

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1978

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X\\_0103|log97](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X_0103|log97)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

## THE INSERTION OF REGULAR SETS IN POTENTIAL THEORY

EVA ČERMÁKOVÁ, Praha

(Received October 29, 1976)

**Introduction.** In 1924, N. WIENER [8] proposed a new construction of the generalized solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation. His method essentially uses the following fact: Any couple  $(K, U)$  consisting of a compact set  $K$  and an open set  $U$  with  $K \subset U$  is admissible in the sense that there is a set  $V$  regular for the Dirichlet problem such that

$$K \subset V \subset \bar{V} \subset U.$$

It is known that each couple  $(K, U)$  is also admissible for a wide class of more general second order elliptic partial differential equations than the Laplace equation. In fact, this follows from a result of R.-M. HERVÉ [4] (Proposition 7.1) established in the context of BreLOT harmonic spaces. A related question in the same context is also investigated in [6]. On the other hand, a similar result is no longer valid e.g. for the heat equation as observed by H. BAUER in [1], p. 147. Consequently, the original Wiener's procedure is not directly applicable. (Note that the Wiener type solution has recently been investigated in [7] in the frame work of the axiomatic potential theory.)

The aim of this paper is to study in terms of Bauer's axiomatics necessary and sufficient conditions guaranteeing that a couple  $(K, U)$  is admissible. To this end, a special hull  $r(K)$  of  $K$  is introduced in a suitable way so that the main result reads then as follows: The couple  $(K, U)$  is admissible, if and only if  $r(K) \subset U$ . For the case of the heat equation, several characterizations of  $r(K)$  in terms of absorbent sets and balayage are given.

**1. Terminology and notation.** In what follows,  $X$  will denote a strong harmonic space in the sense of H. Bauer's axiomatics. For all notions we refer to [1]. For any set  $M$  we shall denote by  $M^*$ ,  $\text{int } M$  and  $\bar{M}$  its boundary, interior and closure, respectively.

Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  and  $K$  a compact subset of  $U$ . The couple  $(K, U)$  is called *admissible* if there exists a regular set  $W$  such that  $K \subset W \subset \bar{W} \subset U$ . For a

compact set  $K \subset X$ , we put

$$r(K) = \bigcap \{V; K \subset V \subset X; V \text{ regular}\}.$$

If there is no regular set  $V$  such that  $K \subset V$ , put  $r(K) = X$ .

**2. Lemma.** *If  $r(K) \neq X$ , then*

$$r(K) = \bigcap \{\bar{V}; K \subset V \subset X; V \text{ regular}\};$$

*in particular,  $r(K)$  is compact.*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 4.3.5 of [1] to each regular set  $W$  such that  $K \subset W$ , there exists a regular set  $W_0$  such that  $K \subset W_0 \subset \bar{W}_0 \subset W$ .

**3. Theorem.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *a couple  $(K, U)$  is admissible;*
- (ii)  *$r(K) \neq X$ ,  $r(K) \subset U$ .*

*Proof.* Implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious. Assume (ii) and let  $W$  be a regular set such that  $K \subset W$ . We can limit ourselves to the case  $\bar{W} \cap (X \setminus U) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\bar{W} \cap (X \setminus U)$  is compact and  $r(K) \cap (\bar{W} \cap (X \setminus U)) = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $[\bar{W} \cap (X \setminus U)] \subset \subset [X \setminus \bigcap \{V; K \subset V, V \text{ reg.}\}]$ , thus

$$\bar{W} \cap (X \setminus U) \subset \bigcup_{\substack{V \text{ reg.} \\ K \subset V}} (X \setminus \bar{V}).$$

We can therefore choose regular sets  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  such that

$$\bar{W} \cap (X \setminus U) \subset [X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_i].$$

By Corollary 4.2.7 of [1],  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$  is a regular set. Obviously,

$$K \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$$

and thus applying Theorem 4.3.5 of [1] we can find a regular set  $V_0$ ,

$$K \subset V_0 \subset \bar{V}_0 \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_i.$$

Put  $W_0 = V_0 \cap W$ . Then  $K \subset W_0$ ,  $W_0$  is (according to Corollary 4.2.7 of [1] again) regular. Moreover,  $\bar{W}_0 \subset U$ .

