n+1}; |x - \xi| \leq \varepsilon, |\tau - t| \leq \varepsilon^2\}); (\xi, \tau) \in R^{n+1} \} \leq M\varepsilon^{n+\alpha}$$

holds. As the condition (2) is "symmetric in the variable t ", an analogous condition to (1) is fulfilled for the adjoint heat potential G_μ^* if and only if (2) holds. It is seen

from this that the potential G_μ^* is a Hölder-continuous function with the coefficient α in the variable x and with the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}\alpha$ in the variable t if and only if the potential G_μ possesses the same property. We will show that the assumption $\alpha > 0$ is essential. It holds (see [3], [4]) that the potential G_μ is uniformly continuous on R^{n+1} if and only if the condition

$$(3) \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup \left\{ \int_a^\infty \mu(A(x, t, c)) \, dc; (x, t) \in R^{n+1} \right\} \right) = 0$$

is fulfilled, where

$$A(x, t, c) = \{(\xi, \tau) \in R^{n+1}; G(x - \xi, t - \tau) > c\} \quad (c > 0).$$

For the uniform continuity of the adjoint heat potential G_μ^* we have an analogous condition under which G_μ^* is uniformly continuous:

$$(4) \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup \left\{ \int_a^\infty \mu(A^*(x, t, c)) \, dc; (x, t) \in R^{n+1} \right\} \right) = 0,$$

where

$$A^*(x, t, c) = \{(\xi, \tau) \in R^{n+1}; G^*(x - \xi, t - \tau) > c\} \quad (c > 0).$$

However, the conditions (3), (4) are not "symmetric in the variable t " which raises the following question: are the conditions (3), (4) equivalent to each other, or in other words, is it right that the potential G_μ^* is uniformly continuous if and only if the potential G_μ is? The following example shows that the answer to that question is negative.

If a measure μ in R^{n+1} is of the form $\mu = \delta_{x_0} \otimes \lambda$, where $x_0 \in R^n$ (δ_{x_0} is a Dirac measure in R^n), λ is a measure on R^1 , then the conditions (3), (4) are reduced to the conditions

$$(3') \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup \left\{ \int_a^\infty \lambda \left(\left\langle t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2/n}, t \right\rangle \right) dc; t \in R^1 \right\} \right) = 0,$$

$$(4') \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup \left\{ \int_a^\infty \lambda \left(\left\langle t, t + \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2/n} \right\rangle \right) dc; t \in R^1 \right\} \right) = 0$$

(cf. [4]).

Let us now consider the case $n = 1$. Let λ be a measure on R^1 with its support $\text{supp } \lambda = \langle 0, e^{-1} \rangle$, which is defined by the density h (with respect to the Lebesgue measure):

$$h(t) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{(t) \ln t}}, \quad t \in (0, e^{-1}),$$

$h(t) = 0$ for $t \in R^1 - (0, e^{-1})$. First let us show that for each $a > 0$

$$\int_a^\infty \lambda \left(\left\langle 0, \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2} \right\rangle \right) dc = +\infty,$$

i.e. the condition (4') (for $n = 1$) is not fulfilled. Let $a > \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(e/\pi)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^\infty \lambda \left(\left\langle 0, \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2} \right\rangle \right) dc &= - \int_0^{(1/4\pi)c^{-2}} \left(\frac{dt}{\sqrt{(t) \ln t}} \right) dc = \\ &= - \int_0^{(1/4\pi)a^{-2}} dt \int_a^{(1/2)(\pi t)^{-1/2}} \frac{dc}{\sqrt{(t) \ln t}} = \int_0^{(1/4\pi)a^{-2}} \left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{(t) \ln t}} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(\pi) t \ln t}} \right) dt = +\infty \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\left| \int_0^{(1/4\pi)a^{-2}} \frac{a}{\sqrt{(t) \ln t}} dt \right| < +\infty, \quad - \int_0^{(1/4\pi)a^{-2}} \frac{dt}{2\sqrt{(\pi) t \ln t}} = +\infty.$$

