

## Werk

**Label:** Article

**Jahr:** 1973

**PURL:** [https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X\\_0098|log36](https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X_0098|log36)

## Kontakt/Contact

[Digizeitschriften e.V.](#)  
SUB Göttingen  
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1  
37073 Göttingen

✉ [info@digizeitschriften.de](mailto:info@digizeitschriften.de)

## ON UNIQUELY COLORABLE GRAPHS WITHOUT SHORT CYCLES

JAROSLAV NEŠETŘIL, Praha

(Received April 9, 1970)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A uniquely colorable graph is defined in [3] as a graph  $X$  which possesses exactly one partition into  $n$  color classes, where  $n = \chi(X)$  is the chromatic number of the graph  $X$ .

Let  $\Delta(X)$  denote the maximal degree of a point of  $X$ . We shall characterize uniquely colorable graphs  $X$  which satisfy  $\Delta(X) \leq n = \chi(X)$ . This is related to the problem of the existence of an  $n$ -chromatic graph with a large chord in the following way:

A theorem established in [0] states that  $\chi(X) \leq \Delta(X)$ , with the exception of an odd cycle and  $K_n$  (the complete graph with  $n$  points). On the other hand, the question if there is an  $n$ -chromatic graph without cycles of length  $\leq k$  has been solved constructively only recently, see [4], [6].

B. GRÜNBAUM conjectured that for every  $n, k$  there is an  $n$ -regular  $n$ -chromatic graph without cycles of length  $\leq k$ . (A graph is  $n$ -regular if all the points of  $X$  have the same degree  $n$ ). This conjecture is proved to be true for couples  $(4, 3)$  and  $(4, 4)$ , see [1, 2], except the trivial cases.

From our result it will follow that there is no uniquely colorable graph satisfying this conjecture (for  $k \geq 3$ , i.e. non-trivial), or that every such graph possesses at least two different colorings. For the same reason, the naturally arising question if there is a uniquely  $n$ -colorable graph without cycles of length  $\leq k$ , seems to be harder than for  $n$ -chromatic graphs in general; none of the known constructions of  $n$ -colorable graphs without short cycles gives uniquely colorable graphs.

Nevertheless, we conjecture that the answer to this question is also affirmative. To support this we give here a construction of a uniquely  $k$ -colorable graph (for every  $k \geq 1$ ) without triangles. In fact, we prove that there is a countable number of such graphs for every  $k \geq 1$ . This generalizes theorems from [3, 4]\*).

---

\*) The examples of graphs given in [3] and [4], p 139 do not serve as examples of uniquely 3-colorable graphs.

## 2. UNIQUELY COLORABLE GRAPHS WITH SMALL DEGREES

Let us denote by  $UC_n$  the class of all uniquely  $n$ -colorable graphs. Obviously all connected graphs  $X \in UC_2$  which satisfy  $\Delta(X) \leq 2$  are exactly even cycles and paths. Thus, let be  $n > 2$  from now on. Let  $X \in UC_n$ ,  $\Delta(X) \leq n$  be a fixed graph,  $M = \{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  the coloring of  $X$ . For  $x \in V(X)$  denote by  $V(x, X)$  the set of all adjacent points to  $x$  in  $X$ .

We shall need the following:

**Lemma.** *Let  $\hat{X}$  be the subgraph of  $X$  induced on the set  $\bigcup\{M_i; i \geq 2\}$ . Then  $\bigcup\{V(x, X); x \in M_1\} = V(\hat{X})$  and if  $y \in V(x, X)$  for exactly one  $x \in M_1$ , then either  $y \neq y' \in V(x, X)$  implies  $y' \in V(x', X)$  for some  $x \neq x' \in M_1$  or  $V(x, X) = V(\hat{X})$ .*

**Proof.**  $\{V(x, X); x \in M_1\}$  is a covering of  $V(\hat{X})$ , for if there is a  $y \in V(\hat{X})$  such that  $y \notin V(x, X)$  for every  $x \in M_1$ , then the coloring  $M'$  defined by  $M'_1 = M_1 \cup \{y\}$ ,  $M'_i = M_i \setminus \{y\}$ ,  $i \geq 2$  is different from  $M$ . The proof of the second part of the statement proceeds similarly.

**Theorem 1.**  *$K_n$  and  $K_{n-1} + \bar{K}_2$  are the only  $UC_n$ -graphs  $X$  for which it holds  $\Delta(X) \leq n$ . (Here  $\bar{X}$  denotes the complement of the graph  $X$  and  $X + Y$  denotes the join (Zykov sum) of the graphs  $X$  and  $Y$ , see [4]).*

**Proof.** Let  $X \in UC_3$ ,  $\Delta(X) \leq 3$ , then (in the above notation)  $\hat{X} \in UC_2$  and by Lemma  $\Delta(\hat{X}) \leq 2$ . It is easy to prove that  $|\hat{X}| \leq 4$ . It can be verified by examining the individual cases that  $K_3$  and  $K_2 + \bar{K}_2$  are the only uniquely 3-colorable graphs under consideration.

It is easy to complete the proof of the statement by induction.

**Corollary.** *Odd cycles are exactly 2-regular elements of  $UC_2$ . There are no  $n$ -regular elements of  $UC_n$ ,  $n > 2$ .*

**Remark.** Adding two suitable edges to the graph described in [4], p. 139, one obtains a graph  $X$  from  $UC_3$  which has no triangles and for which  $\Delta(X) = 4$  holds.