**4. Notation.** *For  $E \subset X$ , let  $A(E, X)$  be the smallest absorbent set in  $X$  containing  $E$ . We shall write  $A(x, X)$  instead of  $A(\{x\}, X)$ .*

**5. Lemma.** *The components of an absorbent set are absorbent sets.*

Proof. For  $S$  connected,  $A(S, X)$  is always connected. (See Exercise 6.1.2 in [3].) Let  $B$  be a component of  $A$ . Then  $A(B, X)$  is a connected absorbent set containing  $B$ . Consequently,  $B = A(B, X)$  and  $B$  is absorbent.

In what follows,  $X$  will denote the harmonic space corresponding to the heat equation on a Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) (see [1], Standard-Beispiel 2, p. 20).

**6. Notation.** Given a compact set  $K \subset X$ , the parabolic hull  $M_K$  of  $K$  is the union of  $K$  and the set of all  $x \in X \setminus K$  for which  $A(x, X \setminus K)$  is relatively compact. Denote by  $T_K$  the union of  $K$  and the set of all  $x \in X \setminus K$  for which there exists no absorbent set  $B$  in  $X$  such that  $\emptyset \neq B \subset A(x, X \setminus K)$ .

Further put  $L_K = \{x \in X; R_1^K(x) = 1\}$ .

**7. Theorem.** *For a compact subset  $K \subset X$ ,*

$$r(K) = M_K = T_K = L_K.$$

Thus, together with Theorem 3 we obtained a characterization of admissible couples  $(K, U)$  in terms of the parabolic hull of  $K$ .

The proof of this theorem will be divided into the following steps.

**8. Proposition.** *Let  $Y$  be an open subset of  $X$  and  $A$  a closed set in  $Y$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The set  $A$  is absorbent in the harmonic space  $Y$ .*
- (ii) *For each  $x \in A$  there exists a neighborhood  $U_x$  and an absorbent set  $B$  in  $X$  such that  $U_x \cap A = U_x \cap B$ .*

Proof. Suppose (i). For  $x \in \text{int } A$ , choose a neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that  $U_x \subset A$ , and put  $B = X$ . If  $x \in Y$  is a boundary point of  $A$ , then we choose  $a > 0$  in such a way that the set

$$U_x = \left\{ y \in R^{n+1}; \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2 - (a + x_{n+1} - y_{n+1})^2 < 0; \right. \\ \left. x_{n+1} - a < y_{n+1} < x_{n+1} + a \right\}$$

is contained in  $Y$ . (The sets of this form will be called standard cones. Recall that each standard cone is a regular set — see [1], p. 21). For each  $y \in U_x \cap A(x, X)$ ,  $y \neq x$ , there is a standard cone  $S$  such that  $x \in S \subset \bar{S} \subset U_x$ ,  $y \in S^*$ . Then  $y \in \text{spt } \mu_x^S$ , where  $\mu_x^S$  denotes the harmonic measure corresponding to  $x$  and the regular set  $S$  (see [1], p. 21). Obviously,  $\text{spt } \mu_x^S \subset A$  and hence

$$U_x \cap A(x, X) \subset U_x \cap A.$$

Suppose now that there exists  $z \in (U_x \cap A) \setminus A(x, X)$ . The supports of harmonic measures  $\mu_z^V$  corresponding to regular sets  $V, V \subset U_x$  (consider e.g. standard cones) for which  $z \in V$ , cover the set  $[A(z, X) \cap U_x] \setminus \{z\}$ . Thus

$$x \in \text{int} [U_x \cap A(z, X)] \subset U_x \cap A,$$

which yields a contradiction with the assumption that  $x$  is a boundary point of  $A$ . So we obtain  $U_x \cap A(x, X) = U_x \cap A$  and we can put  $B = A(x, X)$ .

Now suppose (ii). By [2] absorbent sets in  $X$  are exactly those which are closed and finely open. It follows that there is a fine neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $x$ , contained in  $B$ . Since  $U_x \cap V_x$  is a fine neighborhood of  $x$  contained in  $A$ ,  $A$  is finely open, and (using [2] again)  $A$  is an absorbent set in  $Y$ .

