

## Werk

Label: Article **Jahr:** 1977

**PURL:** https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X\_0102 | log56

## **Kontakt/Contact**

<u>Digizeitschriften e.V.</u> SUB Göttingen Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1 37073 Göttingen

## ON A CLASS OF NONLINEAR EVASION GAMES

MILAN MEDVEĎ, Bratislava (Received October 29, 1975)

In this paper we shall consider a differential game described by the system of differential equations

(1) 
$$z^{(n)} + A_1 z^{(n-1)} + \dots + A_{n-1} z' + A_n z =$$

$$= f(u, v) + \mu g(z, z', \dots, z^{(n-1)}, u, v),$$

where  $z \in R^m$ ,  $f \in R^m$ ,  $A_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n are constant matrices, f(u, v) is a continuous function of the point  $(u, v) \in U \times V$ ,  $U \subset R^p$ ,  $V \subset R^q$  are compact sets,  $\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$  is a parameter. We shall suppose that the function  $g(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n, u, v)$  is continuous and bounded on  $R^{mn} \times U \times V$ .

In the paper [1] a sufficient condition for existence of evasion strategy for a differential game described by equation (1) for  $\mu = 0$  is given. In the paper [2] a sufficient condition for existence of such strategy for a game described by a first order system of differential equations of type (1) is given. That condition is different from the condition given in our paper. Our condition is similar to that given in [1]. Similarly to [1] we shall use the technique of convolutions in the formulation of results as well as in the proof.

A mapping  $V_u(t, Z_0)$  defined on the set of measurable controls  $u(\tau)$ ,  $0 \le \tau < \infty$ ,  $u(\tau) \in U$  depending on  $t \ge 0$  and on the vector of initial conditions  $Z_0 = (z_0, z'_0, \ldots, z'_0^{(n-1)})$  is said to be a strategy, if it possesses the following properties:

- (1) For an arbitrary measurable control  $u(\tau)$ ,  $0 \le \tau < \infty$  and for an arbitrary fixed  $Z_0$ , the mapping  $V_u(t, Z_0)$  is measurable as a function of t and has values in V.
- (2) If  $u_1(\tau)$ ,  $u_2(\tau)$ ,  $0 \le \tau < \infty$  are two controls and  $u_1(\tau) = u_2(\tau)$  almost everywhere on [0, T], where T is arbitrary, then  $V_{u_1}(t, Z_0) = V_{u_2}(t, Z_0)$  almost everywhere on [0, T] for every  $Z_0$ .

Let M be a subspace of  $R^m$  of a dimension  $\leq m-2$ . Our problem is to choose a strategy  $V_u(t, Z_0)$  such that the solution z(t),  $0 \leq t < \infty$  of the equation

$$z^{(n)} + A_1 z^{(n-1)} + \dots + A_n z =$$

$$= f(u(t), V_u(t, Z_0)) + \mu g(z(t), \dots, z^{(n-1)}, u(t), V_u(t, Z_0))$$

with the initial condition

$$Z(0) = (z(0), z'(0), ..., z^{(n-1)}(0)) = Z_0, z(0) \notin M$$

does not intersect the subspace M for any  $t \ge 0$ , for an arbitrary control u(t) and for an arbitrary vector  $Z_0$ . We shall call this strategy an evasion strategy.

Now, using the convolution symbolism (cf. [1]) we can rewrite the equation (1) in the form

$$z^{(n)} + \hat{A}_1 * z^{(n-1)} + ... + \hat{A}_n * z = f(u, v) + \mu g(z, z', ..., z^{(n-1)}, u, v)$$

and express the solution of this equation by the following formula:

(2) 
$$z_{\mu} = z_{0} + S * z'_{0} + \dots + S^{n-1} * z_{0}^{(n-1)} +$$

$$+ S^{n} * (\Phi_{0} * z_{0} + \dots + \Phi_{n-1} * z_{0}^{(n-1)}) + S^{n} * R(S) * f(u, v) +$$

$$+ \mu S^{n} * R(S) * g(z, z', \dots, z^{(n-1)}, u, v),$$

where  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, ..., \Phi_{n-1}$  are certain entire matrices over the Mikusiński ring  $\mathcal{M}$  (cf. [1]),

$$R(S) = \hat{I} + C(S) + C^{2}(S) + \dots,$$

$$C(S) = -(S * \hat{A}_{1} + S^{2} * \hat{A}_{2} + \dots + S^{n} * \hat{A}_{n}),$$

 $\hat{I} = \text{diag}(\delta, \delta, ..., \delta)$  is the unit matrix,  $\delta$  is the unit element in the ring  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\hat{A}_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n are constant matrices, i.e. the functions identically equal to  $A_i$ . It was shown in [1] that the series for R(S) converges uniformly in a disc with center at the origin of an arbitrary large radius  $\rho$ .

