Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, roč. 97 (1972), Praha

ON CONTINUITY OF LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS COMMUTING WITH GENERALIZED SCALAR OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACE

PAVLA VRBOVÁ, Praha

(Received June 8, 1970)

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper we give a modification of methods having been presented in the paper of B. E. JOHNSON and A. M. SINCLAIR [5]. The question is, under which condition a linear transformation S commuting with a linear continuous operator T in a (complex) Banach space X is continuous. Similarly as in the paper mentioned above we shall deal with operator T having a suitable spectral decomposition. More exactly: suppose that there exists, for every closed subset F of the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$, a closed linear subspace $\mathscr{E}(F)$ in X such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

(1) $$\mathscr{E}(\emptyset) = \{0\}\,, \quad \mathscr{E}(\mathbf{C}) = X\,;$$

(2) $$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{E}(F_n) = \mathscr{E}\big(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\big)\,;$$

(3) if $\{G_j\}_{j=1}^{m}$ is a finite open covering of the complex plane, then

$$X = \mathscr{E}(\bar{G}_1) + \ldots + \mathscr{E}(\bar{G}_m)\,;$$

(4) $$T\mathscr{E}(F) \subset \mathscr{E}(F) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(T \mid \mathscr{E}(F)) \subset F\,.$$

For the sake of completness we recall now some definitions.

Definition. Let $x \in X$. A complex number λ is an element of $\varrho_T(x)$ if there is a vector-valued analytic function $x(.)$ defined in a neighbourhood G_λ of λ such that $(\mu I - T)\, x(\mu) = x$ for all $\mu \in G_\lambda$. The spectrum $\sigma_T(x)$ is the complement of $\varrho_T(x)$.

Obviously $\sigma_T(x) \subset \sigma(T)$.

Definition. An operator $T \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ (the algebra of all linear continuous operators of X) is said *to have the single-valued extension property* if for every open subset G

of the complex plane and for any vector valued analytic function $f : G \to X$ the equality $(\lambda I - T) f(\lambda) \equiv 0$ on G implies $f \equiv 0$.

For every operator T having the single-valued extension property $\sigma_T(x) = \emptyset$ if and only if $x = 0$.

It has been shown in [2] that each operator of the present class has the single-valued extension property and that the present class of operators is nothing else than the class of decomposable operators (in sense of [2]) with

$$(5) \qquad \mathscr{E}(F) = \{x : \sigma_T(x) \subset F\}\,.$$

We shall use the usual notation $X_T(F) = \mathscr{E}(F)$. Let $L \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ be such that $TL = LT$. Then it is easy to prove that $LX_T(F) \subset X_T(F)$ for every F closed.

Hence, consider now a linear transformation S commuting with our operator T and such that $S\,X_T(F) \subset X_T(F)$ for every F closed.

Denote by σ_S the linear subspace of X consisting of all elements x such that there exists a sequence $x_n \to 0$ with $Sx_n \to x$. The subspace σ_S is closed. According to the closed graph theorem the transformation S is continuous if and only if $\sigma_S = 0$.

Since we have, for an arbitrary finite open covering, the decomposition of X, it is natural to take into account only the subspaces on which S is not continuous. It is easy to see that each such subspace must have a non-trivial intersection with σ_S. We shall consider, therefore, the subspace $X_T(F)$ such that $\sigma_S \subset X_T(F)$. If λ is not an element of F, then there exists a closed neighbourhood G of λ with $G \cap F = \emptyset$ and $S \mid X_T(G)$ is continuous by the closed graph theorem. This fact leads quite naturally to the following

Definition. We shall call a number λ *a discontinuity value* if the operator $S \mid X_T(F)$ is discontinuous for every closed neighbourhood F of λ.

Obviously every discontinuity value is an element of the set F such that $\sigma_S \subset X_T(F)$.

Further, from the definition it follows immediately that the set of all discontinuity values is closed and contained in $\sigma(T)$.

