

## Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1979

**PURL:** https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X\_0104|log60

## **Kontakt/Contact**

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

## ON OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES WITH RETARDED ARGUMENT

PAVOL MARUŠIAK, Žilina (Received March 23, 1977)

We consider the following differential inequality

(1) 
$$\{[r(t) y^{(n-1)}(t)]' + f(t, y(t), y[h(t)]\} \operatorname{sgn} y[h(t)] \leq 0, \quad n \geq 2,$$

where

(2) 
$$r:[0,\infty)\to(0,\infty); h:[0,\infty)\to R;$$
 
$$f:[0,\infty)\times R^2\to R \text{ are continuous functions,}$$

(3) 
$$h(t) \le t$$
,  $\lim h(t) = \infty$  for  $t \to \infty$ ,

(4) 
$$y f(t, x, y) > 0$$
 for  $(t, x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times R^2$ ,  $xy > 0$ ;  
 $|f(t, x_1, y_1)| \le |f(t, x_2, y_2)|$  for  $|x_1| \le |x_2|$ ,  $|y_1| \le |y_2|$ ,  $x_1x_2 > 0$ ,  
 $y_1y_2 > 0$ ,  $x_1y_1 > 0$ .

Denote by W the set of all solutions y(t) of the differential inequality (1), which exist on a ray  $[t_0, \infty] \subset [0, \infty)$  and satisfy

$$\sup \{|y(s)| : s \ge t\} > 0$$

for every  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ .

A solution  $y(t) \in W$  is said to be oscillatory if the set of zeros of y(t) is not bounded from the right. Otherwise the solution  $y(t) \in W$  is said to be nonoscillatory.

**Definition 1.** We shall say the that the inequality (1) has the property A if every solution  $y(t) \in W$  is oscillatory for n even, while for n odd is either oscillatory or  $y^{(i)}(t)$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n - 2) and r(t)  $y^{(n-1)}(t)$  tend monotonically to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $m \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ . We shall say that the inequality (1) has the property  $A_m$  if every solution  $y(t) \in W$  is either oscillatory or  $y^{(i)}(t)$  (i = m, m+1, ..., n-2) and r(t)  $y^{(n-1)}(t)$  tend monotonically to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

The oscillatory properties of solutions of differential equations of the *n*-th order with the term  $[r(t) \ y^{(n-1)}(t)]'$   $(n=2, n \ge 2, r(t) > 0)$  are studied, for example, in [1, 2, 4, 7, 9-12]. In this paper we shall prove sufficient conditions for the inequality (1) to have either the property A or  $A_0$ .

Finally, with the help of the inequality (1) we shall prove a sufficient condition for the equation (r) to have the property  $A_m$ ,  $m \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ . Our results generalize some of those in the papers [1-3, 6, 9, 12].

Let us denote

$$\bar{r}(t) = \max \{r(s) : t/2 \le s \le t\},$$

$$b(t) = \frac{r(t)}{\bar{r}(t)}, \quad b_0 = \inf \{b(t) : t \ge t_0\},$$

$$R_k(t) = \int_T^t \frac{x^k}{r(x)} dx, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., n - 2, \quad T \in [0, \infty),$$

$$R_k(t, u) = \int_u^t \frac{(x - u)^k}{r(x)} dx, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., n - 2, \quad u \le t,$$

$$\varrho(t) = \frac{r[h(t)]}{\min \{r(s) : h(t) \le s \le t\}}, \quad H(t) = \frac{t}{h(t)} \quad \text{for} \quad h(t) > 0.$$

Let  $m \in \{0, 1, ..., n - 1\}$ ,  $t_0 \in [0, \infty)$ . Put

$$D_{t_0}^{(m)} = \left\{ \left( t, x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n \right) \in \left[ 0, \infty \right) \times R^{2n} : t_0 \leq h(t), \right.$$

$$\frac{\left( n - m - 1 \right)!}{\left( n - j \right)!} \left( \frac{b_0}{2} t \right)^{m - j + 1} \leq \frac{x_j}{x_{m+1}}, \quad \frac{\left( n - m + 1 \right)!}{\left( n - j \right)!} \left( \frac{b_0}{2} h(t) \right)^{m - j + 1} \leq \frac{y_j}{y_{m+1}}, \quad \left( j = 1, 2, \dots, m + 1 \right), \quad x_{m+1} y_{m+1} > 0, \quad x_i, y_i \in R,$$

$$\left( i = m + 2, \dots, n \right) \right\}.$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $y(t), ..., y^{(n-1)}(t)$  be continuous functions of constant sign in the interval  $[t_0, \infty) \subset [0, \infty)$ . If