Let L be a subspace of  $R^m$  of a dimension  $k \ge 2$  which lies in the orthogonal complement of  $M \subset R^m$  and let  $\pi: R^m \to R^k$  be a linear mapping corresponding to the orthogonal projection of  $R^m$  onto L.

We assume that

(3) 
$$\hat{\pi} * R(S) * f(u, v) = H(S) * (\Psi_0(u, v) + S * \Psi_1(u, v) + ...) + \chi(t),$$

where

- (a)  $\Psi_i(u, v)$  are continuous in  $(u, v) \in U \times V$ , i = 0, 1, 2, ...
- (b)  $|\Psi_i(u, v)| \le \lambda_i$  for all  $(u, v) \in U \times V$ ,  $|\cdot|$  being the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and the series  $\lambda_0 + S * \lambda_1 + S^2 * \lambda_2 + \dots$  is an entire function of the variable t.
- (c) H(S) is an entire matrix over the ring  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\det^* H(S) \neq 0$ .  $(\det^* H(S))$  is calculated as a determinant in the ordinary formal way using the ring multiplication).
- (d) The function  $\chi(t)$  does not depend on u, v.
- (e) Denote by  $[\Psi_0(u, v)]$  the smallest linear subspace of  $R^k$  containing all points  $\Psi_0(u, v)$ ,  $(u, v) \in U \times V$ . Let us suppose that the subspace  $[\Psi_0(u, v)]$  has the largest possible dimension among all representations (3).

We shall say that the parameter v in the expression  $\hat{\pi} * R(S) * f(u, v)$  has complete maneuverability, if the set

$$\bigcap_{u\in U}\operatorname{co}_{v}\Psi_{0}(u,v)\subset R^{k}$$

contains interior points, where  $co_v \Psi_0(u, v)$  denotes the convex hull of the set of all points  $\Psi_0(u, v)$ ,  $v \in V$  for fixed  $u \in U$ .

Now, we can formulate a sufficient condition for evasion.

**Theorem 1.** If the parameter v in the expression  $\hat{\pi} * R(S) * f(u, v)$  has complete maneuverability, then there exists a number  $\mu_1 > 0$  such that for all  $\mu$ ,  $|\mu| < \mu_1$  there exists an evasion strategy. Moreover, there exist numbers  $\lambda$ , v,  $\theta > 0$  and an integer l such that

(5) 
$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t), M) \ge \frac{1}{2} \theta \left( \frac{(z_{\mu}(0), M)}{\lambda \nu} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{(1 + |z_{\mu}(t)|)^{n+1}}$$

for  $0 \le t < \infty$ , where  $\varrho(z_{\mu}(t), M)$  is the distance of the point  $z_{\mu}(t)$  from the subspace  $M(z_{\mu}(t))$  denotes the solution of (1) corresponding to a value  $\mu$  of the parameter).

Remark. The number l in Theorem 1 is equal to the number  $l_k$ , where

$$H(S) = H^{(1)}(S) * \operatorname{diag}(S^{l_1}, ..., S^{l_k}) * H^{(2)}(S),$$

 $l_1 \le l_2 \le \ldots \le l_k$ ,  $H^{(i)}(S)$ , i = 1, 2 are entire invertible matrices. It was shown in [1] that an arbitrary entire matrix H(S) has such a representation.

For the sake of simplicity of computations, we can assume that the origin of  $R^k$  is an interior point of the set (4). Denote by Q the closed k-dimensional cube with the center at the origin and with sides parallel to the axes and such that  $Q \subset \inf \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{co}_{v} \psi_0(u, v)$  (int P denotes the interior of P).

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need the following lemma, which was proved in [1].

**Lemma 1.** For sufficiently small Q there exists a number T > 0 such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a measurable function  $v(t) \in V$ ,  $0 \le t \le T$  such that

(6) 
$$\|S^n * [H(S) * (\Psi_0(u, v) + S * \Psi_1(u, v) + ... + \chi(t)] + t^{n+1} \xi\| \le \varepsilon$$

for  $0 \le t \le T$  and for an arbitrary preassigned  $u(t) \in U$ ,  $\xi \in Q$ . For the calculation of v(t) we need the values u(t) on the interval [0, t] and the point  $\xi$  only.