Lemma. $\sigma_S \subset X_T(K)$ *where K is the set of all discontinuity values.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \notin K$, let F_0 be a closed neighbourhood of λ such that $S \mid X_T(F_0)$ is continuous. Let $\{G_0, G_1\}$ be an open covering of the complex plane, $\bar{G}_0 \subset F_0$, $\lambda \notin \bar{G}_1$. Take an $x \in X$, let $x_n \to 0$ with $Sx_n \to x$. Since we have, for every $x \in X$, the decomposition $x = x_1 + x_2$ where $x_1 \in X_T(\bar{G}_0)$, $x_2 \in X_T(\bar{G}_1)$, we can find sequences $x_n^1 \to 0$, $x_n^2 \to 0$ such that $x_n = x_n^1 + x_n^2$, $x_n^1 \in X_T(\bar{G}_0)$, $x_n^2 \in X_T(\bar{G}_1)$. We have $Sx_n = Sx_n^1 + Sx_n^2$. Since $S \mid X_T(\bar{G}_0)$ is continuous it follows $Sx_n^1 \to 0$, $Sx_n^2 \to x$ and $x \in X_T(\bar{G}_1)$, i.e. $\sigma_S \subset X_T(\bar{G}_1)$. We have obtained the following implication: if $\lambda \notin K$ then there is a closed F_λ such that $\lambda \notin F_\lambda$ and $\sigma_S \subset X_T(F_\lambda)$. By (5) the family of subspaces $X_T(F)$ is closed with respect to the intersection and we have

$$\sigma_S \subset \bigcap_{\lambda \notin K} X_T(F_\lambda) = X_T\big(\bigcap_{\lambda \notin K} F_\lambda\big) \subseteq X_T(K)\,.$$

However, for the proof of the main theorem we have taken generalized scalar operators for which it is easy to characterize the structure of spaces $X_T(\{\lambda\})$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Definition. Denote by $(C^\infty(R_2), \tau)$ the Fréchet space of all infinitely differentiable complex functions $\varphi(x_1, x_2)$ defined on R_2 with the family of pseudonorms

$$|\varphi|_{k,m} = \sum_{p_1+p_2=0}^{m} \sup_{(x_1,x_2)\in K} \left| \frac{\partial^{p_1+p_2}\varphi(x_1, x_2)}{\partial^{p_1}x_1\, \partial^{p_2}x_2} \right|$$

for every compact set K and $p_1, p_2, m \geqq 0$.

2.2. Definition. A continuous linear operator T in a Banach space X is said to be *a generalized scalar operator* if there exists a continuous linear mapping $\mathcal{U}$: : $(C^\infty(R_2), \tau) \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}_{\varphi\psi} = \mathcal{U}_\varphi \mathcal{U}_\psi \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(R_2)\,,$$

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = I\,, \quad \mathcal{U}_a = T \quad \text{where} \quad a(\lambda) = \lambda\,.$$

We shall use some properties of generalized scalar operators contained in [1] (Theorem 2, Propositions 1, 2, 3) which we mention without proving them.

2.3. Proposition. *Every generalized scalar operator T has the single valued extension property. If we denote $X_T(F) = \{x : \sigma_T(x) \subset F\}$ for $F = \bar{F}$, then $X_T(F)$ is a closed invariant subspace with respect to T such that $\sigma(T \mid X_T(F)) \subset F$.*

2.4. Proposition. *Let $x \in X$, let φ_1, φ_2 be two functions from $C^\infty(R_2)$ such that $\varphi_1 \equiv$ $\equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of $\sigma_T(x)$ and* supp $\varphi_2 \cap \sigma_T(x) = \emptyset$. *Then $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_1}x = x$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_2}x = 0$.*

2.5. Proposition. *Let $x \in X$. Then $\mathcal{U}_\varphi x \in X_T$* (supp φ) *for every $\varphi \in C^\infty(R_2)$. Further* supp $\mathcal{U} = \sigma(T)$.

Remark. Every generalized scalar operator T is an element of the class of operators having been considered in the introduction.

