

Werk

Label: Article

Jahr: 1972

PURL: https://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?31311157X_0097|log19

Kontakt/Contact

Digizeitschriften e.V.
SUB Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen

✉ info@digizeitschriften.de

FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE FOR NONLINEAR OPERATORS AND APPLICATIONS TO PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

JINDŘICH NEČAS, Praha*)

(Received April 15, 1970)

1. Introduction. The problem of solving a nonlinear boundary value problem or an integral equation can be reduced often to the following abstract one: find a solution u of $Tu = f$, where T is a mapping from a real, reflexive Banach space B to its dual B^* .

Example 1. Let Ω be a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$ and let $a_i(x, \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, be continuous functions in $\bar{\Omega} \times R_{n+1}$, satisfying growth conditions

$$(1.1) \quad |a_i(x, \xi)| \leq c(1 + |\xi|)^{m-1},$$

where $1 < m < \infty$. Let $f_i \in L_{m'}(\Omega)$, $1/m' + 1/m = 1$, $i = 0, \dots, n$. By $W_m^{(1)}(\Omega)$ we denote the well-known Sobolev space of real L_m functions whose first derivatives are also L_m functions. $W_m^{(1)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|u\|_{W_m^{(1)}} = (\int_{\Omega} (|u|^m + \sum_{i=1}^n |\partial u / \partial x_i|^m) dx)^{1/m}$ and is separable. $W_m^{(1)}(\Omega)$ is also reflexive as the closed subspace of $[L_m]^{n+1}$. Let $\dot{W}_m^{(1)}(\Omega)$ be the closure of $D(\Omega)$, the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support, in the space $W_m^{(1)}(\Omega)$. We have to find $u \in \dot{W}_m^{(1)}(\Omega)$ such that for any $v \in \dot{W}_m^{(1)}(\Omega)$

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} a_i \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) + v a_0 \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) \right) dx = \\ = \int_{\Omega} v f_0 dx - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} f_i dx.$$

*) Lecture held on the Chicago area applied mathematics seminar.

The function u is called weak solution of the differential equation

$$(1.3) \quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_i \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) \right) + a \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) = f + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}$$

in Ω , satisfying on the boundary the condition $u = 0$.

Denoting by (w^*, u) the pairing between B^* and B , we can define an operator $T: B \rightarrow B^*$, putting

$$(Tu, v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + a_0 \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) v \right) dx.$$

Because $\int_{\Omega} f_0 v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\partial v / \partial x_i) \, dx = (f, v)$, the equation (1.2) is reduced to the problem of solving the equation $Tu = f$.

Example 2. Let us consider the Hammerstein's integral equation

$$(1.4) \quad u(x) - \lambda \int_M K(x, y) f(y, u(y)) \, dy = w(x),$$

where the solution is supposed in $L_2(M)$, M being a compact subset of R_n , $w \in L_2(M)$, $f(y, u)$ is a continuous function on $M \times R_1$, satisfying the growth condition $|f(y, u)| \leq c(1 + |u|)$. We suppose $\int_M \int_M K^2(x, y) \, dx \, dy < \infty$. If $(Tu)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u(x) - \lambda \int_M K(x, y) f(y, u(y)) \, dy$, then $T: L_2(M) \rightarrow L_2(M)$ and the problem is reduced to the solution of $Tu = w$.

2. Borsuk type theorem. A mapping T is said to be bounded if the image of bounded set is bounded and it is said to be demicontinuous, if from $u_n \rightarrow u$ (strong convergence) follows $Tu_n \rightarrow Tu$ (weak convergence).

Theorem 1. Let $T: B \rightarrow B^*$, where B is a reflexive space, be a bounded, demicontinuous mapping. Let $T_t(u) = T(u) - tT(-u)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Let for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the condition (S) be satisfied:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{if } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ and } (T_t(u_n) - T_t(u), u_n - u) \rightarrow 0,$$

then $u_n \rightarrow u$, and for $f \in B^*$ the condition

$$(2.2) \quad T_t u - (1 - t)f \neq 0 \text{ for } \|u\| = R > 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then there exists a solution of $Tu = f$.