Remark.  $||p(t)|| = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\int_0^t p(\tau) d\tau|$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the Euclidean norm in  $R^k$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. From (2), (3) we get

$$\hat{\pi} * z_{\mu}(t) = \varphi(t, Z_0) + S^n * [H(S) * (\Psi_0(u, v) + S * \Psi_1(u, v) + ...) + \chi(t)] + \mu S^n * R(S) * g(z_{\mu}, z'_{\mu}, ..., z_{\mu}^{(n-1)}, u, v),$$

where

$$\varphi(t, Z_0) = \hat{\pi} * \left[ z_0 + S * z_0 + \dots + S^{n-1} * z_0^{(n-1)} + S^n * (\Phi_0 * z_0 + \dots + \Phi_{n-1} * z_0^{(n-1)}) \right].$$

**Sublemma 1.** If  $\mu_1 > 0$  is a given number and  $\varrho(z_{\mu}(0), M) > 0$  for  $|\mu| < \mu_1$ , then (a) for a sufficiently large number  $\lambda$ 

(7) 
$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t), M) \ge \frac{\varrho(z_{\mu}(0), M)}{2} for 0 \le t \le \frac{\varrho(z_{\mu}(0), M)}{\lambda(1 + |Z_{\mu}(0)|)},$$

$$|\mu| < \mu_1, Z_{\mu}(0) = (z_{\mu}(0), z'_{\mu}(0), ..., z_{\mu}^{(n-1)}(0)) = Z_0.$$

(b) If T is sufficiently small, then there exists a number v>0 such that for an arbitrary  $Z_0$  and for  $|\mu|<\mu_1$ 

(8) 
$$v(1 + |Z_{\mu}(t)|) \ge 1 + |Z_{0}|, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

$$(Z_{\mu}(t) = (z_{\mu}(t), z'_{\mu}(t), ..., z_{\mu}^{(n-1)}(t))).$$

The proof of Sublemma 1 is analogous to the proof of inequalities (5.4), (5.5) in [1].

**Sublemma 2.** There exists a  $\theta > 0$  so small that for an arbitrary initial vector  $Z_0$ , there exists a point  $\xi(Z_0) \in Q$  satisfying the condition

(9) 
$$|\varphi(t, Z_0) - S * t^{n+l-1} \xi(Z_0)| \ge \theta t^{n+1}, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Proof. By [1, Lemma 5.1] there exist a point  $\xi(Z_0) \in Q$  and a number  $\theta' > 0$  such that

$$\left|\frac{(n+1)\,\varphi(t,Z_0)}{t^{n+1}}-\,\xi(Z_0)\right|\,\geq\,\theta'\,.$$

This implies

$$\left|\varphi(t,Z_0)-\frac{t^{n+1}}{n+1}\,\xi(Z_0)\right|=\left|\varphi(t,Z_0)-S*t^{n+l-1}\,\xi(Z_0)\right|\geq \theta t^{n+1}\,,$$

where  $\Theta = \Theta'/(n+1)$ .

Now, we choose a number  $\sigma > 0$ , which satisfies the following inequalities:

(10) 
$$\sigma < \frac{1}{2}\theta T^{n+1}, \quad \sigma < \lambda T, \quad \frac{\sigma}{2} > \theta \left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right)^{n+1},$$

where  $\lambda$  can be chosen arbitrarily large.

Let us suppose that at the beginning of the game at time t = 0 it is  $\varrho(z_{\mu}(0), M) > \sigma$ . Choose the control v(t) arbitrarily. If for some  $t = t_1$ ,  $\varrho(z_{\mu}(t_1), M) = \sigma$ , then define a control v(t) on the interval  $[t_1, t_1 + T]$  in the following way

(11) 
$$v(t) = w(t - t_1, u, \xi(Z_u(t_1)), \varepsilon),$$

where  $w(t, u, \xi, \varepsilon)$  is a control satisfying the inequality (9) for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $u(t) \in U$  and  $\xi \in Q$ .