(5) 
$$y(t) [r(t) y^{(n-1)}(t)]' \le 0, \quad y(t) \ne 0 \quad for \quad t \ge t_0;$$

(5') 
$$y(t) y^{(n-1)}(t) \ge 0 \text{ for } t \ge t_0$$
,

where the function r satisfies (2), then there exists an integer  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ , n + k odd, such that

(6) 
$$y^{(i)}(t) y(t) \ge 0 \quad (i = 0, 1, ..., k), \quad t \ge t_0$$

(7) 
$$(-1)^{k+i} y^{(i)}(t) y(t) \ge 0 \quad (i = k+1, ..., n-1), \quad t \ge t_0,$$

(8) 
$$|y^{(i)}(t)| \ge L_i b(t) t^{n-i-1} |y^{(n-1)}(t)|, \text{ where } k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\},$$

$$L_i = \frac{2^{-n^2}}{(n-i-1)!} \quad (i=0, 1, ..., k-1), \quad t \ge 2^{n-k} t_0,$$

(9) 
$$|y^{(k)}(t)| \ge t^{n-k-1} b(2^{n-k-1}t) |y^{(n-1)}(2^{n-k-1}t)|, \quad t \ge t_0,$$

(10) 
$$i! \left(\frac{b_0}{2}\right)^{j-i} t^{j-i} \left| y^{(k-i)}(t) \right| \leq j! \left| y^{(k-j)}(t) \right| \quad (j=0,1,...,k,\ i=0,1,...,j),$$

$$t \geq 2t_0.$$

Proof. Under the assumption (5'), assertions (6) and (7) follow from Kiguradze's lemma 14.2 in [5]. Further, we may suppose, without loss of generality, that y(t) > 0 for  $t \ge t_0$ .

(a) Let k = n - 1. Then (6) implies

$$y^{(i)}(t) \ge 0$$
,  $i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$ ,  $t \ge t_0$ .

Using Taylor's theorem, the last inequality, and the monotonicity of  $[r(t) y^{(n-1)}(t)]$ , we get

(11) 
$$y^{(i)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-2} \frac{y^{(i+j)}(t/2)}{j!} \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^j + \int_{t/2}^t y^{(n-1)}(s) \frac{(t-s)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} ds \ge$$

$$\ge \int_{t/2}^t y^{(n-1)}(s) \frac{(t-s)^{n-i-2}}{(n-i-2)!} ds \ge \frac{b(t) y^{(n-1)}(t)}{(n-i-1)!} \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{n-i-1}$$

$$(i=0,1,...,n-2), \quad t \ge 2t_0.$$

From (11), we obtain (8) for k = n - 1.

The inequality (9) for k = n - 1 is evident.

(b) Let  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-3\}$  and let n + k be an odd integer. Then, in view of Lemma 1 in [8], we get

(12) 
$$y^{(i)}(t) \ge \overline{L}_i t^{n-i-3} y^{(n-3)}(t), \quad t \ge 2^{n-k-2} t_0,$$

$$\overline{L}_i = \frac{2^{-(n-2)^2}}{(n-i-3)!}, \quad (i=0,1,...,k-1),$$

and

(13) 
$$y^{(k)}(t) \geq t^{n-k-3} y^{(n-3)}(2^{n-k-3}t), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

With the help of (7) and (5), we get

$$(14) -y^{(n-2)}(t/2) \ge \int_{t/2}^t y^{(n-1)}(s) ds \ge \frac{t}{2} b(t) y^{(n-1)}(t), \quad t \ge 2t_0.$$

For  $t \ge 4t_0$ , using (6), (7) and (14), we obtain

$$(15) y^{(n-3)}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right) \ge y^{(n-3)}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right) - y^{(n-3)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \ge -\frac{t}{4}y^{(n-2)}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \ge \frac{t^2}{8}b(t)y^{(n-1)}(t).$$

The inequalities (15), (12) and (13) imply (8) and (9).

If  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-3\}$ , then the inequality (10) follows from Kiguradze's lemma in [5]. It remains to prove (10) for k = n - 1.

