

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1980

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X_0105|log42

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

GENERALIZED CONTINUITY AND GENERALIZED CLOSED GRAPHS

ROBERT A. HERRMANN, Annapolis

(Received November 17, 1977)

1. Introduction. In [13], some sufficient conditions for a weakly-continuous function to be continuous are investigated. In particular, Corollary 2 [13] states that if Y is a Hausdorff space such that every closed subset is N -closed, then a weakly-continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. As we show below, a Hausdorff space such that every closed subset is N -closed is compact. Consequently, this corollary is not a particularly significant result.

The major purpose for this present investigation is to use tH -monad theory and to discuss, for an arbitrary map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, some relations between (tH, sK) -continuity, (tH, sK) -closed graphs and, if X, Y are topological spaces, topological continuity. In the process, we are able to improve upon most of the results in [13]. For example, applying our results to topological spaces X and Y , it is shown that if $A \subset X$ is compact [resp. N -closed, αA -compact, completely-compact, SA -compact] and the graph, $G(f)$, of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is closed [resp. has property (P), is strongly closed, is (I_X, w) -closed, is (I_X, S) -closed], then $f^{-1}[A]$ is closed in X . If Y is Hausdorff [resp. completely-Hausdorff] and each closed subset is θ -compact [resp. w -compact] and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is almost-continuous [resp. a c -map], then f is continuous. If (Y, T) is rim- θ [resp. α]-compact, $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ is weakly-continuous and $G(f)$ is strongly closed [resp. has property (P)], then f is continuous. Finally, we show that every rim- θ -compact, Urysohn [resp. rim- α -compact, Hausdorff; rim- S -compact, weakly-Hausdorff, extremally disconnected] space is regular.

2. Preliminaries. In the interest of brevity, we shall rely heavily upon the definitions and results which appear in the references [6], [7], [8], [9], [12]. Recall that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is (tH, sK) -continuous at $p \in X$ if $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \subset \mu_s K(f(p))$, where $\mu_t H(p)$ and $\mu_s K(q)$ are the tH and sK -monads on X and Y , respectively [8]. For the monad of ROBINSON [16] $\mu(p)$ [resp. α -monad $\mu_\alpha(p)$, θ -monad $\mu_\theta(p)$, w -monad $\mu_w(p)$], we have that a map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ is almost-continuous [19] [resp. θ -continuous

[2], weakly-continuous [13], a c -map [3]] at $p \in X$ iff it is (I_X, α) [resp. (θ, θ) , (I_X, θ) , (I_X, w)]-continuous at $p \in X$. We note that a weakly-continuous map is also known as a weakly- θ -continuous map. $*\mathcal{M}$ is a highly saturated enlargement.

Definition 2.1. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a (tH, sK) -closed graph $G(f)$ if for each $(p, q) \notin G(f)$, $\mu_\pi((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) = \emptyset$, where π is generated by the tH and sK -monads (denoted by $\pi = tH \times sK$).

Let (X, τ) and (Y, T) denote topological spaces.

Example 2.1. (i) For $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$, the graph $G(f)$ is (I_X, I_Y) -closed iff $\mu((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) = \emptyset$ for each $(p, q) \notin G(f)$ iff $G(f)$ is closed in $X \times Y$.

(ii) For $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$, $G(f)$ is (I_X, θ) -closed iff it is *strongly closed* in the sense of HERRINGTON and LONG [5].

(iii) For $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$, $G(f)$ is (I_X, α) -closed iff it has *property (P)* discussed in [11] and [13].

(iv) A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a (tH, sK) -closed graph iff $X - G(f)$ is π -open, where $\pi = tH \times sK$. In general, if $t \in PTH(X)$, $s \in PSK(Y)$, then if $G(f)$ is (tH, sK) -closed, then it is π -closed.

Finally, we point out that many of the results in this paper also hold for the q -monad of PURITZ [15]. However, since we are particularly interested in topological spaces and certain closedness properties it appears more useful to concentrate upon the tH -monad approach due to certain special filter base properties which often appear unavoidable and which are exhibited by such nonstandard objects.