**Sublemma 3.** If v(t) is a control defined by the equality (11), then there exists a number  $\mu_1 > 0$  such that for  $|\mu| < \mu_1$ 

(12) (a) 
$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t), M) \ge \theta \left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{(1+|Z_{\mu}(t)|)^{n+1}}, \quad t_1 \le t \le t_1 + T$$
(b) 
$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t_1+T), M) \ge \sigma.$$

Proof. From (7), (8) it follows that for

$$0 \leq t - t_{1} \leq \frac{\varrho(z_{\mu}(t_{1}), M)}{\lambda(1 + |Z_{\mu}(t_{1})|)} = \frac{\sigma}{\lambda(1 + |Z_{\mu}(t_{1})|)},$$

$$(13) \qquad \varrho(z_{\mu}(t), M) \geq \frac{\sigma}{2} \geq \theta \left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right)^{n+1} \geq \theta \left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{(1 + |Z_{\mu}(t_{1})|)^{n+1}} - \varepsilon.$$

$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t), M) = |\hat{\pi} * z_{\mu}(t)| = |\varphi(t - t_{1}, Z_{\mu}(t_{1})) - S * (t - t_{1})^{n+t-1} \xi(Z_{\mu}(t_{1})) + S * (t - t_{1})^{n+t-1} \xi(Z_{\mu}(t_{1}))| - S * (t - t_{1})^{n+t-1} \xi(Z_{\mu}(t_{1})| - S * (t - t_{1})^$$

Since  $|g(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n, u, v)| \le c$  for all  $(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n, u, v) \in R^{mn} \times U \times V$ , where c > 0 is constant, there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that for  $|\mu| \le \mu_1$ ,  $0 \le t \le T + t_1$  it is  $|S^n * R(S) * g(z_\mu, z'_\mu, ..., z_\mu^{(n-1)}, u, v)| \le c_1$ . Therefore, using Sublemma 1 and Sublemma 2 we conclude

$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t), M) \geq \theta(t - t_1)^{n+1} - \varepsilon - \mu c_1.$$

Choose  $\varepsilon$  and  $\mu_1$  so small that

$$0 < \varepsilon + \mu c_1 < \min \left( \frac{1}{2} \theta \left( \frac{\sigma}{\lambda} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{(1 + |Z_{\mu}(t_1)|)^{n+1}}, \, \frac{1}{2} \theta T^{n+1} \right).$$

Then for  $t_1 \le t \le t_1 + T$ ,  $|\mu| < \mu_1$  we get

$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t), M) \ge \frac{1}{2}\theta \left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{(1 + |Z_{\mu}(t_1)|)^{n+1}},$$
$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t_1 + T), M) \ge \frac{1}{2}\theta T^{n+1} > \sigma.$$

Inequalities (8) and (13) imply

(14) 
$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t), M) \geq \frac{1}{2}\theta\left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda \nu}\right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{(1+|Z_{\mu}(t)|)^{n+1}}, \quad t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{1} + T$$

and

$$\varrho(z_{\mu}(t_1+T),M)\geq \sigma$$

which proves Sublemma 3.

Since at the end of the evasion maneuver the solution  $z_{\mu}(t)$  is outside of the  $\sigma$ -neighborhood of M and the number T is fixed, it is possible to continue the game for an arbitrarily long time, provided the conditions (14) are fulfilled. Theorem 1 is proved.

Example. Let the game be described by the following system of differential equations

(15) 
$$x^{(p)} + A_1 x^{(p-1)} + \dots + A_p x = u + \mu g_1(x, y, x', y', \dots, x^{(s)}, y^{(s)}, u, v)$$
$$v^{(q)} + B_1 v^{(q-1)} + \dots + B_q v = v + \mu g_2(x, y, x', y', \dots, x^{(s)}, y^{(s)}, u, v)$$

where  $x, y \in R^m$ ,  $m \ge 2, A_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., p,  $B_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., q are constant matrices,  $s < \min(p, q)$ ,  $g_i(z_1, z_2, ..., z_{2m(s+1)}, u, v)$ , i = 1, 2 are continuous and bounded on  $R^{2m(s+1)} \times U \times V$ , U, V are compact sets,  $\mu \in (-\infty, \infty)$  is a parameter. Let  $M = \{z = (x, y) \in R^m \times R^m \mid x - y = 0\}$ . The orthogonal complement of M is  $M^{\perp} = \{z = (x, y) \in R^m \times R^m \mid x + y = 0\}$ . The matrix of the projection on  $M^{\perp}$  is

$$\pi = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\hat{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{I} & -\hat{I} \\ -\hat{I} & \hat{I} \end{pmatrix}$ ,

where I is the unit  $m \times m$  matrix.

(1) Suppose q < p. Then the system (15) has the following form

$$z(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F(t) & 0 \\ 0 & G(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(0) \\ y(0) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} S^p * P(S) & 0 \\ 0 & S^q * Q(S) \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$P(S) = \hat{I} + C_1(S) + C_1^2(S) + \dots, \quad C_1(S) = -(S * A_1 + \dots + S^p * A_p)$$