Indeed, proposition 2.3 asserts that (1) and (4) is satisfied for each $X_T(F)$. (2) is obviously satisfied and to prove (3) take an open covering $\{G_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of the complex plane. There exist functions $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(R_2)$ such that $0 \leqq \varphi_j \leqq 1$, supp $\varphi_j \subset \bar{G}_j$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi_j \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of $\sigma(T)$. Since supp $\mathcal{U} = \sigma(T)$ we may write, for every x, that $x = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{U}_{\varphi_j}x$ where $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_j}x \in X_T$ (supp $\varphi_j) \subset X_T(\bar{G}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and (3) holds.

Every linear operator in the finite dimensional space as well as every spectral operator of the finite type are generalized scalar operators. For other examples see [1].

It will be useful to characterize the spaces $X_T(\{\lambda\})$.

2.6. Proposition. *Let Q be a polynomial with the roots $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$. Then $\{x : : Q(T)\, x = 0\} \subset X_T(\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n\})$.*

Proof. Let λ be a complex number and let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x = (\lambda I - T)\, y$. Obviously $\sigma_T(x) \subset \sigma_T(y)$. We shall show that $\sigma_T(y) \subset \sigma_T(x) \cup \{\lambda\}$ or equivalently $\varrho_T(x) \cap \{\mathbf{C} \setminus \lambda\} \subset \varrho_T(y)$. Take a $\mu \neq \lambda$ and $\mu \in \varrho_T(x)$. There exists an analytic function $x(\gamma)$ defined in a neighbourhood G_μ of $\mu(\lambda \notin G_\mu)$ with $x = (\gamma I - T)\, x(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in G_\mu$. Put $y(\gamma) = [1/(\gamma - \lambda)]\,(y - x(\gamma))$. The function $y(\gamma)$ is analytic in G_μ and $(\gamma I - T)\, y(\gamma) = y$. This means of course that $\mu \in \varrho_T(y)$.

Let $Q(T)\, z = x$. The induction with respect to the degree of the polynomial Q yields $\sigma_T(z) \subset \sigma_T(x) \cup \{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n\}$. Particularly if $x = 0$ then we obtain the result desired.

2.7. Proposition. *If $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ is a finite set of complex numbers, then there is a polynomial $P(.)$ with the roots $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ such that*

$$P(T) \mid X_T(\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}) = 0\,.$$

Proof. Denote $\mathscr{U}'_\varphi = \mathscr{U}_\varphi \mid X_T(\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\})$. It is easy to see that $T' = T \mid X_T(\{\lambda_1, \ldots \ldots, \lambda_k\})$ is a generalized scalar operator and $\mathscr{U}'$ is its distribution. Let n be the order of the distribution $\mathscr{U}$, let f be a continuous linear functional defined on $\mathscr{L}(X)$. Put $P(\lambda) = [(\lambda - \lambda_1)\,.\,(\lambda - \lambda_2) \ldots (\lambda - \lambda_k)]^{n+1}$. Then $\mathscr{V}_\varphi = f\mathscr{U}'_\varphi$ is a continuous linear functional on $(C^\infty(R_2), \tau)$, supp $\mathscr{V} \subset$ supp $\mathscr{U}' \subseteq \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ and the order of $\mathscr{V}$ does not exceed the order of $\mathscr{U}'$. Since $P(\lambda)$ is zero on supp $\mathscr{V}$ and all derivatives up to n are zero as well, it follows by [3], theorem 1.5.4. that $\mathscr{V}_P = f\mathscr{U}'_P = 0$ for each f so that $P(T) \mid X_T(\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}) = \mathscr{U}'_P = 0$.

Remark. From 2.6 and 2.7 it follows that $X_T(\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}) = X_T(\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_j\})$ $(j \leqq k)$ where μ_j are all eigenvalues of T from the set $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$.

3. LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS COMMUTING WITH GENERALIZED SCALAR OPERATORS

Let T be a generalized scalar operator and let S be a linear transformation such that $S\, X_T(F) \subset X_T(F)$ for $F = \bar{F}$.