## 3. UNIQUELY COLORABLE GRAPHS WITHOUT TRIANGLES

Let  $X$  be a graph,  $M \subseteq V(X)$ . The set  $M$  is called an *independent subset* if  $x, y \in M \Rightarrow [x, y] \notin E(X)$ .

Let  $P_n$  be the path of length  $n$  (i.e.  $V(P_n) = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ ,  $[i, i+1] \in E(P_n)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Define by induction the graphs  $P_n^i$ ,  $i > 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}^1 = \{M_i^1; i \leq k^1(n)\}$  be the set of all independent sets  $M \subseteq V(P_n)$  with  $|M| = 3$  such that there are  $i \neq j \in M$  with  $|i - j|$  odd.

Let  $P_n^1$  be the graph defined by:  $V(P_n^1) = V(P_n) \cup \mathcal{M}^1$ ,  
 $[x, y] \in E(P_n^1)$  iff either  $x, y \in V(P_n)$  and  $[x, y] \in E(P_n)$  or  $x = M_i^1 \in \mathcal{M}^1$  and  $y \in M_i^1$ .

Let  $P_n^j$  be defined for all  $j \leq i, i \geq 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}^{i+1} = \{M_i^{i+1}; i \leq k^{i+1}(n)\}$  be the set of all independent sets  $M \subseteq V(P_n^i)$  such that  $|M| = i + 3, M \cap \mathcal{M}^j \neq \emptyset$  for every  $j \leq i$  and there are  $k \neq m \in M \cap V(P_n), |k - m|$  being odd.

Define the graph  $P_n^{i+1}$  by:  $V(P_n^{i+1}) = V(P_n^i) \cup \mathcal{M}^{i+1}$   $[x, y] \in E(P_n^{i+1})$  iff either  $x, y \in V(P_n^i)$  and  $[x, y] \in E(P_n^i)$  or  $x = M_i^{i+1} \in \mathcal{M}^{i+1}$  and  $y \in M_i^{i+1}$ . By the definition, the graph does not contain a triangle for every  $i \geq 1$ . Further, it is obvious that  $\chi(P_n^i) \leq i + 2$ .

We shall prove

**Theorem 2.** Let  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $n > 16(k + 2)(2k)^{2k+3}$ . Then  $P_n^k \in UC_{k+2}$ .

Proof. Let  $C = \{C_1, \dots, C_{k+2}\}$  be a coloring of  $P_n^k$ . We distinguish two cases.

1) There are three classes, say  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  such that  $|C_i \cap V(P_n)| \geq n/(k + 2), i = 1, 2, 3$ . We prove first that there are  $(2k)^k$  pairwise disjoint sets  $M_i^1$  from  $\mathcal{M}^1$  such that all of them are colored exactly by 3 different colors (not necessarily 1, 2, 3). Suppose to the contrary that there are no such sets from  $\mathcal{M}^1$ . Then  $|C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3| \leq \leq \frac{1}{2}n + 3(2k)^k$  (since  $|C_i \cap V(P_n)| \geq n/(k + 2), C_1, C_2$  and  $C_3$  cannot contain "too many" couples  $i, j$  with  $|i - j|$  odd, and the same argument shows that there is a set  $A \subset C_1 \cup C_2$  such that  $|A \cap C_i| \geq (2k)^k, i = 1, 2$  and  $i \neq j \in A$  implies  $|i - j|$  even. Thus there is at least  $\frac{1}{2}n - 3(2k)^k/4 > (k - 1)(2k)^k$  elements  $i \in V(P_n)$  such that  $|i - j|$  is odd for every  $j \in A$ . From these facts a contradiction easily follows).

Now we shall construct an  $M_i^k \in \mathcal{M}^k$  such that  $M_i^k \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k + 2$ . This will contradict the assumption that  $C$  is a coloring.

Put  $m = (2k)^k$ . Without loss of generality, let  $M_1^1, \dots, M_m^1$  be sets from  $\mathcal{M}^1$  such that  $M_i^1 \cap M_j^1 = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j \leq m$  and  $M_i^1 \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Since  $m = (2k)(2k)^{k-1}$  there is  $2(2k)^{k-1} = 2m_1$  elements of the set  $\{M_1^1, \dots, M_m^1\}$  which are colored by the same color  $\geq 4$ , without loss of generality let us assume that  $M_i^1 \in C_4$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2m_1$ . Define  $M_{2i}^2 \in \mathcal{M}^2, i = 1, \dots, m_1$  by  $M_{2i}^2 = \{M_{2i-1}^1\} \cup M_{2i}^1$ . (It is  $M_{2i}^2 \in \mathcal{M}^2$  since  $M_i^1$  are pairwise disjoint.) Further  $M_{2i}^2 \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m_1$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

Now, without loss of generality, we can find again  $M_j^2, j = 1, \dots, 2m_2 = 2(2k)^{k-2}$  such that  $\{M_1^2, \dots, M_{2m_2}^2\} \subseteq C_i$  for an  $i \geq 5$ , say for  $i = 5$ . We can define  $M_{2i}^3 = \{M_{2i-1}^2\} \cup M_{2i}^2, i = 1, \dots, m_2$ . It is  $M_{2i}^3 \in \mathcal{M}^3$  and  $M_{2i}^3 \cap C_j \neq \emptyset, j = 1, \dots, 5$ . This procedure can be continued inductively and finally we get an  $M_i^k \in \mathcal{M}^k$  for which  $M_i^k \cap C_j \neq \emptyset, j = 1, \dots, k + 2$ .

2) There are exactly two classes, say  $C_1, C_2$  such that

$$|C_i \cap V(P_n)| \geq \frac{n}{k + 2}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$