**9. Corollary.** *Let  $Y$  be an open subset of  $X$ . For each component  $Q$  of the boundary of an absorbent set in  $Y$  there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Q \subset \{x \in X; x_{n+1} = c\}$ .*

**10. Lemma.** *For a compact  $K \subset X, M_K \subset r(K)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $K \neq \emptyset$  and choose  $x^0 \in M_K \setminus K$ . The standard cones are regular, hence  $r(K) \neq X$ . Suppose that there is a regular neighborhood  $V$  of  $K$ , such that  $x^0 \notin V$ . Putting

$$L = \{x \in X; x_i = x_i^0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n, x_{n+1} \leq x_{n+1}^0\},$$

there exists  $y \in L$  such that

$$y_{n+1} = \sup \{x_{n+1}; x \in L \setminus A(x^0, X \setminus K)\}.$$

According to Proposition 8,  $y_{n+1} < x_{n+1}^0$ . Denote

$$L_0 = \{x \in L; x_{n+1} > y_{n+1}\}.$$

By Proposition 8,  $y \notin A(x^0, X \setminus K)$ . Simultaneously  $y \in \overline{A(x^0, X \setminus K)}$  and hence  $y \in K$ . It follows  $L_0 \cap V^* \neq \emptyset$  and using the fact that  $L_0 \subset A(x^0, X \setminus K)$ , we have

$$\emptyset \neq L_0 \cap V^* \subset A(x^0, X \setminus K) \cap V^*.$$

Let  $y^0 \in A(x^0, X \setminus K)$  be chosen such that

$$y_{n+1}^0 = \min \{x_{n+1}; x \in A(x^0, X \setminus K) \cap V^*\}.$$

First, consider the case when  $y^0$  is a boundary point of  $A(x^0, X \setminus K)$  relatively to the set  $X \setminus K$ . Using Proposition 8, there is a neighborhood  $U_{y^0}$  of  $y^0$  such that

$$U_{y^0} \cap (X \setminus V) \subset \{x \in X; y_{n+1}^0 \leq x_{n+1}\}.$$

It follows (cf. [1], Theorem 4.3.1. and p. 108) that  $y^0$  is an irregular boundary point of  $V$ , which is a contradiction. Using a similar argument,  $y^0$  cannot be in the

interior of  $A(x^0, X \setminus K)$ . Thus,  $M_K \setminus K \subset V$  and since  $V$  is an arbitrary regular set containing  $K$ , we have  $M_K \setminus K \subset r(K)$ . Obviously,  $K \subset r(K)$ .

The proof of the inclusion  $r(K) \subset M_K$  will be more complicated.

**11. Lemma.** For a compact set  $K$  in  $X$ , the set  $\{x \in X; \hat{R}_1^K(x) = 1\}$  is bounded.

**Proof.** Obviously it is sufficient to prove that  $\{x \in X; \hat{R}_1^K(x) = 1\}$  is bounded for

$$K = \{x \in X; |x_i| \leq a_i, i = 1, \dots, n + 1\} \quad (a_i \geq 0).$$

(a) If  $y \in X$  is such that  $y_{n+1} < -a_{n+1}$ , then

$$\hat{R}_1^K(y) = R_1^K(y) = 0.$$

We can take the superharmonic function (see [1], p. 34.)

$$u = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } A(y, X), \\ 1 & \text{on } X \setminus A(y, X). \end{cases}$$

(b) If  $y \in X$  is such that  $|y_i| \leq a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $y_{n+1} > a_{n+1}$  consider the set

$$D = \{x \in X \setminus K; |x_i| < a_i + 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, |x_{n+1}| < |y_{n+1}| + 1\}.$$

Obviously,  $y \in D$ . Choose  $z \in D$ ,  $z_i = -a_i - \frac{1}{2}$ . Using (a),  $\hat{R}_1^K(z) = 0$ . Applying the maximum principle for the heat equation (e.g. Theorem 2.3 in [5] – note that  $\hat{R}_1^K$  is a harmonic function on  $D$ ,  $\hat{R}_1^K \leq 1$ ) we obtain  $\hat{R}_1^K(y) < 1$ .

(c) In the case that for  $y \in X$ ,  $y_{n+1} \geq -a_{n+1}$  and there exists  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that  $|y_i| > a_i$  we can proceed analogously.