3.1. Lemma. *The set of discontinuity values is either empty or it has only a finite number of elements.*

Proof. To prove the lemma, we shall suppose that there is a sequence of distinct discontinuity values $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and a closed sets F_i such that $\lambda_i \in \operatorname{Int} F_i$ and $F_i \cap \overline{\bigcup_{j \neq i} F_j} = \emptyset$ for every $i \in N$. Take further $\varphi_i \in C^{\infty}(R_2)$ with $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_i \cap \overline{\bigcup_{j \neq i} F_j} = \emptyset$ and $\varphi_i \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of F_i. The restriction of S to each of $X_T(F_i)$ is a discontinuous operator so that there exists, for each $i \in N$, an element $\xi_i \in X_T(F_i)$ such that

$$(1) \qquad |\xi_i| < \frac{1}{2^i},$$

$$(2) \qquad |S\xi_i| > i\,|\mathscr{U}_{\varphi_i}|\,.$$

Now put $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i$. We can write, for each $i \in N$,

$$S\eta = S\xi_i + S\sum_{j \neq i} \xi_j\,.$$

If a $j \neq i$ is given, then $\xi_j \in X_T(F_j) \subset X_T(\overline{\bigcup_{j \neq i} F_j})$ and $\sum_{j \neq i} \xi_j \in X_T(\overline{\bigcup_{j \neq i} F_j})$.

By the assumption all $X_T(F)$ are invariant with respect to S so that $S\xi_i \in X_T(F_i)$ and $S\sum_{j \neq i} \xi_j \in X_T(\overline{\bigcup_{j \neq i} F_j})$. Using 2.4 we obtain

$$\mathscr{U}_{\varphi_i} S \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_j = 0\,, \quad \mathscr{U}_{\varphi_i} S\xi_i = S\xi_i\,.$$

We have, for any $i \in N$, the estimate

$$|\mathscr{U}_{\varphi_i}| \cdot |S\eta| \geqq |\mathscr{U}_{\varphi_i} S\eta| = |S\xi_i| > i\,|\mathscr{U}_{\varphi_i}|$$

and this is a contradiction.

We shall show now that the existence of the distribution $\mathscr{U}$ is not essential and we can prove the same result for wider class of operators.

3.2. Definition. A decomposable operator T is said *to be a strongly decomposable operator* if the equality

$$\mathscr{E}(F) = \mathscr{E}(F) \cap \mathscr{E}(\bar{G}_1) + \ldots + \mathscr{E}(F) \cap \mathscr{E}(\bar{G}_m)$$

holds for every finite open covering $\{G_j\}_{j=1}^{m}$ of the complex plane and for every subspace $\mathscr{E}(F)$.

The problem if there exists a decomposable operator which is not a strongly decomposable one is still open.

We shall use again the notation $X_T(F) = \mathscr{E}(F)$.

Lemma 3.1.' *Let T be a strongly decomposable operator. Then the set of discontinuity values is empty or it has only a finite number of elements.*

Proof. Take the same sequence of discontinuity values as in 3.1. Let i be fixed. Since T is strongly decomposable, we have, for every $x \in X_T(\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i})$, a unique representation $x = x_1^i + x_2^i$ where $x_1^i \in X_T(F_i)$, $x_2^i \in X_T(\overline{\bigcup_{j \neq i} F_j})$. The operator $R_1^i x = x_1^i$ is linear, continuous and $R_1^i \neq 0$. The transformation $S \mid X_T(F_i)$ is a discontinuous operator and we can find a $\xi_i \in X_T(F_i)$ with $|\xi_i| < 1/2^i$ and $|S\xi_i| > i|R_1^i|$. Put $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi_i$. Then

$$R_1^i S\eta = R_1^i S\xi_i + R_1^i S \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_j = S\xi_i \,.$$