Let k = n - 1. Using (6) we can show that

$$y^{(n-2-i)}(t) \ge \frac{t}{2} y^{(n-i-1)}(\frac{t}{2}), \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., n-2), \quad t \ge 2t_0.$$

Utilizing the last inequality and (6), we can easily verify the correctness of the following relation

$$(16) \qquad (1+i) \ y^{(n-2-i)}(t) - \int_{t/2}^{t} \left[ i \ y^{(n-i-1)}(s) - \frac{b_0}{2} s \ y^{(n-i)}(s) \right] ds \ge$$

$$\ge (1-b_0) \ y^{(n-2-i)}(t) + \frac{b_0}{2} t \ y^{(n-i-1)}(t) + \frac{b_0}{2} \left[ y^{(n-i-2)}(t) - \frac{t}{2} y^{(n-i-1)} \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) \right] \ge$$

$$\ge \frac{b_0}{2} t \ y^{(n-i-1)}(t), \quad (i=1,2,...,n-2), \quad t \ge 2t_0.$$

For i = n - 2,

(17) 
$$y^{(n-2)}(t) \ge \frac{b(t)}{2} t y^{(n-1)}(t) \ge \frac{b_0}{2} t y^{(n-1)}(t), \quad t \ge 2t_0$$

follows from (11).

Further, (16) and (17) imply

$$(1+i) y^{(n-2-i)}(t) \ge \frac{b_0}{2} t y^{(n-i-1)}(t), \quad t \ge 2t_0, \quad (i=0,1,...,n-2).$$

For k = n - 1, (10) follows from the last inequality.

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 1 is an extension of Lemma 2 in [9].

**Lemma 2.** Let (2)-(4) hold.

(a) If

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{r(s)} = \infty ,$$

then conditions (5) and (5') are satisfied for every nonoscillatory solution  $y(t) \in W$  of (1).

(19) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{-T}^{t} |f(s, c, c)| \, \mathrm{d}s \right) \mathrm{d}t = \infty$$

for every  $c \neq 0$  and  $T \geq 0$ , then conditions (5) and (5') hold for every nonoscillatory solution  $y(t) \in W$  of (1) such that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) \neq 0$ .

Proof. We assume, without loss of generality, that y(t) > 0 for  $t \ge t_0$ . Then, in view of (3), there exists  $t_1 \ge t_0$  such that y[h(t)] > 0 for  $t \ge t_1$ . From (1), with regard to (4), we obtain

(20) 
$$\lceil r(t) y^{(n-1)}(t) \rceil' \leq -f(t, y(t), y \lceil h(t) \rceil) < 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_1.$$

- (a) If (18) holds, then using the same method as in Lemma 1 in [9], we get  $y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_1$ .
- (b) Via contradiction we prove that  $y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_1$ . We suppose that for some  $t_2 \ge t_1$  we have  $y^{(n-1)}(t_2) \le 0$ . Then (20) implies  $y^{(n-1)}(t) < 0$  for  $t \ge t_2$ . If  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) > 0$ , then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t_3 \ge t_2$  such that  $y(t) \ge \varepsilon$  and  $y[h(t)] \ge \varepsilon$  hold for every  $t \ge t_3$ . Thus (20), under the assumption (4), yields

$$\lceil r(t) y^{(n-1)}(t) \rceil' \le -f(t, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) < 0 \text{ for } t \ge t_3.$$

Integrating the last inequality from  $T(T \ge t_3)$  to t and using  $y^{(n-1)}(t) \le 0$  for  $t \ge t_2$  we have

$$y^{(n-1)}(t) \leq \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{T}^{t} f(s, \varepsilon, \varepsilon) ds$$
.

Integrating the last relation from T to t, with regard to (19) we get  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y^{(n-2)}(t) = -\infty$  which contradicts the positivity of y(t) for  $t \ge t_0$ .

The proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

**Theorem 1.** Let r, h, f be functions satisfying conditions (2), (3), (4). Let  $K, \alpha, \delta$  be constants  $(K > 0, 0 \le \alpha < 1, \delta > 0)$  and  $g : [0, \infty) \to [K, \infty)$  a continuous function such that

(21) 
$$|f(t, g(t) x, g(t) y)| = [g(t)]^{\alpha} |f(t, x, y)|$$

holds for every  $t \ge 0$  and  $|y| \ge \delta$ ,  $|x| \ge \delta$ .

(a) If (18) and

(22) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| f(t, \pm \bar{r}^{-1}(t) t^{n-1}, \pm \bar{r}^{-1}[h(t)] (h(t))^{n-1}) \right| dt = \infty$$

hold, then the inequality (1) has the property A.

