

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1975

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X\\_0100|log59](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X_0100|log59)

## Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

# EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

KRISTÍNA SMÍTALOVÁ, Bratislava

(Received November 28, 1973)

In the paper we shall consider the functional-differential equation

$$(1) \quad y'(t) = f(t, y),$$

where  $f: R \times C_n \rightarrow R_n$  is a functional continuous with respect to the first variable,  $R$  the set of real numbers and  $C_n$  the class of continuous functions from  $R$  to the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $R_n$ . Assume that  $\tau$  and  $\vartheta$  are non-negative locally bounded functions  $R \rightarrow R$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be the Euclidean norm in  $R_n$ . The main result of this paper is the following theorem which is more general than the results recently obtained by JU. A. RJABOV [3], [4] concerning the existence of solutions of linear or weakly non-linear delayed differential equations with small delay; for complete references see a survey paper of R. D. DRIVER [1].

**Theorem 1.** Assume that there is a non-negative locally integrable function  $h: R \rightarrow R$  such that for each  $x, y \in C_n$  and each  $t \in R$ ,

$$(2) \quad \|f(t, x)\| \leq h(t) \max \{\|x(t + \xi)\|; -\tau(t) \leq \xi \leq \vartheta(t)\},$$

$$(3) \quad \|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq h(t) \max \{\|x(t + \xi) - y(t + \xi)\|; -\tau(t) \leq \xi \leq \vartheta(t)\},$$

and

$$(4) \quad \max \left( \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t h(\xi) d\xi, \int_t^{t+\vartheta(t)} h(\xi) d\xi \right) \leq 1/e.$$

Then for each point  $(a, b) \in R \times R_n$  there is a solution of (1) defined for all  $t$  which passes through  $(a, b)$ .

**Remark.** The equation

$$(5) \quad y'(t) = A(t) y(t - \tau(t)) + B(t) y(t) + C(t) y(t + \vartheta(t))$$

where  $A, B, C$  are locally integrable square matrices  $R \rightarrow R_{n \times n}$ , is a particular case of (1). Theorem 1 now asserts that if the function  $h(t) = n(\|A(t)\| + \|B(t)\| + \|C(t)\|)$  satisfies (4) for  $t \in R$  then a solution of (5) defined for all  $t$  passes through each point of  $R \times R_n$ . Here the norm  $\|(a_{ij})\|$  of a matrix is assumed to be  $\max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\Omega$  be the set of those  $x \in C_n$ , for which  $x(a) = b$  and  $\|x(t)\| \leq \|b\| \exp(e|\int_a^t h(\xi) d\xi|)$ , for all  $t \in R$ . Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ . For  $x \in \Omega$  let  $F_\lambda(x)$  be the function  $R \rightarrow R_n$  defined by  $F_\lambda(x)(t) = b + \lambda \int_a^t f(\xi, x) d\xi$ . Using (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_\lambda(x)(t)\| &\leq \|b\| + \lambda \left\| \int_a^t f(\xi, x) d\xi \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \|b\| \left( 1 + \lambda \left| \int_a^t h(\xi) \exp \left( e \left| \int_a^\xi h(\eta) d\eta \right| \right) d\xi \right| \right) \cdot \\ &\cdot \exp \left( \max \left( e \int_{\xi-\tau(\xi)}^\xi h(\eta) d\eta, e \int_\xi^{\xi+\vartheta(\xi)} h(\eta) d\eta \right) d\xi \right) \leq \\ &\leq \|b\| \left( 1 + e \int_a^t h(\xi) \exp \left( e \left| \int_a^\xi h(\eta) d\eta \right| \right) d\xi \right) = \|b\| \exp \left( e \left| \int_a^t h(\xi) d\xi \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $F_\lambda : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ . Now define the following Picard iterations, assuming that  $\lambda$  is fixed,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ :  $x_1(t) \equiv b$  and  $x_{k+1} = F_\lambda(x_k)$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly for each  $t$ ,  $\|x_2(t) - x_1(t)\| \leq \|b\| \left| \int_a^t h(\xi) d\xi \right| \leq \|b\| \exp(e|\int_a^t h(\xi) d\xi|)$ . Assume that, for all  $t$ ,  $\|x_k(t) - x_{k-1}(t)\| \leq K\|b\| \exp(e|\int_a^t h(\xi) d\xi|)$ . Then using (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{k+1}(t) - x_k(t)\| &\leq K\|b\| \lambda \left| \int_a^t h(\xi) \exp \left( e \left| \int_a^\xi h(\eta) d\eta \right| \right) d\xi \right| \cdot \\ &\cdot \left( \max \left( e \left| \int_a^{\xi-\tau(\xi)} h(\eta) d\eta \right|, e \left| \int_\xi^{\xi+\vartheta(\xi)} h(\eta) d\eta \right| \right) d\xi \right) \leq \\ &\leq K\lambda\|b\| e \left| \int_a^t h(\xi) \exp \left( e \left| \int_a^\xi h(\eta) d\eta \right| \right) d\xi \right| = K\lambda\|b\| \exp \left( e \left| \int_a^t h(\xi) d\xi \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , the sequence  $x_n$  converges almost uniformly to some  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $F_\lambda(x) = x$ .

Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence of members of the open interval  $(0, 1)$  converging to 1. For every  $n$ , let  $y_n$  satisfy the equation  $y_n = F_{\lambda_n}(y_n)$ . All  $y_n \in \Omega$  are almost uniformly bounded (i.e. uniformly bounded on each compact). Let  $A \subset R$  be a compact. By (2) we have, for each  $t \in A$ ,

$$\|y_n'(t)\| \leq h(t) \|b\| \exp \left( \max \left( e \left| \int_a^u h(\eta) d\eta \right|, e \left| \int_a^v h(\eta) d\eta \right| \right) \right),$$

where  $u = \inf_{\xi \in A} \xi - \tau(\xi)$ ,  $v = \sup_{\xi \in A} \xi + \vartheta(\xi)$ . Therefore  $\|y_n(t) - y_n(s)\| \leq \leq \text{const} \left| \int_t^s h(\xi) d\xi \right|$  for  $t, s \in A$ . Consequently the functions  $\{y_n\}$  are equicontinuous on each compact and hence there is a subsequence  $\{y_{k(n)}\}$  of  $y_n$  which converges almost uniformly to some  $y \in \Omega$ . Clearly  $y(a) = b$ . It remains to show that  $y$  is a solution of (1) or, which is the same, of the corresponding integral equation.

Let  $I$  be a compact subinterval of  $R$ . For  $t \in I$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| y(t) - b - \int_a^t f(\xi, y) d\xi \right\| \leq \|y(t) - y_{n(k)}(t)\| + \\ & + \lambda_{n(k)} \|b\| \cdot \left| \int_a^t h(\xi) d\xi \right| \max_{\xi \in B} \|y_{n(k)}(\xi) - y(\xi)\| + (1 - \lambda_{n(k)}) \left\| \int_a^t f(\xi, y) d\xi \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B = [\inf_{\xi \in I} \xi - \tau(\xi), \sup_{\xi \in I} \xi + \vartheta(\xi)]$ . Clearly the right-hand side of the inequality tends to 0 whenever  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , q.e.d.

**Remark.** If the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied with the constant  $1/e$  replaced by a positive constant  $c < 1/e$  then for each point of  $R \times R_n$  there is exactly one solution of (1) which belongs to  $\Omega$  and passes through the point.

The constant  $1/e$  in Theorem 1 is the best possible. To see this we first prove the following

**Lemma.** For every sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  there are real numbers  $a, b$  with  $a < 0$ ,  $0 < b < \pi$  such that  $x(t) = e^{at} \cos(bt)$  is a solution of the equation

$$(6) \quad x'(t) = -e^{\delta-1} x(t-1),$$

for all real  $t$ .

**Proof.** For  $\xi \leq 0$  put  $\varphi(\xi) = e^{\delta-1-\xi} + \xi$ . Then  $\varphi > 0$ . Indeed, if  $\varphi(u) = 0$  for some  $u < 0$  then we may assume that  $u$  is the least root of  $\varphi$ , since  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi(\xi) = +\infty$ . In this case we have  $\varphi'(u) \leq 0$ , and consequently,  $\varphi(u) + \varphi'(u) \leq 0$ , i.e.  $u \leq -1$ . On the other hand,  $\varphi$  is a decreasing function in  $(-\infty, -1]$ , and  $\varphi(-1) > 0$ , a contradiction.

Let  $\psi(\xi) = \varphi(\xi)(e^{\delta-1-\xi} - \xi) = e^{2(\delta-1-\xi)} - \xi^2$ . Clearly  $\psi(\xi) > 0$  for all  $\xi \leq 0$ . Let  $\omega(\xi) = \xi e^\xi e^{1-\delta} + \cos \sqrt{\psi(\xi)}$ . We show that  $\omega$  has a root in  $(-2, 0)$ . For sufficiently small  $\delta$  we have  $\psi(0) < \pi^2/4$ . Since  $\psi(-2) > \pi^2/4$ , there is  $v \in (-2, 0)$  such that  $\psi(v) = \pi^2/4$ , i.e.  $\omega(v) < 0$ . Since  $\omega(0) > 0$ , there is  $a \in (-2, 0)$  such that  $\omega(a) = 0$ .

The function  $x(t) = e^{at} \cos(t \sqrt{\psi(a)})$  is a solution of (6). Indeed, a simple calculation shows that  $x$  is a solution of (6) if and only if  $ae^{1+a-\delta} = -\cos \sqrt{\psi(a)}$ , and  $e^{1+a-\delta} \sqrt{\psi(a)} = \sin \sqrt{\psi(a)}$ . But the first equality is true since it is equivalent to  $\omega(a) = 0$ . To see that the second equality is also true note that if  $\delta$  is sufficiently