We have, for each $i \in N$,

$$|R_1^i| \,.\, |S\eta| \geqq i|R_1^i| \,.$$

With regard to the properties of generalized scalar operators we can reformulate the lemma from the introduction as follows:

3.3. Lemma. *Either* $\sigma_S = \{0\}$ *or there exists a finite set of eigenvalues* $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}$ *of* T *with the property*

$$\sigma_S \subset X_T(\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\}) \,.$$

Proof. First we shall find the minimal subspace $X_T(F)$ containing σ_S. Denote by $\mathfrak{A}$ the family of all closed F such that $\sigma_S \subset X_T(F)$. Put $Y = \bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{A}} X_T(F)$. It follows immediately from 2.3 that $Y = X_T(\bigcap_{F \in \mathfrak{A}} F)$ and $\sigma(T \mid Y) \subset F$ for each $F \in \mathfrak{A}$. To prove the lemma, it is sufficient to show that $\sigma(T \mid Y)$ consists of discontinuity values only. Indeed, if the set of discontinuity values is empty, then $\sigma_S \subset Y = X_T(\sigma(T \mid Y)) = X_T(\emptyset) = \{0\}$. If the set of discontinuity values consists of elements $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$, then $\sigma_S \subset X_T(\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\})$.

In view of the remark in the end of the preceding section we may assume that all $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are eigenvalues of T.

Take a λ which is not a discontinuity value. In such case there is a closed neighbourhood F_0 of λ such that $S \mid X_T(F_0)$ is continuous. We can find functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^\infty(R_2)$ such that $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \equiv 1$, $\varphi_1 \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of λ and supp $\varphi_1 \subset F_0$.

We shall show that there exists a closed set F for which $\lambda \notin F$ and $\sigma_S \subset X_T(F)$. To prove that, take an $x \in \sigma_S$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence such that $x_n \to 0$ and $Sx_n \to x$.

We have

$$Sx_n = S\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_1} x_n + S\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_2} x_n \,.$$

Since supp $\varphi_1 \subset F_0$, it follows that $\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_1} x_n \in X_T(F_0)$ and $S\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_1} x_n \to 0$ by the assumption that $S \mid X_T(F_0)$ is continuous. From this fact $S\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_2} x_n \to x$; x being a limit of elements of X_T (supp φ_2), it is an element of X_T (supp φ_2) as well.

3.4. Definition. A complex number λ is said *to be a critical eigenvalue* of T if λ is an element of the point spectrum of T and the range $R(\lambda I - T)$ is of infinite co-dimension, i.e. a Hamel basis in the quotient space $X/R(\lambda I - T)$ is not a finite set.

Consider now a T having a critical eigenvalue. Then there exists a discontinuous S such that $TS = ST$ and $SX_T(F) \subset X_T(F)$ for every F closed. To prove this we shall apply the example given in [4], lemma 2.1.

Let λ be a critical eigenvalue, let $y \in X$ be a corresponding eigenvector $Ty = \lambda y$. $R(\lambda I - T)$ has not a finite codimension. Using a Hamel basis in $X/R(\lambda I - T)$ we can construct a discontinuous linear functional f defined on X with the property $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in R(\lambda I - T)$. The linear transformation S defined by the formula

$$Sx = y f(x)$$

is obviously discontinuous and from the equality $(\lambda I - T) S = S(\lambda I - T) = 0$ it follows that S commutes with T.

According to the definition we have, for every x, $\sigma_T(Sx) \subset \sigma_T(y) = \{\lambda\}$. Providing that $\lambda \in \sigma_T(x)$ we have $\sigma_T(Sx) \subset \sigma_T(x)$. If $\lambda \notin \sigma_T(x)$, then there is an x_λ such that $x = (\lambda I - T) x_\lambda \in R(\lambda I - T)$ and $Sx = y \,.\, f(x) = 0$ so that $\sigma_T(Sx) = \emptyset \subset \sigma_T(x)$. We have obtained $\sigma_T(Sx) \subset \sigma_T(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and this is obviously equivalent to $SX_T(F) \subset X_T(F)$ for $F = \bar{F}$.