(b) When (19) and (22) hold, then the inequality (1) has the property  $A_0$ .

Proof. Let  $y(t) \in W$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (1) such that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) \neq 0$ . We assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}y(t)>0.$$

Then, in view of (3), we can choose  $\bar{t}_0$  such that y[h(t)] > 0 for every  $t \ge \bar{t}_0$ . Then (1), with regard to (4), implies  $[r(t) \ y^{(n-1)}(t)]' < 0$  for  $t \ge \bar{t}_0$ . If any of the conditions (18) and (19) is satisfied, Lemma 2 implies  $y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$  for  $t \ge \bar{t}_0$ . Then by Lemma 1, there exists  $t_0 \ge \bar{t}_0$  such that the inequalities (6)–(9) hold for  $t \ge t_0$ .

Integrating (1) from t ( $t \ge t_0$ ) to  $\infty$ , we get

(24) 
$$\qquad \infty > r(t) \ y^{(n-1)}(t) \ge \int_{t}^{\infty} f(s, y(s), y[h(s)]) \ ds \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_0,$$

and then, in view of the monotonicity of r(t)  $y^{(n-1)}(t)$ , we have

(24') 
$$r[h(t)] y^{(n-1)}[h(t)] \ge \int_{t}^{\infty} f(s, y(s), y[h(s)]) ds$$
 for  $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ .

I. Let  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n - 1\}$ . Then we obtain by (8) for i = 0

(25) 
$$y(t) \ge L_0 b(t) t^{n-1} y^{(n-1)}(t), \quad t \ge 2^{n-k} t_0 = t_2,$$

(25') 
$$y[h(t)] \ge L_0 b[h(t)] (h(t))^{n-1} y^{(n-1)} [h(t)]$$
 for  $t \ge t_3$ , where  $L_0 = 2^{-n^2} / (n-1)!$  and  $t_3$  is chosen such that  $h(t) \ge \max\{t_2, t_1\}$  for  $t \ge t_3$ .

Let us denote

$$\Phi(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} f(s, y(s), y[h(s)]) ds.$$

From (25) or (25'), with regard to (24) or (24'), we get, respectively,

(26) 
$$y(t) \ge L_0 \bar{r}^{-1}(t) t^{n-1} \Phi(t)$$
 for  $t \ge t_3$ ,

or

(26') 
$$y[h(t)] \ge L_0 \bar{r}^{-1}[h(t)] (h(t))^{n-1} \Phi(t)$$
 for  $t \ge t_3$ .

Because  $k \ge 1$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $y(t) \ge y[h(t)] \ge \delta$  for  $t \ge t_3$ . Then, in view of the monotonicity of the function f, (26), (26') and (21) we have

(27) 
$$f(t, \bar{r}^{-1}(t) t^{n-1}, \bar{r}^{-1}[h(t)] (h(t))^{n-1}) \leq$$

$$\leq f(t, y(t) \{L_0 \Phi(t)\}^{-1}, y[h(t)] \{L_0 \Phi(t)\}^{-1}) =$$

$$= \{L_0 \Phi(t)\}^{-\alpha} f(t, y(t), y[h(t)]) \text{ for } t \geq t_3.$$

By integrating (27) from  $t_3$  to  $t_4$  ( $t_3 < t_4$ ) we have

(28)

$$\int_{t_3}^{t_4} f(t, \, \bar{r}^{-1}(t) \, t^{n-1}, \, \bar{r}^{-1}[h(t)] \, (h(t))^{n-1}) \, \mathrm{d}t \leq \frac{L_0^{-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \left[ \left( \int_t^{\infty} f(s, \, y(s), \, y[h(s)]) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)^{1-\alpha} \right]_{t_4}^{t_3}.$$

From (28), in view of (24), we obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} f(t, \bar{r}^{-1}(t) t^{n-1}, \bar{r}^{-1}[h(t)] (h(t))^{n-1}) dt < \infty,$$

which contradicts (22).

