

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1973

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X\\_0098|log89](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X_0098|log89)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

ON THE LINE GRAPH OF THE SQUARE AND THE SQUARE  
OF THE LINE GRAPH OF A CONNECTED GRAPH

LADISLAV NEBESKÝ, Praha

(Received April 4, 1972)

Let  $G = (V, X)$  be a nontrivial connected graph with  $p$  points and  $q$  lines. The square of  $G$  is the graph  $(V, X')$  where  $uv \in X'$  if and only if the distance between  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$  is either 1 or 2. The line graph of  $G$  is the graph  $(X, Z)$  where  $xy \in Z$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent lines in  $G$ . The square of  $G$  and the line graph of  $G$  will be denoted by  $G^2$  and  $L(G)$ , respectively. Consequently, the line graph of the square of  $G$  and the square of the line graph of  $G$  will be denoted by  $L(G^2)$  and  $(L(G))^2$ , respectively. In the present paper we shall prove that if  $p \geq 3$ , then  $L(G^2)$  is hamiltonian, and that if  $q \geq 3$ , then  $(L(G))^2$  is hamiltonian. (For the terminology of graph theory, see HARARY [1]; for some results relative to the present paper, see [1], [2], and [3].)

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p \geq 3$  points and such that it contains a point  $u$  of degree 1 and a point  $w$  of degree  $p - 1$ . If  $v$  is a point of  $G$  such that  $u \neq v \neq w$ , then there exists a spanning path in  $L(G)$  joining the points  $uw$  and  $vw$  of  $L(G)$ .*

**Proof.** The case when  $p = 3$  is obvious. Assume that  $p = n \geq 4$  and that for  $p = n - 1$  the lemma is proved. The case when  $G$  is a star is simple. Assume that  $G$  is not a star. Then there is a point  $t$  of  $G$  such that  $t$  has degree at least 2 and  $v \neq t \neq w$ . By  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  we denote the points of  $G$  different from  $w$  and adjacent to  $t$ . Obviously, there is a spanning path  $S$  in  $L(G - t)$  joining the points  $uw$  and  $vw$ . There is a point  $rs$  of  $L(G - t)$  such that  $(rs)(v_1w)$  is a line in  $S$ . It is evident that either  $v_1 \in \{r, s\}$  or  $w \in \{r, s\}$ . If  $v_1 \in \{r, s\}$ , then by  $P$  we denote the path  $(rs)(tv_1) \dots (tv_k)(tw)(v_1w)$ . If  $w \in \{r, s\}$ , then by  $P$  we denote the path  $(rs)(tw)(tv_k) \dots (tv_1)(v_1w)$ . If in  $S$  we replace the line  $(rs)(v_1w)$  by the path  $P$ , we obtain a spanning path in  $L(G)$  joining the points  $uw$  and  $vw$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p \geq 3$  points. Then  $L(G^2)$  is hamiltonian.*