Note that if μ is a measure in R^2 which is, for instance, of the form $\mu = \delta_0 \otimes \lambda$ (δ_0 is the Dirac measure in R^1 supported by the point 0), then one can even calculate the value

$$\begin{aligned} G_\mu^*(0,0) &= \int_{R^2} G^*(-\xi, -\tau) d\mu(\xi, \tau) = \int_0^{e^{-1}} G^*(0, -\tau) h(\tau) d\tau = \\ &= - \int_0^{e^{-1}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(\pi\tau)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\tau) \ln \tau}} d\tau = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us prove that the condition (3') (for $n = 1$) is fulfilled, i.e. for $\mu = \delta_0 \otimes \lambda$ the potential G_μ is uniformly continuous on R^2 . It is obvious that it suffices to show that

$$(3'') \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup \left\{ \int_a^\infty \lambda \left(\left\langle t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}, t \right\rangle \right) dc; t \in \langle 0, e^{-1} \rangle \right\} \right) = 0$$

as (for any $c > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \left(\left\langle t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}, t \right\rangle \right) &= 0 \quad \text{for } t \leq 0, \\ \lambda \left(\left\langle t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}, t \right\rangle \right) &\leq \lambda \left(\left\langle e^{-1} - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}, e^{-1} \right\rangle \right) \quad \text{for } t \geq e^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t \in (0, e^{-1})$. In order to calculate the value $\lambda(\langle t - (1/4\pi) c^{-2}, t \rangle)$ let us distinguish the following two cases:

- a) $t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2} < 0$ (i.e. $c < \frac{1}{2}(\pi t)^{-1/2}$),
- b) $t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2} \geq 0$ (i.e. $c \geq \frac{1}{2}(\pi t)^{-1/2}$).

In the case a) we have

$$\lambda \left(\left\langle t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}, t \right\rangle \right) = - \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(\tau)} \ln \tau}$$

and in the case b)

$$\lambda \left(\left\langle t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}, t \right\rangle \right) = - \int_{t-(1/4\pi)c^{-2}}^t \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(\tau)} \ln \tau}.$$

Thus

$$(5) \quad \int_a^\infty \lambda \left(\left\langle t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}, t \right\rangle \right) dc = - \int_a^{(1/2)(\pi t)^{-1/2}} dc \int_0^t \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(\tau)} \ln \tau} - \\ - \int_{(1/2)(\pi t)^{-1/2}}^\infty dc \int_{t-(1/4\pi)c^{-2}}^t \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(\tau)} \ln \tau} = I_1 + I_2$$

for $a < \frac{1}{2}(\pi t)^{-1/2}$. In the case $a \geq \frac{1}{2}(\pi t)^{-1/2}$ we have

$$(6) \quad \int_a^\infty \lambda \left(\left\langle t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}, t \right\rangle \right) dc = - \int_a^\infty dc \int_{t-(1/4\pi)c^{-2}}^t \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(\tau)} \ln \tau} = I_3.$$

The integral I_1 is evidently finite. The integrals I_2, I_3 are also finite, since

$$(7) \quad \left| \int_{t-(1/4\pi)c^{-2}}^t \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(\tau)} \ln \tau} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|\ln t|} \int_{t-(1/4\pi)c^{-2}}^t \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau}} = \\ = \frac{2}{|\ln t|} \left(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}} \right) = \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi c^2} \frac{1}{|\ln t| \left(\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}} \right)} \leq \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{2\pi |\ln t| \sqrt{t}}.$$

It is easily seen that for a fixed $a > 0$ the function

$$f_a(t) = \int_a^\infty \lambda \left(\left\langle t - \frac{1}{4\pi} c^{-2}, t \right\rangle \right) dc$$

is continuous on the interval $(0, e^{-1})$. Since the integral I_3 is finite, it holds for each $t \in (0, e^{-1})$ that

$$(8) \quad f_a(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } a \rightarrow +\infty$$

monotonically. Let us show that for each $a > 0$

$$(9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} f_a(t) = 0.$$