II. Let k = 0 (n is an odd integer). Then (9) with k = 0 implies in view of (23)

(29) 
$$y(t) \ge M_0 b(t) t^{n-1} y^{(n-1)}(t) \text{ for } t \ge 2^n t_0$$
,

where

$$M_0 = \inf_{t \ge t_0} \left\{ \frac{y(t)}{y(2^{1-n}t)} \right\} 2^{-(n-1)^2} > 0.$$

Further, using an analogous method as in the case I, we get a contradiction with (22). If (18) holds and  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ , then, with regard to (6), (36) is fulfilled. In all other cases (i.e. either (18) holds and k = 0 or (19) holds and  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ ) we have to assume that (23) holds. But, as shown above, this leads to a contradiction with (22). Then  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$  for every nonoscillatory solution  $y(t) \in W$ . Hence it follows that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y^{(i)}(t) = 0$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n-2) and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} r(t) y^{(n-1)}(t) = 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

**Lemma 3.** Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 be fulfilled. Let  $b_0 > 0$  and let  $h: [0, \infty) \to R$  be a function such that (3) holds. Then there exists  $T \ge 2t_0$  such that, for  $t \ge T$ , we have

(30) 
$$|y(t)| \le C \varrho(t) (H(t))^{n-1} |y[h(t)]|, \text{ where } C \ge (2/b_0)^{n-1}.$$

Proof. The case h(t) = t for  $t > 2t_0$  is trivial. Consider t such that  $t > h(t) \ge 2t_0$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that y(t) > 0 for  $t \ge t_0$ . Then, with regard to (3), (5)–(7), there exists  $t_1 \ge t_0$  such that for  $t \ge t_1$  we have  $h(t) \ge t_0$ , and either

(a) 
$$y^{(i)} \lceil h(t) \rceil \ge 0$$
  $(i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1), (r \lceil h(t) \rceil) y^{(n-1)} \lceil h(t) \rceil)' \le 0$  or

(b) 
$$y^{(i)}[h(t)] \ge 0$$
  $(i = 0, 1, ..., k, k \in \{0, 1, ..., n - 3\}, n + k \text{ is odd})$  and  $y^{(k+1)}[h(t)] \le 0$ .

Consider the case (a). Applying Taylor's theorem and (5) we get

(31) 
$$y(t) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \frac{y^{(i)} [h(t)]}{i!} (t - h(t))^{i} + \frac{r[h(t)] y^{(n-1)} [h(t)]}{(n-2)!} \int_{h(t)}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{n-2}}{r(s)} ds \leq e(t) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(i)} [h(t)]}{i!} (t - h(t))^{i}.$$

Because of the assumptions of Lemma 1, (10) implies

(32) 
$$(b_0/2)^i (h(t))^i y^{(i)} [h(t)] \leq k(k-1) \dots (k-i+1) y [h(t)]$$
 
$$(i=0,1,\dots,k), \quad h(t) \geq 2t_0.$$

Using (31) and (32) we get

$$(b_0/2)^{n-1} y(t) \le \varrho(t) y [h(t)] \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose i} \left(\frac{t-h(t)}{h(t)}\right)^i =$$

$$= \varrho(t) y [h(t)] \left(\frac{t}{h(t)}\right)^{n-1} \text{ for } h(t) \ge 2t_0.$$

From the last inequality we get

$$y(t) \le C \varrho(t) y[h(t)] (H(t))^{n-1}$$
 for  $t \ge T \ge 2t_0$ ,

where  $C \ge (2/b_0)^{n-1}$  and T is chosen so that  $h(t) \ge 2t_0$  for  $t \ge T$ .

(b) Applying Taylor's theorem and the fact that  $y^{(k+1)}[h(t)] \leq 0$  for  $h(t) \geq t_0$  we have

$$y(t) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{y^{(i)}[h(t)]}{i!} (t - h(t))^{i}$$
.

Next, using the same method as in the case (a) we get

$$y(t) \le y[h(t)] (H(t))^k \le C \varrho(t) y[h(t)] (H(t))^{n-1}$$
 for  $h(t) \ge 2t_0$ .

This completes the proof

Lemma 3 is an extension of Lemma 4 obtained by GRIMMER in [3].

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that (2)-(4) are satisfied and, in addition, suppose that

- (i)  $r(t) \ge r_0 > 0$  for  $t \ge 0$  and  $b_0 > 0$ ;
- (ii) there exist a positive continuous function  $\varphi_1(t)$  and positive nondecreasing continuous functions  $\varphi(t)$ ,  $\varphi_2(t)$ ,  $\psi(t)$  for  $t \ge a$  such that  $\varphi(t) = \varphi_1(t) \varphi_2(t)$ ,

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\varphi(t)} < \infty \; ;$$

(iii) for  $x \ge y \ge a$ ,  $t \ge b > 0$ , and for every constants  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  (where  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,  $\beta > 1$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ ) we have

(34) 
$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \inf \frac{\psi(\alpha x) f(t, x, y)}{\varphi_1(x) \varphi_2(\beta \varrho(t) (H(t))^{n-1} y)} \ge d \frac{f(t, \gamma, \gamma)}{\varphi_2(\varrho(t) (H(t))^{n-1})} > 0.$$

(a) If (18) holds and

(35) 
$$\int^{\infty} \frac{R_{n-2}(t) f(t, \gamma, \gamma)}{\psi(t^{n-1}) \varphi_2(\varrho(t) (H(t))^{n-1})} dt = \infty,$$

then inequality (1) has the property A.