**Proof.** The case when  $p = 3$  is obvious. Assume that  $p = n \geq 4$  and that for  $p = n - 1$  the theorem is proved. The case when  $G = K_p$  is simple. Assume that  $G \neq K_p$ . Then there is a point  $w$  of  $G$  with degree not exceeding  $p - 2$  and such that  $G - w$  is connected. By  $d$  and  $d'$  we denote the distance in  $G$  and in  $G - w$ , respectively. By  $F$  we denote the graph with the points  $t$  of  $G$  such that  $d(t, w) \leq 2$ , and with the lines  $\bar{t}\bar{t}'$  such that either  $w \in \{\bar{t}, \bar{t}'\}$  and  $1 \leq d(\bar{t}, \bar{t}') \leq 2$ , or  $\bar{t} \neq w \neq \bar{t}'$  and  $d(\bar{t}, \bar{t}') = 2 < d'(\bar{t}, \bar{t}')$ . Notice that the graphs  $(G - w)^2$  and  $F$  are line-disjoint and that  $x$  is a line in  $G^2$  if and only if it is a line either in  $(G - w)^2$  or in  $F$ . There are points  $u$  and  $v$  of  $G$  such that  $v$  is adjacent to  $w$  in  $G$ ,  $u$  is adjacent to  $v$  in  $G$  and  $d(u, w) = 2$ . Obviously,  $u$  and  $v$  are points both in  $(G - w)^2$  and in  $F$ , and  $u$  has degree 1 in  $F$ . By Lemma 1, there is a spanning path  $S_0$  in  $L(F)$  joining  $uw$  with  $vw$ . Similarly, there is a spanning path  $S_1$  in  $L(F)$  joining  $vw$  with  $uw$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists a hamiltonian cycle  $H$  in  $L((G - w)^2)$ . Consider a point  $rs$  of  $L((G - w)^2)$  such that  $(rs)(uv)$  is a line in  $H$ . If  $u \in \{r, s\}$ , then by  $P$  we denote the path  $(rs)S_0(uv)$ ; if  $v \in \{r, s\}$ , then by  $P$  we denote the path  $(rs)S_1(uv)$ . It is easy to see that if in  $H$  we replace the line  $(rs)(uv)$  by  $P$  we obtain a hamiltonian cycle in  $L(G^2)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $T$  be any tree with  $q \geq 3$  lines. Then  $(L(T))^2$  is hamiltonian.*

**Proof.** The case when  $q = 3$  is obvious. Let  $q = n \geq 4$  and assume that for any  $q$ ,  $3 \leq q < n$ , the lemma is proved. The case when  $T$  is a path is simple. We shall assume that  $T$  is not a path. Then  $T$  contains distinct points  $v_0, \dots, v_k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq q - 2$ ,  $v_0 \text{ adj } v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \text{ adj } v_k$ ,  $v_0$  has degree at least 3,  $v_k$  has degree 1, and if  $0 < j < k$ , then  $v_j$  has degree 2. By  $T_0$  we denote the tree which we obtain from  $T$  by deleting the points  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ . By  $u_1, \dots, u_i$  we denote the points which are adjacent to  $v_0$  in  $T_0$ ; obviously,  $i \geq 2$ . There is a hamiltonian cycle  $H$  in  $(L(T_0))^2$ . It is easy to verify that  $H$  contains such a line  $xy$  of  $(L(T_0))^2$  that  $x$  is incident with one of the points  $u_1, \dots, u_i$ , and  $y$  is incident with  $v_0$ . By  $P$  we denote the path in  $(L(T))^2$  such that if  $k = 1$ , then  $P = x(v_0v_1)y$ , and if  $k \geq 2$ , then  $P = x(v_0v_1)(v_2v_3) \dots (v_{g-3}v_{g-2}) \cdot (v_{g-1}v_g)(v_hv_{h-1}) \dots (v_2v_1)y$ , where  $g$  is the greatest odd integer not exceeding  $k$  and  $h$  is the greatest even integer not exceeding  $k$ . If in  $H$  we replace  $xy$  by  $P$ , we obtain a hamiltonian cycle in  $(L(T))^2$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $q \geq 3$  lines. Then  $(L(G))^2$  is hamiltonian.*

**Proof.** Consider a spanning tree  $T_1$  of  $G$ . Color the lines of  $T_1$  in blue. Subdivide each uncolored line of  $G$  (if any) into two new lines and color one of them in blue and the other of them in yellow (the choice is arbitrary). By  $T_2$  we denote the graph consisting of the blue lines. Obviously  $T_2$  is a tree with at least 3 lines. It is easy to see that  $L(T_2)$  is isomorphic to a spanning subgraph of  $L(G)$ . This implies that  $(L(T_2))^2$  is isomorphic to a spanning subgraph of  $(L(G))^2$ . By Lemma 2,  $(L(T_2))^2$  is hamiltonian. Hence the theorem follows.