3. Major results. As stated in [6] for (X, τ) , a set $A \subset X$ is N -closed iff it is αA -compact iff $*A \subset \bigcup \{\mu_\alpha(x) \mid x \in A\}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, τ) be Hausdorff and assume that each closed set $A \subset X$ is N -closed. Then X is compact.

Proof. Since X is N -closed (i.e. nearly-compact [18]) then X is almost-regular [17] and Urysohn (i.e. Urysohn = distinct points are separated by closed neighborhoods). Thus every closed subset of X is θ -compact, since for each $p \in X$, $\mu_\alpha(p) = \mu_\theta(p)$. Consequently, (X, τ) is C -compact in the sense of VIGLINO [22]. Thus X is semiregular by application of Theorem A in [22]. Therefore, X is regular and this completes the proof.

We now give an important characterization for (tH, sK) -closed graphs. For $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, the power set of X , we let $\text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} = \bigcap \{ *F \mid F \in \mathcal{F} \}$ and if $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then $f[\mathcal{F}] = \{ f[F] \mid F \in \mathcal{F} \}$.

Theorem 3.2. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a (tH, sK) -closed graph, $G(f)$, iff whenever $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$, $p \in X$, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, and $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$ for some $q \in Y$, then $f(p) = q$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$, $p \in X$, and $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$ for some $q \in Y$. Assume that $x \in \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F}$ and $y \in \text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}]$. Hence $*(x, y) \in \mu_\pi((p, q))$, $\pi = tH \times sK$. Consequently, $*(F \times f[F]) \cap \mu_\pi((p, q)) \neq \emptyset$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $*(F \times f[F]) \subset *(G(f))$, we have that $\mu_\pi((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) \neq \emptyset$. Assuming that $G(f)$ is a (tH, sK) -closed graph this yields that $f(p) = q$.

Conversely, assume that whenever $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$ and $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$, $q \in Y$, then $f(p) = q$. Let $(p, q) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$. Thus there does not exist a $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$ and $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$. Suppose that $\mu_\pi((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists some $x \in \mu_t H(p)$ and $y \in \mu_s K(q)$ such that $*(x, y) \in *(G(f))$. Now the ultramonad $\text{Nuc Fil } \{x\} = \text{NF}\{x\} \subset \mu_t H(p)$ and $*f[\text{NF}\{x\}] = \text{NF}\{*f(x)\} = \text{NF}\{y\} \subset \mu_s K(q)$. This contradiction implies that $\mu_\pi((p, q)) \cap *(G(f)) = \emptyset$ and the proof is complete.

Recall that a space (X, τ) is compact [resp. nearly-compact [18], quasi- H -closed [14], completely-closed [10], S -closed [21]] iff $*X = \bigcup \{\mu(x) \mid x \in X\}$ [resp. $*X = \bigcup \{\mu_\alpha(x) \mid x \in X\}$, $*X = \bigcup \{\mu_\theta(x) \mid x \in X\}$, $*X = \bigcup \{\mu_w(x) \mid x \in X\}$, $*X = \bigcup \{\mu S(x) \mid x \in X\}$ [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]]. The w -monad at $p \in X$ is $\mu_w(p) = \bigcap \{*f^{-1}[\mu(f(p))] \mid f \in C(X)\}$ and the S -monad is $\mu S(p) = \bigcap \{\text{cl}_X A \mid p \in A \in \text{SO}(X)\}$, where $\text{SO}(X)$ is a set of all semiopen subsets of X [1]. Also, $W \subset *Y$ is sKA -compact iff $W \subset \bigcup \{\mu_s K(x) \mid x \in A\}$.

Theorem 3.3. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a (tH, sK) -closed graph and Y is sKY -compact (i.e. sK -compact), then f is (tH, sK) -continuous.*

Proof. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a (tH, sK) -closed graph and consider $*f[\mu_t H(p)]$. By sKY -compactness, $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \subset \bigcup \{\mu_s K(y) \mid y \in Y\}$. Assume that $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \cap \mu_s K(q) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $x \in \mu_t H(p)$ such that $*f(x) \in \mu_s K(q)$. However, $\text{NF}\{x\} \subset \mu_t H(p)$ and $*f[\text{NF}\{x\}] = \text{NF}\{*f(x)\}$ imply that $*f[\text{NF}\{x\}] \subset \mu_s K(q)$. Theorem 3.2 yields $f(p) = q$. Consequently, $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \subset \mu_s K(f(p))$ and the proof is completed.