(b) If (19) and (35) hold, then inequality (1) has the property  $A_0$ .

Proof. Let  $y(t) \in W$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (1) such that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) \neq 0$ . We assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\lim_{y\to\infty}y(t)>0.$$

Further, exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2 we prove that the conditions of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 are satisfied and the inequalities (5)-(9) and (24) hold.

I. Let  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ . By virtue of (5)-(7) and the assumption  $r(t) \ge r_0 > 0$ , it is easy to show that there exist constants  $\bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{\gamma} (0 < \bar{\alpha} \le 1, \bar{\gamma} > 0)$  and  $t_1 \ge t_0$  such that

(37) 
$$\bar{\alpha} y(t) \leq t^{n-1}, \quad y[h(t)] \geq \bar{\gamma} \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_0.$$

In view of Lemma 3, the monotonicity of the function  $\psi$ , (4), (34) and (37) we get

(38) 
$$\frac{f(t, y(t), y[h(t)])}{\varphi(y(t))} \ge \frac{\psi(\bar{\alpha} y(t))}{\psi(t^{n-1}) \varphi_1(y(t))},$$
$$\frac{f(t, y(t), y[h(t)])}{\varphi_2(C \varrho(t) (H(t))^{n-1} y[h(t)])} \ge d \frac{f(t, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma})}{\psi(t^{n-1}) \varphi_2(\varrho(t) (H(t))^{n-1})}$$

for  $t \ge T_1 \ge t_1$ .

 $I_a$ . If  $k \in \{2, 3, ..., n-1\}$ , then (8) and the fact that  $b(t) \ge b_0 > 0$  imply

(39) 
$$\dot{y}(t) \ge L_1 b_0 t^{n-2} y^{(n-1)}(t) \text{ for } t \ge 2^n t_0.$$

Let k = 1 and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \dot{y}(t) \neq 0$ . Then (9), with regard to  $b(t) \geq b_0 > 0$ , yields

(40) 
$$\dot{y}(t) \ge \bar{L}_1 b_0 t^{n-2} y^{(n-1)}(t) \text{ for } t \ge t_1$$
,

where

$$\bar{L}_1 = \inf_{t \ge t_0} \left\{ \frac{\dot{y}(t)}{\dot{y}(2^{2-n}t)} \right\} 2^{-n^2} > 0.$$

Put  $B = \min \{L_1 b_0, \overline{L}_1 b_0\}$ . Using (24), (39) and (40) we get

$$\dot{y}(t) \ge B \frac{t^{n-2}}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} f(s, y(s), y[h(s)]) ds.$$

With regard to (38) and the monotonicity of y and  $\varphi$ , after multiplying the last inequality by  $\{\varphi(y(t))\}^{-1}$ , we obtain

(41) 
$$\frac{\dot{y}(t)}{\varphi(y(t))} \ge B \frac{t^{n-2}}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{f(s, y(s), y[h(s)])}{\varphi(y(s))} ds \ge$$

$$\ge dB \frac{t^{n-2}}{r(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{f(s, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma})}{\psi(s^{n-1}) \varphi_{2}(\varrho(s) (H(s))^{n-1})} ds$$
for  $t \ge T \ge \max\{T_{1}, 2^{n}t_{0}\}$ .

In view of (33), after integrating (41) from T to t (t > T) we get

$$\infty > \int_T^\infty \frac{\dot{y}(t)}{\varphi(y(t))} dt \ge dB \int_T^t \frac{R_{n-2}(s, T) f(s, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma})}{\psi(s^{n-1}) \varphi_2(\varrho(s) (H(s))^{n-1})} ds,$$

which contradicts (35).