**12. Notation.** For a compact set  $\emptyset \neq K \subset X$ , we define a sequence  $\{K_n\}$ :

$$K_n = \{x \in X; \text{dist}(x, K) \leq 1/n\}.$$

**13. Lemma.**  $L_K = M_K$ .

**Proof.** Let  $K \neq \emptyset$  and consider  $x^0 \in X \setminus M_K$ . The set  $A(x^0, X \setminus K)$  is unbounded, thus using the preceding lemma and Proposition 8, there is  $y \in \text{int } A(x^0, X \setminus K)$  such that  $R_1^K(y) < 1$ . The function  $1 - R_1^K$  is harmonic on  $X \setminus K$ . By the Harnack inequality (see [1], Theorem 1.4.4) applied to  $X \setminus K$  and to the Dirac measure at  $x^0$  there is  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that

$$0 < 1 - R_1^K(y) \leq \alpha(1 - R_1^K(x^0)).$$

It follows that  $R_1^K(x^0) < 1$ .

Thus we proved that  $L_K \subset M_K$ . Let  $y^0 \in M_K \setminus K$ , choose  $n_0$  such that  $y^0 \notin K_{n_0}$ . Let  $n \geq n_0$  be a natural number. According to Proposition 8 we obtain that the

“parabolic boundary” (see [5] Chap. 3) of  $\text{int } A(y^0, X \setminus K)$  in  $X$  is contained in  $K$ . Using the fact that  $\hat{R}_1^{K_n}(y) = 1$  for all  $y \in K$  together with the minimum principle for superharmonic functions for the heat equation (see Theorem 2.1 in [5]), we have

$$\inf \{ \hat{R}_1^{K_n}(y); y \in \text{int } A(y^0, X \setminus K) \} = 1 .$$

Since  $y^0 \notin K_n$ ,  $\hat{R}_1^{K_n}$  is continuous at  $y^0$  (compare with Corollary 2.3.5 in [1]) and  $\hat{R}_1^{K_n}(y^0) = R_1^{K_n}(y^0) = 1$ . Now, applying the assertion of Appendix 3.2.1 of [1] we have

$$R_1^K = \inf_{n \in N} R_1^{K_n} ,$$

and hence  $R_1^K(y^0) = 1$  (note that  $K_n \supset K_{n_0}$  for  $n < n_0$  and  $R_1^{K_n} \geq R_1^{K_{n_0}}$ ). This means  $y^0 \in L_K$ . Obviously,  $K \subset L_K$ .

**14. Remark.** In the course of the preceding proof we used the equality

$$R_1^K = \inf_{n \in N} R_1^{K_n} .$$

It is an easy consequence that

$$\{x \in X; R_1^K(x) = 1\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X; R_1^{K_n}(x) = 1\} .$$

Obviously,  $\{x \in X; \hat{R}_1^K(x) = 1\} \cup K = \{x \in X; R_1^K(x) = 1\}$ , so that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X; R_1^{K_n}(x) = 1\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X; \hat{R}_1^{K_n}(x) = 1\} .$$

**15. Lemma.** For a compact  $K \subset X$ ,  $r(K) \subset M_K$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $K \neq \emptyset$ . Consider  $x^0 \notin M_K$ . Using Lemma 13 and the preceding remark, there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $\hat{R}_1^{K_m}(x^0) < 1$  for all  $m \geq n$ . Simultaneously,

$$\inf_{x \in M_K} \hat{R}_1^{K_m}(x) = 1 .$$

The set  $M_K$  is a closed subset of the compact set  $r(K)$ . Hence, using Proposition 3.1.2 of [3] there is a fundamental system of regular neighborhoods of  $M_K$  not containing the point  $x^0$ . Thus,  $x^0 \notin r(K)$ .

**16. Lemma.**  $T_K = M_K$ .

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $x \in M_K \setminus T_K$ . If  $B$  is an absorbent set in  $X$  such that  $B \subset A(x, X \setminus K)$ , then  $B$  is a compact absorbent set and hence (see [1], p. 31) must be empty. It follows that  $M_K \subset T_K$ . Suppose now that the set  $A(x, X \setminus K)$  is unbounded. Let  $D \supset K$  be an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional cube in  $X$  such that its faces are