Corollary 3.3. *If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ has a (I_X, I_Y) - [resp. (I_X, α) , (θ, I_Y) , (θ, θ) , (I_X, w) , (I_X, S) , (I_X, θ)]-closed graph, and Y is compact [resp. nearly-compact, compact, quasi- H -closed, completely-closed, S -closed, quasi- H -closed], then f is continuous [resp. almost-continuous [19], strongly- θ -continuous [8], θ -continuous [4], a c -map [3], (I_X, S) -continuous, weakly-continuous [13]].*

We now present a proposition which gives a strong converse to Theorem 3.3 and has numerous corollaries which improve upon Theorem 1 in [13]. A set Y is (sK, uV) -separated if for distinct $p, q \in Y$, $\mu_s K(p) \cap \mu_u V(q) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be (tH, sK) -continuous and Y be (sK, uV) -separated. Then f has a (tH, uV) -closed graph.*

Proof. Assume that $\emptyset \neq \text{Nuc } \mathcal{F} \subset \mu_t H(p)$, $p \in X$, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$, and $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_u V(q)$, $q \in Y$. Then (tH, sK) -continuity implies that $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \subset \mu_s K(f(p))$.

Since $\text{Nuc } f[\mathcal{F}] \neq \emptyset$, then (sK, uV) -separation implies that $f(p) = q$. Hence f has a (tH, uV) -closed graph.

Corollary 3.4.1. *If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ is continuous [resp. almost-continuous, strongly- θ -continuous, θ -continuous, weakly-continuous] and Y is Hausdorff, then f has a closed [resp. (I_X, θ) -closed, (θ, θ) -closed, (θ, α) -closed, (I_X, α) -closed] graph.*

Corollary 3.4.2. *If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ is weakly-continuous [resp. a c -map, (I_X, S) -continuous] Y is Urysohn [resp. completely-Hausdorff, weakly-Hausdorff], then f has a (I_X, θ) [resp. (I_X, w) , (I_X, α)]-closed graph.*

Proof. The above results follow from Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 [6] and the result that if a space Y is completely-Hausdorff [resp. weakly-Hausdorff [20]], then for distinct $p, q \in Y$, $\mu_w(p) \cap \mu_w(q) = \emptyset$ [resp. $\mu_\alpha(p) \cap \mu_\alpha(q) = \emptyset$].

Remark 3.1. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a (tH, sK) -closed graph and we have an rJ -monad system on X and a uV -monad system on Y such that for each $p \in X$ and $q \in Y$, $\mu_r J(p) \subset \mu_t H(p)$ and $\mu_u V(q) \subset \mu_s K(q)$, then f has an (rJ, uV) -closed graph. Hence each of the (tH, sK) -continuous maps in the hypothesis of Corollaries 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 has a closed graph.

Recall that for $W \subset {}^*X$, $St_t H(W) = \{x \mid [x \in X] \wedge [\mu_t H(p) \cap W \neq \emptyset]\}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $W \subset {}^*Y$ be sKA -compact. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a (tH, sK) -closed graph, then*

$$St_t H(*f^{-1}[W]) \subset f^{-1}[A].$$

Proof. We know that $W \subset \bigcup \{\mu_s K(x) \mid x \in A\}$. Thus $*f^{-1}[W] \subset \bigcup \{ *f^{-1}[\mu_s K(x)] \mid x \in A \}$. Let $p \in St_t H(*f^{-1}[W])$. Then $\mu_t H(p) \cap *f^{-1}[W] \neq \emptyset$. Hence $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, there exists $x \in A$ such that $*f[\mu_t H(p)] \cap \mu_s K(x) \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exists $r \in \mu_t H(p)$ such that $NF\{r\} \subset \mu_t H(p)$ and $*f(r) \in \mu_s K(x)$. Therefore, $NF\{ *f(r) \} \subset \mu_s K(x)$. Now (tH, sK) -closed graph implies by Theorem 3.2 that $f(p) = x$. (i.e. $p \in f^{-1}(x)$). Hence,

$$St_t H(*f^{-1}[W]) \subset f^{-1}[A].$$

Corollary 3.5.1. *Let $A \subset Y$ be sKA -compact and for each $p \in X$, let $t \in PTH(p)$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a (tH, sK) -closed graph, then $f^{-1}[A]$ is tH -closed.*