I<sub>b</sub>. Let k = 1 and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \dot{y}(t) = 0$ . Integrating (24) from t ( $t \ge t_0$ ) to  $\infty$  we obtain

$$-y^{(n-2)}(t) \ge \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_0(s, t) f(s, y(s), y[h(s)]) ds.$$

Repeating this procedure n-3 times, we get

(42) 
$$(-1)^n \dot{y}(t) \ge \int_t^\infty \frac{R_{n-3}(s,t)}{(n-3)!} f(s,y(s),y[h(s)]) ds \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_0.$$

Multiplying (42) by  $\{\varphi(y(t))\}^{-1}$ , using the monotonicity of the functions y,  $\varphi$ , (38), and the fact that n is even (n + k) is odd), we obtain

(43) 
$$\frac{\dot{y}(t)}{\varphi(y(t))} \ge d \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{R_{n-3}(s, t) f(s, y(s), y[h(s)])}{(n-3)! \varphi(y(s))} ds \ge \frac{d}{(n-3)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{R_{n-3}(s, t) f(s, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma})}{\psi(s^{n-1}) \varphi_{2}(\varrho(s) (H(s))^{n-1})} ds \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge T.$$

Integrating (43) from T to t ( $t \ge T$ ) and using (33) we get a contradiction with (35).

II. Let k = 0 (n is an odd number). In view of (36), (3) and (7), there exist constants  $\sigma$ ,  $\varepsilon$  (0 <  $\sigma \le 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) and  $t_3 \ge t_0$  such that

$$\sigma y(t) \le t^{n-1}$$
,  $y[h(t)] \ge y(t) \ge \varepsilon$  for  $t \ge t_3$ .

By virtue of the monotonicity of  $\psi$ ,  $\varphi_2$ , f, the last inequality and (30) we have

where

$$K=\psi(\varepsilon\sigma)\;\varphi_2(C_0)\;,\quad C_0=\frac{1}{C}\inf_{t\geq t_3}\left\{\frac{y(t)}{y[h(t)]}\right\}>0\;.$$

It is obvious that (42) holds also for k = 0. Then (42) with n odd, in view of (44), implies

$$-\dot{y}(t) \ge \frac{K}{(n-3)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{R_{n-3}(s,t) f(s,\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}{\psi(s^{n-1}) \varphi_{2}(\varrho(s) (H(s))^{n-1})} ds \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_{3}.$$

Integrating the last inequality from  $T(\geq t_3)$  to  $\infty$  we get

$$y(T) > y(T) - y(\infty) \ge \frac{K}{(n-2)!} \int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{R_{n-2}(s, T) f(s, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)}{\psi(s^{n-1}) \varphi_{2}(\varrho(s) (H(s))^{n-1})} ds,$$

which contradicts (35).

If (18) holds and  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ , then, with regard to (6), (36) is fulfilled. In all other cases (i.e. either (18) holds and k = 0 or (19) holds and  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ ) we have to assume that (36) holds. But, as shown above, this leads to a contradiction with (35). Then  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$  for every nonoscillatory solution  $y(t) \in W$ . Hence it follows that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y^{(i)}(t) = 0$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n-2) and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} r(t) y^{(n-1)}(t) = 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

**Remark.** If  $\psi(t) \equiv 1$ , it is evident from the proof that Theorem 2 holds without the assumption  $r(t) \ge r_0 > 0$ .

In the case that n = 2,  $r(t) \equiv 1$ , we get Theorem 2.9 in [6].

Further, consider the following equation

(r) 
$$\{r(t) \ y^{(n-1)}(t)\}' + F(t, y(t), y[h_0(t)], ..., y^{(n-1)}(t), y^{(n-1)}[h_{n-1}(t)]) = 0,$$

$$n \ge 2.$$

where

(45) 
$$r:[0,\infty)\to(0,\infty), h_i:[0,\infty)\to R \quad (i=0,1,...,n-1),$$
  
 $F:D(\equiv [0,\infty)\times R^{2n})\to R \quad \text{are continuous functions};$ 

(46) 
$$t \ge h_i(t)$$
 for  $t \ge 0$  and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} h_i(t) = \infty$   $(i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1)$ ;

(47) 
$$y_1 F(t, x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n) > 0$$
 for  $(t, x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n) \in D$   
and  $x_1 y_1 > 0$ .

The next theorem follows directly from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** Let equation (r) fulfil conditions (45)-(47), and in addition, let there exist a function f which satisfies (2), (4) and

$$|F(t, x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n)| \ge |f(t, x_1, y_1)|$$

for every point  $(t, x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n) \in D_{t_0}^{(0)}$ . If inequality (1) has either the property A or  $A_0$  then equation (r) has the same property.

