

## Werk

**Label:** Table of literature references

**Jahr:** 1977

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X\\_0102|log11](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X_0102|log11)

## Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

have  $x_{i+1} \in M_i$ ,  $x_{i+1} \in M_{i+1}$ , thus  $M_i \cap M_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ , which means  $(M_i, M_{i+1}) \in \mathcal{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the tolerance on  $\mathfrak{M}_T$  defined above, for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . This means that  $(M_1, M_n) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N})$  and the sets  $M_1, M_{n-1}$  are contained in the same class of the partition hull of  $\mathfrak{M}_T$ . As  $a = x_1 \in M_1$ ,  $b = x_n \in M_{n-1}$ , the elements  $a, b$  are in the same class of the partition hull of  $\mathfrak{M}_T$ . As  $a$  and  $b$  were chosen arbitrarily, each equivalence class of  $C(T)$  is contained in a class of the partition hull of  $\mathfrak{M}_T$ . Now let  $c \in M$ ,  $d \in M$  and let  $c$  and  $d$  be contained in the same class of the partition hull of  $\mathfrak{M}_T$ . Then there exist sets  $M'_1, \dots, M'_n$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_T$  such that  $c \in M'_1$ ,  $d \in M'_n$  and  $M'_i \cap M'_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . For each  $i$  from the numbers  $1, \dots, n - 1$  we choose an element  $y_i \in M'_i \cap M'_{i+1}$ . We have  $c \sim y_1$ ,  $y_n \sim d$  and  $y_i \sim y_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Thus  $c \sim d$ . As  $c$  and  $d$  were chosen arbitrarily, each class of the partition hull of  $\mathfrak{M}_T$  is contained in an equivalence class of  $C(T)$ . The assertion is proved.

#### References

- [1] G. Birkhoff: Lattice Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. New York 1940.
- [2] G. Birkhoff: On the structure of abstract algebras. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 31 (1935), 433–454.
- [3] G. Birkhoff and J. Frink: Representation of lattices by sets. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), 299–316.
- [4] N. Funayama and T. Nakayama: On the distributivity of a lattice of lattice-congruences. Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 18 (1942), 553–554.
- [5] G. Grätzer: Universal Algebra, Van Nostrand Co., London 1968.
- [6] G. Grätzer: Lattice Theory First Concepts and Distributive Lattices, Freeman and Co., San Francisco 1971.
- [7] I. Chajda and B. Zelinka: Tolerance relations on lattices. Čas. pěstov. mat. 99 (1974), 394–399.
- [8] I. Chajda and B. Zelinka: Compatible relations on algebras. Čas. pěstov. mat. 100 (1975), 355–360.
- [9] I. Chajda and B. Zelinka: Weakly associative lattices and tolerance relations. Czech. Math. J. 26 (1976), 259–269.
- [10] I. Chajda, J. Niederle and B. Zelinka: On existence conditions for compatible tolerances, Czech. Math. J. 26 (1976), 304–311.
- [11] G. Szász: Introduction to Lattice Theory. Akadémiai Kiadó Budapest 1963.
- [12] E. C. Zeeman: The topology of the brain and visual perception. In: The Topology of 3-Manifolds, ed. by M. K. Fort, pp. 240–256.
- [13] B. Zelinka: Tolerance graphs. Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. 9 (1968), 121–131.
- [14] B. Zelinka: Tolerance in algebraic structures. Czech. Math. J. 20 (1970), 179–183.
- [15] B. Zelinka: Tolerance in algebraic structures II. Czech. Math. J. 25 (1975), 175–178.

*Authors' addresses:* I. Chajda, 750 00 Přerov, Tř. Lidových milicí 290; B. Zelinka, 461 17 Liberec, Komenského 2 (Katedra matematiky a deskriptivní geometrie VŠST).