Corollary 3.5.2. *Let $A \subset Y$ be compact [resp. N -closed, SA -compact, completely-closed, SA -compact]. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ has a (I_X, I_Y) [resp. (I_X, α) , (I_X, θ) , (I_X, w) , (I_X, S)]-closed graph, then $f^{-1}[A]$ is closed in X .*

Corollary 3.5.3. *Let $A \subset Y$ be compact. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ has a (θ, I_Y) -closed graph, then $f^{-1}[A]$ is closed in X .*

Example 2 in Viglino's paper [22] is that of a Hausdorff, non-Urysohn, non-compact space in which each closed set is θ -compact. He calls such a space *C-compact* and notes that a *C-compact* Urysohn space is compact. SOUNDARARAJAN [20] gives an example of a compact weakly-Hausdorff space which is not Hausdorff. The next result improves somewhat upon Corollary 2 in [13].

Theorem 3.6. *Let Y be Hausdorff [resp. completely-Hausdorff] and each closed subset of Y is θ -compact [resp. w -compact]. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ is almost-continuous [resp. a c -map], then f is continuous.*

Remark 3.2. In Theorem 3.6, we have not included weakly-Hausdorff spaces in which every closed subset is S -closed. The reason for this is that a weakly-Hausdorff space which is S -closed is H -closed Urysohn and extremally disconnected. Such a space is thus N -closed and if a subset is S -closed, then it is N -closed. Consequently, Theorem 3.1 would imply that a weakly-Hausdorff space in which every closed subset is S -closed is a compact Hausdorff space.

As far as rim-compact spaces are concerned, we are able to extend or improve upon Theorems 3 and 4 in [13]. A space (X, τ) is *rim- tH -compact* if for each $p \in X$ and each neighborhood $V \in \tau$ of p there exists some neighborhood $G_p \in \tau$ of p such that $\text{Fr}(G_p) = \text{cl}_X G_p - G_p$ is $tH(\text{Fr}(G_p))$ -compact and $G_p \subset V$. GROSS and VIGLINO [4] show that any *C-compact* Hausdorff space is rim- θ -compact. Viglino's example [22] is a *C-compact* Hausdorff, nonregular; hence, non-rim-compact but rim- θ -compact space.

We now modify the proof of Theorem 3 in [13] in order to obtain the following proposition.

Theorem 3.7. *If (Y, T) is rim- sK -compact and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ is weakly-continuous with a (I_X, sK) -closed graph, then f is continuous.*

Proof. Let $p \in X$ and $f(p) \in V \in T$. Then there exists some $W \in T$ such that $f(p) \in W \subset V$ and $\text{Fr}(W)$ is $sK(\text{Fr}(W))$ -compact. Clearly $f(p) \notin \text{Fr}(W)$. Thus for each $y \in \text{Fr}(W)$, $(p, y) \notin G(f)$. Since $G(f)$ is (I_X, sK) -closed, then $*f[\mu(p)] \cap \mu_s K(y) = \emptyset$ for each $y \in \text{Fr}(W)$. Consequently, $*f[\mu(p)] \cap (\bigcup \{\mu_s K(y) \mid y \in \text{Fr}(W)\}) = \emptyset$. Hence, $*f[\mu(p)] \cap *(\text{Fr}(W)) = \emptyset$. Weak-continuity implies that $*f[\mu(p)] \subset \mu_\theta(f(p)) \subset *(\text{cl}_Y W)$. Therefore,

$$*f[\mu(p)] \cap *(Y - W) = *f[\mu(p)] \cap *(\text{Fr}(W)) = \emptyset.$$

Hence, $*f[\mu(p)] \subset *W \subset *V$. Since V is an arbitrary open neighborhood of $f(p)$, then $*f[\mu(p)] \subset \mu(f(p))$ and the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.7.1. *If (Y, T) is rim- θ -compact [resp. rim- α -compact] and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, T)$ is weakly-continuous where $G(f)$ is strongly closed [resp. has property (P)], then f is continuous.*