Now, knowing the properties of space $X_T(\{\lambda\})$ in case of generalized scalar operators, we can prove the following

3.5. Theorem. *Let T be a generalized scalar operator in a Banach space X which has no critical eigenvalue. Let S be a linear transformation such that*

1) $TS = ST$,

2) $SX_T(F) \subset X_T(F)$ for $F = \bar{F}$.

Then S is continuous.

Proof. In the preceding lemma we showed that either $\sigma_S = \{0\}$ and S is continuous or that there exists a $k \geqq 1$ and elements $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ of the point spectrum of T such that $\sigma_S \subset X_T(\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\})$. By 2.7 there exists a polynomial $P(.)$ with $P(T) \mid \sigma_S = 0$. Denote by q the quotient map from X onto X/σ_S and by $P(T)'$ the corresponding operator to $P(T)$ from X/σ_S into X both being continuous. By the closed graph theorem we see that qS is a continuous operator so that $P(T) S = P(T)' qS$ is continuous as well.

Since each $R(\lambda_i I - T)$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$ has a finite codimension, it is easy to see that $P(T) X$ has also a finite codimension. In this case there exists a finite dimensional vector space Z such that we can find, for each $x \in X$, a unique representation $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in P(T)$ and $x_2 \in Z$. It is not difficult to prove that the maps

$R_1x = x_1$, $R_2x = x_2$ are continuous and the space $P(T)X$ is closed. See also [4]. Now we have

$$Sx = SR_1x + SR_2x .$$

Since $S \mid P(T)X$ and $S \mid Z$ are continuous, S is a continuous operator on the whole X as well.

We have obtained the above result by a slight modification of the methods in [5]. However, the assumption that $\{0\}$ is the only T-divisible subspace can be replaced by the assumption that all $X_T(F)$ are invariant with respect to S, which is weaker.

3.6. Definition. A subspace Y is called *T-divisible* if for every complex number λ there is $(\lambda I - T)Y = Y$.

Let Z be a subspace of X invariant with respect to T. Similarly as in [5] we denote by $\overset{\infty}{\bigcap}(\lambda \in M)(\lambda I - T)Z$ the constant value of the transfinite sequence $Z(\alpha)$ defined by

1) $Z(0) = Z$,
2) $Z(\alpha + 1) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in M} (\lambda I - T)Z(\alpha)$,
3) $Z(\alpha) = \bigcap_{\beta \prec \alpha} Z(\beta)$ for limit ordinals.

We can always find such transfinite sequence with eventual constant value. If we put $Z = X$ and $M = \mathbf{C}$, then $\overset{\infty}{\bigcap}(\lambda \in \mathbf{C})(\lambda I - T)X$ is the largest T-divisible subspace in X. For other properties see also [5]. It is easy to see that every $\overset{\infty}{\bigcap}(\lambda \in M)(\lambda I - T)X$ is invariant with respect to any linear transformation commuting with T. Further, $X_T(F) \subset \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}(\lambda \notin F)(\lambda I - T)X$ and particularly $x \in \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}(\lambda \notin \sigma_T(x))(\lambda I - T)X$.

3.7. Proposition. *Let T be a generalized scalar operator for which $\{0\}$ is the only T-divisible subspace.*

Then, for every closed F, the subspace $X_T(F)$ is invariant with respect to any linear transformation S such that $ST = TS$.

Proof. Take an $x \in X$ and a $\varphi \in C^\infty(R_2)$ with the properties $0 \leqq \varphi \leqq 1$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of $\sigma_T(x)$. We shall show that $\mathcal{U}_{1-\varphi}Sx = 0$. We have

$$x \in \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}(\lambda \notin \sigma_T(x))(\lambda I - T)X .$$

Since the subspace on the right hand side is invariant with respect to S, we obtain

$$Sx \in \overset{\infty}{\bigcap}(\lambda \notin \sigma_T(x))(\lambda I - T)X .$$