Let us remark first that the above solution is unique if, for example, the operator T is strictly monotone: $u \neq v \Rightarrow (Tu - Tv, u - v) > 0$.

Theorems as above are based on the concept of monotone operators, and there is a large amount of literature on this subject, compare, for example, M. I. VIŠIK [11],

F. E. BROWDER [1], J. LERAY, J. L. LIONS [6], G. J. MINTY [7]. The concept using Borsuk's theorem was recently used in the paper of D. G. DE FIGUEIREDO, CH. P. GUPTA [3] and elsewhere.

The main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1: First, if $B = R_n$, then the degree $(T_1(u), B(0, R), 0)$ is an odd integer by Borsuk's theorem, hence by homotopy, this is true for $T(u) - f$, hence, there exists $\|u\| < R$ such that $Tu = f$. If $F \subset B$ is a finite dimensional subspace of B and ψ_F is the injection of $T \rightarrow B$, ψ_F^* being its dual mapping, then for $T_F \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \psi_F^* T \psi_F$, it can be proved by contradiction existence of a F such that if $F' \supset F$, then $T_{F'}(u) - t T_{F'}(-u) - (1 - t) \psi_{F'}^* f \neq 0$ for $\|u\| = R$, $u \in F'$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Hence for every $F' \supset F$, there exists $u_{F'} \in F'$ such that $T_{F'} u_{F'} = \psi_{F'}^* f$. Let us put $M_{F'} = \{u_{F'} \mid F' \supset F\}$. The set of $M_{F'}$ has finite intersection property. If $\overline{M}_{F'}$ is the closure in the weak topology, then $\bigcap_{F'} \overline{M}_{F'} \ni u$. If $w, u \in F'$ for F' such chosen, then there exists $u_n \in M_{F'}$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ and because of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Tu_n - Tu, u_n - u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Tu_n, u_n - u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f, u_n - u) = 0$, $((Tu_n, u_n - u) = (f, u_n - u)$ follows from the definition of $T_{F'}$) the condition (2.1) implies $u_n \rightarrow u$, what, in virtue of the demicontinuity of T , gives the result. We have clearly:

Consequence 1. *If the operator T is coercive:*

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(Tu, u)}{\|u\|} = \infty, \text{ then } T(B) = B^*.$$

This is because $(T_s u, u) \geq c(\|u\|) \|u\|$, with $c(s) \rightarrow \infty$ for $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Consequence 2. *If the conditions of theorem 1 are satisfied and T is odd: $T(-u) = -T(u)$ and if T is weakly coercive: $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \|Tu\| = \infty$, then $T(B) = B^*$.*

Let us consider the following class of operators: first if for $\kappa > 0$ and every $t > 0$: $A(tu) = t^\kappa A(u)$, then A is called κ -homogeneous.

An operator S is asymptotically zero if for $\kappa > 0$ $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \|Su\|/\|u\|^\kappa = 0$.

We have the following Fredholm alternative:

Theorem 2. *Let $T = A + S$, where A is demicontinuous, κ -homogeneous, satisfies the condition (S) (i.e. if $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $(A(u_n) - A(u), u_n - u) \rightarrow 0$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$), S is demicontinuous, asymptotically zero (with the same κ as for A) and T is bounded odd and satisfies the condition (S). Then the range of T is all of B^* if $Au = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0$. In this case, for every solution,*

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\| \leq c(1 + \|f\|^{1/\kappa}).$$

If (2.3) is true for every solution, then $Au = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0$.

Theorems of this type are recent. It seems the first paper is due to S. I. POCHOŽAJEV [10] and to the author [8]. For further results, compare F. E. BROWDER [2] and the forthcoming paper of J. NEČAS [9]; compare also M. KUČERA [5].

Proof of Theorem 2:

(i) If (2.3) is true and there exists $u_0 \neq 0$ such that $Au_0 = 0$, then for $u = tu_0$:

$$\|u_0\| \leq c \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{\|S(u_0 t)\|^{1/\kappa}}{t\|u_0\|} \right) \|u_0\| \rightarrow 0$$

which is a contradiction.