**Corollary.** Let the function h satisfy conditions (2), (3). Let p be a continuous function and  $v, \sigma$  real numbers such that  $p: [0, \infty) \to (0, \infty), v \ge 0, \sigma > 1$ . If

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{(n-1)(1-\sigma)} [h(t)]^{(n-1)\sigma} p(t) dt = \infty,$$

then the equation

$$y^{(n)}(t) + p(t) |y(t)|^{\nu} |y[h(t)]|^{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn} y[h(t)] = 0, \quad n \ge 2$$

has the property A.

Proof. If we put  $F(t, x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n) = p(t) |x_1|^{\nu} |y_1|^{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn} y_1$ ,  $\psi(x) \equiv 1$ ,  $\varphi_1(x) = |x|^{\nu}$ ,  $\varphi_2(y) = |y|^{\sigma}$ , then the assertion follows from Theorem 3 and Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $m \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$  and let the conditions (18), (45)-(47),  $b_0 > 0$  be fulfilled. Further, we suppose:

- (a)  $h_m(t) \leq \{\min [h_0(t), h_1(t), ..., h_{m-1}(t)]; t \geq 0\};$
- (b) there exists a function f which satisfies (2), (4), and

(48) 
$$|F(t, x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n)| \ge |f(t, x_{m+1}, y_{m+1})|$$

for every point  $(t, x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n) \in D_{t_0}^{(m)}$ ;

(c) the following inequality

(49) 
$$\{ [r(t) \ y^{(n-m-1}(t)]' + f(t, y(t), y[h_m(t)]) \} \operatorname{sgn} y[h_m(t)] \le 0$$

has the property A.

Then equation (r) has the property  $A_m$ .

Proof. Let  $y(t) \in W$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (r) such that

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}y^{(m)}(t)=C>0$$

(the case  $\limsup y^{(m)}(t) = C < 0$  is treated similarly).

From (49), in view of (46), we get

(50) 
$$y^{(i)}(t) > 0$$
,  $y^{(i)}[h_i(t)] > 0$   $(i = 0, 1, ..., m)$  for  $t \ge t_0 > 0$ .

Thus, with regard to (50), (47) and (18), it is obvious that the assumptions of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 are fulfilled and therefore (5)-(10) hold, where  $m \le k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ , n+k is odd. By (10) and the assumption (a), it is easy to prove that the following inequalities

(51) 
$$\frac{(n-m-1)!}{(n-j)!} \left(\frac{b_0 t}{2}\right)^{m-j+1} \leq \frac{y^{(j-1)}(t)}{y^{(m)}(t)},$$

$$\frac{(n-m-1)!}{(n-j)!} \left(\frac{b_0}{2} h_m(t)\right)^{m-j+1} \leq \frac{y^{(j-1)}[h_{j-1}(t)]}{y^{(m)}[h_m(t)]} \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_1 \geq 2t_0$$

hold.

Evidently,  $u(t) = y^{(m)}(t)$  satisfies

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\inf u(t)=C>0$$

and, for  $t \ge t_1$ , u(t) is a solution of the following equation

(53) 
$$[r(t) u^{(n-m-1)}(t)]' +$$

$$+ G(t, u(t), u[h_m(t)], ..., u^{(n-m-1)}(t), u^{(n-m-1)}[h_{n-1}(t)]) = 0,$$

where

$$G(t, x_{1}, y_{1}, ..., x_{n-m}, y_{n-m}) = F\left(t, \frac{y(t)}{y^{(m)}(t)} x_{1}, \frac{y[h_{0}(t)]}{y^{(m)}[h_{m}(t)]} y_{1}, ...\right)$$

$$..., \frac{y^{(m-1)}(t)}{y^{(m)}(t)} x_{1}, \frac{y^{(m-1)}[h_{m-1}(t)]}{y^{(m)}[h_{m}(t)]} y_{1}, x_{1}, y_{1}, ..., x_{n-m}, y_{n-m}\right).$$

In view of the last relation, (47), (48) and (51) we get

(54) 
$$y_1 G(t, x_1, y_1, ..., x_{n-m}, y_{n-m}) > 0$$
,

(55) 
$$|G(t, x_1, y_1, ..., x_{n-m}, y_{n-m})| \ge |f(t, x_1, y_1)| \text{ for } x_1 y_1 > 0,$$
  
 $x_i, y_i \in R \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., n - m).$