(ii) Let $Au = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0$. Then (2.3) is true: if not, there exists a sequence $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \|u_n\|^\kappa > n(1 + \|Tu_n\|) \quad \text{and putting} \quad v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|},$$

we can suppose $v_n \rightarrow v$ and we obtain from (2.4) $Av_n \rightarrow 0$ and using (S) condition: $v_n \rightarrow v$, hence $\|v\| = 1$ and $Av = 0$ which is a contradiction.

(iii) (2.3) implies (2.2), and (2.1) is satisfied because $T_t(u) = (1 + t)T(u)$.

3. Back to the applications. Let us remark first that it is only a question of introducing enough of indices to treat general systems instead of one partial differential equation as we will do; there is no essential difference.

I) We consider first the problem:

$$(3.1) \quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) - \lambda a_0 \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}$$

with $a_{ij} \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq c|\xi|^2$. Let us suppose

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \frac{1}{t} a_0(x, t\xi) - \sum_{i=0}^n b_i(x) \xi_i \right| \leq c(t) \left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \xi_i^2 \right)^{1/2} + 1 \right]$$

with $c(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, $b_i \in L_\infty(\Omega)$. The condition (3.2) implies immediately that $Ru \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} a_0(x, u, \partial u/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial u/\partial x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \partial u/\partial x_i - b_0(x)u$ satisfies the condition $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \|Ru\|_{L_2}/\|u\|_{W_2^{(1)}} = 0$. Supposing $a_0(x, -\xi) = -a_0(x, \xi)$ and defining

$$(Au, v) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx, \quad (Su, v) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} -\lambda \int_{\Omega} a_0 \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) v dx,$$

we obtain in virtue of the fact the imbedding $W_2^{(1)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)$ is completely continuous, that Su is a completely continuous operator from $\dot{W}_2^{(1)} \rightarrow (\dot{W}_2^{(1)})^*$. Because A

and S above defined satisfy with $\kappa = 1$ the conditions of the theorem 2, this altogether gives by theorem 2 this result:

For every $f_i \in L_2(\Omega)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, there exists a solution of (3.1) with $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and for every solution, we have $\|u\|_{W_2^{(1)}} \leq c(1 + \|f_0\|_{L_2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{L_2})$ if and only if λ is not an eigenvalue for the linear problem

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \left(b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + b_0(x) u \right) = 0, \quad u \in \dot{W}_2^{(1)}(\Omega).$$

II) If we consider a nonlinear problem with $m \neq 2$, then we suppose:

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \frac{a_i(x, t\xi)}{t^{m-1}} - A_i(x, \xi) \right| \leq c_i(t) (1 + |\xi|^{m-1}), \quad i = 0, \dots, n,$$

where $A_i(x, \xi)$ satisfy the conditions (1.1) and $c_i(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $A_i(x, \xi)$ and $a_i(x, \xi)$ be odd in ξ and $A_i(x, t\xi) = t^{m-1} A_i(x, \xi)$, $t > 0$. We shall suppose for $a_i(x, \xi)$ and $A_i(x, \xi)$ the conditions (we write them only for A_i): if $[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n] \neq [\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_n]$ then

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (A_i(x, \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) - A_i(x, \xi_0, \xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_n)) (\xi_i - \xi'_i) > 0$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(x, \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \xi_i \geq c_1 \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i|^m - c_2 |\xi_0|^m.$$

For to apply theorem 2, we can easily verify (for details compare J. LERAY, J. L. LIONS [6]) the hypothesis eventually with the exception of the condition (S): for to see this, let $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $\dot{W}_m^{(1)}(\Omega)$. We have first by the complete continuity of the imbedding $\dot{W}_m^{(1)}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_m(\Omega)$: $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $L_m(\Omega)$. Choosing a subsequence, if necessary, still noted u_k , we have $u_k(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ almost everywhere. By hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_i \left(x, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_n} \right) - a_i \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) \right) \\ & \left(\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(a_0 \left(x, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_n} \right) - a_0 \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) \right) \\ & (u_k - u) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second member tends to zero, hence also the first, but in virtue of (3.4) putting

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_i \left(x, u_k, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_n} \right) - a_i \left(x, u_k, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \right),$$